



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

<small>Office Use Only</small> RECEIVED  SAN FRANCISCO ELECTIONS <small>Time/Date Stamp</small>
Label

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition A

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition A being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.


Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) LARRY S. MARSO

Title (If Applicable) Esq.

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) 737 PINE STREET, #53, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Signature 

Email LMARSO@gmail.com

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email LMARSO@gmail.com

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) LARRY S. MARSO

Phone 415 271 1781

Mailing Address 737 PINE STREET, #53, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

Signature 

Email LMARSO@gmail.com

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p><i>Stop the Big Fraud on San Francisco voters! Visit: http://bigfraud.com</i></p> <p>The Mayor and Board of Supervisors have embraced insane state mandates to build 82,000 new San Francisco homes over 5 years. Their plan changes the character of every neighborhood, buldozes the West Side, and brings poverty, drugs, crime and homelessness to a street corner near you.</p> <p>Vote NO on Proposition A to stop it.</p> <p>For a decade, San Francisco taxpayers poured \$1.5 billion into "affordable housing" schemes, including a \$600 million bond (2019) and a \$245 million bond (2020). Why another \$300 million bond now? The city's borrowing capacity is nearly exhausted. Big trouble ahead for infrastructure bonds: Earthquake Safety, Healthcare and Waterfront Safety.</p> <p>The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ended 2022 with \$537 million in excess funds. It doesn't need the money.</p> <p>Not a penny of "affordable housing" programs benefit existing home owners and renters. Proposition A's radical agenda is state ownership and control of the real estate market, the end of vacancy decontrol ("Costa Hawkins"), dismantling Proposition 13 and dramatically higher property taxes.</p> <p>I led the campaign against the 2022 MUNI bond, a victory for taxpayers. We cut future property taxes by \$4,000 per homeowner. Let's do it again.</p> <p>Larry S. Marso, Esq.</p> <p>A Nob Hill resident, Mr. Marso is a technology executive, M&A advisor, attorney, and syndicated columnist. As a delegate of the San Francisco Republican County Central Committee, he represents voters in Assembly District 17. In 2020, Mr. Marso was a candidate for Chair of the SFGOP, a presidential campaign consultant, and a nationally recognized expert on electronic voting systems. [REDACTED]</p> <p>Vote NO on Proposition A</p> <p><i>Stop the Big Fraud on San Francisco voters! Visit: http://bigfraud.com</i></p>	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	272

Office Use Only				Staff Initials
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =		
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		