

From: [Karen Fleshman](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#)
Cc: [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [Maguire, Tom \(MTA\)](#); [Parks, Jamie \(MTA\)](#); [Jacobson, Michael \(MTA\)](#); [Ryan.Reeves@sfmta.com](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](#); [CAC@sfmta.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Clerk@sfcta.org](#); [braitsch@transpomaps.org](#); [Luke@communityspacesf.com](#)
Subject: SFPD Traffic Enforcement Analysis
Date: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:49:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed and Chief Scott,

A recent analysis of SFPD traffic enforcement data has shown that traffic enforcement across San Francisco has collapsed as dangerous driving continues to plague our city resulting in the death of 18 people so far this year.

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://transpomaps.org/san-francisco/ca/sfpd-traffic-enforcement___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiNWM4NmM2Y2Y3Nzk4MjNkNDRIMmQ0MzZlYzJjZTRmZDo2OjFhNWQ6MGUwNDYwM2VkZTElYWFiOGM3YzU4NmRmMTkzOTQ2M2ExOWM5NzEyYzBhYmNiYTAwY2Y3OWQwOWQ0YWRhNzdjZDp0OIQ

According to the Department of Public Health, a driver crashes their car and injures someone approximately every four hours in San Francisco. This is unacceptable and it's past time to take serious action to slow drivers down and make our streets safer for everyone.

Out of SFPD's 2023 sworn officers, only 50 are currently enforcing our traffic laws and those 50 are writing on average a mere 10 citations per day across the entire city, down from 74 per day in 2019. And of all citations written, only ~35% are "Focused on the Five" (FOTF) most dangerous violations that cause serious injuries and death such as speeding and running red lights. Within our Equity Priority Communities (EPCs), only ~20% of all citations written qualify as FOTF which means that the SFPD is disproportionately targeting residents of EPCs for non-life-threatening moving violations such as broken taillights and expired tags—citations often used to initiate pretextual stops.

To be clear, the solution to safer streets is not more policing. Safer and more accessible streets can only truly be achieved by allowing the SFMTA to redesign our streets to prioritize non-car transportation such as walking, biking and public transit. As you know this has been mandated within our charter as a "Transit-First" city for fifty years. However, because redesigning our streets for the safety of people outside cars is such an unnecessarily arduous and controversial process we must, in the interim, enforce our traffic laws by citing Focus on the Five violations on the High Injury Network (where 75% of severe and fatal injuries occur).

Here are three actions you can take today to make our streets safer through effective traffic enforcement:

1. Mandate that SFPD has its Traffic Division focus entirely on Focus on the Five violations on the High-Injury Network immediately.
2. Mandate that SFPD provide updated data and information on all traffic stops/citations going forward, including all information outlined in the Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015.

3. Establish monthly public hearings where SFPD will report on traffic enforcement and, alongside SFMTA and SFDPH, discuss its impact on car-traffic injuries and deaths.

I urge you to work with Stephen Braitsch and Luke Bornheimer, the authors of the aforementioned analysis of SFPD enforcement data alongside leaders within our Equity Priority Communities to institute a transparent, equitable and effective traffic enforcement strategy that will make San Francisco safer for everyone.

Thank you.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 11:53 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lydia Cassorla <lydia@cassorla.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 11:31 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes; missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Lydia Cassorla
District 7

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: angelowong@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:58 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Police Commission.,

I am writing to urge you to not prohibit SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These traffic safety laws exist for a reason - to keep our families, seniors, and streets safe. By prohibiting police officers from enforcing the law, you are prohibiting them from keeping our communities safe.

Sincerely,

Angelo Wong
415-751-6178

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Again, please stop General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01

From: danolley@aol.com <danolley@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 8:15 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Again, please stop General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

