Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:41 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Valerie Makarovsky <ValerieMakarovsky@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:18 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: william e growney <growney185@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:29 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

I shouldn't have to tell you what the mission is of your commission. "The mission of the San Francisco Police Commission is to set policy for the Police Department and to conduct disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct filed by the Chief of Police or Director of the Department of Police Accountability, impose discipline in such cases as warranted, and hear police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police." Policy does not include determining which laws they must enforce and which laws they may not enforce. That is the mission of the Legislative Branch and Governor of the State of California. Could you also order our police not to enforce speed laws or traffic signal violations?

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from doing their job keeping our communities safe, not setting policy concerning about how they should go about enforcing the laws they are sworn to enforce.

William Growney
Terese Growney
159 Wawona Street

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: mvrazzo@sonic.net
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:28 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Maryanne Razzo
1118 Brussels Street
San Francisco, CA 94134

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Charlton Lee <charlton.viola@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:59 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you,
Charlton

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Joseph Jajeh <joseph.jajehc21@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: David Bancroft <sfdavidbancroft@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission:
I am writing to urge you to not stop our SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you would be prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.
If your concern is discriminatory enforcement, there are ways to deter same and in appropriate cases to ameliorate hardship of enforcement.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:24 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Karen Wood <karenmillerwood@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 6:01 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners:

I urge you to oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01 [https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/PoliceCommission121422-DGO%209.01_DRAFT_12.01.22_FINAL_revisedletterhead%20%28120722%29.pdf].

With some exceptions, this proposed Order would prohibit SFPD enforcement of violations described in the Order as "low level," such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes and driving in fog with inoperative headlights, tail lights, or brake lights. Such "low level" violations can cause collisions resulting in injuries and deaths. The proposed Order would also allow bicyclists to ignore traffic laws--which they already do with impunity--thus creating an unacceptable threat to public safety.

Officer training and community building efforts are the effective means of addressing the root causes of racial discrimination in traffic enforcement. GTEO 9.01 will surely increase traffic hazards on San Francisco streets, while serving only as a palliative for a social injustice that it is meant to remedy. ***Please vote No on this misguided proposal.***

Sincerely,
Karen Wood
D7

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:24 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

From: Nathan Hinsley <nathanraehinsley@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:44 PM
To: Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sergeant Stacy Youngblood,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Nathan Hinsley

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:24 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: David <dkuyeda@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:03 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Get Outlook for iOS

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:24 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Chuck Farrugia <ifitefire4u@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:52 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Charles Farrugia
283 Gambier St.
SF CA 94134

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:24 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

From: Andrea Salinas <aasalinas@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:58 PM
To: Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sergeant Stacy Youngblood,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Andrea Salinas

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:23 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Opposing General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01 [replacing previously sent truncated message]

From: Karen Wood <karenmillerwood@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:45 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Opposing General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01 [replacing previously sent truncated message]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022
Dear Commissioners:

I urge you to oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01 [https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/PoliceCommission121422-DGO%209.01_DRAFT_12.01.22_FINAL_revisedletterhead%20%28120722%29.pdf].

With some exceptions, this proposed Order would prohibit SFPD enforcement of violations described in the Order as "low level," such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes and driving in fog with inoperative headlights, tail lights, or brake lights. Such "low level" violations can cause collisions resulting in injuries and deaths. The proposed Order would also allow bicyclists to ignore traffic laws--which they already do with impunity--thus creating an unacceptable threat to public safety.

Officer training and community building efforts are the effective means of addressing the root causes of racial discrimination in traffic enforcement. While serving as a palliative for a social injustice that it is meant to remedy, GTEO 9.01 will increase traffic hazards on San Francisco streets. ***Please vote No on this misguided proposal.***

Sincerely,
Karen Wood
D7

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:23 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: JEFF NIGH <janigh@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:45 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions

that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Lauren Rischel <laurendonna@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 12:42 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: wendy murphy <scrappylynn@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 6:20 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Wendy Herzenberg

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Please vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 that allows police & public to ignore traffic laws

From: José Capó <jose@josecapo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 6:53 AM
To: Brian Key <brian@briankey.com>
Cc: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 that allows police & public to ignore traffic laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, tail lights, or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* **Increased traffic accidents.** Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the

professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* **More shootings and deaths.** There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* **More crime.** Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.
* **More road rage.** One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

José Capó
72 Prosper St
District 8

Singh, Kristine (POL)

Subject:	Discussion on DGO 9.01 - Mayor's Office on Disability/Police Commission
Location:	Microsoft Teams Meeting
Start:	Wed 12/14/22 3:00 PM
End:	Wed 12/14/22 3:30 PM
Show Time As:	Tentative
Recurrence:	(none)
Meeting Status:	Not yet responded
Organizer:	Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Required Attendees:	Youngblood, Stacy (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Bohn, Nicole (ADM)
Optional Attendees:	SFPD, Commission (POL); Max Carter-Oberstone

