



# CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only	
2023 DEC 14	AM 9:19
RECEIVED	
Time/Date Stamp	

# OPP - B

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition B

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Author Information

#### Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition B being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print) Matt Dorsey

Title (If Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (

Signature

Email [REDACTED]

**Organization (Entity)**

(Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (P

Who should be listed as :

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

### Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) MATT DORSEY

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

; SF, CA 94103

Signature

Email [REDACTED]

**Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments**

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes  No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
B	<b>DON'T BUY THE 'COP TAX' LIE!</b>	
I	Proposition B is a confusing mess of political gamesmanship that actually <i>prevents</i> San Francisco voters from mandating a fully staffed police department — <b>unless and until they pass "a future tax measure" to "generate sufficient additional revenue" to recruit and hire more officers.</b>	
B	Originally conceived as a five-year plan to solve San Francisco's unprecedented police understaffing crisis, the Charter Amendment I co-authored with Mayor London Breed would have promptly funded expanded police recruiting. And it would have made needed progress on public safety challenges robbing too many San Franciscans of the safe enjoyment of their neighborhoods and hamstringing our economic recovery.	
B	But then, late in the Board of Supervisors' process, an aspiring mayoral candidate added a poison pill that renders the whole plan ineffective. Now, instead of being a public safety measure, <b>Prop B is just a ploy for new taxes: "a Cop Tax Scheme."</b>	
B	Backed by public sector unions that compete with police for limited dollars — and supported by a slim majority of supervisors — Prop B would enshrine into our City Charter an empty promise, devoid of meaning, until some future election.	
B	<b>Prop B is craven political trickery, which...</b>	
B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Aims to fool voters</b> into believing its proponents are solving our police staffing crisis — when they're in fact obstructing desperately needed progress.</li> <li>• <b>Manipulates voters' legitimate fears</b> about public safety into political support for higher taxes.</li> <li>• <b>Sacrifices urgent public safety imperatives</b> that a fully staffed SFPD could solve for the near-term political advantage of competing unions and aspiring politicians.</li> </ul>	
B	San Francisco is a \$14.6 billion enterprise. We can afford a fully staffed police department. <b>A fully staffed SFPD should be a baseline expectation for the taxes you already pay — not a fee-for-service add-on.</b>	
B	<b>VOTE NO ON PROP B.</b>	
	Learn more at <a href="http://StopTheCopTax.com">StopTheCopTax.com</a> .	
	— Supervisor Matt Dorsey	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	<b>Total Word Count</b>	

**Office Use Only**

<b>Total # of words=</b>	<b>X \$2/word =</b>	<b>+ \$200 publication fee =</b>	<b>Staff Initials</b>
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	