



## Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee

San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development  
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing  
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure  
Controller's Office of Public Finance

2976 23rd Street

\$2,130,000 Permanent Financing Request

Evaluation of Request for:	Permanent Financing
Loan Committee Date:	August 4, 2023
Prepared By:	Amanda Fukutome-Lopez, Project Manager
Sources and Amounts of New Funds Recommended:	\$1,480,000 in additional SSP Funds \$650,000 2016 GO Bond (PASS Series 2020C)
Total Funds Recommended	\$2,130,000
NOFA/PROGRAM/RFP:	Small Sites Program/PASS
Applicant/Sponsor(s) Name:	San Francisco Community Land Trust

---

Applicant: San Francisco Community Land Trust  
Project Name and Address: 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

<b>Date:</b>	August 4, 2023
<b>From:</b>	Amanda Fukutome-Lopez, Project Manager
<b>NOFA/Program:</b>	2019 Acquisition and Rehabilitation Financing for Small Sites Program Properties
<b>Applicant:</b>	San Francisco Community Land Trust/ SFCLT 23 <sup>rd</sup> St. Holdings LLC
<b>Co-Applicant:</b>	N/A
<b>Project Name (if any):</b>	Merry Go Round House (MGR)
<b>Project Address (with cross street):</b>	2976 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street (between Harrison St and Alabama St)
<b>Number of Units with Unit Mix:</b>	14 SRO units (14 bedrooms)
<b>Supervisor and District</b>	District 9/Supervisor Hillary Ronen
<b>Amount of SSP Funds Recommended:</b>	\$1,480,000 in additional SSP funds
<b>Amount of PASS ("Prop C") Funds Recommended:</b>	\$650,000
<b>Source of Funds Recommended:</b>	SSP: Affordable Housing Funds and 2019 GO Bond PASS: 2016 GO Bond (Series 2020C)
<b>Type of Financing:</b>	Permanent Financing

### 1. SUMMARY/BRIEF PROJECT UPDATE

San Francisco Community Land Trust ("SFCLT," the "Sponsor," or "SFCLT 23<sup>rd</sup> St. Holdings LLC") requests \$650,000 in Preservation and Seismic Safety ("PASS") Program funding and \$1,480,000 in additional Small Sites Program ("SSP") funding, for a cumulative total of \$2,950,000 in SSP funding, from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD") for the permanent refinancing and rehabilitation of the property located at 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street in the Mission neighborhood of San Francisco (the "Project," "Merry-Go-Round House," or "MGR"). This is one of the earliest Small Sites properties, and it is one of five SFCLT Small Sites that are being refinanced and re-underwritten by MOHCD to take out an existing high-cost first position loan and support the long-term sustainability of the Project, along with SFCLT's SSP portfolio.

---

Applicant: San Francisco Community Land Trust  
 Project Name and Address: 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street



	<b>Year</b>	<b>Payoff Amount</b>	<b>Interest Rate</b>	<b>Term</b>	<b>New Permanent Refinancing</b>
<b>SVB Loan</b>	2014	\$1,214,281	5.62%	10 Years (30 Year Amort)	-
<b>New PASS Loan</b>	2023	-	3.87%	40 Years	<b>\$650,000</b>
Existing SSP Funds	2017	\$1,470,000	3%	40 Years	\$1,470,000
Additional SSP Funds	2023	-	3%	40 Years	\$1,480,000
<b>Total SSP Funding</b>	2023	-	3%	40 Years	<b>\$2,950,000*</b> \$210,000/bedroom
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,214,281</b>	-	-	<b>\$3,600,000</b>

\*SFCLT is requesting \$210,000 per unit in SSP funding. Maximum SSP funding is \$275,000 per unit per SSP Guidelines.

### **Background**

The existing two-story residential building is situated in the Mission District and consists of 14 single-room-occupancy (“SRO”) units. The building operates similarly to group housing with 14 bedrooms, four bathrooms, and two kitchens. Residents are assigned daily tasks to handle day to day light cleaning and one resident is intended to serve as a resident liaison.

The Project originally consisted of two adjacent duplex units that were combined into one large property in the 1980’s to allow for the building’s use as an international hostel. As more local residents began moving in and staying long-term, the owner applied for conditional use as rent-controlled “group housing,” which was approved in 1992. The Conditional Use (CU) approved up to 23 people to reside at the property, plus the owner and the owner’s family. When SFCLT acquired the Project in 2014, the property housed 15 low-income persons in 14 bedrooms, and SFCLT plans to continue limiting occupancy to 14 beds with no more than 23 total occupants. This ensures the project stays within the approvals of the CU. Since acquisition, SFCLT has operated the Project as a “leasehold housing cooperative” in which the SFCLT owns the land and the residents have entered into a Master Lease for property operations. MGR's self-management operates in the form of committee participation, which is mandatory, and consists of the maintenance committee, vacancy-filling committee, treasury committee, and executive committee. SFCLT collaborates with the committees to provide general oversight and support discussions to optimally self-manage.

---

Applicant: San Francisco Community Land Trust  
 Project Name and Address: 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street



In December 2013, the residents of Merry-Go-Round House approached SFCLT about purchasing the property when their landlord put the building up for sale. The sellers had already received interest from an investor who wanted to change the use of the property through an Ellis Act Eviction, and the sellers were amendable to the residents, who feared eviction.

The property was acquired by SFCLT in May 2014 with financing from Boston Private Bank (loans currently held by Silicon Valley Bank) and a seller carryback loan. SFCLT's first SSP application for MGR was submitted in 2015. Due to limits on funding availability and the need to fund new acquisitions with eviction pressures, the application was postponed and resubmitted in 2017. At that time the Project received \$1,470,000 (\$105,000/bedroom) in SSP funds to repay the seller carryback loan plus accrued interest, soft costs, and renovations.

