1	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
2	
3	
4	
5	In Re:
6	DGO 9.01 "Traffic Enforcement" )
7	Working Group Meeting )
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF
13	WORKING GROUP MEETING
15	TUESDAY, AUGUST 2, 2022
16	FILE NO. DGO 9.01 WG RECORDING 8.2.22
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEHMKE REPORTING AND VIDEO SERVICES, INC.
22	BY: CONNIE J. PARCHMAN, RPR, CRR, CSR NO. 12918
23	455 MARKET STREET, SUITE 970
24	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
25	(415) 597-5600

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: Brian Cox - Public Defender 2 Julie Traun - BAR Association 3 Rome Jones - Community Member 4 5 Wes Saver - GLIDE Organization Janelle Caywood - DPA 6 Avi Frey - ACLU Nick Buckley - Sergeant with Pride 8 9 Jermain Jones - DPA Brian Kneuker - Officer Asian Police Officer's 10 Association 11 Tracy McCray - President of the POA 12 Haven Latimore - Officer 13 Eleni Balakrishnan - Reporter for Mission Local 14 Maria Alvarado - Latin Police Officer's Association 15 Donald Luu - Chinese Chamber of Commerce 16 17 Kevin Benedicto - Commissioner Cindy Elias - Commissioner 18 19 Max Carter-Oberstone - Commissioner Diana Oliva-Aroche - SFPD 20 Adam Plantinga - Sergeant 21 22 Sondra Reynolds - Commission Office 23 Denise Flaherty - Deputy Chief 24 William Scott - Chief of Police David Lazar - Assistant Chief 25

```
APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONTINUED):
1
 2
     Paul Yep - Commander
 3
     Asja Steeves - SFPD
     Doctor James Taylor - Community Member
 4
     Crispin Jones - Officer SFPD
 5
6
     Michael Koniaris - Lieutenant SFPD
     Nicole Jones - Commander SFPD
     Phelicia Jones - Wealth and Disparities in the Black
8
     Community Organization
9
     Angela Jenkins - Community Member
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	(Begin Transcription 00:00:01 - 02:02:14)
2	
3	00
4	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Good morning everybody,
5	the chair has called the meeting to order.
6	If I could just remind everybody that we are
7	recording this. The recorder is in the middle. Because
8	everybody has masks on, if you could please project and
9	not try to talk over each other as well.
10	And we are going to Line Item Number 1,
11	introductions.
12	THE CHAIR: Great. Well, welcome, everyone, to
13	the first working group session for DGO 9.01. It's great
14	to see so many people who I, at this point, only met over
15	the phone or Zoom. It's great to see you in the flesh.
16	And for all of those who I haven't had the
17	chance to work with, I know I speak for everyone, I
18	really look forward to collaborating together on this
19	important undertaking.
20	I glanced at the invite list and those who are
21	(inaudible) last night I think it's safe to say that this
22	group is filled with people from very diverse
23	backgrounds, with very different areas of expertise.
24	But I know that we all believe in making
25	San Francisco a safer place to live. And a safer place

1 to work. And in having policies that ensure that all 2 San Franciscans are treated equally, regardless of race, and other demographic traits. 3 Over the course of these working group meetings, I know we'll all learn a tremendous amount from 5 each other. And I have full confidence that every single 6 person in this working group and every single organization represented here will make significant 8 9 contributions that will help to shape the ultimate recommendations that go to the Commission on this policy. 10 11 So the first thing I want to do is just say 12 thank you to everyone here for taking time out of your 13 day to engage this important work and assist the Commission and to bring all of your experience to bear on 14 15 this important project. I think the goal for today is relatively 16 limited. We want to make sure that we do introductions 17 so that everybody in the room knows who everybody else in 18 19 the room is. We're also going to go over kind of basic 20 21 logistics about how the working group will function going forward. 22 And then we'll have some time at the end for 23 some brief substantive engagement. 24 25 The last thing I want to say before turning it

over to others to introduce themselves, is that it was 1 2 very important to me, I know it was very important to others as well from the start, that this DGO be as 3 publicly transparent as possible. That's why we had a 4 draft of the DGO at the earliest moment available to the 5 public. 6 That's why this working group is also open to the public. 8 9 And that's why these working groups are just 10

one of three principal avenues to allow the public to engage in this process.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I'll get to scheduling after bit later. But we are going to have one more working group meeting this month. And then we're going to pause working groups for the month of September because the Human Rights Commission has graciously agreed to spearhead our community outreach efforts. They have scheduled and planned and will carry out many events throughout the month of September where they will go out into the communities where people live and hear directly from them how they feel about this policy and solicit their views and input.

We will then resume working groups in October so that this group can have the benefit of all of the feedback that we got from the community and to ensure

that this policy reflects the concerns and needs of the 1 populations that it will affect. 2 Lastly, I'll just say the third avenue for 3 public participation has been opening this up for public 4 comment since the draft was introduced. We received 5 dozens and dozens of letters from interested members of 6 the public who provided really helpful and I think insightful feedback on the DGO's specific comments. 8 9 Sometimes specific items that I think were really well taken by everyone. 10 11 And all of that feedback will also be compiled and will also be utilized by the Commission in terms of 12 making its ultimate decision about how to proceed with 13 14 this policy. 15 So, with that, I will turn it over to some folks also to do some brief introductions. 16 17 I would ask if people would just keep it brief because we do have a pretty substantial agenda today. 18 19 So I will start with the vice-president, elect. If you would introduce yourself. 20 21 CINDY ELIAS: Thank you. Welcome everyone. Mγ 22 name is Cindy Elias and I've been on the Police 23 Commission since 2015. I'm really happy all of you are 24 here. 25 As my colleague said, this is one of many areas

1	that we hope to reach and get input from. Both visa all
2	of you in the room, but also the community as large. So
3	we're really excited to get this ball in motion and get
4	this thing going.
5	THE CHAIR: Commissioner Benedicto.
6	KEVIN BENEDICTO: Good morning, everyone. My
7	name is Kevin Benedicto, and I'm also a member of the
8	San Francisco Police Commission.
9	I'll echo Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's
10	welcome and thank you to all of you. And to say that
11	I've sat in room and worked on the DGOs since 2015
12	(inaudible).
13	I see some familiar faces around the room. I
14	can definitely echo what Commissioner Carter-Oberstone
15	said about the transparency of this process is among the
16	most that we've had for DGOs.
17	And I'm really looking forward to working with
18	this group to craft the best policy for the department
19	and the civilians.
20	THE CHAIR: Chief?
21	CHIEF SCOTT: Thank you. Can I get a couple
22	extra minutes to give some context as to what the
23	department has done to bring us to this moment.
24	Thank you, everybody. Thanks for being here.
25	So, first of all, I want to thank commissioners

and everybody who has gotten us to this point. 1 2 We've got a lot of work to do. I'm not going to be long-winded, but I do want to give some context to 3 the San Francisco Police Department's commitment to this 4 work and what we have done to get here. 5 These conversations didn't just start, as you 6 7 all know. Many of you have engaged in this conversation for many, many years and have been pushing the envelope 8 9 and pushing the view on what can we do to change the narrative of the disparity issue in this city? 10 This is not just a 2022 or 2015 or 2019 11 12 (inaudible). These issues have been happening for long, long time. 13 And one of the things that we have done in the 14 15 department to help prepare for of this conversation is 16 really put some context on this issue. 17 And what I mean by that is really getting a thorough understanding of the historical struggles that 18 19 have gotten us here. 20 And I want to spend some time -- just a little 21 bit of time. I know we are have all day to do this, but 22 about a year ago this department started a conversation, 23 or series of conversations, on racial reconciliation, 24 leading -- to lead up to this moment. 25 And really what that was about for us is

putting it all on the table. These are very difficult conversations to have because race is one of these subjects that we have never been collectively able to talk about and in an honest way.

How can we address an issue that has race through the center of it if we can't even talk about the issues that got us here? I think we're not going to be successful if we don't do that. And internally, that has been a challenge for us.

So what we've done is we've created a structure to really have these conversations. And we (inaudible) the policy conversations in these discussions over the past almost year.

I can do this. I just want to show some of the work that has led up to this. And it really has -- took -- a lot of the officers here have been part of these conversations and that's why they're here today.

So -- okay. Okay. So we're going to do that at the end, I'm told, which is fine with me.

I hope that you all are able to stick around to kind of see. Because I think it does put into context what the commitment is. We know this is going to be difficult and some -- some might even say controversial to attack these issues and we are committed to doing that.

We may not always agree on how we move forward. 1 2 But we're going to move forward and that is our commitment. 3 So with that, we will -- (inaudible). 4 THE CHAIR: Thank you, Chief Scott. 5 I would have introduced next Alice Kassinger 6 7 from the controller's office who's going to help facilitate and moderate our discussions. Unfortunately 8 9 there was confusion. She's not able to make it today, but she will be here for future meetings. 10 11 Deputy City Attorney Alicia Cabrera, id you 12 want to say a few words about the public meeting laws? 13 ALICIA CABRERA: Absolutely. Thank you. I'm Alicia Cabrera, Deputy City Attorney. I've been with the 14 office over 15 years. General counsel to the Police 15 Department and Police Commission. 16 17 I want to make sure everybody in the room understands, especially if you're part of this working 18 19 group, what your obligations are. This is essentially a 20 working group that was created by the Commission as an official act. 21 22 What that means is that all the things that you 23 see at the Police Commission will happen here as well. 24 You have the tape recording. You have minutes. We're

also going to have public records. Open meetings.

