



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS



John Armitz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author of the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed a Form is required.



Rebuttal to Opponent Argument D

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition D

Proponent Argument [ ]

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ]

Paid Argument in Favor [ ]

Opponent Argument [ ]

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [x]

Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
• Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
• Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
• Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
• Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) Yvonne Lee

Title (If Applicable) Chair, SF Ethics Commission

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) San Francisco, CA 94133

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) [Redacted], complete both the Individual section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Ethics Commission

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [x]

Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. [ ]

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Michael Canning

Phone [Redacted]

Mailing Address San Francisco, CA 94102

Signature [Redacted]

Email [Redacted]

**Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments**

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Proposition D was placed on the ballot by the San Francisco Ethics Commission in response to multiple recent incidents of corruption by City officials and those doing business, or seeking to do business, with the City. The Commission developed Proposition D to create clear, enforceable rules, that promote a City government that provides fair, just, and equitable treatment for all.	10 13 12 11 9
	Currently, each City department has its own document, known as a Statement of Incompatible Activities (SIA), that contains rules for the department's officials regarding activities that may conflict with their City duties. Proposition D would standardize these rules and apply them uniformly to City officials across all departments. This would mean all City officials, regardless of their department, would be subject to, and could be trained on, the same rules regarding activities that may conflict with their City duties.	12 8 11 12 11 14 7
	Proposition D does not limit the ability of voters to amend City ethics laws. Currently, almost all chapters of the City's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code can be amended legislatively, without voter approval, most by a simple majority of the Board of Supervisors. Proposition D would require that future amendments to most chapters be either approved by 1) the voters or 2) super-majorities of both the Ethics Commission and Board of Supervisors. All chapters could still be amended by the voters if Proposition D is approved.	13 8 11 9 12 9 13
	Information on all aspects of Proposition D is available at: <a href="http://sfethics.org/PropD">sfethics.org/PropD</a>	10
	San Francisco Ethics Commission	1
<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>		
<b>Total Word Count</b>		<b>216</b>

**Office Use Only**

<b>Total # of words=</b>	<b>X \$2/word =</b>	<b>+ \$200 publication fee =</b>	<b>Staff Initials</b>
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
<b>Adjusted Fee Total</b>		Amount Paid	