## 2. PRINCIPAL DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

### 1. Higher than Average Vacancy Rates and Related Losses.

#### a. DAHLIA Requirements

Household residents expressed concern over MOHCD's marketing and tenant selection process through DAHLIA, as it did not fit well with the nontraditional group housing community. MOHCD's Marketing and Asset Management team met with SFCLT monthly to monitor the Project lease up more closely, to address MGR's lease up challenges, and have agreed to a First Come First Served marketing strategy, allowing MGR to be exempt from DAHLIA lease up requirements. Since switching to First Come First Served lease up, the Sponsor is better able to target those who align with MGR's shared community living culture. The Project will no longer be required to revert to DAHLIA, once fully leased, and will continue to use the First Come First Served marketing strategy going forward. As of MOHCD and SFCLT's last marketing and lease up meeting in July 2023, the Project has 10 occupied units and 4 vacancies, averaging 1 move-in per month since April 2023. MOHCD expects the Project to be fully occupied by December 2023. As a condition of this loan, SFCLT agrees to continue monthly meetings with MOHCD to discuss marketing progress for a year after closing. For loan conditions, see **Section 9.3**.

#### b. Inter-Tenant Disputes

Inter-tenant disputes between two household members have been a source of friction at the Project, which, along with COVID-19 pandemic-related challenges, made it much more difficult to lease up in a timely manner. SFCLT requested a



permanent relocation of one of the disputing households to a vacant SSP unit within SFCLT's portfolio, which MOHCD's Asset Management has approved. The permanent relocation has helped resolve the inter-tenant disputes, which in turn allows for a more streamlined lease up and approval process by the household's lease up committee.

MGR is self-managed and collaborates with SFCLT's various committees to provide general oversight for property management. However, if MGR's self-management becomes inefficient, a clause in tenant leases reserve's SFCLT's right to remove the self-management provision and engage a property management company to manage the property. The lease states, "If Owner informs Resident that property management is not being performed and if Resident is unable to resolve the issue within 15 days, Owner may hire a third-party professional property management company and the monthly Rent paid by Resident shall increase by \$100 per month after a written 30-day notice of Rent increase."

c. Rent Reduction for Vacant Units

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, market rents for SROs/group housing have decreased significantly. To address these challenges, MOHCD has approved a reduction of rents for vacant units to \$800-\$950/month (44% -52% AMI) based on SSP Guidelines requiring rents to be 20% below market.

**2. Rehabilitation Scope of Work**

At the time of purchase, the building was in fair condition. When the Project was refinanced with MOHCD SSP funding in 2017, a CNA identified a rehabilitation scope that addressed immediate needs not covered at initial acquisition in 2014. While the Project received the funds for rehabilitation in 2017, the rehabilitation did not start until 2020. The original construction manager for MGR made no headway on getting the rehabilitation off the ground between late 2017 and early 2019, leading to SFCLT hiring a new construction manager in 2019 to expedite the rehabilitation. However, there were additional delays to the Project because the architect was unfamiliar with the MOHCD process. And, after the General Contractor was chosen, COVID delayed the start date. By the time the Project started rehabilitation in 2020, cost escalations forced SFCLT to slim down their scope and defer work. And, over the past few years, additional needs that were not identified in the 2017 CNA have emerged, including water damage.

The Project will address immediate needs after the close of this refinancing, including improvements to the exterior, common spaces, and basement unit. The improvements



are also anticipated to increase marketability. To ensure that rehabilitation work is addressed as soon as possible, SFCLT will be required to attend monthly construction update meetings with MOHCD Staff. For more on the proposed rehabilitation scope, please see **Section 6.5** and for more on loan conditions, please see **Section 9.3**.

In addition to the above mitigation strategies, MOHCD is proposing the following loan terms and conditions, in order to ensure the long-term stability of the Project, along with SFCLT's SSP portfolio. Comprehensive loan conditions can be found in **Section 9.3**.

- A new PASS loan of \$650,000 to take out an existing high-cost first position loan
- An increase in SSP subsidy of \$1,480,000, such that the amended SSP loan would total \$2,950,000, or \$210,000 per unit
- Replenishment of Replacement and Operating Reserves, along with funding of additional rehabilitation needs not addressed at initial acquisition
- Increase of vacancy loss rate from 10% to 15%
- Increase of debt-service-coverage-ratio (DSCR) from 1.15 to 1.2

### **3. BORROWER/GRANTEE PROFILE**

San Francisco Community Land Trust (SFCLT) is a nonprofit organization whose mission is to create permanently affordable housing for low to moderate-income people through community ownership of land. Guided by the principles of anti-displacement and racial justice, SFCLT aspires to participate in stabilizing neighborhoods and creating greater access to housing and home ownership opportunities with a focus on BIPOC communities previously excluded from access to wealth, and, in particular access to home ownership.

#### Experience of Key Staff

Saki Bailey (JD & PhD), Executive Director, has a decade of experience in nonprofit management and program development roles, as well as in teaching and training roles both in the academic and non-profit sectors with a focus on the legal regulation around Community Land Trusts, Co-op formation, and incorporation. Saki is an attorney and real estate developer and worked at Bay Area Community Land Trust prior to joining SFCLT and developed the first Small Sites program project in Berkeley, at the Stuart Street Apartments.

Samir Habash, Director of Finance, Asset Management, is an experienced Asset Manager and Analyst who previously worked for Ballast Investments where he managed 3,200 units of multi-family housing. A Bay Area native, Samir graduated from Saint Mary's College of California with a Major in Economics and Minor in Politics.



Kristen Nation, Director of Stewardship, Asset Management, joined the SFCLT in 2021. She pivoted into the non-profit sector working as a case manager for Conard House, a supportive housing non-profit located in the Tenderloin. Kristen has received her Asset Management Specialist Certification from the Consortium of Housing and Asset Management.

Junli Dai, Assistant Asset Manager, works intensively with the Columbus United Cooperative, an LEHC founded in 2009. In 2001, she graduated with a bachelor's degree majoring in Economics and International Trade. She is bilingual in Mandarin and English, has over 11 years of customer service and property management experience in the housing sector, and also has experience in finance. Junli joined SFCLT in 2013. Through these experiences, she has seen low-income people of color transform their lives and their next generation through affordable housing. As a first-generation immigrant, Junli is passionate about assisting low-income people of color in gaining access to homeownership opportunities.

Emily Silagon, Director of Construction Services, is a Bay Area transplant of 12 years and a licensed architect by schooling (and seven exams), a construction project manager by profession, and a strategist by nature. Since Emily was 7 years old, she has wanted to pursue design and construction and has been passionately learning and growing in that direction ever since. Emily graduated from California College of the Arts in San Francisco and worked for several years in an architectural firm prior to transitioning into the construction management realm. As both an architect and construction manager she has sought work with a social impact, and brings that empathy and enthusiasm to SFCLT, along with her unique design and construction knowledge. Emily also brings two years of experience in establishing operational standards and building infrastructure for the construction management department at Mosser Companies, where her focus was preparing the company platform for sustainable growth. This balance of industry knowledge and operational prowess will be leveraged to elevate the Construction Management department within SFCLT.

### **3.1 Asset Management Performance & Capacity**

SFCLT's first acquisition in 2007 was 53 Columbus Avenue, a building of 21 residential units and 1 commercial ground floor unit. The 53 Columbus project was stabilized by SFCLT in 2011 and has been consistently cash flow positive for the last ten years.

Since the completion of eight Small Site acquisitions from 2015-2017, SFCLT has been working on stabilizing the buildings. Major renovations focused on health and safety concerns; seismic retrofits have been done to all buildings. The renovation program will be completed for all buildings acquired under SSP this year. SFCLT's major focus has been to lease up all vacant units. Its Asset Management Team has worked with MOHCD to streamline the process and procedures for the lease-up of SSP properties.



In late 2022, SFCLT acquired 2 buildings – the 42-unit 285 Turk building in the Tenderloin, and the 4-unit building 1130 Filbert in Russian Hill.

Additionally, three years ago, SFCLT moved to using an auditing firm with experience with SSP and MOHCD financing. The Annual Monitoring Reports from SSP properties have been delivered on time.

#### SFCLT Asset Management Goals:

- Increase revenue consistent with SFCLT affordable housing mission.
- Reduce operating costs and expenses including debt service.
- Ensure long-term capital needs of the property are addressed through an up-to-date Capital Needs Plan.
- Ensure there is sufficient capacity available to the organization to scale and operate an expanded portfolio.

#### Asset Management Plan:

- Build on the successful experience of the asset management of 12 buildings over the last 5 years.
- Hired Samir Habash as a full-time Asset Manager to focus on the Small Sites portfolio.
- Develop and implement a recapitalization plan for the portfolio to reduce debt service and release equity from the buildings. This work has started and one building was refinanced in 2022 with another five buildings (including 2936 23rd Street) in the process of refinancing which is expected to be complete in Q3 2023.
- Leverage the experience of Board members in tenant engagement and communication.
- Work with MOHCD to improve the process and reduce lease-up times. Implement waitlists for all Small Site buildings.
- Ensure annual rent increases for Small Sites are implemented at a rate of between 2% to 3.5%.
- Implement the recommendations of each building's Capital Needs Assessment study. Ensure every seven years a new study is undertaken.
- Look at opportunities to bring in new revenue by adding or expanding units through an ADU program.

### **3.2 Development Experience**

SFCLT has successfully completed the rehab of 70 units in 10 buildings acquired with the assistance of MOHCD since 2016.





### 3.3 Selection Process

#### **Small Sites Program Funding**

A Notice of Funding Availability (“NOFA”) was published on July 24, 2014 to provide acquisition and rehabilitation financing for multi-family rental buildings of 5 to 25 units. The NOFA established a fund to help stabilize buildings occupied by low- to moderate-income tenants throughout San Francisco that are particularly susceptible to market pressure resulting in property sales, evictions, and rising tenant rents. Applications for the NOFA are being accepted on a rolling basis until funds are exhausted.

Revised guidelines for the SSP program were published in early November 2022. A new NOFA for the SSP Program is forthcoming in 2023. While the SSP scoring rubric was not in use when the Project was acquired, the Project would have received an estimated score of 92, if the rubric was used at acquisition, meeting the minimum threshold of 70 points, and indicating that it meets most of the core goals of the SSP Program, including community stabilization and housing affordability goals.

SSP Scoring Rubric (Baseline Score): 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

Category	Points
Housing Affordability	35/35
Community Stabilization	35/35
Geographic Equity (D3)	22/30
<b>Total</b>	<b>92/100</b>

#### **Preservation and Seismic Safety Program (PASS)**

The Preservation and Seismic Safety Program (PASS) provides low-cost and long-term financing to fund seismic retrofits, as well as the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of affordable multi-family housing. The Program was created to complement the City’s anti-displacement and preservation strategy, including the Small Sites Program. PASS was initially funded by repurposing \$261 million in underutilized bond authority funds from the 1992 Seismic Safety Loan Program. \$72 million was funded in the first issuance of the PASS program in February 2019. The second issuance of \$103 million closed in December 2020. The Project is considered an eligible property under Section 2.1 of the PASS Program Regulations, and the Sponsor is considered an eligible borrower under Section 2.2 of the PASS Program Regulations.



#### 4. SITE

##### 4.1 **Brief Site Description.**

Located in the Mission neighborhood between Harrison and Alabama Streets, Merry-Go-Round House is a two-story wood, steel, and masonry-framed building. The building has 14 bedrooms, two shared kitchens, and four shared bathrooms. The lot is approximately .12 acres in area, and the building has a total of 4,035 square feet.

##### 4.2 **Site Characteristics.**

1. Address, Lot/Block: 2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, 035/4148
2. Lot Square footage: 2,752 sf
3. Building age: Built in 1900 (123 years old)
4. Number of buildings: 1
5. Number of floors: 2
6. Building typology: Flats & Duplex
7. Unusual characteristics (including surrounding uses): N/A
8. Recently completed rehab work: See **Section 6.5**

##### 4.3 **Environmental Issues/Site Suitability.**

Phase I/II Site Assessment Status and Results:

Applicable assessment reports were provided as part of initial acquisition financing. A Phase I report Environmental Site Assessment dated April 24, 2014, was completed by Partner Engineering and Science, Inc, which found no environmental issues. No new assessment was requested as part of this refinancing activity, and staff and Sponsors are not aware of any new related areas of potential environmental concern.

Potential/Known Hazards:

A HazMat Survey was commissioned in 2020 at the time of the bidding process for rehabilitation work. The survey was completed on September 11, 2020 by ACC Environmental Consultants. The survey found no asbestos but did find lead containing paint/coatings. Lead paint/coatings were abated as a part of the rehabilitation scope in 2020 through a change order, which addressed abatement of the front façade siding and trim work. However, some lead paint/coatings remains onsite. All rehabilitation activity associated with this re-finance activity is considered minor in nature and expected to utilize all required means and methods, as required by the Department of Public Health and Department of Building Inspection, to reduce exposure to hazards such as lead



paint/coatings. If any lead paint/coatings remain after completion of the new rehabilitation scope, an O&M Plan will be required as a loan condition.