25

1	What that means is the working group should not
2	have discussions outside of the working group place in
3	which you guys are meeting.
4	So everything that happens is public. It's a
5	public meeting, (inaudible) to be able to participate.
6	You need to have an agenda and the public gets to give
7	their public comments.
8	Along with public records, that means that any
9	work that you do on behalf of this working group is
10	subject to public records. It's subject to inspection.
11	We will ask for it if we receive a public
12	records request and it is your obligation to provide it
13	to Commission staff and we will disseminate it subject to
14	redactions.
15	Are there any questions about
16	Yes?
17	PHELICIA JONES: Okay. So, my my
18	organization is going to be part of this group. Whether
19	I'm going to be at the meetings all the time, I don't
20	know about that.
21	But, however, if I want to go back to my
22	community and talk about this issue, you're saying that
23	it has to be a public record?
24	ALICIA CABRERA: So any communications you have
25	about the work here and this working group is subject to

1	public records. So any communications about that.
2	Now, if you go back to your community
3	organization and you provide that information, I'm not
4	saying that you cannot do that. I'm not saying that
5	needs to be in a public forum.
6	What I'm saying is those communications will
7	also be subject to public record.
8	PHELICIA JONES: Well, I don't know about that.
9	If you're going to have a community group and especially
10	with Cheryl Davis as the director who is moving to start
11	community sessions, so all of that is going to be a
12	public record?
13	ALICIA CABRERA: That's what a public what a
14	PHELICIA JONES: I okay
15	ALICIA CABRERA: No, my job is to give advice
16	on public records. So this is exactly what my job is.
17	And so, as if you're part of the working group
18	and I will defer to the Commission whether or not that is
19	the case, then it will be subject to public records and
20	we will ask for it.
21	PHELICIA JONES: Okay. So that's not my
22	question.
23	My question is, when Cheryl Davis begins the
24	community working sessions which I don't understand
25	why you're having this before you have the working

1	sessions you're saying to me, and everyone in here,
2	those working sessions are public record?
3	ALICIA CABRERA: Commissioner, I'm not entirely
4	clear (inaudible.)
5	THE CHAIR: I think you can correct me if
6	I'm wrong I think the answer is no. The town halls
7	and listening sessions that the Human Rights Commission
8	will do separately that aren't part of these meetings,
9	where they're just holding events, I would imagine would
10	not be subject.
11	But
12	ALICIA CABRERA: Can you just tell me one
13	thing, if they're open to the events, isn't the public
14	going to be there? Isn't there going to be a public
15	So yes, that will be open to the public and
16	anyone is invited to attend; is that correct?
17	THE CHAIR: That's correct.
18	ALICIA CABRERA: So that would be open meeting,
19	so that would be anybody is invited to attend, people can
20	come and they can
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And is there an issue
22	with establishing a quorum and
23	ALICIA CABRERA: No, no. Not with the
24	listening (inaudible.)
25	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, so much,

1 Ms. Cabrera. 2 I think we're now going endeavor to have everyone in this room introduce themselves, which is 3 going to be no (inaudible) but I have faith in us. 4 So maybe we can start with Commander Yep and go 5 around the table, including the folks sitting behind the 6 table. And then we'll snake through the audience before coming back along this table side of the table. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Maybe we let the assistant chief go first? 10 11 ASSISTANT CHIEF LAZAR: Okay. Good morning, everyone. This is Assistant Chief David Lazar. I 12 13 oversee operations. That means field operations, all of patrol, investigations, special operations, and the 14 15 airport. I really want to thank the community for being 16 17 here today and for the officers that we selected to be part of this important process. 18 19 DEPUTY CHIEF FLAHERTY: Good morning. Deputy Chief Denise Flaherty. I'm the acting assistant 20 chief, chief of staff. 21 22 ANGELA CHAN: Good morning. I'm Angela Chan. 23 I'm chief of policy at the San Francisco public 24 defender's office. And I'm pleased to serve on the 25 Police Commission. So I'm excited to see this working

1 group get started. And I've also been involved in a number of 2 different public general (inaudible) working groups. 3 COMMANDER YEP: So I'm commander Paul Yep. 4 I'm currently in the risk management office. 5 evervone. I've been with the police department 26 years. And I 6 thank you for being here and very much look forward to working on these problems. 8 9 Thank you. 10 TRACY MC CRAY: Hello, everyone. Tracy McCray. I'm the president of San Francisco Police Officers 11 Association. Very thankful to have this (inaudible) 12 13 because once this policy gets going, I would rather see all the kinks kind of worked out before it hits us with 14 meet and confer. 15 As you all know, I'm a native of San Francisco. 16 17 I have a family that lives in the 94124 area. I grew up in the Western Addition. I think I could be one of the 18 19 few people in this room who have said they've been stopped by the police in a traffic stop prior to becoming 20 21 a police officer and when I was a police officer. 22 So, yes, this is going to be a very interesting 23 working group. I appreciate all the dialogue from not 24 only my fellow officers that I have sitting here, but the

25

community members.

So yes, we can get a policy that our officers understand, very little gray area so we know what we can and cannot do.

So, of course, all meetings start off a bang.

So let's just get it going and let's just get a policy,

So let's just get it going and let's just get a policy, because the sooner we can get this going, the better it will be for our officers.

AVI FREY: My name is Avi Frey. I'm an attorney with the ACLU of Northern California where I'm the Deputy Director of the criminal program.

ACLU is very excited to be here and reach this point and excited to hear the POA talk about removing the gray area and I think discretion is one of the issues here that we're concerned about.

Thank you.

WESLEY SAVER: My name is Wesley Saver, I use he/him pronouns. I'm the Senior Policy Manager from the GLIDE Center for Social Justice and I am here representing the Coalition to End Biased Stops which consists of 60 community-based organizations in support of this process.

I want to thank the chief. I want to thank the Department of Police Accountability, the Commission, POA, (inaudible) for making this commitment to be here today and all the community members that have showed up. And

1	also especially the advocacy of Wealth and Disparities in
2	the Black Community who have been pushing for this for
3	quite some time.
4	Thank you.
5	DOCTOR JAMES TAYLOR: My name is James Taylor,
6	I'm a professor of politics at USF. I'm on the
7	San Francisco reparations committee.
8	I've done a bit of (inaudible) with Chief Scott
9	and Assistant Chief Lazar (inaudible) task force,
10	including the town hall conversations around the city
11	about tasers, when there was a conversation in the city.
12	And more recently, before Theresa (inaudible)
13	left, she had me serve as a referee for the bias
14	contingent plan. So that (inaudible) one of the projects
15	I changed the total name of it. Changed it to minimizing
16	bias, and that got back to them as bias free.
17	So that's my work.
18	ANGELA JENKINS: My name is Angela Jenkins and
19	I've attended about three different working groups since
20	2017 (inaudible). And I am hoping to be as bold as
21	Phelicia and insist that we have information and
22	representation from the Black community.
23	Thank you.
24	BRIAN COX: I'm Brian Cox, Deputy Public
25	Defender at the San Francisco Public Defender's office

1	and excited to be here and continue this work.
2	I also want to thank Chief Scott for his words.
3	I think it's important to focus on the history of
4	policing and how we got here.
5	(Inaudible.) Where we are right now is a
6	function of (inaudible). In 2022, I think it's a
7	function of (inaudible) so we have to recognize that
8	(inaudible) and throughly to address it.
9	So I'm excited for that work and I'm excited to
10	knock heads (inaudible) policy.
11	CRISPIN JONES: My name is Crispin Jones. I've
12	been with the police department for 14 years. I've been
13	a police officer for 24 years. The last seven of which
14	have been in the traffic company.
15	I'm a motorcycle police officer. I'm also the
16	lead instructor at the police academy on traffic
17	enforcement and D.U.I. enforcement.
18	I currently or previously wrote a version of
19	DGO 9.01 that went to concurrence and I'm here to give my
20	input on how we go forward with this process.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) I work at
22	professional standards unit (inaudible) warrants and I'm
23	taking the minutes. Thank you for being here.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm Sergeant
25	(inaudible). I'm happy to be here. And work (inaudible)

1	unit.
2	And I'm also a native of San Francisco and I'm
3	happy that we're going to work together to get something
4	done here.
5	ERIC HOLTZ: Hey, good afternoon, my name is
6	Eric Holtz, from the community officer (inaudible) unit
7	of professional standards (inaudible) that has the
8	written directives under the umbrella.
9	So I really look forward to some really robust
10	conversations and the implementation of a policy that's
11	going to be appreciated, not only by the community, but
12	by our police officers (inaudible).
13	So thank you everybody for being here.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Zach Tom (phonetic),
15	Public Defender's office.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Lidia (phonetic)
17	(inaudible), Public Defender's Office.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible), from the
19	Public Defender's Office.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible), special
21	projects.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) of the
23	Public Defender's Office.
24	JERMAIN JONES: Jermain Jones, DPA.
25	HAVEN LATIMORE: Haven Latimore, Central

```
1
     Station, patrol.
