Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition D

Proponent Argument □ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument □\[✓\] Paid Argument in Favor □

Opponent Argument □ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument □\[\] Paid Argument Against □

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition D, being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition D, being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization) □\[✓\]**

**Full Name (Print)** LARRY S. MARSO  
**Title (If Applicable)** Esq.

**San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)** SAN FRANCISCO, CA

**Organization (Entity)** (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

**Name of Organization (Print)**

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

- Only the Organization □
- Both the Officer and the Organization □

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. □\[✓\]

**Signature**

**Email**

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with their submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

**Full Name (Print)** LARRY S. MARSO  
**Phone**

**Mailing Address** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

**Signature**

**Email**
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. 
2. 
3. 

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Proposition D is a bureaucratic “full employment act” to justify out-of-control spending and bloated staff at the “Ethics” Commission—one of over a hundred commissions, advisory boards, committees, agencies, panels and task forces that infest San Francisco government.

Mayor London Breed know this. The Mayor’s 2023-24 budget proposal cut 32% of “Ethics” budget and 40% of its staff over two years, which would have brought San Francisco spending on compliance into line with Los Angeles. The Board of Supervisors fought back.

Meanwhile, Mayor Breed deflects her responsibility for public corruption.

Proposition D doubles down with another toothless rewrite of lobbying rules. Bigger words(), longer definitions(), more paperwork().

Vote NO on Proposition D. Bureaucracy won’t end corruption.

In November, let’s elect a Mayor who will fire corrupt City officials.

Larry S. Marso, Esq.

A Nob Hill resident, Mr. Marso is a technology executive, M&A advisor, attorney, and syndicated columnist. As a delegate of the San Francisco Republican County Central Committee, he represents voters in Assembly District 17. In 2020, Mr. Marso was a candidate for Chair of the SFGOP, a presidential campaign consultant, and a nationally recognized expert on electronic voting systems.

Rebuttal delegated by Official Proposition D Opponent Eve Del Castello, Congressional Candidate, President of the Republican Forum of San Francisco, (415) 282-0894.

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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