



### Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

**PAID NO E-1**

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument in Favor <input type="checkbox"/>
Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Section 2: Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Kevin Benedicto Title (If Applicable) Police Commissioner

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) \_\_\_\_\_

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED] Email \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Kevin Benedicto Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

### Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Kevin Benedicto, Max Carter-Oberstone, Cindy Elias

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

### Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President*            Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President*            Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner*            Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner*  <del>Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</del></p> <p>*For identification purposes only.            Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</p>	
	<p>Join us and former            Police Commissioners            Petra DeJesus, Bill Hing,            and Angela Chan in            opposing this measure.</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	<p><b>Total Word Count</b></p>	<p>229</p>

#### Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =		Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SAN FRANCISCO  
John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Office Use Only	
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	
Time/Date Stamp	
Label	

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Cindy Elias

Title (If Applicable) Police Commission President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) \_\_\_\_\_

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

### Additional Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) **Max Carter-Oberstone**

Title (If Applicable) Police Commission Vice President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity)  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature →

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President*            Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President*            Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner*            Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner*  <del>Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</del></p> <p><i>Join us and former Police Commissioners Petra deJesus, Bill Hing, and Angela Chan in opposing this measure.</i></p> <p><del>*For identification purposes only.</del>  <del>Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</del></p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	<b>Total Word Count</b>	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS**

John Arntz, Director

SAN FRANCISCO  
 FILED  
 2022 OCT 19  
 3:34  
 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

**Ballot Argument Control Sheet B**

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Office Use Only

Time/Date Stamp

Label

**Section 1: Argument Information**

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

**Section 2: Additional Author Information**

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments**

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Jesus Yañez

Title (If Applicable) Police Commissioner

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) \_\_\_\_\_

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email \_\_\_\_\_

**Additional Author Information**

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments**

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President*            Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President*            Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner*            Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner*  <del>Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</del></p> <p><i>Join us and former Police Commissioners Petra DeJesus, Bill Hing, and Angela Chan in opposing this measure.</i></p> <p><del>*For identification purposes only.</del>  <del>Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</del></p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	<b>Total Word Count</b>	



**Ballot Argument Consent Form**

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Because anyone listed as an author or mentioned in an argument should have the opportunity to review the argument, the full text of the argument must appear on all Consent Forms.

Office Use Only
2023 DEC 12 AM 3:34 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

**Section 1: Argument Information**

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

**Section 2: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President*            Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President*            Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner*            Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner*  <del>Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner</del>  <del>Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</del></p> <p><i>Join us and former Police Commissioners Petra DeJesus, Bill Hing, and Angela Chan in opposing this measure.</i></p> <p><del>*For identification purposes only.            Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</del></p> <p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	

**Section 3: Consent Required**

I verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot argument above, and authorize that my name or the name of my organization, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the argument in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Full Name (Print) Bill Hing

Name of Organization (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature



**Ballot Argument Consent Form**

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Because anyone listed as an author or mentioned in an argument should have the opportunity to review the argument, the full text of the argument must appear on all Consent Forms.

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

**Section 1: Argument Information**

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

**Section 2: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President*                      Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President*                      Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner*                      Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner*                      Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner                      Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner                      Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</p> <p><del>*For identification purposes only.</del>                      Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Total Word Count</p>	

Join us  
 and former Police  
 Commissioners Petra  
 DeJesus, Bill Hing,  
 and Angela Chan  
 in opposing this  
 measure.

**Section 3: Consent Required**

I verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot argument above, and authorize that my name or the name of my organization, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the argument in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Full Name (Print) Angela Chan

Name of Organization (if applicable)

Signature







**Ballot Argument Consent Form**

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Because anyone listed as an author or mentioned in an argument should have the opportunity to review the argument, the full text of the argument must appear on all Consent Forms.

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

**Section 1: Argument Information**

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

**Section 2: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The last time a police use of force question was put on the ballot, Mayor Breed remarked: "I do have concerns about taking those types of policy matters to the ballot box." But election season has apparently led her to abandon those concerns. Mayor Breed's hastily drafted measure will endanger the public and officers alike.</p> <p>Vehicle pursuits can be a matter of life and death: Just this year, many innocent bystanders were killed or seriously injured by police car chases. SFPD's current vehicle pursuit policy, which was enacted 10 years ago, was drafted by the police department, and championed by then-Chief Greg Suhr. The Police Commission is already reviewing data and speaking to stakeholders—including police officers—to examine whether there are ways to increase apprehension of fleeing criminals while protecting the public from the inherent risks of high speed chases. The Mayor's poorly drafted measure, by contrast, was written without input from officers, the public, or consultation of data and it shows. It inserts vague, undefined, language that will cause confusion among officers and put the public at risk, while doing nothing to increase public safety. This issue is too important to be used as a political stepping stone for the Mayor's reelection. We urge you to vote no.</p> <p>Cindy Elias, Police Commission President* Max Carter-Oberstone, Police Commission Vice President* Kevin Benedicto, Police Commissioner* Jesus Yañez, Police Commissioner* Petra DeJesus, Former Police Commissioner Angela Chan, Former Police Commissioner Bill Hing, Former Police Commissioner</p> <p><del>*For identification purposes only.</del> <del>Author is signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.</del></p>	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	<b>Total Word Count</b>	

Join us and former Police Commissioners Petra DeJesus, Bill Hing, and Angela Chan in opposing this measure.

**Section 3: Consent Required**

I verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot argument above, and authorize that my name or the name of my organization, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the argument in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Full Name (Print) Petra DeJesus

Name of Organization ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SAN FRANCISCO FILED John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

2023 DEC 15 PM

Office Use Only DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

PAID NO E-2

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument, Opponent Argument, Rebuttal to Proponent Argument, Rebuttal to Opponent Argument, Paid Argument in Favor, Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure.

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure.

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [checked] VP

Full Name (Print) Vidhya Prabhakaran Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (Entity) [checked] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) The Bar Association of San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [checked] Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Vidhya Prabhakaran Phone [redacted]

Mailing Address [redacted]

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

**Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments**

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

The Bar Association of San Francisco

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	The Bar Association of San Francisco is the largest legal organization in Northern California. Our attorneys represent countless businesses and citizens of San Francisco.	
	We believe in a strong and nimble police force and we care deeply about public safety. But Proposition E jeopardizes public safety by imposing broad brush rules on complex and nuanced police operations, and conflicts with Department of Justice recommendations that the City is bound to follow.	
	<u>VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION E</u> because:	
B	<u>Policing is complicated.</u> As Chief Scott stated in 2018: "it is not a national best practice to promulgate policing operational policies...by voter majority...This responsibility to set and make policy adjustments and the responsibility to manage the operations of the Department should rest with the Police Commission and the Chief of Police respectively." We agreed then and now.	
BI	<u>It makes San Francisco less safe.</u> Proposition E allows police to chase via vehicle anyone suspected of a "felony or violent misdemeanor," changing a respected policy established in 2013. The US DOJ recommends that vehicle pursuits <u>should be restricted.</u> This is because high speed pursuit in the densely populated City is extremely dangerous and will result in deaths and injury to innocent bystanders. We support other safer tools (like drones and GPS launchers) to help SFPD.	
I	<u>It creates unneeded and expensive litigation.</u> Proposition E will jeopardize the legally required implementation of the 272 DOJ Recommendations for policing in San Francisco and violate our MOU with the DOJ.	
I	<u>It does not help police officers.</u> Proposition E limits officers' own ability to document their use of force and violates the US DOJ Recommendation to increase documentation.	
I	<u>It creates redundancy.</u> Proposition E creates redundant rules around community involvement, already required pursuant to the US DOJ Recommendations.	
	VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION E.	
	The Bar Association of San Francisco	
<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>		
<b>Total Word Count</b>		272

**Office Use Only**

Total # of words = 272 X \$2/word = 544		+ \$200 publication fee = 744		Staff Initials 
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
2023 DEC 15 PM 12:45
RECEIVED
PAID NO E-3

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument [ ]

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ]

Paid Argument in Favor [ ]

Opponent Argument [ ]

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ]

Paid Argument Against [X]

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) Jennifer Friedenbach

Title (If Applicable) Executive Director

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) [X] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Coalition on Homelessness, San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [X] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. [ ]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Jennifer Friedenbach

Phone [Redacted]

Mailing Address [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

## Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: Coalition on Homelessness

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:


1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

## Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>The last thing we need is less police oversight.</p> <p>Oversight has modernized SFPD and increased efficiency. SFPD has come a long way but massive disparities in use of force and a long history of discrimination still exists.</p> <p>African Americans, people with disabilities, and unhoused people bear the brunt of this.</p> <p>This measure will make these disparities worse by weakening efforts to monitor police behavior to make sure they are not engaging in racial profiling or targeting specific communities. Not only that it will lead to lawsuits costing this city millions of precious dollars.</p> <p> Vote No on E</p> <p> Coalition on Homelessness, San Francisco</p>	
<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>		
<b>Total Word Count</b>		<u>98</u>

### Office Use Only

Total # of words = <u>98</u> X \$2/word = <u>196</u>		+ \$200 publication fee = <u>396</u>		Staff Initials 
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an email 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Office Use Only
2023 DEC 18 AM 11:43
RECEIVED
Time/Date Stamp

**PAID NO E-4**

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Cindy Cohn

Title (If Applicable) Executive Director

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

**Organization (Entity)**  (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Electronic Frontier Foundation

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,  if you are signing as [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

### Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Saira Hussain

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

## Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:  
Electronic Frontier Foundation

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:


1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

## Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
B	<u>Vote NO on Proposition E, a measure that would trample civil rights, endanger marginalized communities, and allow police to spy on San Franciscans without accountability.</u>	
B	<u>Prop E would roll back crucial oversight of police use of surveillance technology.</u>	
B	It would let police deploy <u>any new surveillance technology for a full year</u> without accountability or transparency, without examining impacts on civil rights, and without evidence that the technology even works. The measure could hand police a year-long blank check to track your cellphone location data, determine policing strategy based on faulty and racist algorithms, or place new forms of video or audio surveillance in your community. Under this proposition, San Francisco's residents and elected officials would have little ability to prevent—or even learn about—the use of new harmful technology for a full year.	
B	<u>Prop E would erode San Francisco's landmark 2019 Surveillance Technology Ordinance, which gave residents a necessary voice.</u> Currently, city agencies, including the police department, must seek approval from the democratically-elected Board of Supervisors	
B	<u>before</u> acquiring or deploying new surveillance technologies. Agencies must also release a report to the public describing exactly how they would be used. This promotes transparency and ensures people have a say in protecting the privacy and civil rights of	
B	our community. It does <u>NOT</u> stop police from using new technology. They just have to follow a reasonable democratic process to do so.	
B	<u>Prop E would disempower the public and remove critical oversight.</u> It would give the police unilateral authority on when, where, and how to deploy new and invasive surveillance technologies for a full year.	
B	Technology may help police do their job, but it should come with accountability and transparency. <u>Don't let police use our city as an experiment.</u>	
B	<u>Vote NO on Prop E.</u>	
	Electronic Frontier Foundation	
<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>		
Total Word Count		283 292

### Office Use Only

Total # of words = 283 X \$2/word = 566 + \$200 publication fee = 766		Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	