



London N. Breed
Mayor

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



DIRECTOR'S REPORT

September 27, 2023

Working at the intersection of health, safety, socioeconomics, politics and gender, the Department on the Status of Women has an expanded portfolio that prioritizes improving the whole lives of women, girls and nonbinary people through three core service areas: Health and Safety, Economic Security and Civic Engagement and Political Empowerment.

With a focus on its three core service areas, the Department seeks to rebuild itself as the City's Watch Dog and Accountability Partner on all issues related to gender parity; Chief Advocate for equity in service delivery, employment opportunities, leadership development and budget allocation; and Master Convener - bringing people together inside and outside the City to collaborate on ideas, strategy and impactful action to help San Francisco transform into a fully gender equitable City.

Reorganized and reimaged to meet this post-Roe moment, today's Department on the Status of Women is one that is human-centered, informed by data and infused with confidence, conviction and clarity of purpose.

The items below reflect the Department's work towards building a more diverse and efficient San Francisco City and County government through gender-responsive and racially equitable policies and programs.

I. **EXECUTIVE**

N/A

II. **ADMINISTRATION & OPERATIONS**

• **Fiscal**

The Department is thrilled to announce its collaboration with Design Media, a long-standing woman-owned business based in San Francisco, in operation since 1978. Together, we will be producing seven informative videos as part of our educational and outreach initiative known as the "Pink Table Talk Series." This initiative serves as a platform for engaging discussions on contemporary social and cultural issues related to the health and safety, economic security, civic engagement and political empowerment of women, girls and nonbinary individuals in San Francisco.

- **Grants Administration**

The Department provided staff with training on grants management accountability. By holding organizations accountable to the grant plans that they have developed, the Department can ensure that clients/participants are appropriately benefiting from City-funded programs.

The Department updated its grantee quarterly narrative report questions to provide more opportunity for grantees to share important progress updates in addition to statistical and demographic data. Questions now focus on project progress, challenges faced, community changes that impact project work, important upcoming dates/events for grantees/projects, lessons learned, support needs, and sustainability.

The Department continues to develop a refined grants management policies, procedures, and preferences document which will improve narrative and financial reporting processes, including invoice processing, with the aim of expediting review and payment while reducing time and effort required of both grantees and DOSW program staff. Other benefits include clarified grants management processes for Department staff.

III. **COMMISSION**

N/A

IV. **COMMUNICATIONS & EXTERNAL AFFAIRS**

N/A

V. **PROGRAMS, POLICY & REPORTING**

- **Strategic Initiatives**

On September 12, the Department joined the Mayor's Office for an introductory meeting with the White House Gender Policy Council, including providing a briefing about the Bay Area Abortion Rights Coalition (BAARC) initiative and its purpose, goals and impact to date. DOSW also flagged the upcoming November 9th Commission presentation by Gender Equity Policy Institute regarding the initial findings of the regional abortion care service delivery assessment with BAARC nine-county stakeholders.

White House staff applauded City leaders for spearheading the first-ever regional collective of municipal and county governments and reproductive health and justice stakeholders committed to working together to reinforce and reimagine the local reproductive healthcare system in the post-Roe era. Per their request, the Department will continue to engage the White House

Gender Policy Council around future activities and outcomes of the BAARC initiative as well as highlight potential partnership opportunities such as the U.S. Conference of Mayor's new bipartisan Task Force on Women's Health and Reproductive Rights.

- **Health & Safety**

The Department focused on Q1 invoicing and data reporting. DOSW continued the rollout of the new data reporting system, Envisio. All grantees received access to the system, as detailed in the Research & Data section below. The Department has concluded, or scheduled, site visits with all CBOs in the Health & Safety Portfolio.

- **Economic Security**

The Department is continuing its work with Indigenous Justice and Center on Juvenile & Criminal Justice's Cameo House to implement a Guaranteed Income Pilot and Micro Grant program to support survivors of violence and justice-impacted women. DOSW anticipates an official launch for these pilots later in Fall 2023.

The Department, along with its partners, is also working with San Francisco's Human Services Agency to request a waiver from California Department of Social Services to protect the public benefits of individuals participating in the guaranteed income pilot program.