---

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device
Click here to join the meeting

Meeting ID: 290 925 070 780
Passcode: exRCoQ
Download Teams | Join on the web

Or call in (audio only)
+1 415-906-4659,,28750256# United States, San Francisco
Phone Conference ID: 287 502 56#
Find a local number | Reset PIN

Learn More | Meeting options

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: null null <smmooremd@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:52 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I do not understand why the CCSF decides to not follow state or federal laws so flagrantly, giving law breakers more rights than the common citizen. For example, on multiple streets in the Mission, pedestrians must leave the sidewalk and walk in the street due to encampments full of drug dealers and stolen goods. If and when one of these pedestrians is injured by a vehicle because of a blocked sidewalk, I will fully support a civil and criminal lawsuit against the city for refusing to enforce code.

Sean Moore, MD

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Yvonne Toracca <yvonnetoracca@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:40 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Safer Streets!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.
Living by the Palace of Fine Arts we see crimes happening multiple times daily! Many of these criminals are driving non-registrred vehicles and are speeding off following hold-ups, theft & car break-ins whereby placing tourists, pedestrians, neighbors, children & pets all at risk! If you prevent SFPD from enforcing these traffic violations, you are further enabling these criminals to endanger innocent people and destroy what little hope we aa residents have for making SF safer!
Please Do Not prohibit SFPD officers from enfor the laws and keeping our streets and city Safe!
Respectfully,
Yvonne Toracca (SF Native & resident)

Yvonne Toracca
Luxury Marketing Specialist
415.297.9157
Sotheby's International Realty
yvonne.toracca@sothebys.realty
License #01916816
2001 Lombard Street
San Francisco, CA 94123

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Teri Shaw <terishaw1040sf@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:17 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Raquel Baldocchi <cooquel@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 6:51 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Gagan Kanwar <gagan.kanwar@gmail.com> on behalf of Gagan Kanwar <gagankanwar@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 6:34 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you,

Gagan Kanwar

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Aaron Walker <a1evilboy@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 6:20 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Henry Wong <henry_k_wong@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 4:28 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission:

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from Henry's iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Bill Tang <billtangcpa@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 3:43 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Daniel Lim <daniel.lim168@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 11:33 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Best regards,

Daniel-

Sent from my mobile device

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: SF Carl <sfcarl@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 11:11 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sincerely,
Carl Stein

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Drew Gyorke <drewgyorke@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:48 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Enforce driving safety!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We need safe driving practices enforced in SF.

It could be anyone's family crippled or killed by unsafe drivers ignoring the current laws.

Think about keeping the streets safe for all and not slacking off any laws.

Drew Gyorke
drewgyorke@yahoo.com

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Susan Ruhne <sruhne@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:48 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I live at California & 7th Ave. California is already a mess from SFMTA's Road Diet + Slow Lake. Car Registration & a fully functioning vehicle (tail lights working) - is NEEDED... avoiding enforcing laws is not acceptable. Blinkers - indicating turns or lane changes - are so helpful for other drivers, pedestrians, and yes, even the bicyclists who want to take over our streets.

As a tax paying citizen of San Francisco - I urge you to be smart about this & think about ALL citizens, our safety, and the SFPD's role in our City.

Regards,
Susan Ruhne
sruhne@yahoo.com

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jane Springwater <jspringwater@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:43 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

The police must be allowed to enforce traffic and safety laws- please.

Very truly yours,

Jane Springwater

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Peter Fortune <pt4tune@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:42 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Cc: Peter Fortune
Subject: C'mon - Let SFPD enforce the laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

PLEASE do NOT prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you in advance for keeping us safer.

Peter Fortune

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Christopher Faust <faust@chrismary.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:33 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

We urge you to reconsider the Draft Traffic Enforcement Order and preserve SFPD officers' ability to protect our families and seniors by enforcing existing traffic safety laws. (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These laws exist to discourage erratic and unpredictable driving. Prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law will only encourage bad behavior and make streets even more dangerous, especially for pedestrians. Keep our communities safe.

--
Christopher Faust
President, Upper Noe Neighbors
235 30th St
San Francisco CA 94131
415 205-5855
https://uppernoeneighbors.com/

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Rebecca Huddleston <beccahuddleston1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:22 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: hyip_98@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 10:16 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).
These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.
By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Henry (SF Voter)

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: GRACE HUEY <hueygt@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:42 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Alan Greinetz <apgreinetz@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:38 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

You are not a legislative body empowered to make laws. Please reconsider this decision and stay in your lane. Thank you for your consideration.

