

WAR Third-Party Camera Annual Surveillance Report 2023

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

No

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

No



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

No



Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No



Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list “N/A” if not applicable): *

AVS, Xtech

Surveillance Technology Goals



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

The Davies Symphony Hall camera system owned/operated by San Francisco Symphony has been effective in enhancing WAR Security staff's ability to monitor and respond to incidents in this location.

This system allows Security Officers at the Security Camera Workstation or Supervisors to radio roving staff to investigate suspicious or problematic activities detected on the premises.

One particular benefit is that there are cameras covering the parking lot and this has aided in monitoring potential vehicle theft.

Also there is a monitor at the security desk for Zellerbach Hall and cameras that cover the entrance and hallways of this portion of the building that have enhanced Security patrols.

Data Sharing



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

No

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

No

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

No

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ∨



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

.025 1093 and .05 0922

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024:

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2023-2024?

War Memorial Operating Budget

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No