## 5. ENTITLEMENTS

### 5.1 Zoning

The property is zoned RH-3 Residential and allows for 'congregate residence twenty beds.' The project's rehabilitation will not alter the use, density, height, zoning, or bulk of the property.

A Notice of Special Restriction ("NSR") was recorded on November 9, 1992 that provides conditions associated with a Conditional Use Application that include, "the use of the subject property as a group housing facility shall be limited to 20 beds in nine bedrooms and quarter for the owner/manager." The property currently includes 14 beds in 14 bedrooms, which the San Francisco Planning Zoning Administrator has concluded is in compliance with above-referenced NSR, based on the group housing Conditional Use requirement of 275 sf of area for each bedroom (the property gross sf is 4,000 sf, which permits 14.5 bedrooms).

### 5.2 Local/Federal Environmental Review

Staff expects the project to fall within the Categorical Exemption 1 (Existing Facilities) under CEQA. SFCLT will be required to obtain a formal Planning Department determination if, and when the project requires Planning Department approval of permits, including for facade repair.

### 5.3 Article 34 Authority.

SFCLT applied for Article 34 Authority in 2017, but MOHCD determined at that time that "2976 23<sup>rd</sup> Street is not subject to the requirements of Article 34, because the provisions of Sections 37001(f) and 50079.5 of the California Health and Safety Code are applicable to the proposed development activities. Per 37001(f), the term "low-rent housing project," as defined in Section 1 of Article 34 does not apply to the proposed development because it consists only of the rehabilitation and improvement of a project that is currently occupied by low-income persons, as defined in Section 50079.5."



## 6. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

### 6.1 Site Control.

Purchase Price: \$1,700,000

Status of Purchase & Sale Contract: Executed

P & S Contingencies: N/A

Hard Closing Date and other deadlines: May 30, 2014

### 6.2 Appraisal.

The appraisal for the property was conducted at acquisition. Because the Project is currently funded by MOHCD, no new appraisal was required as part of this refinance. The Project was last appraised for the purchase price of \$1,700,000 pursuant to an appraisal prepared by Frazier Capital Valuation dated April 23, 2014.

### 6.3 Title Issues.

No title issues were identified.

### 6.4 Proposed Property Ownership Structure.

23<sup>rd</sup> St. Holdings LLC owns fee title to the land and the improvements of the subject property. SFCLT is the sole member and manager of SFCLT 23<sup>rd</sup> St. Holdings LLC.

### 6.5 Completed and Proposed Rehab Scope.

At the time of purchase, the building was in fair condition. The Project completed a CNA in 2017, which identified the Project's immediate capital needs and needs over the next 20 years. The building underwent rehabilitation in 2020, which addressed various rehabilitation needs in both the West Side and East Side of the Project, including:

1. Exterior: Replaced wood deck, entry gates and access system, roof, and front entry stairs; repaired and repainted the building exterior; and repaired exterior fence.
2. Interior: Resurfaced wood floors, remodeled select bathrooms and East Side kitchen and repaired interior walls.
3. Electrical/Mechanical: Upgraded electrical panel and replaced wall heaters.



4. Fire Suppression System: Installed fire sprinklers.

A new capital needs assessment (CNA) was completed in 2023 and identified immediate needs that weren't addressed in 2020 due to funding constraints as well as new needs, including:

1. Exterior: Repair exterior surface wood rot and repaint, repair exterior wainscotting; and abate lead containing surfaces.
2. Interior Common Space:/Structural: Repair and refurbish non-operational West Side bathroom, which has been impacted by water damage, remodel West Side kitchen, resurface floors, and repair and repaint interior surfaces.
3. Interior Units: For basement unit, replace flooring, remodel bathroom, and repair and repaint interior surfaces.

**6.6 Proposed Unit Mix & Affordability**

The average household AMI at the Project is 45%. The average rent AMI of the Project is 50%. There are 4 vacancies, and all households have provided income certifications. The Project qualifies for the SSP program with at least 66% of the Project (ie. three of four households) earning an average income at or below 80% AMI. Because this is group housing, the Project is exempt from the requirement that rents average to as close to 80% AMI as possible over the life of the Project.

**6.7 Marketing & Occupancy Preferences.**

Given the unique nature of the property as a group housing (akin to communal or co-living), SFCLT was previously granted approval to separately market the property outside of the City's affordable housing lottery (e.g., DAHLIA portal) and utilize alternate means of marketing and tenant selection such as the SFCLT website and online advertising. SFCLT maintains a waitlist from inquiries sourced through online and in-person marketing, and units are accessed through a First Come, First Served system. MOHCD will not require MGR to return to DAHLIA after the Project is fully leased up.



## 7. FINANCING PLAN

### 7.1 Sources and Uses. (attached)

Sources	Interest Rate	Amount
- PASS market rate	3.87289%	\$395,850
- PASS below market rate	0.95763%	\$218,400
- PASS deferred	0.95763%	\$35,750
<b>Total PASS Funding (2016 GO Bond Series 2020C)</b>	<b>2.47201%</b>	<b>\$650,000</b>
- Existing SSP- AHF	3%	\$1,470,000
- Additional SSP- AHF and 2019 GO Bond	3%	\$1,480,000
<b>Total SSP Funding</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>\$2,950,000</b>

1. Term: 40 years
2. DSCR: 1.2 throughout the first 20 years of the Project.
3. SSP Repayment: Residual receipts
4. PASS Repayment: The market-rate and below-market rate loans require monthly payments and are fully amortized over the term; the deferred loan requires a balloon payment at maturity.
5. SSP Priority: Subordinate to senior financing and the City's Declaration of Restrictions
6. PASS Priority: Senior, in first position, but will subordinate to the City's Declaration of Restrictions

### 7.2 Development Budget

1. Sufficiency of Reserves

Replacement Reserves: Capitalized replacement reserves in the amount of \$220,631 are requested to meet the SSP Guidelines that require the higher of \$2,000 per unit or the amount necessary to pay replacement costs for the next 20 years, as specified in an approved CNA. Replacement reserves were



funded in 2017, but they were only funded to pay costs for the next 10 years, in line with SSP Guidelines in place at that time. However, since MOHCD introduced the PASS product in 2019, SSP Guidelines have been modified to require replacement reserves that fund 20 years of replacement costs, since cash-out refinancing would not be anticipated until at least Year 20.