2022 Dear Commissioners, Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01, because it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. Order 9.01 would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, most surely creating a threat to public safety, especially pedestrians.. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic, as has been observed on Thursday evenings in the past, therefore depriving children of their working parents who're simply on their way home so as to be available to render homework guidance, be examples for their offspring as cleaning up after dinner, etc. Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present. Now then, I do oppose Any racial bias in traffic stops. But Order 9.01 is the wrong way to do it because this order is dangerous to public safety. And, I take this issue personally, as a "mixed race" person. I strongly resent that non-White folks are more likely to be ignorant of or even to purposefully avoid adherence to the sorts of Policing that this Order would make impossible. Shame on you! Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants can be addressed by officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws and city ordinances. Order 9.01 would only add to the crisis on our roads. And, how are Police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles? * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. Order 9.01 would only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over. * And to add to existing road rage, the order would permit bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up. Order 9.01 goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing. Instead, the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you! David, a decades-long resident of San Francisco and proud that I contributed to returning San Francisco to it's pre-1989 splendor during my almost 60 years of being a proud worker.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Pls vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01

From: J Golz <golz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 7:43 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Pls vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

I live in District 8 and see lots of bad behavior from drivers in vehicles, like driving recklessly, not signaling, cutting of other drivers, blazing through intersections when people are in the crosswalk, etc. What I see will sure lead to seriously adverse outcomes if it persists. Same goes for bad behavior of bicyclists. I'm writing to ask if you would please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

I support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, but this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring

and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Jason Golz
415-279-4659

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Traverse <cheryl@ctraverse.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 7:39 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Cheryl traverse district 8

49 year resident

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: wjaeck@gmail.com <wjaeck@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 6:44 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions

that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

William Jaeck
District 8 resident

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:26 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Calvin Lau <calvinlau@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 6:36 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPad

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:25 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Courtney Klinge <cklinge@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 2:02 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Courtney Klinge

cell: (415) 203-3034

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:25 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Quon4 <quon4@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:19 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:25 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick Podell <nick@podell.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 10:03 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Nick

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason LaMacchia <lamaj39@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:29 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Jason

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nina Schwartz <ninadee46@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 9:01 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: PatR <calbearsph@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:59 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Cc: hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nina Schwartz <ninadee46@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:57 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:22 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: PatR <calbearsph@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:54 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Patricia Hechinger

San Francisco resident

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:21 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Avril Swan <swanavril1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:03 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL) <max.carter-oberstone@sfgov.org>; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 14, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes; missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only

adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Avril Swan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:21 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: ARTHUR WYDLER <aaw215@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 8:02 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.
With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:
* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.
This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to

serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:21 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth <ehosfield@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:53 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Elizabeth Hosfield

Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Al Sargent <asargent@stanfordalumni.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:45 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: John Beighley <johnbeighley@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:41 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions

that cause accidents.

- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.

- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Lou Ann Bassan <louann.bassan@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:31 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

* Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage

of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of their probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. And note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco , and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!
Lou Ann Bassan

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: Norah Yuen Uyeda <yuenuyeda@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:18 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.
- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my Galaxy

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Janet Monfredini <janet@bridgessf.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 7:12 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioner,

THIS MATTERS!!!

PLEASE oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only

adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

* More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?

* More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole.

This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.

* More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone.

Please excuse any errors!

Auto correct can be scary...

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:19 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws

From: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 5:18 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any such bias should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, diversity in the force and leadership, not by ordering SFPD to abandon traffic laws.

Thank you,

Donna Crowder

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:18 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: L P <luke3580@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

I have reviewed background documents regarding this proposed policy and I attended the online meeting on December 7 to hear more about it. While it is well-intended, I believe the policy is very much "not ready for prime time" and will have detrimental effects to underserved communities, the police and San Francisco residents and visitors in general and result in less traffic and roadway safety.

Please vote NO on this policy, until it is substantially improved, and alternatives considered.

Thank you,
Luke Perkocha

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:18 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Chelsea Waite <chelseawaite@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 4:30 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 14, 2022

Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose today's revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01.

With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes; missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic.

Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian.

While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects:

- * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents.

- * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles?
- * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over.
- * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up.

Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing.

What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult.

Please vote "no" on this proposal.

Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: David Gilliam <treesbysea@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 8:15 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Elias, Cindy (POL); Carter-Oberstone, Max (POL); Yee, Lawrence (POL); Byrne, Jim (POL); Yanez, Jesus (POL); Benedicto, Kevin (POL); Walker, Debra (POL)
Subject: Allow SFPD to Enforce Traffic Safety Laws!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 15, 2022

Dear SF Police Commission,

I am writing to urge you to **rescind your prohibition of the SFPD from enforcing existing traffic safety laws** (agenda item 11 on your 12/14 meeting). These laws exist to keep our residents and streets safe.

Under the new regulations, the SF Police Department cannot address violations of common sense laws/procedures such as:

- Failing to display registration tags or driving with expired registration
- Failing to signal when turning or changing lanes
- Driving without functioning or illuminated tail lights or brake lights

Most importantly, the police can no longer check motorists/cars for illegal weapons. Our safety must come first-----please repeal these dangerous new policies immediately.

Sincerely,

David Gilliam
District 7 resident

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: David Aaronson <aaronson.david@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 5:17 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Cc: Justice4 Mario; Phelicia Jones
Subject: Public Comment 12/14/2022 - Over-representation of Police in review of DGO 9.01

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is David Aaronson and I volunteer with Wealth and Disparities in the Black Community.

The following is a quote from Founder, Phelicia Jones:

(quote) "Addressing the injustices of Black San Franciscans is urgent. I am going to call this what it is - anti-Blackness – [in terms of] Use of Force, Arrests and Racial Profiling (traffic stops) . . by SFPD. I've grown tired of talking to the Police Commission, to SFPD, and to the Board of Supervisors. Where is the Urgency? If the tables were turned and these statistics represented white folks, I know there would be an urgency . . . When are you going to take responsibility and address the harsh, biased, and unjust statistics . . . You took an Oath to uphold the law . . for all San Franciscans. As I said I am tired – not tired enough to quit – however, tired of beating a dead horse, and tired of our concerns falling on deaf ears. We've reached out to new sources who find this anti-Blackness . . an Urgency – and therefore we've sought help from Attorney General Bonta." (end quote)

Long ago Wealth and Disparities in the Black Community called out the problem of having police officers dominate so-called public feedback on DGO 9.01 addressing biased pretext traffic stops. It was in full evidence at last week's Commission's meeting that our cautions to Commissioners on this issue were valid. San Francisco police have dominated the working group meetings on pretext stops, as well as the written comments submitted by the working group, effectively overwhelming input from the impacted public. Over 50% of comments submitted came from officers or officers groups (e.g. the POA). Now in the latest draft of the DGO, we see that the Commission has succumbed to officer input - officers who don't want to see this DGO happen in the first place by taking out many of the non-enforceable infractions (e.g., tinted windows & no license plates displayed). They also removed language that would limit or prohibit police asking about probation and parole which disproportionately impacts marginalized communities, especially black men. **Police should not be making "public" input.** Given the content of police comments, it is clear that **police are working to sabotage the process.** You need to fix this.

Thank you.

David

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 12:13 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: njzajac@aol.com <njzajac@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 12:12 PM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022 Dear Commissioners, Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes: missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic. Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian. While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects: * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents. * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles? * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over. * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up. Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing. What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Youngblood, Stacy (POL)
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 12:05 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: FW: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

From: dietlaw@netscape.net <dietlaw@netscape.net>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 10:01 AM
To: Elias, Cindy (POL) <cindy.elias@sfgov.org>; Yee, Lawrence (POL) <lawrence.yee1@sfgov.org>; Byrne, Jim (POL) <jim.byrne@sfgov.org>; max.carter-orberstone@sfgov.org; Yanez, Jesus (POL) <jesus.g.yanez@sfgov.org>; Benedicto, Kevin (POL) <kevin.benedicto@sfgov.org>; Walker, Debra (POL) <Debra.Walker@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; sfproblemsolvers@gmail.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hatun@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Vote NO on proposed GTEO 9.01 which allows police & public to ignore traffic laws.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 13, 2022 Dear Commissioners,