               LIEUTENANT MICHAEL KONIARIS: Lieutenant Mike
 2
     Koniaris, Northern Police Station, patrol.
 3
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Officer (inaudible),
 4
     Northern Station, patrol.
 5
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Officer Steven Larango
 6
 7
     (phonetic), Northern Station, patrol.
 8
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Gabe Rivera (phonetic),
9
     acting lieutenant (inaudible) for the professional
     standards unit.
10
11
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) Special
     assistant to (inaudible.)
12
13
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Captain McEachern of the
     Mission Police Station. I've been a police officer in
14
15
     San Francisco for 32 years.
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
16
17
               DAVID AARONSON: David Aaronson (phonetic),
     Wealth and Disparaties in the Black Community.
18
19
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Lieutenant (inaudible)
     Office of Operations.
20
               MARIA ALVARADO: Officer Maria Alvarado, I work
21
22
     at Ingleside. I'm also the LPOA vice president. Native
     San Franciscan.
23
24
               DIANA OLIVA-AROCHE: Good morning, everyone, my
25
     name is Diana Oliva-Aroche. I'm the Director of Policy
```

1	and Public Affairs (inaudible) San Francisco Police
2	Department.
3	I'll be working closely with group here. And
4	I'm excited to see (inaudible) changes when it comes to
5	stops.
6	DONALD LUU: Hi, my name is Donald Luu. I'm
7	the President of the Chinatown Chamber of Commerce and
8	also a board member of the Chinese Hospital.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible), Mission
10	Station, patrol.
11	PHELICIA JONES: My name is Phelicia Jones.
12	I'm founder of Wealth and Disparities in Black Community.
13	I'm an unapologetic strong, Black woman. I
14	pull no punches. I say what I need to say, how I need to
15	say it. I don't condone anti-Blackness.
16	And when we talk about traffic stops, it has to
17	be centered around Black people, Black San Franciscans,
18	because we are 34 percent of all stops. We have
19	30 percent of all reasonable suspicion when it comes to
20	stops. And we're five times that of whites to be
21	stopped.
22	And so and what happens is that that leads
23	to arrest, which is we're 16 times that of whites to
24	be arrested.
25	So I sit here representing Black

1	San Franciscans in the community.
2	And for those of you who can want to try to
3	tell me what to say and how to say it to my community,
4	who's been disenfranchised, marginalized, for over 56
5	years in San Francisco, I'm telling you, that's not going
6	to work with me.
7	Thank you.
8	JANELLE CAYWOOD: Good morning. I'm Janelle
9	Caywood. I'm the Director of Policy for the Department
10	of Police Accountability.
11	We're happy to get this ball rolling as well.
12	My colleague Jermaine Johnson (phonetic) and I have been
13	working on pretext stops since the beginning of 2021. So
14	we're thrilled to be here. Thank you.
15	SERGEANT BRIAN KNEUKER: Good morning, all.
16	Sergeant Brian Kneucker of the San Francisco Police
17	Department. Vice president of the San Francisco Asia
18	Peace Officers Association. Also a native and currently
19	still living in San Francisco.
20	SERGEANT NICHOLAS BUCKLEY: Sergeant Nicholas
21	Buckley I work out of Bay View as a patrol Sergeant. And
22	I'm the president of (inaudible.)
23	COMMANDER NICOLE JONES: Nicole Jones,
24	Commander of SFPD Administrative Bureau.
25	THE COURT: I'm Catherine McGuire, Executive

Director of the Strategic Management Bureau. 1 2 I've been with the department -- in and around the department for 17 years. Worked with every chief 3 since Heather Fong. And I've done a number of different 4 community -- a number of community engagement activities 5 and engagement with community on a variety of topics. 6 And my bureau includes finance, technology, and standards. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello my name is Bob Krinsky (phonetic). I am a 30-year resident of the city 10 here in San Francisco. 11 I'm really here simply as an engaged citizen. 12 13 My life has touched the department in a couple of ways 14 over the years. I came up with an idea to adopt a police 15 car to get defibrillators in all the cars years back because that was an important thing to me personally. 16 17 I also professionally do healthcare strategy consulting. 18 19 I've supported a meeting that was looking at 20 community engagement and trying to facilitate more of a 21 three-year vision for the department with the community. And lastly I participated in a taser 22 discussion. 23 24 So, I'll just say what I think and participate 25 right along with the room.

1	JULIE TRAUN: Hi, my name is Julie Traun. I've
2	got at least ten years of residency in San Francisco
3	(inaudible) youth.
4	Mission District I guess is my station.
5	I'm here from the Bar Association of
6	San Francisco. I've done a lot of work on a lot of
7	community working groups. I started with use of force in
8	this room in 2016. I ended up with a lot of hours in
9	this room with Mike Nevin (phonetic) and (inaudible) from
10	the POA negotiating the last version of that.
11	It turned out to be, you know, kind of a model
12	for the rest of the state. So I'm proud of a lot of the
13	work that we've done.
14	I also worked on community policing with
15	let's see AC, I can't you guys are changing so
16	fast.
17	(Laughter; inaudible comments.)
18	JULIE TRAUN: And we did amazing work on bias
19	in this room as well. And yet we are still looking at
20	racial disparities that are completely unacceptable.
21	So I'm happy to be here and to help and to
22	leverage the strength of the Bar Association in any way
23	that we can.
24	Also I'm the Director of the Court Program, so
25	we represent three percent of all the indigent clients

1	coming into court when the Public Defender has a conflict
2	of interest. Forty percent are in youth and juvenile
3	delinquency court.
4	Thank you.
5	THE CHAIR: Thank you, everyone. And I should
6	also say, this meeting could not be possible without all
7	of the hard work and diligence of our Commission staff.
8	Sergeant Youngblood and Reynolds, do you want
9	to introduce yourselves?
10	SERGEANT STACY YOUNGBLOOD: My name is Sergeant
11	Stacy Youngblood. I am the Police Commission Secretary.
12	SONDRA REYNOLDS: Good morning, my name is
13	Sergeant Sondra Reynolds and I'm part of the Police
14	Commission staff.
15	(Inaudible discussion.)
16	THE CHAIR: Also just want to thank Theresa
17	Tomlin (phonetic) for all of her hard work on this as
18	well.
19	I think that Alice Kassinger from the
20	Controller's Office has joined us.
21	Do you want to introduce yourself and say a few
22	brief words about the Controller's office being here?
23	ALICE KASSINGER: Absolutely. I'm soft spoken
24	so I will stand up. But it's nice to meet you all.
25	I'm representing the Controller's Office here

today city (inaudible) for those of you who aren't 1 2 familiar with us, you may be familiar with our sister group, (inaudible). 3 We have many years of experience promoting 4 efficiency and effectiveness in the government. And so 5 that's taken the role of facilitating a lot of working 6 groups inside and outside of the public safety realm. So I'm really looking forward to learning more 9 about how and if we can help you guys and bring our expertise in that area facilitation and agendizing 10 11 (inaudible) around the table. 12 Thank you guys. 13 Thank you everyone. THE CHAIR: Sergeant, can we take public comment? 14 15 SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: If anybody would like to 16 make a public comment regarding line item one, we have --17 due to the constraints, we are going to provide one minute for public comment for those who are not on the 18 19 working group. If you would like to make public comment, 20 21 please raise your hand. 22 Ms. Jones? PHELICIA JONES: Yes, so public comment is that 23 24 I just want to say that I'm very disappointed in the 25 makeup of the room, even though it has a lot of

1	experience
2	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Ms. Jones, I'm sorry to
3	interrupt you, but you are part of the working group;
4	correct?
5	PHELICIA JONES: And so what does that mean? I
6	can't make public comment?
7	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: You'll, it is the public
8	that makes comments, the public comments.
9	PHELICIA JONES: So you are talking for those
10	people who are not park of the working group?
11	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Correct.
12	PHELICIA JONES: So David, you can make a
13	comment.
14	DAVID AARONSON: Hello, my name is David
15	Aaronson. I'm a resident of District One. I've lived in
16	San Francisco since 1993.
17	I'm also a core team member of Wealth and
18	Disparities in the Black Community with Phelicia Jones.
19	I'm here primarily to support the mission of
20	our organization, which is to ensure that biased policing
21	is eradicated from San Francisco.
22	And I would like to just echo the statements
23	that Phelicia made earlier that the focus of these
24	discussions should be around the elimination of bias in
25	stops.

1	As Phelicia noted, Blacks are five times more
2	likely to be subject to traffic stops as people in the
3	white community even though they make up less than
4	five percent of the population.
5	Thank you.
6	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Thank you. Anybody else
7	have public comment?
8	All right. Line item two, naming dates and
9	times of the working group.
10	THE CHAIR: Some of this will be brief. As I
11	said at the outset, we're going to have two working
12	groups in the month of August. The next working group
13	session will be August 25th, same time, same place. So
14	that's a Friday. 11:30. In this room.
15	And we're going to release the balance of the
16	working group dates either at the next meeting in August
17	or before the next meeting in August. So please be on
18	the look out for all of those future dates.
19	But for now you can mark your calendar for
20	August 25th. At 11:30 in this room.
21	Can we take public comment?
22	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Anybody in the public who
23	would like to make comment on line item two, please raise
24	your hand.