**Operating Reserves:** Capitalized operating reserves in the amount of \$66,127 are budgeted to support unanticipated operating costs for at least 20 years. This cost is 25% of the first-year operating budget, plus \$34,534. \$34,534 is being requested to repay SFCLT for out-of-pocket expenses incurred for the Project's operating expenses once Operating Reserves were depleted.

SFCLT will be allowed to return the Operating Reserve back to 25% once the building is fully leased up. At that time SFCLT will be permitted to withdraw the difference between the Operating Reserve and 25% of the first-year operating budget, up to \$34,534, to reimburse SFCLT for out-of-pocket expenses that were incurred once Operating Reserves, which were funded in 2017, were depleted and vacancies were unable to be filled, due to the challenges discussed in **Section 2**. Depending on when the building is leased up, SFCLT's withdrawal amount may be less than \$34,534. Sizing the Operating Reserve at 25% of the first-year operating budget is in line with SSP Guidelines.

Allowing SFCLT to recoup out-of-pocket expenses for operating MGR is not a request that MOHCD would typically fund, and it is a one-time request, which has been vetted with MOHCD Asset Management. In 2022, the Project's inability to cash flow due to a higher than anticipated vacancy rate prompted SFCLT to explore selling the Project to minimize the impact on its overall portfolio. However, MOHCD strongly encouraged SFCLT to retain the Project. In doing so, SFCLT continued to subsidize Project operating expenses with SFCLT organizational assets, when it was not cash flowing. By reimbursing SFCLT for out-of-pocket expenses for MGR's operating expenses, MOHCD is acting in good faith to ensure that the Project and the Sponsor are made whole and will, in conjunction with PASS and additional SSP financing, be sustainable for the long-term. Accounting for these expenses will be provided as a closing condition. For Closing Conditions, see **Section 9.2**.

**Vacancy Reserve:** A vacancy reserve in the amount of \$38,532 is requested to support the property as it continues to lease its vacant units. Once the Project is fully leased, any remaining funds in the Vacancy Reserve will be deposited into the Operating Reserve. For the loan condition on the vacancy reserve, see **Section 9.3**.



## 2. Developer Fee

The full Developer fee in the amount of \$134,286 was taken in 2017 when the Project was initially funded under SSP. No additional developer fee is requested as part of this refinance.

### 7.3 Disbursement

This request includes first position lender payoff and refinancing, in addition to project rehabilitation. Lender payoff funds, closing-related costs, due diligence-related costs, and reserves will be funded and released at escrow. All other funds will be released on a reimbursement basis through MOHCD's standard draw process.

## 8. PROJECT OPERATIONS

### 8.1 Annual Operating Budget (attached)

### 8.2 Annual Operating Budget Analysis/Comments.

1. PUPY Operating Expense: (w/out reserves): \$6,665. The PUPY Operating Expense is in line with similar properties and operating expenses have been reviewed by Asset Management.
2. Annual Reserve Deposits: \$4,900 per SSP Underwriting Guidelines of \$350/PUPY for a building with >10 units.
3. Property Taxes: The Project is currently welfare exempt and the operating budget reflects property tax actuals at \$2,100 in Year 1.
4. Surplus Cash: \$4,635 in Year 1.
5. Annual Monitoring Fees: \$5,000 (\$2,500 for loan servicing and \$2,500 for monitoring) per PASS Program Regulations.

### 8.3 20-year Cash Flow (attached)

1. Does Cash Flow Remain Positive for 20 years: Yes
2. Income Assumptions: 2.5% escalation per year
3. Expense Assumptions: 3.5% escalation per year





4. Replacement Reserve Analysis: Replacement reserves are funded through Year 20.
5. DSCR: DSCR starts at 1.2 in Year 1 and steadily rises, hitting its maximum DSCR in Year 8 at 1.566. The DSCR falls to 1.15 in Year 20, with an anticipated cash-out refinance.
6. Refinancing Plan: Updated SSP Underwriting Guidelines offer more conservative underwriting parameters, including a 1.15 DSCR and a 10% vacancy loss assumption. However, due to the unique nature of the property and its past challenges, staff recommends even more conservative underwriting guidelines, including a 1.2 DSCR and a 15% vacancy loss assumption. Operating and replacement reserves are projected to be funded through Year 20 of the Project's lifecycle, and PASS funding will considerably reduce the monthly first position debt service, ensuring that refinancing should not be required until at least that time.

## 9. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1 Proposed Acquisition/Rehabilitation Loan Terms.

Staff recommends the amount and terms of the financing plan outlined in Section 7.1.

### 9.2 Recommended Closing Conditions.

1. SFLCT must provide proof of out-of-pocket expenses for operating expenses that were incurred by the Project once Operating Reserves were depleted limited to the period of October 1, 2022 through May 31, 2023.

### 9.3 Recommended Loan Conditions.

1. All reserve accounts must be separate interest-bearing accounts.
2. If there are any remaining funds in the Vacancy Reserve after the Project is fully leased, the remaining funds will be deposited into the Project's Operating Reserve.
3. SFCLT will meet with MOHCD's marketing staff, and other MOHCD staff as applicable, at least once a month for the first year following closing. MOHCD reserves the right to require monthly meetings if there are concerns about the Project's leasing.



4. Once the Project is fully leased up, SFCLT will be allowed to withdraw the difference between Operating Reserve amount and 25% of the first-year operating budget, up to \$34,534.
5. If at any time the Project has 5 or more vacancies, SFCLT will immediately notify MOHCD. At that time, MOHCD may require monthly meetings with MOHCD staff until the Project is near full occupancy.
6. At the time of SFCLT's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR), SFCLT will document and send to MOHCD a breakdown and narrative on how they have used any funds towards marketing.
7. SFCLT will resubmit a First Come First Served marketing plan, including House Rules, every year, which will need to be reapproved by MOHCD every year.
8. During the rehabilitation period, SFCLT will meet with MOHCD Construction Representatives, and other MOHCD staff as applicable, at least once a month to provide construction-related updates and to ensure that progress is being made on the rehabilitation scope. MOHCD reserves the right to require more frequent meetings if there are concerns about the Project's rehabilitation progress.
9. If after the completion of the rehabilitation, lead paint/coatings remain onsite, an O&M Plan for the handling of existing lead paint/coatings onsite will be required.

