



Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

[Administrative Code - Homeward Bound Program for Individuals Experiencing or Formerly Experienced Homelessness]

Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 5:05 PM

To: Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org, chanstaff@sfgov.org, Hillary.ronen@sfgov.org, Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org

Cc: "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <Aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Rhorer, Trent (HSA)" <Trent.Rhorer@sfgov.org>

All,

The Homeward Bound Program would violate state and federal law, increase overdoses and increase violence.

Each of you have heard me speak about HSA system Sherlock and were not privileged to information gathered by me and others working with me to include information from University of California San Diego. UCSD designed Sherlock.

First, the program would violate Carpenter vs. United States by using data location software illegally. HSA and/or HSH would use Sherlock to place digital surveillance on the person meant to help and on their families, often out of state. This would be a violation of Interstate Commerce laws. Politicians would then be able to illegally influence people out of state whenever and wherever they want - grossly illegal.

It would increase overdoses simply by placing digital surveillance on the person which increases the feeling of anxiety and fear which often causes a person to drink or take drugs.

It would increase violence as politicians, public health and safety would retaliate by using their systems to cyber-stalk and influence criminal conduct - what is currently happening today. It would also place every politician in danger just like it did in 1978. The technology was similar in 1978 when a person targeted the Mayor and a gay Supervisor. The reason Dan White only got 5 years and because this came out during the trial. The reason Dan White was mad was because these two placed him on digital surveillance by Public Welfare now referred to as the Human Service Agency. The former Mayor and Supervisor were placing people on surveillance for not cleaning up after their dog and not on lease and for not liking certain people.

It is the same reason that Health, Education and Welfare broke up and was renamed Health and Human Services. Not to be outdone by San Francisco, back then it was a war zone in just about every state.

It also violates the Olmstead Act as the city and county is required to provide reasonable family support, reasonable social support and economic independence if living in assisted living facilities.

Respectfully, it is illegal and not logical. Most of these people are simply targeted because they don't vote or vote the wrong way. It would make more sense to provide solutions to make them healthier and with stable income. Then their families would visit beloved San Francisco.

I will provide additional information during the Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline