



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only	
2023 DEC 18 AM 11:45	
RECEIVED	
Time/Date Stamp	

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument **E**

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Dorothy C. Stell

Title (If Applicable) Director of Development

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) ACLU of Northern California

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Yoel Haisle

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Proposition E weakens the police commission's ability to provide independent oversight and accountability for SFPD. Police Commissioners are appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. Proposition E undermines the Police Commission.</p> <p>Proposition E is deceptive. It strips away existing safeguards designed to protect us from dangerous technology and police abuse. These safeguards are in place because SFPD has a long history of misconduct and discrimination against communities of color.</p> <p>Proposition E misleads the public by suggesting that SFPD cannot use technology today. The truth is, SFPD can use many technologies if they have safety rules approved by the Board of Supervisors.</p> <p>Proposition E eliminates guardrails and lets police use invasive surveillance technology – even face scanning drones according to the City Attorney - without safety policies or oversight.</p> <p>Proposition E makes it harder to hold police officers accountable for racial profiling and use of force by watering down important reporting requirements. San Franciscans deserve more information about police misconduct, not less.</p> <p>Proposition E endorses dangerous vehicle chases for low level offenses and will lead to more civilian deaths.</p> <p>Proposition E is about politics, not public safety. To improve community safety, San Francisco must focus on evidence-based solutions such as affordable housing, mental health care, and substance use treatment.</p> <p>San Franciscans deserve better than this cynical Proposition. City leaders should propose real strategies to address community safety instead of pushing an unserious ballot measure that takes us backwards.</p> <p>VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION E</p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">ACLU of Northern California</p>	<p>225</p> <p>242</p>
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	<p>Total Word Count</p>	<p>242</p>

Office Use Only			
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	