- **Civic Engagement & Political Empowerment**

The Department celebrated National Voter Registration Day with an Instagram post highlighting our work towards making and keeping an inclusive, free and fair democracy.

- **SF Bay Area SOL Collaborative**

The SF Bay Area SOL (Safety, Opportunity, and Lifelong Relationships) Collaborative continues to develop a continuum of care for youth involved in or at risk of commercial sexual exploitation.

The Department welcomed new partners to the collaborative - Ruby's Place and Legal Services for Children. Ruby's Place will provide short-term residential therapeutic program placement to the continuum. Legal Services for Children will support the project's emergency placement component, including the street outreach convening in October and youth feedback. Finally, Department staff also met with the California Department of Social

Services Community Care Licensing Division this past month to discuss the emergency placement component and their partnership for the overall success of the pilot.

- **Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women Grant**

The Department continues to coordinate with the Quattrone Center for the Fair Administrative of Justice to build on the important work produced by the Domestic Violent Death Review Team and implement subsequent reviews of fatal incidents of Domestic Violence within the City and County of San Francisco. DOSW continues to support Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence, La Casa de Las Madres and the Victim Services Division of the District Attorney's Office in their efforts to expand support services and coordinate data collection on the City-wide expansion of the High Lethality Assessment Program.

- **Blue Shield Foundation Grant**

The HEALing Roots Collaborative is producing a podcast series that asks the question: what are the social and economic costs of domestic violence to the City and County of San Francisco? Through candid discussions with City departments and local community-based organizations who provide DV survivor facing services, the podcast hopes to highlight the issue of domestic violence in the LGBTQIA+ community, the disparate economic and social effects on survivors of color and other relevant topics.

VI. **RESEARCH & DATA/ PROJECTS, STRATEGIC LEARNING & CAPACITY BUILDING**

- **Research & Data**

This month, the Department has continued to work on rolling out Envisio, our new grantee reporting system. Once implemented, Envisio will help the Department administer quarterly reports it receives from grantees and improve our ability to use the data in these reports. DOSW is currently working with the Envisio team to conduct training sessions for our grantees throughout September and October. DOSW has also recently opened up the Envisio system to our grantee partners. The new reporting system is currently planned to be rolled out during Q1 of Fiscal Year 2023-2024.

The Department is preparing to launch its' survey for the Gender Analysis of San Francisco Commissions and Boards Report. This report is generated by the Department once every two years and examines representation across several groups in the City's advisory and policy bodies; the categories are: women, people of color, LGBTQIA+ individuals, people with disabilities, veterans (or people who have immediate family members that have served) and people of various religious affiliations. This year DOSW is committed to increasing the response rate for our survey, as our 2021 report was limited by low participation due primarily to complications arising from the COVID-19 pandemic. The Department remains committed to producing reports using the best data possible. The survey will be sent out in late September.

VII. **GENERAL UPDATES**

N/A

VIII. **SPECIAL EVENTS, ACTIVITIES & ANNOUNCEMENTS**

N/A

IX. **CALENDAR**

October

LGBTQ+ History Month - Breast Cancer Awareness Month - Domestic Violence Awareness Month - World Menopause Month

Wednesday, October 11

Annual Department Open House

Friday, October 13

International Civility for the Girl Child Day

Wednesday, October 25

Commission Meeting

November

Thursday, November 9

Commission Meeting

Thursday, November 23

Thanksgiving

Friday, November 24

Day After Thanksgiving

December

Thursday, December 7

Tuesday, December 12

Monday, December 25

Tuesday, December 26

First Day of Hanukkah

Commission Meeting

Christmas Day

Kwanza



City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



London N. Breed
Mayor

Date: September 5, 2023

To: Mayor London Breed; Members of the Board of Supervisors; City Attorney David Chiu; Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of the Department of Public Health; Dr. Sheryl Davis, Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission and other interested stakeholders.