**Attachments:**

- Exhibit A. Sources and Uses
- Exhibit B. Annual Operating Budget
- Exhibit C. 20-Year Cash Flow



**LOAN APPROVAL RECOMMENDATION**

APPROVE.       DISAPPROVE.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric D. Shaw, Director  
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVE.       DISAPPROVE.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thor Kaslofsky, Executive Director  
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVE.       DISAPPROVE.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Salvador Menjivar, Housing Director  
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVE.       DISAPPROVE.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Anna Van Degna, Director  
Controller's Office of Public Finance

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## **\$3.75M Permanent Financing for 1130 Filbert Street**

Shaw, Eric (MYR)

Fri 8/4/2023 11:24 AM

To: Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org>

Approve

Eric D. Shaw

Director/ Interim Director HopeSF

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development

City and County of San Francisco

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor

## 1130 Filbert Small Sites Loan Request

Colomello, Elizabeth (CII)

Fri 8/4/2023 11:53 AM

To: Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org>

Cc: Shaw, Eric (MYR) <eric.shaw@sfgov.org>; Kaslofsky, Thor (CII) <Thor.Kaslofsky@sfgov.org>

Hi Vanessa –

I approve the subject request on behalf of OCII.

Thanks-

Elizabeth



**Elizabeth Colomello**

**Housing Program Manager**

---

📍 One South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

📞 415.749-2488, Cell 415.407-1908

🏠 [www.sfocii.org](http://www.sfocii.org)

---

**PERMANENT FINANCING FOR 1130 FILBERT STREET.**

Menjivar, Salvador (HOM)

Fri 8/4/2023 12:25 PM

To: Shaw, Eric (MYR) <eric.shaw@sfgov.org>

Cc: Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org>

I approve the San Francisco Community Land Trust request for \$2,325,000 in Small Sites Program funding and \$1,425,000 in Preservation and Seismic Safety Program Funding from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development for the permanent financing of 1130 Filbert Street.

Best,

Salvador Menjivar

## Re: \$3.75M Permanent Financing for 1130 Filbert Street

Trivedi, Vishal (CON)

Fri 8/4/2023 11:23 AM

To: Amaya, Vanessa (MYR) <Vanessa.Amaya@sfgov.org>

Cc: Shaw, Eric (MYR) <eric.shaw@sfgov.org>

I vote yes on this item.

**Vishal Trivedi** | Financial Analyst

Office of Public Finance | City & County of San Francisco

Email | [vishal.trivedi@sfgov.org](mailto:vishal.trivedi@sfgov.org)

# Exhibit A: Sources and Uses

MOHCD Proforma - Permanent Financing Sources Uses of Funds

Application Date: 3/6/23 # Units: 14  
 Project Name: Merry-Go-Round House # Bedrooms: 14  
 Project Address: 2976 23rd St # Beds:  
 Project Sponsor: San Francisco Community Land Trust Small Sites Project

SOURCES	Total Sources				Comments
	2,917,063	352,002	194,208	31,790	
<b>USES</b>					
Name of Sources: MOHCD/COII 3,269,065					
Permit loans total: 3,269,065					
Permit loan amount is more than bridge loan(s) by: 3269064.653					
<b>ACQUISITION</b>					
Acquisition cost or value	2,106,281	352,002	194,208	31,790	2,684,281
Legal / Closing costs / Broker's Fee					0
Holding Costs					0
Transfer Tax					0
<b>TOTAL ACQUISITION</b>	<b>2,106,281</b>	<b>352,002</b>	<b>194,208</b>	<b>31,790</b>	<b>2,684,281</b>

<b>CONSTRUCTION (HARD COSTS)</b>						
Unit Construction/Rehab	302,260				302,260	Include FF&E. Includes Wage Contingency (30%)
Commercial Shell Construction					0	
Demolition					0	
Environmental Remediation					0	
Onsite Improvements/Landscaping					0	
Offsite Improvements					0	
Infrastructure Improvements					0	HOPE SF/IOCI costs for streets etc.
Parking					0	
GC Bond Premium/GC Insurance/GC Taxes					0	
GC Overhead & Profit	45,339				45,339	0.0%
GC General Conditions					0	0.0%
Sub-total Construction Costs	347,599	0	0	0	347,599	0.0%
Design Contingency (remove at DD)					0	5% up to \$30MM HC, 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+
Bid Contingency (remove at bid)					0	5% up to \$30MM HC, 4% \$30-\$45MM, 3% \$45MM+
Plan Check Contingency (remove/reduce during Plan Review)					0	4% up to \$30MM HC, 3% \$30-\$45MM, 2% \$45MM+
Hard Cost Construction Contingency	62,568				62,568	5% new construction / 15% rehab (15% asbestos lead)
Sub-total Construction Contingencies	62,568	0	0	0	62,568	18.0%
<b>TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS</b>	<b>410,167</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>410,167</b>	