Best, AG

Alan Greinetz
415-305-0019

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: J. Barry Gurdin <gurdin@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:37 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sincerely,
J. Barry Gurdin, Ph.D.
247 Ortega Street
San Francisco, CA 94122-4617
gurdin@hotmail.com
4157348425

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Leslie Podell <leslie@podell.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:27 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Leslie Podell
415-885-9395
https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.lesliepodell.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiOWY3OGVjYWExNGE0MmMxMTFjNzY3ZDUzMzMwODUwMjo2OmE2Njc6MGEzMjI3ZDYyNGMzMGE5NTVjY2JmMjg4Y2QzNjM3Mzc5MWRhZWIyZDZlODU3OTI1YjU5NGRlNDExZTRkMTk2YjpwOkY

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: J.D. Meadows <jamesdmeadows@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:12 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sincerely,

J.D. Meadows

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: George K. Merijohn, DDS <merijohn@merijohn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 9:07 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL)
Cc: George K. Merijohn, DDS; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Susan Spiwak
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to request that you not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Motorized vehicular traffic (all motorized vehicles using the roadways) in San Francisco is already extraordinarily dangerous for vehicle operators, pedestrians, and bicyclists.

Laws and law enforcement has served as a deterrence for thousands of years. There is nothing progressive about not enforcing laws – It is regressive.

Furthermore, there is no credible published evidence from similarly dense major US metropolitan zones that would support lawlessness.

Please do your job and enable our SFPD to uphold the law, serve and protect.

Sincerely,

George K Merijohn

San Francisco Resident

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: patrick caplazi <patca@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:59 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Encourage SFPD to Enforce Traffic Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission

I am writing to urge you to not only not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic, or for that matter, any laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting), but rather to encourage police to enforce the law, to the letter. If existing law does no longer meet the needs of society, society needs to change the law. If a segment of population disproportionally violates the law, the remedy lies not in turning a blind eye to these violations, but rather in working with that group on improving compliance. Obviously, if a traffic stop for a minor infraction reveals a number of additional violations, or possibly even prevents a crime, that's not a problem that's an added benefit. When it comes to crime of any kind, SF agencies', i.e. your, first priority should be to identify the perpetrator and prevent them from violating the law going forward, by whatever means necessary. Enforcing the law according to convenience or political expediency because enforcement might expose disproportional delinquency in a particular segment of society will perhaps improve your statistics and therefore make you feel temporarily better, but it will not fix the problem. It will make the problem worse, encouraging delinquent behavior and frustrate our police, who are hired by us to protect and serve us as efficiently, compassionately and thoroughly as they possibly can using the law as their guiding principle. The only other guiding principle that they -and you- ought to keep in minds is "what is it that I can do to serve the law-abiding, tax-paying residents best". The police is here to serve us. Let them, please.

Thank you for your consideration.

———

Patrick Caplazi
2474 17th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
patca@mac.com
+1-509-901-0623

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Andrea Salinas <aasalinas@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:58 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Police Commission,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Andrea Salinas

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Devon Johnson <dpj@fangjohnson.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:55 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Please Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (DGO 9.01, agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). Laws are meant to keep people safe and to ensure a civilized society. I am completely against limiting the police's ability to enforce the law. All people should be following the law, and it should be enforced equitably.

Preventing the police from stopping for these infractions, effectively legalizes them. These infractions aren't minor inconveniences – they can be dangerous. Riding bicycles on the sidewalks is dangerous. As a pedestrian, I often try to make eye contact with drivers to ensure my safety. I am regularly confronted with cars who have heavily tinted windows, and since I can't make eye contact, it makes it dangerous to cross the street. Also, if a driver does not have a current registration, there is a decent chance that they also don't have current insurance.

This is about the safety of all people, and we must continue to enforce all laws. I ask that you drop general order 9.01 completely as it makes San Franciscans less safe.

Regards

Devon Johnson

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: John Ashworth <jashworth@bsaarchitects.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:44 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: SFPD should Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission Members/

San Francisco needs law and order along with our city's more progressive priorities.

As a SF business owner, we need to take action, I ask that you insist that SFPD enforce existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist to keep our City from degenerating into chaos. Car break in and petty thefts also require action.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are reinforcing lawlessness and any respect for the law and law enforcement officials.

It's time to get real.

Thank you in advance

John Ashworth
CEO/Principal
Bull Stockwell Allen
415-652-7184

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Karen Melander Magoon <karenmmagoon@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:33 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Melissa Ippolito <melissaippo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:17 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Melissa Ippolito

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: judy hu <judy.hu@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:07 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Julie Paul <juliepaul164@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:02 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Lary Schiller <theschil@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 8:00 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Traffic enforcement

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject line: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Lary Schiller, 1261 Waller St, San Francisco, CA 94117.
--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Tim Flaherty <flahertysfpd@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:55 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Failure to enforce state, vehicle code laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

State vehicle code laws were made to make the streets safe for both drivers and pedestrians. Driving is a privilege not a right .violations you we are discussing are of minimal cost to the driver and moving violations listed will put the public at Jeopardy. This appears to be a very ill conceived, and not well thought out process to lessen the amount of citations, given to one group or another .maybe if the state in the county did not gouge the public with such exorbitant fines that we might have more adherence to the written laws. Laws are made to protect the public. That's why we have them and they must be enforced. Find ways to encourage Driver compliance Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Marvis Phillips <marvisphillips@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:54 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); kevin.benediato@sfgov.org; Yee, Lawrence (POL); max.carter-oberatone@sfgov.org
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