Cc: Sean Elsbernd, Chief of Staff to Mayor London Breed; Andrea Bruss, Deputy Chief of Staff to Mayor London Breed; Eileen Mariano, Manager of State and Federal Affairs to Mayor London Breed; Chiamaka Ogwuegbu, Racial Equity Policy Advisor to Mayor London Breed; Julie Wilensky, Deputy City Attorney to City Attorney David Chiu; Rebekah Krell, Director of Policy and Legislative Affairs to City Attorney David Chiu; Kimberly Ellis, Director of the Department on the Status of Women; Dr. Claire Horton, San Francisco Health Network Chief Medical Officer of the Department of Public Health; Angela Yip, Communications and Legislative Analyst to City Administrator Carmen Chu


From: Alex Boskovich, Chief of Staff, Department on the Status of Women

Subject: Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights

The following update memo provides an overview of abortion laws in individual states, as well as local and statewide efforts to protect patients' access to reproductive healthcare. Our goal is to provide monthly updates to keep the Mayor and other key internal stakeholders apprised of developments in this new, ever-changing post-Roe landscape.

I. Current Snapshot of Abortion Access across the Nation

- Abortion is now banned in at least [14 states](#) with the [U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals most recently ruling in favor of reinstating pre-2016 restrictions on the abortion medication mifepristone in response to a Texas lawsuit filed last year by the anti-abortion coalition, the Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine](#), challenging the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) approval of the drug 23 years ago. Although the August 17th decision maintains the FDA's regulatory authority and will be reviewed in the coming months by the Supreme Court before taking effect, its [potential impact, including banning telemedicine prescriptions and the mailing of mifepristone](#), would have nationwide consequences.
- Additionally, both the Indiana and South Carolina Supreme Courts have now upheld some of [the nation's most restrictive abortion laws](#) according to the Guttmacher Institute.



- [Ohioans United for Reproductive Rights](#) have filed a lawsuit against the [Ohio Ballot Board](#) in response to the board's August 24th approval of language summarizing Issue 1, a state constitutional amendment measure that would codify abortion rights if approved by voters in the upcoming November election. The ballot language in question includes the use of the terms "unborn child" rather than "fetus" and "pregnant woman" instead of "pregnant patient". On August 8, [Ohio](#) voters rejected a special election measure sponsored by Republican state lawmakers that would have increased the voter threshold from a simple majority to 60 percent in order to approve a state constitutional amendment. The Ohio Supreme Court has been asked to expedite the case and has yet to respond.

II. State Policy Update

- The California Legislature returned from its summer recess on August 14 and have until September 14 to pass bills. The Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) submitted letters in support of seven reproductive rights bills sponsored by the [California Legislative Women's Caucus](#) and [endorsed by the California Future of Abortion Council](#); below is their current status.

Author	Bill	Description	Status
Alameda/Contra Costa County Assemblymember Rebecca Bauer-Kahan	AB 254	Provides privacy protections for digital data related to patients accessing abortion services in California.	Senate Appropriations Committee
Alameda/Contra Costa County Assemblymember Buffy Wicks	AB 598	Requires school districts to participate in the California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) and include a module on sexual and reproductive health care as one of the core survey modules.	Converted to a two-year bill

Alameda/Contra Costa County Senator and Women's Legislative Caucus Chair Nancy Skinner	SB 36	Strengthens safe haven protections for abortion and gender-affirming care by prohibiting bail agents or bounty hunters from apprehending people in California and protecting access to public benefits.	<i>SB 36 was held in Appropriations Committee and incorporated into SB 345 was placed on suspense file in Assembly Appropriations Committee on August 23rd</i>
	SB 345	Improves protections for providers who provide abortion and gender-affirming care and services.	
Los Angeles County Assemblymember and Assistant Majority Whip Pilar Schiavo	AB 710	Will launch a public information campaign to provide women with accurate information regarding access to abortion care at crisis pregnancy centers.	Held In Suspense
Los Angeles County Assemblymember Wendy Carrillo	AB 1194	Ensures that businesses can't use exemptions under the Consumer Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) to share information about "a consumer accessing, procuring, or searching for services regarding contraception, pregnancy care, and perinatal care, including, but not limited to, abortion services."	Re-referred to Senate Appropriations Committee
San Diego County Assemblymember Dr. Akilah Weber	AB 576	Aligns Medi-Cal coverage of medication abortion with evidence-based clinical guidelines.	Referred to Senate Appropriations Committee Suspense File