25	DAVID AARONSON: David Aaronson again, Wealth

and Disparity in the Black Community. 1 2 Could you state when exactly the community will be engaged in these discussions and how outreach will be 3 made to ensure that community numbers are aware of this? 4 Informed that are accessible to them? 5 That's my comment. We would like to know the 6 7 answer to that. Sure. As I said at the outset THE CHAIR: 8 9 there's multiple avenues for public participation. room we're all sitting in is one of them. 10 And these meetings will continue throughout 11 this month and the month of October. 12 13 Separate and apart from this, as I indicated at 14 the outset, the Human Rights Commission has graciously 15 agreed to spearhead our community outreach efforts. And I don't want to -- they will -- they will post all of the 16 17 dates of the town halls and listening sessions, as I understand it. And they will lead substantial outreach 18 19 to ensure that those events are well attended. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just clarifying point on 21 the 25th it's a Thursday. 22 It's -- there's -- the other thing is that the 23 next working group, this isn't -- we have opened it up to 24 the public, so if there are other individuals that you 25 think would be beneficial or would like them also here in

30

1	the room, please send the invitation.
2	It's going to be posted on the Police
3	Commission website and allow other people to know that
4	they can attend as well. I think we tried to get the
5	word out as much as we could prior to today's meeting and
6	like my colleague said, this is just one of several
7	avenues that we are trying to elicit feedback from
8	various individuals.
9	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Anybody else have a
10	comment on line item two?
11	Public comment is closed.
12	THE CHAIR: All right, Sergeant, would you call
13	the next item?
14	PHELICIA JONES: May I say something?
15	Or is this not the correct time?
16	THE CHAIR: Yeah, public comment is just for
17	PHELICIA JONES: I don't want to make public
18	comment. I want to just make a comment.
19	THE CHAIR: I think in order we've got so
20	many people here, if everyone makes a comment out of
21	order, we'll never get to everything.
22	PHELICIA JONES: The thing of it is,
23	Commissioner, HRC is not doing working group. The HRC
24	is doing listening groups in the community, which does
25	not involve the working group.

1	So I think there should be some clarity around
2	that.
3	THE CHAIR: That's exactly right. And I'm
4	sorry that wasn't clear. Those are two separate and
5	distinct things.
6	So listening sessions and town halls that HRC
7	will be spearheading are certainly complementary to the
8	work we're doing, but they are entirely separate and
9	they're, as I said, many times being directed by HRC, not
10	by the Commission or the department.
11	Thank you for that clarification.
12	Can we go to the next item, please, Sergeant.
13	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Line item 3, the
14	recommendation group.
15	THE CHAIR: Great. So in terms of logistics
16	going forward, how will we get this group to collaborate
17	and communicate with each other?
18	We've obviously got a lot of people here and we
19	want to make sure that everyone's ideas have equal
20	standing and get the same level of consideration.
21	So the format that we're going to try to use
22	here is to have submissions, recommendations, submitted
23	ahead of each working group setting excuse me, ahead
24	of each working group session.
25	So after this meeting we will email out T

1	believe we'll email out and we will also post on the
2	Commission Website a recommendations grid. And this will
3	just be it's a very simple kind of, you know okay.
4	(Inaudible comment by unidentified speaker.)
5	Okay. It's basically a very simple kind of
6	like Excel style grid where you each individual and each
7	organization, can fill in their specific recommendations
8	for the assigned section of the DGO that we will be
9	discussing at the next meeting.
10	So these can be I think I think the
11	preferred thing would be to have redline-style edits: I
12	think you should delete this and insert this.
13	But we would also welcome other forms of that,
14	that are more high level.
15	The plan is that so I should just say, our
16	networking group meeting is August 25th. We're going to
17	basically give everyone here some homework, which is
18	basically to get your recommendations to us by
19	August 19th. That's a priority.
20	And then we will put together we'll put
21	everyone's recommendations into one document we will
22	circulate them. And that way everyone in this room will
23	have an opportunity to review everyone else's
24	recommendations before the next working group.
25	And I think the benefit of this will be that,

you know, if we all go around this room and say our 1 2 initial thoughts, that would be the entire meeting. But this way, this will allow everyone to 3 consider everyone's thoughts before we get to the meeting 4 and we can have -- you know, there will be some overlap. 5 We can kind of compile led comments and come up 6 with more focused questions for the group to address 7 since we all come in aware of what our respective 8 9 thoughts and recommendations are. So that will be the general format. 10 This will all be -- everything I just said will 11 12 be repeated in writing. But that is just a heads up to 13 the group about how we're going to try to structure and 14 organize this. 15 The last -- the last thing -- again, this will go out in writing. But for our next meeting, we are 16 17 going to focus on the following sections of the DGO. So, Section 1, which is purpose; 18 19 Section 2, which is definitions; And Section 5, which is stops and searches. 20 That might sound like a lot, but sections 1 and 21 22 2 are not as substantive and Section 5 is obviously a --23 about stops and searches is a really substantial section. 24 So when folks submit their recommendation grid 25 on August 19th, these are the sections that will be

1	addressed. And obviously in future working groups we
2	will address all of the sections.
3	So when folks submit their recommendation for
4	it on August 19th, these are the sections that will be
5	addressed. And obviously in future working groups we
6	will address all of the sections.
7	So we can take public comment.
8	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Anyone who would like to
9	make a public comment regarding line item 3, please raise
10	your hand.
11	Go ahead.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Zack Tom (phonetic),
13	from Public Defender's Office. I have a clarification
14	question about the submission that we make should not
15	address sections 3, 4, then?
16	THE CHAIR: That's exactly right.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
18	DAVID AARONSON: David Aaronson.
19	So is that open to the public as well? Or is
20	that only to working group members?
21	THE CHAIR: This is going to be for working
22	group members.
23	THE DEFENDANT: And if the public wanted to
24	make input, how would they do so? (Inaudible.)
25	THE COURT: Since the DGO draft was introduced

1	in May, we've opened it up to public comment and we've
2	received dozens of public comments. So that avenue of
3	input is ongoing now and continues to be open-ended.
4	DAVID AARONSON: Okay. Thank you.
5	(Inaudible comments.)
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So (inaudible)
7	transparent.
8	So I think there are a lot of questions about
9	the process. Just, if you don't mind, commissioners,
10	going through sort of how the working group is going to
11	work, the recommendations. Can you talk about the
12	technicalities, but I think it would be just to make sure
13	that everybody understands.
14	Like what the sequence is and the way that
15	decision is to be made on the policy.
16	(Inaudible) so sort of like who's part of the
17	working group and who's not.
18	So if we could talk about the role, aside from
19	the legal advice that's been given, what's the role of
20	the working group members?
21	Do they pass votes?
22	Is there going to be sort of a decision-making
23	(inaudible) decisions
24	That would be helpful.
25	THE CHAIR: Sure. So, I think those are all

1	great questions, Director. So thank you for that.
2	I think so, taking a step back, the I
3	think that I don't want to prejudge the you know,
4	the path that this working group necessarily will take.
5	I think it will depend on the recommendations
6	that we see as they com in.
7	But from a 10,000 foot view, the role of this
8	working group is essentially an advisory committee.
9	It's we're bringing people together who have
10	impressive subject matter expertise from diverse areas.
11	And the ultimate goal is to allow the Commission to
12	benefit from everyone's expertise in this room.
13	So this room is providing an advisory function.
14	At the end of the day, the Commission will have
15	to vote decide whether to have a vote and decide what
16	we would be voting on if anything.
17	I think there can be multiple paths that the
18	work product from this working group can take. It could
19	be a new revised draft policy. It could be multiple
20	options as different revised draft policies.
21	So, I think in terms of what the work product
22	is, at the end of the day, could look a number of
23	different ways.
24	But ultimately, the the role that this body
25	plays is advisory.

1	JULIE TRAUN: Can I ask you a question?
2	And maybe this is crazy, but and most of the
3	working groups that I've worked on, and I think some of
4	the ones that have worked best, have involved a large
5	group collaboration and discussion primarily around the
6	first paragraph, because that frames everything.
7	When we met to talk about the use of force and
8	we negotiated with POA, we spent five hours on the first
9	paragraph and We Only had 15 hours, period.
10	And we spent five hours doing just that. And
11	once we did that, then we could move forward.
12	And I'm just wondering, and in light of the
13	amazing work with did he with Assistant Chief Lazar and
14	the controller's office, where we did sort of a popcorn
15	kind of people weighing in:
16	What's important to you?
17	What's important to the community?
18	What's important for the police to hear that's
19	going to make sense to them when they're out on the
20	street?
21	And how is this going to make a difference?
22	So I'm wondering if you would just entertain
23	I don't know what form that would take, but it I, for
24	one, would love to hear what everybody in this room
25	thinks should be the mission and the vision of 9.01

1	priority.
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you, Julie, for that.
3	I think that's really well taken. I think
4	there's couple ways that could be addressed.
5	First, for the next working group, section one
6	is going to be an assigned section to provide feedback,
7	which is essentially the first paragraph.
