

JUV Continuous Alcohol Monitoring Device Annual Surveillance Report 2023

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases ▼



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles ▼



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

Yes

2.2 Please provide an updated list of authorized job titles.

The following FTEs participate in the implementation of the alcohol monitoring program: - 24 Class 8444/8530 Deputy Probation Officers - 5 Class 8434 Supervising Probation Officers, - 1 Senior Supervising Probation Officer - 1 Director of Probation Services - 1 Chief Probation Officer - 1 Assistant Chief Probation Officer

2.3 Why have the job titles changed?

The number of Deputy Probation Officers changed to reflect a more accurate count of staff (e.g., due to new hires, etc.). Classification 8530 and the Assistant CPO should have been listed initially and so they are now being added here.

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▼



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

Yes

4.2 Why has the technology been replaced?

BI Incorporated - JUV's new equipment lessor - was selected in the Fall of 2022, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 21.16 (c) through RfQ#APD2022-01 (Adult Probation Department), issued 03/23/2022 and reissued 03/31/2022. JUV's BI Incorporated equipment lease agreement start date is 11/01/2022.

4.3 Please list technology which was replaced (include manufacturer and model information).

SCRAM CAM bracelet (continuous alcohol monitoring); SCRAM GPS (electronic monitoring); SCRAM Base Station.

4.4 Please list technology which replaced the original technology (include manufacturer and model information).

BI Incorporated's alcohol monitoring devices are as follows: -SL2 & SL3 Remote Breathe Alcohol Monitor; -TAD Transdermal Alcohol Detector (landline w/ radio frequency); -TAD Transdermal Alcohol Detector (cellular w/ radio frequency); -BI Mobile Device w/ SmartLINK Verify.

4.5 Please list how many units have been replaced.

All prior SCRAM devices are now replaced with BI Incorporated devices including alcohol monitoring devices.



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

No



Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No

Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list "N/A" if not applicable): *

BI Incorporated, which leases the equipment to JUV.

Surveillance Technology Goals



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

The technology has served its intended purpose in an effective matter to fulfill the following court mandated requirements: Youth are only placed on continuous alcohol monitoring (CAM) in San Francisco with a court order. The Court may order a youth to be placed on CAM as a condition of probation, if the Court determines that is in the interest of public safety and the youth's well being. Continuous alcohol monitoring data is analyzed on a daily basis by probation officers to ensure compliance with the Court's order.

Data Sharing



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

Yes

9.2 Was the data shared with city and county departments or other entities associated with city and county government?

No

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

Yes

9.5 List which non-city entities received surveillance technology data from your department, what type of data was disclosed, under what legal standard the information was disclosed, and a justification for the disclosure.

As noted in JUV's policy, data regarding individual youth may be shared with the Superior Court, Police Department, District Attorney, other Law Enforcement agencies, and/or Public Defender/Defense counsel, on a case-by-case basis, on a need-to-know basis; pursuant to an ongoing investigation and/or court proceeding/ court order. This could include law enforcement agencies from other jurisdictions outside of the City. Information shared includes monitoring outcomes (e.g., alcohol detected or none detected); young person's full name and PIN.

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

Yes

12.5 Please provide general aggregate information about the result of your department's internal audits.

JUV conducts regularly conducts inventory checks for the leased equipment, and holds periodic meetings with the lessor to ensure full compliance with the program.

12.6 If the audits revealed violations, please list any actions taken in response to the violations.

N/A

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ▾



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

Yes

13.2 How many public records requests have been made regarding this surveillance technology?

JUV received 3 records requests regarding the surveillance technology within the last year.

13.3 Please summarize what has been requested via public records requests, including the general type of information requested and disclosed, as well as the number or requests for each general type of information.

Request 1: Information about young people enrolled in the electronic monitoring program. Request 2: BI Incorporated agreement copy and recent invoices. Request 3: Communications between JUV and SCRAM (prior contractor) about the application of continuous alcohol monitors on juveniles since May 1, 2022.

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ▾



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

The following FTEs participate in the implementation of the alcohol monitoring program: - 24 Class 8444/8530 Deputy Probation Officers - 5 Class 8434 Supervising Probation Officers, - 1 Senior Supervising Probation Officer - 1 Director of Probation Services - 1 Chief Probation Officer - 1 Assistant Chief Probation Officer"

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024?

No

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024:

Yes

14.16 Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?

No

14.18 Are there annual Software costs?

No

14.20 Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?

Yes

14.21 List total annual Hardware/ Equipment costs for FY 2023-2024:

Actual costs between July 2023 and September 2023 were \$21,84,46. This includes costs to lease equipment and replace lost or damaged units (for alcohol monitoring and EM combined). Using the monthly average, JUV estimates that its equipment leasing/replacement costs for FY23-24 would be about \$87,400.

14.22 Are there annual Professional Services costs?

No

14.24 Are there annual Training costs?

No

14.26 Are there annual "Other" costs?

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2023-2024?

General Funds

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

14.30 Why have the one-time costs changed?

As mentioned, JUV executed a new equipment lease agreement with a new vendor: BI Incorporated. This updated the total annual costs due to pricing differences.

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

Yes

14.32 Why have the annual costs changed?

As mentioned, JUV executed a new equipment lease agreement with a new vendor: BI Incorporated. This updated the total annual costs due to pricing differences.