III. San Francisco Bay Area Abortion Rights Coalition Update

- On August 15, [Gender Equity Policy Institute](#) (GEPI) finalized the scope of research for a regional abortion care service delivery assessment, which contains three main components to inform a future publicly published report in Spring 2024:
 - i. Reproductive health care regional needs and gaps assessment
 - Sample key question: Does the region include unique assets and resources to support reproductive healthcare delivery to all who need it, both in state and out of state? How are abortion bans in other states affecting providers, patients, and advocates in California?

ii. Socioeconomic and Demographic Profile of Reproductive Age Women in the Nine Counties

- Sample key question: Are there key health concerns or challenges, given the demographic profile of potential patients in the region?

iii. Best Practices and Policies: Reproductive Healthcare Delivery, Wraparound Services, Rights and Privacy Protections

- Sample key question: What can BAARC learn and adapt from global, national, statewide, and local examples about how best to ensure health outcomes for pregnant people and babies, with particular attention to current inequities and disparities in reproductive health and access?

GEPI is now in the second phase (August 16 – December 15, 2023) of its one-year grant with DOSW, during which its research team will conduct interviews and focus groups with reproductive healthcare providers, relevant county and municipal agency staff and leaders, academic experts, and legal scholars. GEPI founder and CEO, Dr. Nancy Cohen, will present an update on research progress and initial findings at the November 9th Commission on the Status of Women meeting in the Arts Commission Room 302.

- Additionally, [ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE](#), which DOSW awarded \$250,000 in emergency capacity building funds last summer shortly after the Dobbs decision, has issued a **new report summarizing FY22-23 Healthline data (Attachment A)**. Most notably, the majority of callers identified as Black, Indigenous, or People of Color, identified as female or a woman, were in their twenties, spoke English, called during their first trimester of pregnancy, reported having no income, and were from California.

A supplemental report (Attachment B) summarizing 2023 caller data to date from the nine Bay Area counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma) shows that the Healthline **connected callers with appointments in San Francisco more than any other Bay Area county, including out-of-state callers from 13 states** (Alaska, Alabama, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nevada, Oklahoma, Texas and Utah). **More than half of callers who were connected to care in San Francisco reported having no monthly income. Funding support for the procedure and transportation were the most requested wraparound resource need.**

ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE is the only statewide abortion fund in California that provides affordable and accessible wraparound support services to all women and people of reproductive age seeking abortion care in San Francisco and beyond. With support from the DOSW emergency capacity building grant, they have been able to increase staff capacity to their largest paid, full-time Healthline team in its nearly 30-year history in order to respond to the doubling of out-of-state callers since the fall of Roe. DOSW will continue to identify and uplift regional abortion care wraparound service resource needs with BAARC initiative stakeholders.



Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Healthline Data

The Numbers

- **1,421** callers supported
- **249** Practical Support Requests made
- **220** requests fulfilled for a total contribution of **\$15,485**
- **\$512,058.64** spent on caller support
- \$231,797.99 in Justice in Action Funding
- \$198,420.06 in State Funding for Practical Support
- \$57,320.45 in LA Safe Haven funding
- \$23,840.14 in LA County Board of Supervisors funding

The Support

- \$245,413.46 spent on **procedural funding**
- \$112,923.73 spent on **lodging**
- \$108,109.38 spent on **transportation**
- \$17,103.82 spent on **gas**
- \$15,092.74 spent on **food/meals**
- \$8,429.20 spent on “**other costs**” like aftercare products, cremation costs, lost wages, and more
- \$4,986.31 spent on **childcare**

The Demographics

- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - 290 callers identity as Hispanic
 - 279 callers identified as white/Caucasian
 - 218 callers identified as Black/African American
 - 142 callers identified as multiple ethnicities or Mixed Race
 - 52 callers identify as “other”, meaning their selection wasn’t in our Salesforce pick-list. Some selections included Mexican, Filipino, Indian, Brazilian, Korean, and more
 - 44 callers identified as Asian