Please oppose the December 14 revised draft of proposed General Traffic Enforcement Order 9.01. With some exceptions, it would ban police from enforcing violations of nine traffic laws such as failure to signal when making a turn or changing lanes; missing license plates; driving in the fog with broken headlights, taillights or brake lights. It would also allow bicyclists to flout traffic laws, creating an unacceptable threat to public safety, especially pedestrians. Bicyclists could also intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road in order to block traffic. Bicyclists would be allowed to engage in reckless behaviors, such as riding on the sidewalk or blowing through stop signs when pedestrians or other vehicles are present – even when there is immediate danger that the cyclist will crash with a pedestrian. While we strongly support eliminating racial bias in traffic stops, this is the wrong way to do it because it is dangerous to public safety. Bias in traffic enforcement is already contrary to law and the Constitution. Any remnants should be addressed by other means, including officer training and accountability, not by ordering SFPD to abandon altogether the enforcement of duly enacted California traffic laws or city ordinances. This will result in the following negative effects: * Increased traffic accidents: Traffic deaths skyrocketed nationwide last year. In San Francisco, at the current rate there will be 31 pedestrian traffic deaths this calendar year even though the professed city goal is Zero deaths, with the elderly, Blacks, and the homeless disproportionately killed. It is common knowledge that traffic enforcement in San Francisco is already lacking due to the shortage of police officers and Police Commission inattention. This policy only adds to the crisis on our roads, including essentially legalizing turns and lane changes without signaling, and other critical infractions that cause accidents. * More shootings and deaths. There is an epidemic in gun violence - an increase of over 70% shootings in San Francisco from 2019. How are police supposed to reduce shootings if the Police Commission makes it even more difficult to spot illegal guns in vehicles? * More crime. Small traffic crimes are often just the tip of the iceberg - criminals convicted for serious and violent crimes are often arrested after a traffic stop, as are those violating the terms of probation or parole. This order only makes the streets more dangerous. Note that there have been 51 murders to date in San Francisco, and the year isn't over. * More road rage. One particularly ridiculous provision would allow bicyclists to intentionally drive slowly in the middle of the road to block traffic without being detained, even with dozens of vehicles backed up. Some Commissioners claim this traffic order will make us safer by forcing officers to attend to serious crimes instead. Don't take this argument seriously. In fact, it creates additional reporting requirements and thus reduces police availability. And it goes against the proven effectiveness of "broken windows" policing. What would make us safer: the Commission's advocacy for and implementation of full staffing of SFPD by adding the 500 officers that San Francisco is short. Instead, this proposed traffic order will surely impede morale and make police hiring and retention more difficult. Please vote "no" on this proposal. Thank you!

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Fran Sullivan <fdsullivan@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 12:01 PM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL)
Subject: Safety rules

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not enforce the suggested safety rules - we have rules & regulations & should be followed by the public - the police should be trained as to how to handle these issues & cite the individual but not eliminate the citations Rules r to followed by car owners!