8	And at the end of today, the next line item,
9	there's going to be an opportunity for folks in the room
10	to bring comment on why they're here, what they think is
11	important about the DGO, what they think is what they
12	don't like about it, what they like about it, why the
13	issue's important to them in open forum for that.
14	So, I think more specifically, the first
15	paragraph will be addressed next working group. And
16	today there will be a more open-ended opportunity.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. So I'm a little
18	confused on the working group and also on based upon
19	what the statement this young lady has said. And that is
20	everyone in here right now is part of the working group?
21	Is this is that correct? Or no?
22	THE CHAIR: The working group is open to the
23	public and so if you want to be on working group, you
24	certainly can.
25	I can't speak for every individual here, but

1	there might be certain people here don't want to be on
2	the working group.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. So I got
4	it. Next time you're here on the 25th, if the public
5	the public comes in, community people come in, and say:
6	I want to be part of this working group, then they would
7	be added to the working group?
8	THE CHAIR: Absolutely.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. And then
10	secondly, based upon I'm sorry, what's your name,
11	ma'am? In the yellow?
12	JULIE TRAUN: Julie Truan.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Julie?
14	JULIE TRAUN: Uh-huh.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Based upon what Julie
16	said, is that the discussion around one which would be
17	purpose would only be based upon those who would be
18	making recommendations by the 19th for the meeting on the
19	25th.
20	THE COURT: That's right.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So if only two or three
22	people in this room made recommendations, then you are
23	only going to have three recommendations to discuss on
24	the 25th.
25	THE CHAIR: That is certainly theoretically

```
1
     possible. Looking around --
 2
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm just -- it's a yes
 3
     or no answer.
               THE CHAIR: I think -- I would be very shocked
 4
     if there were only two.
 5
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But I'm just saying --
 6
 7
     well, that's what I asked.
 8
               So is it yes or no?
9
               THE CHAIR: The answer is yes.
10
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
                                             Thank you.
11
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm assuming we're still
12
     on public comment about the recommendation grid. So I
13
     have a question about the recommendation grid.
               THE CHAIR: Please.
14
15
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If recommendations are
     submitted by the 19th, will this body provide the
16
17
     department an opportunity to operationalize the responses
     before the next meeting?
18
19
               Or will the responses be discussed at the
20
     meeting?
21
                           So, yeah, the responses will be
               THE CHAIR:
     discussed at the meeting. There would be no
22
23
     opportunity -- there would be no putting any of the
     recommendations into policy, if that's your question.
24
25
               I'm sorry if I'm misunderstanding.
```

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's not my question.
2	Maybe the question is more of when you have a
3	law enforcement will you give law enforcement an
4	opportunity to look at the recommendations and then see
5	what's possible in reality, if it's practical, in order
6	to be prepared to present that at the next working group
7	meeting?
8	THE CHAIR: Oh, I see.
9	So I think to the extent that there are
10	reactions to recommendations from officers who feel that
11	there might be issues with implementation, that would be
12	something that would be discussed at the working group
13	meeting.
14	PHELICIA JONES: So, clarification. So, when
15	the public when you accept public comment now I
16	believe this this was the there's no meeting;
17	correct?
18	THE CHAIR: Correct.
19	PHELICIA JONES: Okay. So then the public
20	would just have that one meeting to give public comment
21	to be part of the recommendation grid?
22	Or will their comment be part of the
23	recommendations grid or are is the Commission taking
24	those those comments into consideration?
25	Because the it's not clear.

1	THE CHAIR: It's the last thing you said,
2	Ms. Jones.
3	And so the opening of public comment has been
4	open since May. And the Commission will take those into
5	consideration.
6	But that is a separate process from the working
7	group process.
8	PHELICIA JONES: So whatever the public said
9	will not be part of the recommendation, but however,
10	the Commission will take under consideration which public
11	comments to take into the recommendation of the
12	THE CHAIR: The Commission will take into
13	account all comments made by the public.
14	The recommendation grid is for individuals
15	participating in the working group so that we're able to
16	know ahead of time what other folks in the room have
17	recommended.
18	So, the the recommendation group grid is
19	to facilitate conversation within the working group.
20	There is a separate public comment process for
21	folks who don't have the time or interest or inclination
22	to join the working group. Their words can also be heard
23	in public comment.
24	PHELICIA JONES: So will we be given a copy of
25	those recommendations from public comment?

```
THE CHAIR: You can get them right now.
1
 2
     They're already on the website.
               PHELICIA JONES:
 3
                                 Thank you.
               THE CHAIR: And they're updated as they come
 4
 5
     in.
               I would say public comment --
 6
               (Inaudible comments.)
 8
               THE CHAIR: I think that might have been a
9
     public comment.
               I think we have member of the working group who
10
11
     just arrived. We're -- would you like to introduce
12
     yourself?
13
               ROME JONES: Introduce myself? Hello.
                                                        I'm
14
     Rome Jones.
15
               (Inaudible murmuring.)
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We all said kind of like
16
     (inaudible.)
17
               ROME JONES: You said why we're here?
18
19
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, A little bit,
20
     yeah. Uh-huh.
21
               ROME JONES: I quess I'm here because I'm
22
     interested in bettering the world.
23
               THE CHAIR: Thank you we appreciate
     succinctness and (inaudible). So we appreciate that.
24
25
               Sergeant, if we haven't already, can we go to
```

1	public comment at this time?
2	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Is there any further
3	public comment regarding the recommendation grid?
4	Line item 4, discussion on draft Department
5	General Order 9.01, traffic enforcement (inaudible).
6	THE CHAIR: All right. So for this item, I
7	just want to give a very brief overview of the DGO and
8	also provide an opportunity for individuals in the room
9	to give any any thoughts or comments or reactions to
10	the DGO as the draft is currently written.
11	You know, really open-ended. So, I'll try to
12	be brief.
13	I think, you know, the reason for many of us
14	why this DGO is necessary is because of the stark racial
15	disparities in this department's stop and search data.
16	I'm not going to go bore everyone with the
17	numbers, but you know, in 2019, for example, which is the
18	last year pre-pandemic dealing with normal traffic flows,
19	Black people were 10.3 times more likely to be stopped
20	for an equipment violation, which are generally low
21	infractions.
22	And overall I think were about four or five
23	times more likely to be stopped.
24	And more likely to be asked for consent to
25	search and were least likely to have contraband on them

despite that. 1 2 How does this DGO try to address this problem? I think that it -- as I see -- you know, as 3 I look -- as we look at the data, a few things popped up. 4 So the stops that were driving racial 5 disparities tended to be low-level traffic and pedestrian 6 7 offenses. Things like hanging fuzzy dice from your rearview mirror. Things that generally didn't pose an 8 9 imminent risk to public safety. And those same stops also shared another 10 characteristic, which is the stops that had the biggest 11 12 racial disparities also tended to have the lowest yield 13 rates, meaning they were the least likely to result in finding contraband or evidence of a crime. 14 15 And so what this DGO tries to do is have a way 16 (inaudible) approach which is by making fewer of these 17 stops, we can try to reduce racial disparities. But also we can reduce the number of stops that are providing the 18 19 lowest return on investment from a public safety 20 perspective. 21 Because again, these stops are the least 22 likely, generally, to turn up evidence of a crime. 23 So, I think this is an area where, you know, 24 potentially you could accomplish an important reform 25 while also improving public safety in the community.

1	That (inaudible) at least the problem.
2	So briefly how does this DGO try to go about
3	that?
4	I think there's two main prongs to it.
5	The first, is it it bans, with some
6	exceptions, making stops for certain of for these
7	low-level infractions that are driving racial
8	disparities.
9	The second thing it does is that because there
10	are thousands of there are thousands of traffic
11	infractions in the code, this is not going to solve the
12	problem all by itself.
13	And so it also limits what officers can do. It
14	limits officers' discretion. Once they decide to make a
15	stop, what can an officer do in terms of asking for
16	consent to search the vehicle. And things of that
17	nature.
18	I want to say some things that this DGO doesn't
19	do. Because I've heard a lot of comment about this DGO.
20	And some of the things I think there's a
21	misunderstanding about what it does and doesn't do.
22	The first thing this DGO does not do is it does
23	not prohibit enforcement of any traffic for pedestrian
24	violation.
25	It prohibits stops for a small number.

So what does that mean in practice? 1 For the 15 or so violations that are on the 2 banned list, you can -- officers could still issue, for 3 example, tickets to a parked car. 4 Red light cameras will still continue to 5 6 operate. Officers could, if they wanted to, and I know there's been discussion about whether this is actually 8 9 possible and implementable right now, but under this DGO, officers could take down a person's license plate and the 10 agency could send a person a ticket in the mail. 11 So all of those avenues for enforcement are 12 still available. 13 I have also heard a lot of discussion around 14 15 this DGO prohibiting officers from making stops when there is concrete evidence of a serious crime taking 16 17 place. That is just categorically not true. If officers have reasonable suspicion or 18 19 probable cause that a crime is taking place, the mere fact that someone has committed a traffic violation will 20 not be any impediment to the officer pulling that person 21 22 over. 23 So, you know, all serious crimes can continue 24 to result in stops. And, in fact, we have a -- you know, 25 a pretty robust exception language in the DGO right now

1	that even when there isn't reasonable suspicion, officers
2	can make stops for certain serious crimes.