- 32 callers identified as Latinx
- 10 callers identified as Native American
- 9 callers identified as Middle Eastern
- 7 callers identified as Pacific Islander
- 2 callers identified as South Asian
- *336 callers don't have race/ethnicity data, either because they declined to state, a clinic or fund referred them and the clinic/fund didn't have the info, or the caller wasn't asked the question*

- **Sex/Gender**
 - 1094 callers identified as female or woman
 - 4 callers identified as non-binary
 - 1 caller identified as female & nonbinary
 - *322 callers don't have sex/gender data either because they declined to state, a clinic or fund referred them and the clinic/fund didn't have the info, or the caller wasn't asked the question*

- **Age**
 - 28 callers were under 18 years old
 - 69 callers were 18 or 19 years old
 - 591 callers were in their 20s
 - 365 callers were in their 30s
 - 50 callers were in their 40s
 - 1 caller was in their 50s
 - *317 callers don't have age data*

- **Language**
 - 1240 callers reported English as their preferred language
 - 31 reported Spanish
 - 21 reported English and Spanish



- 8 reported English and another language (such as Arabic, French, Tagalog)
- 5 reported “other” languages, (a language not indicated on our pick-list) such as Chinese, Kinyarwanda, Pashto, and more
- 2 reported Korean
- 1 reported Cantonese
- 1 reported Japanese
- *113 callers don't have Language data*

- **Weeks Along At First Contact (EGA)**
 - 776 callers were between 2.0 and 12.6 weeks
 - 238 callers were between 13.0 and 23.6 weeks
 - 206 callers were between 24.0 weeks and further along
 - *192 callers don't have EGA data*

- **Monthly Income**
 - 484 callers reported having no income
 - 102 callers reported earning between \$1 - \$1,000 monthly
 - 195 callers reported earning between \$1,001 - \$2,000 monthly
 - 109 callers reported earning between \$2,001 - \$3,000 monthly
 - 48 callers reported earning between \$3,001 - \$4,000 monthly
 - 21 callers reported earning between \$4,001 - \$5,000 monthly
 - 4 callers reported earning more than \$5,001 monthly
 - *465 callers don't have Monthly Income data*

- **Dependents**
 - 522 callers reported having no dependents
 - 215 callers reported having 1 dependent
 - 164 callers reported having 2 dependents
 - 95 callers reported having 3 dependents
 - 35 callers reported having 4 dependents
 - 9 callers reported having 5 dependents
 - 4 callers reported having 6 dependents



- 1 caller reported having 7 dependents
- *376 callers don't have Dependents data*

- **Health Insurance**

- 427 callers were not insured
- 262 callers were insured by Medi-Cal (including PE4PW, Family Pact, and Minor Consent)
- 203 callers were insured by “other” health plans (those not listed in our pick-list) such as United, Cigna, Aetna, Covered CA, and many more
- 145 callers were insured by Anthem Blue Cross/Blue Shield
- 68 callers were insured by Medicaid
- 65 callers were insured by Kaiser
- 25 callers were insured by TriCare
- 3 callers were insured by Anthem Blue Cross and Kaiser
- 1 caller was insured by Medicare
- *222 callers don't have Health Insurance data*

- **Location**

- 977 callers reported living in California
- 405 callers reported calling from outside of California
- *39 callers don't have State data*
- The top 5 CA counties that our callers are from:
 - Los Angeles County - 239
 - San Diego County - 65
 - San Bernardino County - 57
 - Riverside County - 56
 - Orange County - 39
- The 405 callers calling from outside of CA called from **29 states** and **2 countries**:
 - 202 TX
 - 100 AZ
 - 23 NV



- 18 LA
- 6 FL
- 5 MS
- 5 AL
- 4 UT
- 4 GA
- 4 ID
- 3 CO
- 3 HI
- 3 NY
- 3 OK
- 3 TN
- 2 AR
- 2 AK
- 2 KS
- 1 KY
- 1 MD
- 1 MI
- 1 MO
- 1 NJ
- 1 NM
- 1 OH
- 1 VA
- 1 WA
- 1 WI
- 1 Costa Rica
- 1 Mexico

The Summary:

In Fiscal Year 2022 - 2023, a **majority** of our callers for whom we have data identified as Black, Indigenous, or People of Color, identified as female or a



woman, were in their twenties, spoke English, called us in their first trimester of pregnancy, reported having no income, and were from California.