Frances sulluvan

Frances Sullivan
Sent from my iPhone

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Megane Tromp <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:45 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Megane Tromp <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRck-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QsreCvMSBvEbt32B8U7tGnJHjs7ZLELeeTZ4NFdwZM-2B-2BWGTViwEmU5AZQDeF5TJWddIGOtXH7ohnkkDYRCHtaeyvkCSNm-2BvR4tTuGX4U0z0nMG7Z4qm4uPHrOxrpFGzcJ2itjHPGDtxycGAf4nXlXXmFMeQQO6X21XTDgHETR3PPxi8djOMnBKaBLRh233p1oyrqfUJx80-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Megane Tromp <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:44 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Megane Tromp <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCk-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QsreCvMSB-2FsHReh0fE0n1r7NR3kXbFDcNFqHdBng9BI9L4-2B5vUxB9WuUlaM6FzmiA9kgTMWhVVid9Nn1Zb-2FaJVyCzQocuRIY2tdf33LZsbTXXosTwQquyCmQYUueGAlXZ8iix1jNgBFJqXbey-2FTM-2B8G-2FBdv91wfxBCMqMyzMVyKzQn0TNEWNuahbK1kQGhy5wntTimbE-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Megane Tromp <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:44 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Megane Tromp <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCK-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QSreCvMSAFVnHUKHsIQWQDu8qpeayu-2FwhT9w0KvHJnqUk8FUW4gJa7gSMdK-2FbfprwcGKxwihQWwqmOLGCP3axoFMZjnAJGGMvSXEcfS-2F-2FFg0yN8r-2B77pDp16o-2FJdnGmVKOIPq2Np17HZz7ygAkf3OGHSbsIK9MnN3DMh0MX1o4CeKHfnnnDVInDfKIVDoxKczuY5WmyfkAoRR1TiYABouSAa0rNZh>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Megane Tromp <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:44 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Megane Tromp <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCk-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QSreCvMSBXjSv9O-2F9-2BcQhqZbAsL4Rfo3z6PNlvv-2BQxbWnW5n6w4x78lZajuikDDQKvNvE6L390lYo3NVJkVVdgeJrFiF-2BETolezypo2NbkKWWg-2BMR1h6ZGpZ7ePnkGBDkwQoQNdxZM2QKT8Vb-2FpBFb-2FsDOTsZaLXhfXywSKyeac7qQ706qpyWV6xhISdbU9TzEVXASpFo-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ali Kass <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:42 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Ali Kass <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCK-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QsreCvMSBlrLMFnoMOLIM4DU0CrcpzGg34ZgJnmglgu57gdRFyfOCNwUb4e28mZOVcysbS1gjAlKMo4eAeYs6mnCUrV93gb1Rng9At37w6PmsrHTAQfUM4V5n45jNOnhjWXq5xtfAHAQKgVh09jMrS7bEE-2BajKMuvVIAO37s6Hqw9vVNIBjclWdBS9Ws6ic80kepaW2Pg-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ali Kass <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:41 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Ali Kass <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCK-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QSreCvMSBO1cas22v1r9TbT3e0Gq1dFVzAZfeq9AqgeV-2BQs7d6yz2Jdim4J71kAKGgBrPfCtVB5nVd1IDKb3qkF-2BdoTogZePBYglQuniDM7boqqWfH73URJGP0TIkD2sVsb82LVdkTSvTDAwv0My39-2BkoKYfE6vjynQXFpDvmrYikNGkVpfQX7UhnvdSH26V5rSabJ-2Ffs-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ali Kass <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:41 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Ali Kass <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCK-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QsreCvMSA2EjdRTs-2FMvqhAnVbYUr7CUIY60-2F-2Fqa5zvNOKMmPfs1lXn9A3e-2FLZvDSuwc54y3sxEF7SjglmyXe7q-2FbuBiflD6-2FyXv4YyZQp2AUfKUJG0mxuQazK3nyZaniEr5cl97Twdn9S2cFsC5fzSjL9mUKpGyeOcuNTnnZ9C47M-2FVmA4js-2BSrCiu2nlWQ6PjqGgOZ58-3D>>

Singh, Kristine (POL)

From: Ali Kass <mailer@supportchiefscott.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 9:41 AM
To: SFPD, Commission (POL); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Mar, Gordon (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: We Stand with Chief Scott

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of SF Police Chief William Scott, one of the most progressive public safety leaders in the country. Chief Scott recently raised fair and reasonable concerns regarding the relationship between his office and District Attorney Chesa Boudin. It is clear from evidence provided under oath that District Attorney Boudin has acted unethically, withholding critical evidence in major investigations from Chief Scott's department.

We are alarmed by some police commissioners who are minimizing or dismissing serious allegations of possible prosecutorial misconduct, conflicts of interest, and dishonesty. San Franciscans demand police reform they can trust — and D.A. Boudin isn't trustworthy.

Chesa Boudin objectively betrayed the public's trust — and he refuses to acknowledge it. His own investigator testified to withholding evidence from police investigators, fearing workplace retaliation by Chesa's own assistant D.A.'s. Chesa Boudin refuses to call for accountability for misconduct in his own office.

I urge you to support Police Chief Scott and his leadership. He has made incredible progress at a time when our city needs both public safety and police reform.

Sincerely,

Ali Kass <<http://url973.supportchiefscott.com/wf/open?upn=DzRCK-2FGcHkkHWkga7c3LX0eE6ee9ZIO929QSreCvMSDFcBRCAZdpRAXCv9OjJkn0P7FPRHGDQ8CGnnMnINLqY0rRVpEgnnd1cWFE7DOMDULz8QgN6c7W4qhUF3kZWRHYFNf8bb1-2F98YQdzHTIAM9fOz2BL697JXl1g7teXjlnJ4-2BCzkURJLNyLz3Tyw1tIRrDByB4xuaA4H44PjBeRcQu-2FqfyVY5W3FQEy-2Fji3sbtck-3D>>