As a 67+ year old disabled senior now on a walker whenever I leave my home, I have become an easy target! And with my connections over the past 38 years with SF SAFE, INC. as one of their Block Captains primarily in the Tenderloin (1 April 1984-today). Have seen way to many people killed and/or injured by speeding, cars (speeding through the neighbor to get to Nob Hill) & buses (both MUNI and Tourist) driving to fast for conditions! (Back in 1988, I came 4 or 5 steps from being in-between two (2) tour buses {one on Jones doing at least 40/&/the other on Eddy doing the same} from being between both when the one on Eddy sliced the Front End off the one on Jones (both running a Flashing Red Light) they never found the driver of the one on Jones!)!

On the good side, also as a Block Captain I was a part of two babies eating born after their mothers were in accidents in the Eddy/Jones immediate area!

We need traffic enforcement to stop these types of incidents and worse on the streets not only in the Tenderloin, but also in the Mission, and Western Addition and other areas where seniors and children live and play!

Thank you re-considering!

Sincerely,

Marvis J. Phillips
Community Watch Block Captain, Tenderloin
SF SAFE, INC. (The Civilian Branch of the SFPD)

44 year resident and community leader Tenderloin
--
Marvis J. Phillips
Board Chair
District 6 Community Planners

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Nathan Hinsley <nathanraehinsley@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:44 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Police Commission,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Nathan Hinsley

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Starchild <realreform@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:26 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Cc: Starchild
Subject: Thank you for restricting police from making traffic stops over minor infractions / Please speak out against killer robots

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commissioners,

I am writing in support of your plan to restrict SFPD officers from making traffic stops for minor infractions (agenda item 11 on the upcoming December 14 meeting).

Police need to spend more time investigating and solving actual, serious crimes like assaults, burglaries, auto break-ins, etc. As well as making sure victims, suspects, and witnesses automatically and promptly receive copies of the police reports of their incidents. Wasting time and resources on stuff like pulling people over for having a brake light out of not signaling to change lanes makes them less able to do more important things.

Never knowing whether you're going to be suddenly stopped and accosted by a police officer also increases the overall level of fear and stress in our community. It is not making us safer, especially when the possibilities of stops escalating into violent confrontations or attempts to flee, or more serious criminal charges, are taken into consideration.

I hope you will also weigh in and support the SF Board of Supervisors decision not to go forward with allowing the SFPD to operate robots capable of using lethal force against people. That kind of thing takes us down a dark and dangerous road, as the killing of civilians via drones in warfare should make abundantly clear. Being able to kill people remotely and easily at no risk to the human beings involved, will logically make them less hesitant to use lethal force. And using lethal force against suspects is ALWAYS a failure of policing. People suspected of actual serious crimes need to be arrested and have their day in court; law enforcement personnel should not be acting as judge, jury, and executioner.

Thank you again for standing up to the powerful police union and vocal "law-and-order" lobby, and doing the right thing.

Love & Liberty,

(((starchild)))
Libertarian Party of San Francisco
(415) 573-7997

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Nancy Lim <nancylimre@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:12 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Nancy

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Michael Richards <marmarhole@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:06 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Melinda Douglass, PsyD <douglasspsyd@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 7:06 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sincerely,
Melinda Douglass
S. Bernal Heights

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Nicole Keb <nicolekeb@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 6:51 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Sarah Wilson <bostonsw@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 6:29 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you,
Sarah Wilson, SF resident

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Dustin Roberts <w.dustin.roberts@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 6:18 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

For real. Our streets are just getting more dangerous and THIS is how you respond?!?!

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Yvonne Lin <yglin@alum.mit.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:59 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws! -This is a must

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your Dec 14 meeting). **These traffic safety laws exist for a reason** - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe. Lack of enforcement only fosters more lawlessness and complete disregard for order & rules. Each individual needs to do his/her part to be part of the community, and there needs to be some degree of accountability for encroaching on others' rights.

Thank you for your consideration in helping to keep SFF safe for everyone.
Best regards,
Yvonne Lin
109 GVA (Eureka Valley)

--
Yvonne Lin

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Lydia Tolmacheff <ltolmac@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:54 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

San Francisco is unsafe enough already, with out of control smash and grabs and gangs of shoplifters roaming our shopping districts. Now you want to make our communities and streets even less safe. This needs to stop and the handcuffs need to come off our police officers so they can do their job protecting San Francisco residents from crime.