3	So I will stop there. And I just want to open
4	it up to anyone in this room who would just would like
5	to say
6	Because of how many folks are here, maybe try
7	to keep it to one minute.
8	But to say anything, really, that they would
9	like to say about the DGO.
10	Whether it's why they're here. Why they think
11	this is important. What they don't like about it. What
12	they like about it.
13	I will just open it up to the floor.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. My name is
15	(inaudible) police station.
16	I hear a lot of numbers being 10.3 times you
17	said. Ms. Jones, 34 percent.
18	Do you guys have the data for '17 or '18 to
19	'20, how many traffic stops were conducted in the City
20	and County of San Francisco by San Francisco police
21	officers?
22	Do you have that number? Traffic stops.
23	THE CHAIR: When you said '17
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Like the two-year span,
25	'18 to '20. Do you have that data? How many traffic

1	tops were done in the City and County of San Francisco by
2	San Francisco police officers?
3	THE CHAIR: So I tried hard to get as much data
4	as I can.
5	I don't have I don't have, but I'll ask the
6	Chief. I don't have, I wasn't able to get '17 or '18.
7	So I can tell you 2019 total stops was around
8	102,000 including pedestrians. About 67,000 of those
9	were traffic stops, if I recall?
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 2019 you said 102,000
11	stops, that's pedestrians and vehicles bicycles,
12	scooters, all that mixed in.
13	THE CHAIR: That's my recollection.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What is the number
15	20-2021.
16	THE CHAIR: They have fallen off a cliff
17	because of because of pandemic.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: A multitude of factors.
19	THE CHAIR: And staffing, of course.
20	The race disparities remain, though, despite
21	the lower numbers.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not arguing I'm
23	not here to argue. I'm here to discuss. Not to get
24	(Multiple speakers.)
25	THE CHAIR: Your comments are very welcome.

1	As a reminder, there are probably a lot of
2	folks who want to say their piece. I don't think it's
3	going to be helpful for us to have this back-and-forth
4	right now, although this
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. I'm that's not
6	my question. Do you have the data?
7	THE CHAIR: Do you want to share with us?
8	(New speaker.)
9	CHIEF SCOTT: I was just going to weigh in on
10	this. So I
11	THE CHAIR: I appreciate that.
12	PHELICIA JONES: I was next, Chief. My hand
13	was up before yours. I know you rank in here, but you
14	know. My hand was up before yours, Chief.
15	CHIEF SCOTT: I just want to answer some
16	questions for the public.
17	PHELICIA JONES: Okay. I'll yield.
18	CHIEF SCOTT: This is just 15 seconds.
19	For anybody that's interested in any of the
20	data, since 2016, we have been required to collect that
21	data quarterly.
22	It's on the website.
23	It used to be called the 96-day report. It's
24	still called the 96-day report, but internally we call it
25	the QADR which is Quarterly

1	(Inaudible comment.)
2	CHIEF SCOTT: Quarterly Activity Data Report.
3	QADR.
4	So it's on our website. It's it's given to
5	the force supervisors by (inaudible) quarterly. We go
6	back to 2016. So a lot of that is what's driving this
7	conversation.
8	In addition to that, there are other studies
9	out there that weren't done by the police department
10	where people have taken that data and extrapolated
11	whatever they're looking at.
12	So it is out there if anybody is interested.
13	It's well documented.
14	THE CHAIR: Ms. Jones?
15	PHELICIA JONES: So what's the officers name?
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Guitanimo (phonetic).
17	Guitanimo.
18	PHELICIA JONES: Oh, Guitanimo?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, ma'am.
20	PHELICIA JONES: You said ma'am. It's okay.
21	Are you from the south?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm from the south,
23	South Carolina.
24	PHELICIA JONES: Because in Northern California
25	we don't say "ma'am."

1	So for quarter one, '22, quarter one, 2022, the
2	number of stops San Francisco Police Department made was
3	3,769.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Quarter 2021, you said?
5	PHELICIA JONES: Yeah, quarter one.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 3,000 stops?
7	PHELICIA JONES: Three thousand, one no,
8	3,769.
9	(New speaker.)
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Did you say 2021 or
11	2022?
12	PHELICIA JONES: Quarter one, 2022.
13	Okay. Everybody, quarter one, 2022.
14	Okay. And then as far as the now, this
15	is our records mainly look at Blacks, Hispanics and
16	whites.
17	And so from quarter since 2016, Blacks were
18	three times that of whites stopped.
19	And from 2016 up until quarter one, 2022, it
20	has risen. It has not gone down.
21	So we are now five times that of whites to be
22	stopped, four times of Hispanics to be stopped, and one
23	times that of whites to be stopped.
24	So those are the statistics. And as the Chief
25	said, they're open to the public. It's on your website.

1	CHIEF SCOTT: Thank you.
2	PHELICIA JONES: You're welcome.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So for me, I think, you
4	know, when you talk about the data and trying to get the
5	most correct up-to-date data.
6	We talk about San Franciscans; right?
7	And I think Crispin could help me with this.
8	So we're talking about Blacks, whites,
9	Hispanics, Asians, white.
10	And then for me, I would break it down even
11	more. How many of those are city residents? How many of
12	those are not city residents?
13	How many of those traffic stops were in the Bay
14	View, the Northern, the Tenderloin, Central; right?
15	So if we could break this all down further.
16	Because it's not just Black San Franciscans, it's Black
17	people, African-American, whatever you want to say, as a
18	whole.
19	But to me I'm narrowing it down to in
20	San Francisco; right?
21	How many Black San Francisco San Franciscans
22	have been stopped?
23	Like I said, I've been pulled over as a police
24	officer and prior to me joining the department.
25	So I can speak to this.

1	And as a police officer, I've made a number of
2	traffic stops throughout the entire San Francisco city;
3	right?
4	And it wasn't based on what the person looked
5	like, it was based on a driving habit. And also the
6	Vehicle Code allowed me, as a police officer, to make a
7	traffic stop for. Right?
8	Now, once the traffic stop is made, where do we
9	go from there? Was I looking for an armed robbery or
10	homicide suspect?
11	Or was I conducting traffic enforcement in a
12	high area that saw people speeding, a lot of traffic
13	accidents, so on and so forth? Right?
14	So the data is going to be largely in and a
15	lot of it that we might have to (inaudible).
16	But you know, am I hearing we should not stop
17	people who we think are Black from driving? No. That's
18	not the answer. That's not going to happen.
19	Just like the young man said about, you know,
20	looking at eliminating all bias. Not going to be happen
21	because we're human and we're imperfect.
22	But we can lessen it for sure. Right?
23	And we can identify those traits that are in
24	each of us where we can somehow stop, slow down, stop and
25	think and say: Okay why am I doing this?

1	Fuzzy dice. I don't think I've ever pulled
2	over anyone for having fuzzy dice on their rearview
3	mirror.
4	But has that stop been made? Of course it has.
5	Right? That's why it's in the Vehicle Code.
6	I've pulled over a lot of people for not having
7	their registration up to date. Some three, five years
8	old; right?
9	I've made those stops. I've made stops where
10	people have blown through red lights and stop signs.
11	Right? I've made those stops. And we continue to make
12	those stops? Right?
13	We talk about our vision zero. Right? Our
14	traffic accidents are way up there. Right? Our traffic
15	deaths. And we can't allow that to happen if we want to
16	reach vision zero. Right?
17	So it's about you throw out numbers. Right?
18	But for me, what is the context?
19	Because I'm thinking about Black San Francisco
20	residents. Right? Okay.
21	Then you can throw in other Black people we
22	stop who may not live here, who may only work here.
23	Right?
24	So, to me, I would like to see that breakdown
25	also.

1	PHELICIA JONES: Okay. Well, you know, the
2	California State RIPA report gives a lot of information
3	that you're seeking, as far as disparities in the Black
4	community.
5	This is what we need to focus on is Black San
6	Franciscans and those who are stopped in San Francisco
7	being Black.
8	Now, Chief, I don't know, I think it was the
9	Attorney General's Office a few years ago, I can't
10	remember what legislation it was that came up where when
11	you guys make stops, you were supposed to report all that
12	information.
13	What body what legislation was that?
14	CHIEF SCOTT: That's the RIPA report.
15	(Multiple speakers; indecipherable.)
16	PHELICIA JONES: So that information, what
17	you're asking I forget your name, I'm sorry.
18	Tracy?
19	So I think that information should be on the
20	RIPA report; correct?
21	(Multiple speakers, inaudible)
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 96A also has additional
23	data that RIPA doesn't ask for and it's more thorough and
24	it's also on our website.
25	AVI FREY: Thank you. Avi again from the ACLU.

1	I want start by saying I appreciate the focus
2	and emphasis on the data as we get started because I do
3	think it's probative.
4	But if we could zoom out for one second, I
5	don't think anyone in the room disagrees that we are
6	stopping more Black people, disproportionately. We are
7	harming more people with that (inaudible) stops
8	unnecessarily. We are killing more Black people as a
9	result of these traffic stops.
10	So I think we can leave the data there for
11	right now and move on to what are we going to do about
12	that?
13	PHELICIA JONES: Thank you.
14	AVI FREY: So I would like to
15	Tracy, very well taken. We should be
16	addressing racism. But the certainty of pieces of racism
17	we're not going to address by training individuals.
