

From: [Kenny Vercellino](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 12:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Kenny Vercellino**

Email kvercellino@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Kelly Vinther](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 12:22:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent [Kelly Vinther](#)

Email kvercellino@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Tamara Sypult](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 12:18:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Tamara Sypult

Email tsypult@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 3

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Will Cody](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 11:24:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Will Cody

Email wcody415@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 5

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Sean C](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 11:11:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Sean C**

Email scody11@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Adam Wright](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 10:17:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Adam Wright**

Email wrightadamjustin@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Ashley Wessinger](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 10:00:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Ashley Wessinger**

Email ashleywessinger@hotmail.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Cole Sapiro](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:57:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Cole Sapiro**

Email riptidelax31@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Tessa Sapiro](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:57:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Tessa Sapiro

Email hiatal-curly-0h@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Eddy Sapiro](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:53:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Eddy Sapiro**

Email eddysapiro@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Christina Pappas](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:52:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Christina Pappas**

Email scoutca66@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [James Osullivan](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:24:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email dblbirdy@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Dearan Roche](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:20:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Dearan Roche**

Email dearan.m.roche@tcu.edu

I am a resident of **District 4**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Kevin Roche](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 9:20:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Kevin Roche**

Email krochemusic@aol.com

I am a resident of **District 4**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Terry Whalen](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 8:40:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent [Terry Whalen](#)

Email terry@sumdigital.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Michelle Cody](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 7:38:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Michelle Cody**

Email wise8689@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Delia McManus](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 7:39:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Delia McManus**

Email sonomaco9@aol.com

I am a resident of **District 3**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Joe Ryan](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 7:36:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Joe Ryan**

Email birr99@aol.com

I am a resident of District 3

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Mary Ryan](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 7:36:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Mary Ryan**

Email mary.ryan66@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Erica Sandberg](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 7:05:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Erica Sandberg

Email esandberg_2000@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 3

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Doreen Horstin](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 7:01:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Doreen Horstin**

Email doreen.horstin@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 6**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Steven Callow](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:42:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Steven Callow**

Email sdcallow@pacbell.net

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Chris McCoy](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:35:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Chris McCoy**

Email chris@mccoy.vc

I am a resident of **District 3**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Marilyn Donnici](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:33:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Marilyn Donnici**

Email mdonnici@pacbell.net

I am a resident of **District 7**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Catherine Viehweg](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:21:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Catherine Viehweg

Email cathy@viehweg.com

I am a resident of District 7

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Jan Diamond](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Jan Diamond**

Email janmdiamond@pacbell.net

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Kevin Roche](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:12:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Kevin Roche**

Email krochemusic@aol.com

I am a resident of **District 4**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Natalie Podell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:09:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Natalie Podell**

Email natalie@podell.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Madelon Podell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:09:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Madelon Podell**

Email madelon@podell.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Leslie Boin Podell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Leslie Boin Podell**

Email leslie@podell.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Nicky Podell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Nicky Podell

Email nicky@podell.com

I am a resident of District 2

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Nick Podell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:09:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Nick Podell

Email nick@podell.com

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Craig Viehweg](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 6:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Craig Viehweg**

Email craigviehweg@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 7**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Courtney Roche](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:57:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Courtney Roche

Email dicksonc85@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [JJ Smith](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent JJ Smith

Email jjsmith83@myyahoo.com

I am a resident of District 5

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Marina Roche](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:48:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Marina Roche**

Email marinaroche@icloud.com

I am a resident of **District 4**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Karina Velasquez](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:45:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Karina Velasquez**

Email karinawinder@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 2**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:45:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Mitchell Smith**

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [M Axelrod](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:36:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent M Axelrod

Email bythewater1@msn.com

I am a resident of District 2

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Jill Santos](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:34:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Jill Santos**

Email jsantos1788@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 8**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Z Yan](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Z Yan

Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Stephanie Lehman](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:12:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Stephanie Lehman**

Email slehman21@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Liz Le](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:12:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent [Liz Le](#)

Email elizle@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Peter Lehman](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:12:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Peter Lehman**

Email plehman@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Robert Chan](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:09:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Robert Chan**

Email robertychan@aol.com

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:09:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Susan Fisch**

Email sfisch116@comcast.net

I am a resident of **District 8**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [David Cuadro](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:03:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **David Cuadro**

Email david.s.cuadro@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 7**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Tamara Greenberg](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:00:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Tamara Greenberg

Email tamaragreenberg@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Alan Burradell](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:00:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent Alan Burradell

Email alanburradell@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Forrest Liu](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 5:00:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Forrest Liu**

Email forrest.liu@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 6**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [victoire.reynal](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 4:59:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent [victoire reynal](#)

Email brawny_pouch_0b@icloud.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes. DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [Chris Lehman](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Friday, February 9, 2024 4:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent **Chris Lehman**

Email crlehman18@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 1**

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.

From: [ann Iannuccillo](#)
To: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#)
Subject: I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law
Date: Saturday, February 10, 2024 12:41:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Police Commission, BOS and Mayor Breed

From your constituent [ann Iannuccillo](#)

Email iannuccillo@me.com

I am a resident of [District 11](#)

I oppose DGO 9.07 because it contradicts Federal/State Law

Message to the Police Commission

Dear Commissioners and Elected Officials,

I am in complete opposition to this unelected body's abuse of power as you attempt not only to circumvent state and federal law, but further tie the hands of our SFPD to enforce our state and local vehicle codes.

DGO9.07 contradicts vehicle code 21 which in part reads:

Except otherwise expressly provided, the provisions of this code are applicable and uniform throughout the state in all counties and municipalities therein, and a local authority shall not enact or enforce any ordinance or resolutions on the matters covered by this code, including ordinances or resolutions that establish regulations or procedures for, or assess a fine, penalty, assessment, or fee for a violation of, matters covered by this code, unless expressly authorized by this code.

and it requires SFPD to risk being disciplined or fired for carrying out their obligations to enforce those laws.

Furthermore, DGO9.07 puts additional limitations on SFPD that EXCEED even those found in the failed SB50. Your sheer audacity to engage in this abuse of power is unprecedented and will undoubtedly inspire lawsuits in both Federal and State courts. The use of pretextual stops is currently LEGAL under state and federal law because our legislators understand the value of such stops. Driving with an expired registration or a

broken taillight, for example, is currently ILLEGAL and by banning our SFPD from enforcing these types of traffic infractions, you are essentially making them legal.

That is not your job and you do not have the authority to do it.

Banning pretextual stops repeatedly fail because our lawmakers understand that fewer stops will result in fewer legitimate searches, which means more guns and drugs on the streets of San Francisco. It also means that criminals and gangs will not fear transporting weapons and drugs into our city.

Why does this Commission refuse to acknowledge the data showing current stops have consistently decreased from 102,000 in 2019 to 40,000 in 2020 to just over 17,000 in 2022 showing SFPD is already reforming its processes? Ironically, it is well documented, and often cited by this very commission, that when traffic enforcement laxes, streets become less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists which not only impacts those groups, it has a harmful impact on the local small businesses in our neighborhoods, yet you continue to push for policies that make our streets unsafe!

I urge you to abandon this ill-fated, overreaching, likely lawsuit-generating push to enforce DGO 9.07. It appears that this push to enact this measure is more of a personal ideological obsession and less a reflection of a desire to protect our public safety. It is behavior like this that undermines the public's faith in your ability to ethically and impartially fulfill your roles on the police commission and leads directly to ballot measures such as Prop E.

Please respect our Federal and State laws and abandon this push to enact DGO 9.07.