Lydia Tolmacheff

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: cm foo <cmfoosf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:52 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.
Please, driving in San Francisco is tough enough the way it is. Pedestrian deaths continue to escalate. We need all road users to respect and obey traffic laws.
Please:
- Let SPPD enforce existing laws,
- STOP playing politics with our safety.
C.M. Foo
A San Francisco voter.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Kevin Wallace <kevinwallace415@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:48 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jennifer DiJoseph <jtdijoseph@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:33 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: SFPD has no place in enforcing traffic safety

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission, I am writing to urge you to prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are protecting communities and making the job of traffic enforcement left to SFMTA, and keeping police where they might do better work: investigating and solving serious crime. Thanks for your hard work.
SF Citizen, district 4
-Jennifer DIJoseph

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Scott Tsui <scott.tsui@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:32 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Scott Tsui
--
Scott Tsui
Relationship Results Coach
"Enabling Fantastic, Fulfilling and Fun Relationships"
Columnist, San Francisco Bay Times
Mobile 415.867.5764
www.scotttsui.com
Linkedin

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: David Salem <dsssandg@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:31 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Failure to signal a turn, and failure to signal a change of lanes.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

These are serious infractions that endanger lives. Failure to signal is endemic in our city.

Limiting officers' ability to stop motorists who engage in this hazardous behaviour sends a dangerous message to motorists. I urge you to allow officers to stop motorists who fail to signal turns or lane changes, and to publicize officers' power to make such stops.

Thanks for your attention

David Salem, 250 Casitas Ave, SF

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Carolyn Kenady <carolynkenady@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:19 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Carolyn

Carolyn Kenady
carolynkenady@gmail.com
408-218-3115
http://www.linkedin.com/in/ckenady

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Rick Thurber <fehoyle@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:14 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission:

WHEN PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND OTHERS IGNORE COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LAWS—THERE IS NO LAW—THERE IS NO ORDER—THERE IS NO JUSTICE, AND ANY PROFESSED CIVIL AUTHORITY IS WORTHLESS TO THE PUBLIC THEY ARE PAID TO SERVE. Therefore I urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sent from my iPad

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Kimberly Cooley-Reyes <kimcool@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:13 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I want to feel safe in San Francisco.

Thank you,

Kimberly Cooley-Reyes
San Francisco Resident
and homeowner

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Damian Inglin <damianinglin@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:11 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to NOT prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (Agenda Item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason: to keep our *children*, families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

If you don't like the laws, work to get the laws changed.

To prohibit laws from being enforced further *corrodes* the trust of the public in the SF Police Commission.

STOP damaging public trust.

Damian Inglin

- Resident of and taxpayer to the City and County of San Francisco
- Frequent victim of crime due to the ineptitude and intentional malfeasance by the SF Police Commission

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ken Cleaveland <sfrealadvocate@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 5:06 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Traffic laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:
I understand the motivation for trying to illuminate discriminatory practices in our police department. However, I do believe it's unwise to relax our traffic laws in an attempt to eliminate this practice. Anyone who owns a car can afford to fix a tail light or keep their car tag current. And using your turn signals is not only wise but courteous as well. People simply need to get into the habit. These traffic laws were put on the books to keep everyone safe, not just some of us. Please do not further hamstring are police from doing their job of keeping all of us safer. Thank you.

Ken Cleaveland

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Robert Handelman <robhandelman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:59 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Traffic laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject line: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Rob Handelman, D.C.
Maître d'Armes
President of the

United States Fencing Coaches Association

If you wish to unsubscribe from this mailing list please let us know.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:52 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01

From: J X <happyjx1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. This will cause

* Increased traffic accidents:
* More shootings and deaths.
* More crime.
* More road rage.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Layne Varholdt <laynevarholdt@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:50 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe...and our communities need all the help we can get.

Sincerely,
Layne Varholdt
(A very concerned long-time and law abiding San Francisco resident)

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Nat Kreamer <natkreamer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:46 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe. It's absurd you are even considering doing otherwise.

Sincerely,

Nat Kreamer
20 Hoffman Ave
San Francisco, CA 94114

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Tracy Thompson <tracythomp24@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:45 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject line: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:43 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Ikuko Satoda <isatoda@sonic.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:41 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.
What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Ikuko Sullivan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Nathaniel Haynes <nathaniel.m.haynes@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:41 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

The news I hear about directing officers NOT to enforce safety laws is shocking. I ride a bike to work and often am navigating the streets with my 2 year old in his safety seat.

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). **These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.** By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

::n
[1304 florida st :: sf :: ca :: 94110]
[415 . 606 . 0960]

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Sara Alexander <saraalexander@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:41 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Please still enforce failure to signal and driving without lights....

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Best.
Sara

Sara Alexander
3923A Sacramento Street
San Francisco, CA 94118
415-606-5335

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:40 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: njzajac@aol.com <njzajac@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:39 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022 Dear Commissioners, Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic. Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian. While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects: * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents. * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles? * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over. * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up. Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing. What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:40 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Marie Hurabiell <mhurabie@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:34 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:39 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: CJ Faulkner <cmoelarrycarol@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:32 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:39 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Agnes Davis <dkd04@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:31 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Sunil Patel <sunilp318@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:38 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am a native San Franciscan and I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I am married with 2 young daughters and my 76 year-old mother lives with me. Please, please, please allow SFPD to continue to enforce the safety laws. Thank you!