18	That's why discretion is the problem.
19	These traffic stops that we're here to try to
20	prohibit, they're not worth the candle.
21	Who cares about fuzzy dice? Who cares about
22	two license plates?
23	And many more provisions of the traffic code.
24	It's not worth the harm it causes to people,
25	even when it doesn't escalate into an incident of

1	violence.
2	It is not worth the harm to the Black
3	community.
4	I agree with you, Phelicia. We need more Black
5	people in here to tell I'm not the person to do it.
6	PHELICIA JONES: That's right.
7	AVI FREY: To tell this group: What does it
8	feel like even, when there's no harm that results. You
9	get pulled over and feel like a second class citizen
10	because you're driving around. Maybe your car is a
11	little more rusted than somebody else's. But maybe it
12	isn't.
13	Those harms compound. They impact generations.
14	That has been happening for generations in San Francisco.
15	And that's why I think this is not about
16	(inaudible) police or individual officers, it's about
17	removing the opportunity for that implicit bias at the
18	very least.
19	PHELICIA JONES: That's right.
20	AVI FREY: So that's why discretion has to be
21	removed. Any instance he said he was strongly in
22	favor of prohibiting and expanding the list of prohibited
23	traffic violations excuse me, traffic stops.
24	Because anything that is not a matter of public
25	safety that goes directly to public safety and injects

1	discretion, will be a vehicle for bias, both intentional
2	and implicit.
3	Thank you.
4	PHELICIA JONES: Thank you for sharing that.
5	WESLEY SAVER: Thank you. I'm Wesley Saver
6	with GLIDE.
7	Just two quick (inaudible). Thank Avi for his
8	words.
9	One, it was mentioned that the QADR data runs
10	back to 2016.
11	Currently the website only has it going back to
12	Q3, 2020. So it's available (inaudible).
13	And then in respect what Tracy mentioned, the
14	not prioritizing fuzzy dice hanging from a mirror, we do
15	have members of the Black community and members of the
16	Muslim community who have mentioned they have been
17	targeted for having prayer beads hanging from their
18	mirrors.
19	So that policy does in some way wind up
20	targeting.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm going to him and
22	then Mr. Cox and then Ms. Jenkins.
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, I didn't
24	who are you representing?
25	WESLEY SAVER: GLIDE.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry?
2	WESLEY SAVER: GLIDE.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pride?
4	WESLEY SAVER: GLIDE.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, GLIDE. Oh, okay.
6	SERGEANT BRIAN KNEUKER: Thank you.
7	Brian Kneuker from the San Francisco Asia
8	Police Officer's Association.
9	It's been talked about public safety is a key
10	factor in this policy.
11	But, going through some of the policies alone
12	of what officers can't stop, specifically under the
13	banned pedestrian and bicycle stops. Riding bicycles on
14	sidewalks.
15	I was born and raised in the city. If you go
16	down Market Street, you go down to Powell, you are going
17	to most likely good chance of being hit by delivery
18	trucks coming out on a sidewalk.
19	There are so many factors in this policy that
20	negate public safety.
21	This policy, to me, (inaudible) brought me 2022
22	Vehicle Code, California Vehicle in here today.
23	You are limiting what officers can do. And
24	it's a slippery slope because we're going to take this
25	much of that officers can't enforce to say now this much

can't be enforced. 1 2 We're San Francisco police officers, we're peace officers for the State of California. Our job is 3 to enforce not certain laws, but all laws. 4 If there is an issue with the law, shouldn't 5 that be taken up with the legislative branch and they 6 should remove that law. BRIAN COX: Yeah, I think there's importance to 9 be grounded and in the history of why we're here. increasing the city the data is (inaudible). It's been 10 relatively consistent. I think that's despite all the 11 12 requirements and all the attention that's been paid to this issue and other issues. 13 And I think that's why we need to -- we need a 14 15 policy to be aggressive, but that obviously limits the discretion. Right? 16 17 Because part of the challenge is I think is, in my view, the harm caused when we stop someone, the 18 19 inter-generational trauma, the feelings of degradation, feeling someone tries to -- tries to -- to -- figure out 20 if you're related to a crime, it's substantial. Right? 21 22 And having been pulled over and asked questions 23 that are wholly unrelated to the stop, I can tell you it 24 feels weird. I feel very small. Right? 25 And that's the type of harm I think that needs

to be centered in this conversation. And I think too 1 2 often gets left out of the conversation. So, to me, you know, I think --3 PHELICIA JONES: That's why you need 4 (inaudible) here. 5 I agree. That's -- I think when we BRIAN COX: 6 7 talk to our clients at the public defender's office, this is the story that they tell. Right? 8 9 That is the story that's in the community. And so from our perspective, it is not just 10 about limiting, you know, banning a certain of number of 11 12 codes. Also limiting what happens after that. 13 We have to be particularly pointed and aggressive in how we (inaudible) just based on what we 14 15 see and what our clients say. Right? Because they're the ones in the community who are impacted. 16 17 PHELICIA JONES: That's right. BRIAN COX: No one is debating, it's been 18 19 consistent. And so I think it would be helpful if we can, you know, really drill down and think critically 20 21 about how can we (inaudible) comprehensive, right? And 22 also substantively reduce the disparity. 23 So I'm looking for this conversation. I think 24 just the last point, I don't think that we should be 25 ruled by fear in this process.

1	I think we should be bold and step forward.
2	The city has had broad, progressive values and I think we
3	should continue that.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ms. Jenkins.
5	ANGELA JENKINS: Okay. I have heard a lot.
6	And I was wanting to just put a real case example to the
7	discussion.
8	And it's a UPS driver, an African-American UPS
9	driver was stopped on Haight Street who clearly felt that
10	was based solely on race. There are, many, many trucks
11	that were double parked on Haight.
12	I don't have video of the case, I don't know it
13	all. But dropping something like that into a real life
14	scenario, was it because well, was anyone else really
15	to blame?
16	Nowadays, the whole community seems to be on
17	some type of neighborhood watch and they see a Black
18	body. They're right away texting: There's something
19	wrong.
20	So I think there's an increase grouping on
21	Black bodies that videos have gone viral of all of us
22	running into all sorts of stores and stealing.
23	I'm an African-American woman. I don't steal.
24	But because there is a backdrop from media to
25	focus solely on the Black body, there may be other people

1	contributing to pretext stops by saying: That UPS
2	driver. She stopped there, why is she there?
3	But, I just had a real life scenario. I just
4	wanted to draw what happened with that UPS driver? She
5	was Black and she was pulled over and cited.
6	That's an example. If you want to ever look at
7	something like that.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She was pulled over
9	doing her job.
10	ANGELA JENKINS: Yeah. And I bet there were
11	You know, I hear the officers I'm speaking
12	about, I can concur with them that you want to enforce
13	that's what you were paid to do is enforce the law.
14	But, for me, I've been pulled over, would you
15	believe that?
16	(Inaudible)
17	I've been pulled over I (inaudible) bicycle
18	stuff, but that's what's bothering me. I wanted to
19	see if we could parcel out we mentioned 96A. And the
20	way you guys updated the website, Ms. McGuire, is you
21	have to go from 96A, which does have 2016 statistics, all
22	the way over to the quarterly data to keep the continuity
23	going.
24	So I do look at the quarterly data. And I do
25	recognize something that I brought up before, and in

biased policing is that there is the cops stopping people.

But if you review the reports that are always in the Executive Review, nearly 39 percent of African-Americans are identified by the general public when you look at the statistics for suspects observed and reported, which comes from the crime database.

It's still a lot of people focused solely on the movement of a Black body.

And I always have been saying from within these rooms we also want to see how the non police officer, the community members, the vigilante on NextDoor and Citizen are increasing the scrutiny on innocent Black bodies.

DOCTOR JAMES TAYLOR: Again, I just wanted to add that even if we could magically fix this issue today through a conversation, you still have to deal with every other part of the whole entire system that has the same exact problem.

So I don't know how you fix enforcement at the level of contact with citizens and then, you know, from the moment a person is contacted to whether they're charged, whether they're arrested, what kind of sentences -- what kind of charge they get, what kind of experience they have in the process, the voir dire process, the judge, the judge -- the rulings and then the

sentencing. And then the time spent. 1 2 So every -- I mean, in other words, we can fix this and be miracle workers and a model for the country. 3 And it's still a completely broken system at every other 4 stage of it. 5 So there's a contagious effect. I don't know 6 how you fix this if you can't fix -- and that's too much 7 for anybody. Right? 8 9 Like you said, centuries. But I think we have to be panoramic in our 10 perspective and understand that if we could actually see 11 some concrete progress around these issues, we still 12 would be behind a lot of obstacles related to every other 13 14 stage of the process. 15 And I also sort of wanted to suggest that your metaphor for the book, the power that be taken from 16 officers in terms of their discretion to enforce the law 17 based on what little part they randomly choose. 18 19 But I think what Ms. Jones and others are conveying is that for everyday Black people, there are a 20 21 lot of that book that gets used on certain groups and not 22 used on others by officers. And that's the difference. 23 As a Black man, I have been pulled over scores 24 of times, LA when I was an undergraduate student. 25 I was pulled over not long ago -- two years ago in San Leandro. I got on Facebook I said I was a Black man that got pulled over by the police and -- and nothing happened to me. The young cop let me go.