<b>SOFT COSTS</b>						
<b>Architecture &amp; Design</b>						
Architect design fees					0	See MOHCD A&E Fee Guidelines: <a href="http://sf.mohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms">http://sf.mohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms</a>
Design Subconsultants to the Architect (incl. Fees)					0	
Architect Construction Admin					0	
Rememorables					0	
Additional Services					0	
Sub-total Architect Contract	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Third Party design consultants (not included under Architect contract)					0	Consultants not covered under architect contract, name consultant type and contract amount
<b>Total Architecture &amp; Design</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Engineering &amp; Environmental Studies</b>						
Survey					0	
Geotechnical studies					0	
Phase I & II Reports					0	
CEQA / Environmental Review consultants					0	
NEPA / TDE Review					0	
CDM&A (rehab only)					0	
Other environmental consultants					0	Name consultants & contract amounts
<b>Total Engineering &amp; Environmental Studies</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Financing Costs</b>						
<b>Construction Financing Costs</b>						
Construction Loan Origination Fee					0	
Construction Loan Interest					0	
Title & Recording					0	
CDLAC & CDJAC fees					0	
Bond Issuer Fees					0	
Other Bond Cost of Issuance					0	
Other Lender Costs (specify)					0	
Sub-total Const. Financing Costs	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Permanent Financing Costs</b>						
Permanent Loan Origination Fee	15,000				15,000	Origination Fee 1.25%
Credit Enhance. & Appl. Fee	5,000				5,000	
Title & Recording	20,000				20,000	
Sub-total Perm. Financing Costs	20,000	0	0	0	20,000	
<b>Total Financing Costs</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,000</b>	
<b>Legal Costs</b>						
Borrower Legal fees	5,000				5,000	
Land Use / CEQA Attorney fees					0	
Tax Credit Counsel					0	
Bond Counsel					0	
Construction Lender Counsel					0	
Permanent Lender Counsel	15,000				15,000	
Other Legal (specify)					0	
<b>Total Legal Costs</b>	<b>20,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,000</b>	
<b>Other Development Costs</b>						
Appraisal					0	
Market Study					0	
Insurance					0	
Property Taxes					0	
Accounting / Audit					0	
Organizational Costs					0	
Entitlement / Permit Fees					0	
Marketing / Rent-up					0	
Furnishings					0	\$2,000/unit. See MOHCD UW Guidelines on: <a href="http://sf.mohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms">http://sf.mohcd.org/documents-reports-and-forms</a>
PGE / Utility Fees					0	
TEAC App / Alloc / Monitor Fees					0	
Financial Consultant fees					0	
Construction Management fees / Owner's Rep	25,500				25,500	
Security during Construction					0	
Detention					0	
Other (specify)					0	
Other (specify)					0	
Other (specify)					0	
<b>Total Other Development Costs</b>	<b>25,500</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25,500</b>	Total Soft Cost Contingency as % of Total Soft Costs 15.0%
<b>Soft Cost Contingency</b>						
Contingency (Arch, Eng, Fin, Legal & Other Dev)	9,825	0	0	0	9,825	Should be either 10% or 5% of total soft costs.
<b>TOTAL SOFT COSTS</b>	<b>75,325</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75,325</b>	

<b>RESERVES</b>						
Operating Reserves	66,127				66,127	25% 1st year op + 34,534 MGR owes Operator
Replacement Reserves	220,631				220,631	\$159,974.48 in RR
Tenant Improvements Reserves					0	
Vacancy Reserve	38,532				38,532	25% GPR for year 1 as a vacancy reserve (to mitigate shortfall from going from 42% to 100%)
Other (specify)					0	
<b>TOTAL RESERVES</b>	<b>325,291</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>325,291</b>	

<b>DEVELOPER COSTS</b>						
Developer Fee - Cash-out Paid at Milestones					0	
Developer Fee - Cash-out At Risk					0	
Commercial Developer Fee					0	
Developer Fee - GP Equity (also show as source)					0	
Developer Fee - Deferred (also show as source)					0	
Development Consultant Fees					0	Need MOHCD approval for this cost, N/A for most projects
Other (specify)					0	Construction Management
<b>TOTAL DEVELOPER COSTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

<b>TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST</b>						
Development Cost/Unit by Source	208,362	25,143	13,872	2,271	0	249,647
Development Cost/Unit as % of TDC by Source	83.5%	10.1%	5.6%	0.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Acquisition Cost/Unit by Source	150,449	25,143	13,872	2,271	0	191,734
Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency) Unit By Source	29,298	0	0	0	0	29,298
Construction Cost (inc Const Contingency) SF	101.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	101.65

*Possible non-eligible GO Bonds/COP Amount:	406,919
City Subsidy/Unit	208,362
Tax Credit Equity Pricing:	N/A
Construction Bond Amount:	N/A
Construction Loan Term (in months):	N/A
Construction Loan Interest Rate (as %):	N/A

<b>Small Sites</b>						
Combined Loan to Value Ratio:						130%
% of Acquisition Cost by Source	78%	13%	7%	1%	0%	100%
Small Sites Maximum Developer Fee	174,753					



# Exhibit B: Annual Operating Budget

MOHCD Proforma - Year 1 Operating Budget

Application Date: 3/6/23 Project Name: Merry-Go-Round House  
 Total # Units: 14 Project Address: 2976 23rd ST  
 First Year of Operations (provide data assuming that Year 1 is a full year, i.e. 12 months of operations): 2023 Project Sponsor: San Francisco Community Land Trust

INCOME	Total	Comments
Residential - Tenant Rents	154,128	Links from 'Existing Proj - Rent Info' Worksheet
Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Non-LOSP)	0	Links from 'Existing Proj - Rent Info' Worksheet
Commercial Space	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%
Residential Parking	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Miscellaneous Rent Income	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Supportive Services Income	0	
Interest Income - Project Operations	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Laundry and Vending	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Tenant Charges	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Miscellaneous Residential Income	0	Links from 'Utilities & Other Income' Worksheet
Other Commercial Income	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%
Withdrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account)	0	
<b>Gross Potential Income</b>	<b>154,128</b>	
Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents	(23,119)	Vacancy loss is 15% of Tenant Rents.
Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments	0	#DIV/0!
Vacancy Loss - Commercial	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%
<b>EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME</b>	<b>131,009</b>	<b>PUPA: 9,356</b>

OPERATING EXPENSES		
<b>Management</b>		
Management Fee	18,144	\$108 PUPM
Asset Management Fee	18,144	\$108 PUPM
<b>Sub-total Management Expenses</b>	<b>36,288</b>	<b>PUPA: 2,592</b>

<b>Salaries/Benefits</b>		
Office Salaries	0	
Manager's Salary	0	
Health Insurance and Other Benefits	0	
Other Salaries/Benefits	0	
Administrative Rent-Free Unit	0	
<b>Sub-total Salaries/Benefits</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>PUPA: 0</b>

<b>Administration</b>		
Advertising and Marketing		
Office Expenses		
Office Rent		
Legal Expense - Property	4,000	T12 Legal Fees
Audit Expense	3,750	accounting allocation
Bookkeeping/Accounting Services		
Bad Debts	4,624	3% of GPR
Miscellaneous		
<b>Sub-total Administration Expenses</b>	<b>12,374</b>	<b>PUPA: 884</b>

<b>Utilities</b>		
Electricity	14,981	Estimate for Fully Leased Building (T12+15% gas/electric price increases +25% low occupancy)
Water	6,513	Estimate for Fully Leased Building (T12+10% water price increases +40% low occupancy)
Gas		included in Electricity
Sewer		included in water
<b>Sub-total Utilities</b>	<b>21,494</b>	<b>PUPA: 1,535</b>