Sunil Patel

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jim Spellicy <jpspellic@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:34 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I have almost been hit a number of times because drivers did not signal their intent to turn. The City should be safe for all of us, nto just a convenience for drivers.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Yaqi Xu <xu_01@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:32 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Joshua Weitz <joshuaweitz415@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:27 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). I am a resident of SF's SOMA district.

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe. We already allow open air hard drug use see where that has gotten us! In a district with the highest number of children. Now we are going to relax laws on drivers in an area which has numerous pedestrians hit by cars? This is a recipe for DISASTER!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Maura Lewis <maura.a@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:23 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

What is the point of having laws of they aren't going to be enforced?

Please do not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Gratefully,

Maura Lewis

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Agnes Davis <dkd04@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:23 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

AGNES DAVIS

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: john wong <amex8068@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:17 PM
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Kerry Workman <kerrymworkman@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:08 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Signed,
Kerry Workman, a man who is tired of being almost ran over on a daily basis.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Claudia Lewis <claudialewissf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:08 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Claudia Lewis
District 1

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:07 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

From: Lou Ann Bassan <louann.bassan@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:52 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.
What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Lou Ann Bassan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Roger <rogerinsf@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 4:02 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission:

I am an 80 year old San Francisco resident with mobility problems. I take my life into my hands every time I walk on the sidewalks or across the already lawless streets of this city.

I am writing to urge you not to prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason--to keep our families, seniors like myself, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Do you really want my blood on your hands?

Sincerely,

Roger W. Green

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: John Eugster <john.eugster@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:54 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

John Eugster
M: 415-531-3280

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Isadore Rosenthal <isadore.rosenthal@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:48 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Allow our SF police to police all auto traffic infractions This is critical.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ken Shaffer <kenshaffer80@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:44 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Police Enforce the Law, not Decide which Laws to Enforce

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Police selective enforcement of traffic laws is never a good thing.
Either change the law or provide additional training on other issues that might be a factor in a selective enforcement accusation. Cars without registration plates should always be subject to being stopped and ticketed or towed.

Regards,
Kenneth C. Shaffer

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Viktoria Kolesnikova <vxk.viktoria@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:43 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:42 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Paul Pak <pypak1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Paul Pak

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:42 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gail Rutherford <gail_rutherford@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Gail Rutherford

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:42 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Stopping our officers from enforcing traffic laws.

From: Dorothy Chan <primepropertysf88@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:41 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Stopping our officers from enforcing traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads,

including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.
What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Dorothy Chan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Bernard Katzmann <katzmannsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:40 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Subject: Enforcement of Traffic Safety Laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

I am a 75 year old man. The only meaningful exercise that my spouse, who is a couple of years younger than I am, and I are able to have is to walk for at least an hour daily. Unfortunately, our walking has become increasingly hazardous with so many vehicles not stopping for pedestrians in crosswalks, turning at stop signs without stopping and not looking for pedestrians, and right turns on red drivers also ignoring pedestrians. In addition, bicycles and scooters almost never stop or even slow down for pedestrians in the crosswalk. This situation became so hazardous that I wrote to the Mayor and police chief about this issue a couple of months ago requesting enforcement of the law to protect seniors, children and everyone else using our streets.

I was informed of an item on your agenda for your meeting tomorrow which prohibits enforcement of certain traffic safety laws. It makes absolutely no sense to me as a long term San Francisco resident as to why you are even considering such a change which will undoubtedly increase the risk for pedestrians such as me, as well as for others. My understanding was that the Police Commission's function is to set policies for the Police Department (hopefully to enforce laws) and to conduct disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct and to impose discipline as needed, per the Police Commission website. Setting a policy that limits enforcement of safety laws for all San Franciscans is preposterous. If laws need to be changed, that should be the function of the elected Board of Supervisors who represent the citizens of the City, rather than by appointed Commissioners

I urge you to allow and in fact REQUIRE the Police Department to enforce traffic safety laws and to keep all San Franciscans safe, no matter who they are, where they are from and what their beliefs are.

Yours faithfully,

Bernard Katzmann

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: dawn isaacs <disaacs415@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:31 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); SFPD Ingleside Station, (POL)
Cc: Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Jane Stitt; Jeanette & Bernie Feinburg
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Police Commission.,

My husband and I have seen drivers become increasingly dangerous over the years.
We do not need to eliminate safety driving/auto laws. We need to enforce them.
That means we need more police monitoring, educating, and ticketing drivers as needed.

Yesterday evening in front of Kaiser Hospital on Geary Blvd a driver drove straight through a stop sign without slowing.
This occurred with pedestrians (including myself) a couple of feet away inside the cross lane.

