

Signature I

DEPARTMENT OF SAN FRANCISCO



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.



PRO – E

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument into	ormation	MALIAN STATE OF THE STATE OF TH		
Proposition <u>E</u>		F		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor		
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against		
Section 2: Author Inform		Search and British Search Language and the		
Declaration Related to Propone		-		
	ry that I am an Author of the Proponent Argum easure. A Non-supporter is defined as a persor	nent for Proposition <u>E</u> being submitted and that I who, with respect to a measure:		
 Has received or been properties that committee; or 	omised any compensation or thing of value from	to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; in such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the		
	ry that I am an Author of the Opponent Argum o A Supporter is defined as a person who with res	ent for Proposition being submitted and that I an epect to a measure:		
 Has received or been pr that committee; or 	omised any compensation or thing of value from	to make expenditures in support of the measure; in such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the		
Complete the following to indic	ate whether the Author is an individual or an	organization:		
Individual (or principal office	r of Organization)			
Full Name (Print)	Breed	Title (If Applicable) Mayor		
San Francisco Address (Where	you are Registered)			
Signature Signature		Email		
Organization (Entity) (f selected, complete both the Individual Auth	nor section and the Organization Section)		
Name of Organization (Print)				
Who should be listed as an Auth	or for your Organization?			
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization			
	nformation is for identification purposes only, all and not of behalf of an organization.			
Signature		Email		
Section 3: Submitter Info The submitter is the person who of a submission, the Department will	lelivers the argument and supporting materials t	to the Department. If there is a question or issue with		
Full Name (Print) Anika	Steig	Phone		
	01019	1 110110		
Mailing Address	010(4	7 110110		

Email

	A. I. C. D. I. Ammunianta	
Section 4	4: Information for Paid Arguments	ed to
Paid argum	ents must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also require ether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the source of funds is a recipient committee.	the author
indicate who	in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	ino additor
mormation	N.	
The true s	ource of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
000	months of surface to San Francisco 2024	
Is the true	source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
	6	
Yes 🌉	No	
	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
_1		
2.		
3.		
Section	5: Argument Text	
The text of	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You n	nay request
that specific	c argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or un	nderline the
argument to	ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for bed. Include author information in argument text.	illiaturiy is
Format	■ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines ————	# of
B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>		words
		per line
	VOTE YES ON E TO MAKE SAN FRANCISCO SAFER	
	Proposition E: Safer San Francisco puts our police officers in the best position to serve	
	our communities by giving them the tools and rules they need to enforce laws, while	
	preventing the Police Commission from interfering in community safety efforts.	
	Prop E gives officers 21st-century technology tools.	
	Prop E changes city policies to allow police officers to use publicly-owned cameras and	
	public safety drones to prevent, investigate, and solve crimes. Right now, SFPD officers	
	are prevented from using these tools in real-time to help prevent and solve crimes like retail theft, auto theft, and car break-ins.	
	retail their, auto their, and car break-ins.	
	Prop E gets more officers out on the street.	
	Prop E eliminates duplicative reporting requirements, reducing the amount of time	
	officers are behind a desk and getting them back on the street. In most cases, the officers can use technology like body-worn cameras to record incident information, instead of	
	filling out excessive paperwork, which keeps officers from patrolling our streets.	
	Prop E changes rules to get more officers pursuing criminals.	
	Prop E changes the rules to allow officers to actively pursue suspects of felonies and violent misdemeanors, including retail theft, vehicle theft, and auto burglaries, so long as	
	the pursuit can be done safely. Right now, our officers are restricted in the actions they	
	can take.	
	The state of the s	
	Prop E prevents the Police Commission from putting ideology before community safety.	
	Prop E prevents the Police Commission from micromanaging the Chief of Police and	
	ensures that any new policies put in place do not require more than 20% of an officer's	
	total on-duty time spent on administrative duties.	
g . Se	Give our police officers the TOOLS to do their jobs with 21st-century technology, and	
	change the RULES to get more officers out on the street deterring crime and pursuing	
•	criminals.	
	Vote YES on Prop E.	
	vote 1E3 off Ptop E.	
	Mayor London Breed	
1		1

	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
	Total Word Coun
Office L	lse Only

Office Use Only					
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publicat	ion fee =	as materix 2 h	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitt	ed in lieu of publication fee		Receipt#		Berly and he was
X \$0.50/signature			Check#	- 1 - 1 (1 1 2)	
Adjusted Fee Total	T.A.L. SAKLINATY	I WAS SAN	Amount Paid	50.00	profession and the