<b>Taxes and Licenses</b>		
Real Estate Taxes	2,100	100% welfare exemption
Payroll Taxes	0	
Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits	100	
<b>Sub-total Taxes and Licenses</b>	<b>2,200</b>	<b>PUPA: 157</b>

<b>Insurance</b>		
Property and Liability Insurance	7,321	T12 + 30% Increase given rate increases we have seen in the industry
Fidelity Bond Insurance	0	
Worker's Compensation	0	
Director's & Officers' Liability Insurance	0	
<b>Sub-total Insurance</b>	<b>7,321</b>	<b>PUPA: 523</b>

<b>Maintenance &amp; Repair</b>		
Payroll	0	
Supplies	2,250	Est based of historical financials for fully leased building
Contracts	5,000	for fully leased building
Garbage and Trash Removal	2,118	recology trash hauls
Security Payroll/Contract	0	
HVAC Repairs and Maintenance	0	
Vehicle and Maintenance Equipment Operation and Repairs	0	
Miscellaneous Operating and Maintenance Expenses	4,261	pest control and internet costs in T12
<b>Sub-total Maintenance &amp; Repair Expenses</b>	<b>13,629</b>	<b>PUPA: 973</b>

<b>Supportive Services</b>		
Commercial Expenses	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%
<b>TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES</b>	<b>93,306</b>	<b>PUPA: 6,665</b>

<b>Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees</b>		
Ground Lease Base Rent	0	Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Bond Monitoring Fee	5,000	monitoring/compliance + loan servicing
Replacement Reserve Deposit	4,900	
Operating Reserve Deposit		
Other Required Reserve 1 Deposit		
Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit		
Required Reserve Deposits/s. Commercial	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%
<b>Sub-total Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees</b>	<b>9,900</b>	<b>PUPA: 707</b>

<b>TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES (w/ Reserves/GL Base Rent/ Bond Fees)</b>	<b>103,206</b>	<b>PUPA: 7,372</b>	Min DSCR: 1.15
<b>NET OPERATING INCOME (INCOME minus OP EXPENSES)</b>	<b>27,803</b>	<b>PUPA: 1,986</b>	Mortgage Rate: 5.00%
			Term (Years): 40
			Supportable 1st Mortgage Pmt: 24,177
			Supportable 1st Mortgage Amt: \$417,820
			Proposed 1st Mortgage Amt: \$352,002

<b>DEBT SERVICE/MUST PAY PAYMENTS (*hard debt/ amortized loans)</b>			
Hard Debt - First Lender	17,321	MOHCD-PASS MR	Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42% pmt. or other 2nd Lender)	5,848	MOHCD-PASS BMR	Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender)	0	MOHCD-PASS Deferred	Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Hard Debt - Fourth Lender	0		Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Commercial Hard Debt Service	0	from 'Commercial Op. Budget' Worksheet; Commercial to Residential allocation: 100%	
<b>TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE</b>	<b>23,168</b>	<b>PUPA: 1,655</b>	

<b>CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE)</b>	<b>4,635</b>	
<b>USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.)</b>	<b>1.20</b>	
<b>USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WATERFALL</b>		
"Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy)		
Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits)		
Investor Service Fee (aka "LP Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for limits)		
Other Payments		
Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 (select lender in comments field)		Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 2 (select lender in comments field)		Provide additional comments here, if needed.
Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt <= Max Fee from cell I130)		Def. Develop. Fee split: 0%
<b>TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>PUPA: 0</b>

<b>RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD)</b>	<b>4,635</b>	
---	--------------	--

**Residual Receipts Calculation**

Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation? **Yes** Project has MOHCD ground lease? **No**

Will Project Defer Developer Fee? **No**

Max Deferred Developer Fee/Borrower % of Residual Receipts in Yr 1: **33%**

% of Residual Receipts available for distribution to soft debt lenders in Yr 1: **67%**

Soft Debt Lenders with Residual Receipts Obligations	(Select lender name/program from drop down)	Total Principal Amt	Distrib. of Soft Debt Loans
MOHCD/OCII - Soft Debt Loans	All MOHCD/OCII Loans payable from res. rectx	\$2,917,063	100.00%
MOHCD/OCII - Ground Lease Value or Land Acq Cost	Ground Lease Value		0.00%
HCD (soft debt loan) - Lender 3			0.00%

Application Date: 3/6/23 Project Name: Merry-Go-Round House  
 Total # Units: 14 Project Address: 2976 23rd ST  
 First Year of Operations (provide data assuming that Year 1 is a full year, i.e. 12 months of operations): 2023 Project Sponsor: San Francisco Community Land Trust

<b>Small Sites Project</b>			
Other Soft Debt Lender - Lender 4			0.00%
Other Soft Debt Lender - Lender 5			0.00%

<b>MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE</b>			
MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due	3,090	67% of residual receipts, multiplied by 100% -- MOHCD's pro rata share of all soft debt	
Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment	0	Enter/override amount of residual receipts proposed for loan repayment.	
Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Residual Ground Lease	0	If applicable, MOHCD residual receipts amt due LESS amt proposed for loan repymt.	
Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Replacement Reserve	3,090	MOHCD res recs to Rep Res (RR) until RR balance >= 1.5 Original Capitalized RR amt.	

**REMAINING BALANCE AFTER MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE** 1,545 *Total Resid Receipts due not allocated, please revise F142*

<b>NON-MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE</b>			
HCD Residual Receipts Amount Due	0		
Lender 4 Residual Receipts Due	0		
Lender 5 Residual Receipts Due	0		
<b>Total Non-MOHCD Residual Receipts Debt Service</b>	<b>0</b>		

<b>REMAINDER (Should be zero unless there are distributions below)</b>			
Owner Distributions/Incentive Management Fee	1,545	100% of Borrower share of 33% of residual receipts	
Other Distributions/Uses	0		
<b>Final Balance (should be zero)</b>	<b>0</b>		

Exhibit C: 20-Year Cash Flow

MOHCD Proforma - 20 Year Cash Flow

Merry-Go-Round House

Small Sites Project

Total # Units: 14

Main cash flow table with columns for Year 1-15 and rows for Income (Residential, Commercial, etc.), Operating Expenses (Management, Salaries, etc.), Debt Service, and Residual Receipts. Includes sub-totals and grand totals for each section.