Yesterday Morning we were stopped at a red light on Masonic and saw a driver in the left lane BEHIND us spreed north.
The light was red before the driver reached the intersection.

Are not the street lights, brake lights, signal lights, head and tail lights present for safety reason?
Do we not have enough auto and pedestrian accidents and injuries in The City?
Will also giving pedestrians permission to jay walk not aggravate the dangerous situations?

Some people will always drive safely. Others will only do so if penalized for not doing the right thing.
Current dangerous drivers with dangerous cars are role modeling our youth.

Please do not succumb to political pressure. Please help our police help keep us safe.

Dawn L Isaacs, 2600 Diamond St, SF 94131

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:31 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Donna B Hurowitz <donnabhurowitz@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:30 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:31 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: Donna B Hurowitz <donnabhurowitz@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:30 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jason Pace <jason.pace47@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:22 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:16 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: JOHN NG <johnngsf@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:14 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPad

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Daniel van den Bergh <danvdb@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:09 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

As a professional working in San Francisco, I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Best,
Daniel van den Bergh

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Peter Ruzicka <ruzickamail@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:08 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Regards,
Peter Ruzicka

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Justin Truong <justintruong56@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:08 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:07 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----
From: James Reece <macreecejr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:06 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jill Jodar <jilljodar@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 3:04 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting).

These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe.

By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Thank you,
Jill Jodar

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Kate Sheehan <mksheehan2@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:28 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Walker, Debra (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: sfpd.commission@sfgov.org, cindy.elias@sfgov.org, max.carter-oberstone@sfgov.org, lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org, jim.byrne@sfgov.org, jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org, kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org, debra.walker@sfgov.org

Subject line: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Helena Draper <helenadrapercr@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:07 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

I am currently a driver in San Francisco and when my lights are not working I don't realize it until I get pulled over by the police. It is very helpful to be notified when your safety is at risk. Imagine the whole city driving without brake lights. If it's too much time consumption for police to pull someone over, perhaps you can create a community service safety patrol to notify and issue safety warning citations. That will make sure the driver fixes the safety issue.

Sincerely,
Helena Draper

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Erin C Govoni <ecard29@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:50 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to urge you to **not** prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

We can't continue to not enforce our laws in SF. They are there for a reason.

Please reconsider

Thank you

Erin C. Govoni

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Melanie Grossman <melaniedgrossman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:40 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Police Commission,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Melanie Grossman

<http://feedback.changemailer.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCk-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QSreCvMSC6qo2vVuvd43c3ZoO-2FSxx3AqwFGdLbE3FwpYjDBi83I4fiRGTupeqNJ-2B9Zg8dXciwa72wnvp3ENrVfy2o6IWaQpP7xq-2B3mBx26e3HHCB3ZCPxAPPZIJR5bod8I3Gib-2B3lL3uH-2FKn825Oh3SiWq0PDSsUVYAtAUw2D3dSYg-2BcmY9N6BXdaGDQivaSYVhwgdtP0cJT-2BU31eLjRWtlkXVaIdDHKHAe2ipwnmhr0SJEJTWDZ5C0kzMr9nBWYF3D0c5hDdxC3-2F0qQUWTjOdTrUpL5qs9GrxbBEXEfayY28DUDiJQM-2BgGwAxKJnvOBLWfUg9Eu-2BPqjx3yxDuyhrT2dZw9XkkzqInOpP8ecED3vjMCUhmKU7bpTNO8Ik47grnaiu-2BcAw-3D>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Edward Campaña <somaprous@gmail.com> on behalf of Edward Campana <ed@somapro.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:48 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Cc: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Common Sense

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please consider my safety when voting to limit law enforcement on our streets. We are already allowing criminals to empty our store shelves with no regard for the responsibility of paying or concern for retribution. You are encouraging vigilantes. If you allow people to violate traffic laws by not enforcing them, you an re encouraging lawlessness. If anarchy is what you think makes sense, you may regret your position when your child or grandmother gets run over in a cross walk. It's a slippery slip to allow violation of traffic laws to go unenforced. We can expect that other laws will soon be ignored. If law enforcement won't enforce the law then we the citizens will. The result will soon manifest itself in the City I love, reverting to the old west where tyranny and lawlessness ran rampant in our streets. Be sensible. Protect the public!
Edward Campaña
Past Police Commissioner

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:53 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Comment AGAINST draft Department General Order (DGO) 9.01 for review

Importance: High

From: Thomas Roberson <tom@robersonweb.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:09 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com; liljessz@yahoo.com
Subject: Comment AGAINST draft Department General Order (DGO) 9.01 for review
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to you today as a concerned San Francisco native and resident who respectfully requests our local government to fairly represent all voices within our city.

A neighbor of mine in District 8 notified me of draft Department General Order (DGO) 9.01 for public comment and review:
https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/PoliceCommission121422-DGO%209.01_DRAFT_12.01.22_FINAL_revisedletterhead%20%28120722%29.pdf

I do not agree with this general order and I ask you to reject it.