In Fiscal Year 2022 - 2023, a **plurality** of callers for whom we have data were insured by Medi-Cal.

In Fiscal Year 2022 - 2023, **half** of callers for whom we have dependents data reported having dependents and half did not; neither a majority nor a plurality.



San Francisco Department on the Status of Women and Girls Gender Responsive Reports and Data [BAARC-specific, disaggregated data]

Updated August 2023

ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE's Healthline supports anyone with a reproductive or sexual health care appointment anywhere in the State of California, or any Californian with an appointment outside of the state. The following data, however, is pulled from cases with appointments in Bay Area Abortion Rights Coalition (BAARC) counties (listed below).

- [San Francisco County](#)
- [Alameda County](#)
- [Contra Costa County](#)
- [Marin County](#)
- [Napa County](#)
- [San Mateo County](#)
- [Santa Clara County](#)
- [Solano County](#)
- [Sonoma County](#)

San Francisco County 2023 YTD

(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 66 callers with appointments in San Francisco County.

- **Location**
 - 35 reported being from from CA
 - 31 from 13 other states
 - AK, AL, CO, FL, GA, HI, KY, LA, MS, NV, OK, TX, UT
- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - 22 individuals identified as white/Caucasian



- 14 as Black/African American
- 10 as Hispanic or Latinx
- 4 as more than one race or as Mixed/Multi-racial
- 3 as Asian
- 2 as Pacific Islander
- 2 as Middle Eastern
- 9 *don't have race/ethnicity data*
- **Monthly Income**
 - 35 individuals reported having no monthly income
 - 7 reported earning \$1000 or under
 - 4 reported earning between \$1001-\$2000
 - 3 reported earning between \$2001 and \$3000
 - 1 reported earning between \$3001 and \$4000
 - 1 reported earning above \$4001
 - 14 *don't have income data*
- **Dependents**
 - 31 reported having 0 dependents
 - 10 reported having 1 dependent
 - 11 reported having 2 dependents
 - 2 reported having 4 dependents
 - 1 reported having 6 dependents
 - 11 *don't have dependents data*
- **Health Insurance**
 - 18 individuals were insured by Medi-Cal
 - 14 "other" (such as Signa, Aetna, etc)
 - 8 Anthem Blue Cross
 - 14 uninsured
 - 8 Medicaid
 - 2 TriCare
 - 2 *don't have health insurance data*
- **Support Needs**
 - 11 individuals requested support with procedural funding alone
 - 10 with transportation alone (i.e. flights, ground transportation, rideshare, etc.)
 - 4 with lodging alone



- 3 with gas money alone
- 1 with food/meals alone
- 2 requested procedural funding support for non-abortion sexual or reproductive healthcare services
- 15 individuals requested both procedural funding and some form of practical support (i.e. transportation, gas money, lodging, food, etc.)
- 1 individual requested support with child care costs in addition to other practical support needs

Alameda County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has not supported anyone with an appointment in Alameda County.

Contra Costa County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 20 callers with appointments in Contra Costa County.

- **Location**
 - 17 from CA
 - 3 from 2 other states
 - ID, TX
- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - 3 individuals identified as white/Caucasian
 - 3 as Black/African American



- 2 as Hispanic or Latinx
- 2 as more than one race or as Mixed/Multi-racial
- 2 as Asian
- 1 as “other” (i.e. caller’s Race or Ethnicity not listed on intake questionnaire)
- *7 don't have race/ethnicity data*
- **Monthly Income**
 - 7 individuals reported having no monthly income
 - 0 reported earning \$1000 or under
 - 3 reported earning between \$1001-\$2000
 - *10 don't have income data*
- **Dependents**
 - 7 reported having 0 dependents
 - 2 reported having 1 dependent
 - 1 reported having 4 dependents
 - *10 don't have dependents data*
- **Health Insurance**
 - 3 individuals were insured by Medi-Cal
 - 5 “other” (such as Signa, Aetna, etc)
 - 2 Anthem Blue Cross/Blue Shield
 - 2 Kaiser
 - 8 uninsured
- **Support Needs**
 - 11 individuals requested support with procedural funding alone
 - 6 with transportation alone (i.e. flights, ground transportation, rideshare, etc.)
 - 1 requested procedural funding support for non-abortion sexual or reproductive healthcare services
 - 2 individuals requested both procedural funding and some form of practical support (i.e. lodging, childcare costs, doula/emotional support, etc.)