Crime continues to run rampant in San Francisco and many businesses and long-time residents are leaving. Why should we be implementing policy that further restricts the police force from using their professional judgment to fight crime? Why are we tying their hands...especially now?

This bill should not pass unless we see data indicating we have an issue of systemic racism in our local police force. I don't believe this is the case, and the bill doesn't present *any* compelling data.

The unfortunate truth is that people of color do tend to commit more crimes. This is what the data shows. It's not because of their race, of course, but because they are more likely to suffer from economic hardship, which induces criminal behavior. This is a terrible long-term problem that I personally hope we can solve over time. However, the general order above masks the problem and is certainly the wrong path.

And I was shocked to see "Banned Stops" item #9 in the bill!:

Banned Stops. Except as provided in 9.01.04(B), a member shall not stop or detain the operator for any of the following violations:

9. Any stop of a pedestrian or bicycle for an infraction in violation of the California Vehicle Code or San Francisco Transportation Code unless there is an immediate danger that the pedestrian or bicyclist will crash with a moving vehicle, scooter, bicycle, or other device moving exclusively by human power.

Where did this come from and what does it have to do with the bill itself?!?! Clearly, this is political support from the SF Bicycle Coalition. Thus, your bill is more political in nature. By eliminating consequences of citations for bad biking, will certainly see more reckless riding and a rise in bicycle deaths. Shame on its authors.

Can we please focus our attention on simple crime reduction? Please help make San Francisco a wonderful city to attract great and diverse people and ideas.

Please vote NO in tonight's committee meeting.

Thank you.

// Thomas C. Roberson
// tom@robersonweb.com
// cell: 415.286.1305

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:52 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

From: Patrick Cadigan <ppc_baseball@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:35 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Fwd: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lou Ann Bassan <louann.bassan@gmail.com>
Subject: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
Date: December 13, 2022 at 3:55:40 PM PST
To: Lou Ann Bassan <louann.bassan@gmail.com>

Please send the email below.

---------- Forwarded message ---------
To: <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>, <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>, <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>, <max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org>, <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>, <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>, <debra.walker@sfgov.org>, <stacy.a.youngblood@sfgov.org>, <sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com>, London Breed (Mayor San Francisco, 415.554.6141) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>, <Hatun@stopcrimesf.com>

Subject: Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws

such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.
What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Lou Ann Bassan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:52 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

From: Melanie Grossman <melaniedgrossman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:40 AM
To: Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>
Subject: Protect our community! End biased police stops!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sergeant Stacy Youngblood,

I urge you to please approve a revision to DGO 9.01 regarding traffic enforcement and to end biased pretext stops in San Francisco. Police use alleged traffic violations - hanging an air freshener or tasbeeh, sleeping in their car, driving with a broken taillight, and riding a bike without headlights – to conduct these stops, oftentimes as an excuse to harass and search motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Studies have shown that pretext stops are biased and disparately impact people of color. Black San Franciscans make up less than five percent of San Francisco's population but account for 26 percent of stops and 36 percent of searches. Since 2018, San Francisco Police Department has stopped Black people at least six times the rate of white people, searched Black people at least 10 times the rate of white people, and was at least 12 times more likely to use force on Black people than white people.

Biased pretext stops have and continue to cause generational harm to the community and can lead to police misconduct and use of force – even death. Daunte Wright, Willie McCoy, Cristian Cobian, and Philando Castile were all tragically killed by police during a pretext stop.

Biased pretext stops do little to reduce crime; however, it increases the chance of interaction with law enforcement which can result in the use of force. Research shows that enforcing pretextual infractions has significant downsides in terms of the harassment and profiling of communities of color and is a waste of taxpayer resources. Please adopt a comprehensive policy to prohibit these racially biased traffic stops in San Francisco as soon as possible, and do not provide SFPD with easily used exceptions that will reduce the effectiveness of the much-needed policy change.

Sincerely,
Melanie Grossman

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Jamie Hiltabidel <jamiehiltabidel@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 11:55 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Drew Lindsey <drewlindsey@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 12:04 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission, I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Respectfully,
Drew Lindsey

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Tony Villa <tvobsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 12:34 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Tony Villa

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: DJD <douglas@douglasdurkin.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 12:49 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission., I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

DOUGLAS DURKIN
C: 415.412.7520

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Paul Conroy <conroy@wans.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 1:14 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Allow police enforcement of all laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not adopt the proposal to restrict police officers' enforcement of laws. The Police Commission does not have legislative authority. The proposal, if adopted, would effectively serve to void ordinances and statutes that were enacted by state and city legislative bodies.
Enforcement of these laws is critical to public safety.
The proposal is a poor substitute for good training and effective discipline, both of which ARE within the province of the Commission.
-Paul Conroy
Paloma Avenue
San Francisco