Marin County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 2 callers with appointments in Marin County.

- **Location**
 - 1 from CA
 - 1 from 1 other state
 - MS
- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - 1 individual identified as Hispanic or Latinx
 - 1 as more than one race or as Mixed/Multi-racial
- **Monthly Income**
 - 1 individual reported earning \$1000 or under
 - 1 reported earning between \$2001 and \$3000
- **Dependents**
 - 1 reported having 0 dependents
 - 1 reported having 2 dependents
- **Health Insurance**
 - 1 individual was insured by Medi-Cal
 - 1 Anthem Blue Cross
- **Support Needs**
 - Both individuals requested support with transportation alone

Napa County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 2 callers with appointments in Napa County.



- **Location**
 - Both individuals were from CA
 - **Race/Ethnicity**
 - Both individuals identified as Hispanic or Latinx
 - **Monthly Income**
 - *No income data to report*
 - **Dependents**
 - *No dependents data to report*
 - **Health Insurance**
 - Both individuals reported being “Uninsured”
 - **Support Needs**
 - Both individuals requested support with procedural funding alone
-

San Mateo County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 1 caller with appointments in San Mateo County.

- **Location**
 - The individual reported being from CA
- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - The individual identified as Hispanic or Latinx
- **Monthly Income**
 - The individuals reported earning between \$2001 and \$3000
- **Dependents**
 - The individual reported having 0 dependents
- **Health Insurance**
 - The individual reported being “Uninsured”
- **Support Needs**
 - The individual requested support with procedural funding alone



Santa Clara County 2023 YTD
(1/1/23 - 8/31/23)

To date, ACCESS has supported 1 caller with an appointment in Santa Clara County.

- **Location**
 - The individual reported being from CA
- **Race/Ethnicity**
 - The individual identified as African American/Black
- **Monthly Income**
 - The individual reported having no monthly income
- **Dependents**
 - The individual reported having 0 dependents
- **Health Insurance**
 - The individual was insured by Kaiser
- **Support Needs**
 - The individual requested support with procedural funding alone

Solano County 2023 YTD
(1/1/2023 - 8/31/2023)

To date, ACCESS has supported 6 callers with appointments in Solano County.

- **Location**
 - All 6 individuals reported being from CA
- **Race/Ethnicity**



- 1 individual identified as Black/African American
 - 1 as Hispanic or Latinx
 - 1 as more than one race or as Mixed/Multi-racial
 - 1 as “Other”
 - *2 don't have race/ethnicity data*
 - **Monthly Income**
 - 2 individuals reported having no monthly income
 - 1 reported earning between \$1001-\$2000
 - 1 reported earning between \$3001 and \$4000
 - *2 don't have income data*
 - **Dependents**
 - 2 reported having 0 dependents
 - 2 reported having 2 dependents
 - *2 don't have dependents data*
 - **Health Insurance**
 - 4 individuals were insured by “Other” (such as Signa, Aetna, etc)
 - 2 uninsured
 - **Support Needs**
 - 4 individuals requested support with procedural funding alone
 - 1 requested procedural funding support for non-abortion sexual or reproductive healthcare services
 - 1 requested support with transportation and a clinic escort
-

Sonoma County 2023 YTD

(1/1/23 - 8/31/23)

To date, ACCESS has supported 4 callers with appointments in Sonoma County.

- **Location**
 - All 4 individuals reported being from CA
- **Race/Ethnicity**



- 2 individuals identified as white/Caucasian
- *2 don't have race/ethnicity data*
- **Monthly Income**
 - *No income data to report*
- **Dependents**
 - *No dependents data to report*
- **Health Insurance**
 - 1 individual was insured by Anthem Blue Cross/Blue Shield
 - 3 uninsured
- **Support Needs**
 - All 4 individuals requested support with procedural funding alone