I was speeding. He wrote down and lowered the speed rate so that I could go to traffic school and I announced that.

And I looked at myself, and I have two teenage sons and I told them when I'm living my own life -- and I have two brothers that are felons.

So nobody's sell out -- don't try to judge me, you don't know me.

Both of my brothers have felonies. And when I talk to my teenage sons, I tell them that 99 percent of my encounters with police officers all of my life have been positive.

Only one negative I had was right after the riots in LA where I was on the ground in 1992 as a graduate student and got pulled over by a sheriff, a white officer and -- a male, and a Black female officer and he pulled out a gun on me because somebody named James Taylor was wanted in Riverside County and we have the same height, age and stuff like that.

And she, from our conversation, said that -- I was coming from USC, from class. I had my books with me. She said, this is not him. And they had an argument in

front of me and they resolved it and they let me go. 1 2 That's the most negative experience I had with police officer in my life. And I'm from New York. 3 that's SoCal. 4 I'm from the projects, the ghetto, the 1980s, I 5 got involved in all this work when crack hit. And I 6 decided, as a Black boy in the projects, with my mother, 7 grandmother, and all the Black women in the projects, to 8 9 create an organization called United Parents Against Drug Abuse to fight crack in our community. 10 11 Then I became a professor 20 years later. 12 So, for me, it's not -- you know, I think 13 what -- you know, I look at this, it's -- it's a difficult project because if we could come up with a 14 15 solution, concretely, we still would have to go back and try to fix these other aspects. Otherwise it can't hold 16 itself. 17 Sorry to take up so much time. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You know, I want to 20 thank you for sharing your story. Also Ms. Jenkins and 21 Ms. McCray and the officers. 22 I think this is why we're here. We want to 23 have these difficult conversations that we normally don't 24 have. And to be honest with you, we may not get it 25 We're really trying. right. But we're trying.

And I, you know, I know that that's really all 1 2 that we can do. And we are open -- you know, I won't speak for my fellow commissioners, but I know that we're 3 open to hearing how we make this better. What we can do. 4 And how to solve this. Right? 5 Like give me solutions, because we all know the 6 7 data, we all know the problem. We all know that this issue exists. 8 And I have really tried to focus things on 9 getting solutions. Give me solutions. How do we fix it? 10 What do we do? Where do we go from here? 11 12 And so that's the perfect -- you know, one of 13 the other purposes of this working group, the listening 14 sessions, we're trying everything we can to get out to 15 everyone we can to give us input, to give us your subject matter expertise. Tell us what's going on from your 16 17 perspective, from your perspective, so that we can get this right. 18 19 And we may not get it right, but we're going to 20 try. 21 And I really want to thank all of you for being here and participating and trying with us. Because we 22 23 can't do it alone. You know, we can -- we really can't. 24 And we have to have these difficult conversations. 25 And I'm grateful that we're here and grateful

1 that we're doing this. 2 So thank you again for being here and sharing 3 your stories and creating a space where people can say things and be in a space where we're allowed to say 4 things that may not otherwise be safe to say outside this 5 room or in public. 6 So, Ms. Jones. PHELICIA JONES: Yes, I just want to say, thank 8 9 the ACLU. And you are from the DA's office? Is that 10 right? (Multiple speakers; indecipherable.) 11 I didn't hear what he said. 12 PHELICIA JONES: I was like: He's from the DA's office? 13 Okay. So, okay. Now it makes sense. 14 15 And what you're saying is, and I think, you know, as we all move forward to, you know, developing the 16 17 working group, the working group is really never going to be a true working group, Chief, until you get the stories 18 19 of the people who have actually been through the stops 20 and the trauma that they have been through. And this is why, you know, I come in, you know, 21 22 just saying what I need to say. 23 Because one, you know, we have these groups and Wealth and Disparities, we're the ones responsible for 24 25 all this work that is going on now, before.

ones who brought the DOJ to San Francisco around Mario 1 2 Woods' killing. Okay? This is why we are all here seven years later. 3 Right? 4 And so the thing of it is, is that you know, it 5 may not -- all of it may not ever go away, Jay. 6 However, when you look at Black people in San Francisco, the -- the rates are just absolutely too 8 9 high for the population. And then the other thing is, is that, you know, 10 as a working group, right? And I'm just going to keep it 11 12 real. I'm going to keep it 100. Because that's what I 13 do. A lot of times we do this work that we're doing 14 15 right here so you can feel good. See? Because if it was about a Black folks, and as I 16 17 said I'm not anti-white, I ain't anti-Hispanic, I ain't anti-Pacific Islander, I ain't anti-Latino. But I am pro 18 19 Black. This is where I move. This is how I move in my life every day. Pro black. 20 21 And so, if we don't have those stories here, 22 then what we do is we're just making ourselves feel good 23 about the work that we're attempting to do rather than 24 the work that is needed to be done in the communities 25 that we serve.

1 I am a servant. 2 But also, too, is that, you know, with these working groups, based upon the DOJ and the community 3 policing and bias and, you know, I probably been at -- I 4 am the one who helped bring it here, so I follow the 5 working groups. 6 The working groups, you know what? You always put them in the middle of the damn day. You always put 8 9 them in a location where community people don't have access. And that needs to stop. 10 11 If you want a true working group, I can see 12 even you rotate. You know what I'm saying? 13 You can do it here one month, you done already said we coming back here in August 25th. 14 15 So the mind span on how people are feeling and what needs to be done for community, you're not even 16 there. You're not there. 17 It's about helping you on how you feel. And 18 19 until we get bold enough, courageous enough, to go to these communities and hold some of these working groups 20 21 so people can have access to the working groups, then, 22 you know, I'm going -- Chief, I'm going to stay. I'm 23 going to stay in this group. 24 But y'all better be ready for me to say 25 whatever the hell I need to say, because I'm not backing

1	down from the truth.
2	And I want to see a difference made. It is not
3	going to come overnight. But again, you have to start
4	making some concessions.
5	Because all your working groups going back to
6	the DOJ never has taken place in the communities of
7	marginalized people. And that's what the DOJ says.
8	For the relationships between the police
9	department and marginalized groups, then you need to go
10	to those marginalized group. And that has never been
11	done with working groups.
12	So, it's time for a change.
13	THE CHAIR: Thank you for those comments.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I just say I agree.
15	We really tried, you know. I mean, there were
16	recommendations from people in working group that we
17	needed to be meeting elsewhere. It didn't happen.
18	I've said we need to do that. I agree with you
19	completely.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible) debates were
21	completely open to the public. Chief Scott was there
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, the working
23	groups
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All kinds of groups were
25	there. Everybody was involved.

1	Yeah, I know.
2	It was at the Cow Palace. Boys Club, Girls
3	Club.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Those were different
5	from the working groups.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right, those kinds of
7	forums might help, different sites throughout the city,
8	including in Bay View.
9	PHELICIA JONES: So basically
10	(Multiple speakers; indecipherable.)
11	THE CHAIR: Wait a moment. Let's have chief
12	Scott.
13	Let's have Rome has had his hand up and
14	we're already over time, so I think those will have to be
15	the last two comments.
16	CHIEF SCOTT: I think we're all in agreement.
17	This Commission is committed to bringing this to the
18	community. You're absolutely right. The first day we
19	meet, you came to my office and we had it out.
20	That's what we plan to do.
21	So, you know, I'm not trying to shut anybody
22	down. But that's why this these conversations are
23	happening. And the Commission has committed to bringing
24	these discussions to the communities that are the most
25	impacted.

1	We're drawing some of this up as we get the
2	comments and feedback. (Inaudible.)
3	You but I think we're all in agreement that
4	that needs to happen, so either, her, her, whoever
5	else has said it. The Commission is already committed to
6	that.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We tried to get it out
8	of police headquarters, but logistically, given the time
9	frame we had, we couldn't. So today it's here. We hear
10	your comments. We will try to accommodate.
11	Rome?
12	ROME JONES: The thing of it is, one I agree
13	(inaudible). But, like don't put it in community and
14	then fill the room with like the same people who are
15	here. You know.
16	Like actively outreach to the community to have
17	them in the room.
18	And then understand my community doesn't really
19	trust the police department and it won't be easy the
20	first meeting or the second one or the third one.
21	You have to consistently try to do it. So
22	that's one. First thing I would say.
23	Second thing is right. I'm 23. I don't see
24	anybody who's, like, in my immediate age group.
25	I could be wrong. I don't know.

But, like 99 percentage of my experiences with 1 2 police have not been positive. Maybe that's because the police killed my brother in '09. Maybe not. 3 But specifically talking about the SFPD, I 4 actually know the chief. You would say he recognizes my 5 face. And I still get pulled over for no reason. 6 So like even though like sometimes they haven't given me tickets, or I'm not going to jail, I'm not 8 like -- they're not positive experiences because why are 9 you disturbing my day for no reason? Which it's usually 10 for no reason. 11 They got a guy like one, two, speeding tickets 12 13 in my life. And neither of them were SFPD, both were from the Highway Patrol. 14 15 Every time I got pulled over by SFPD, it was almost no reason, usually they let me go without a ticket 16 17 only after asking me if I was on probation. Which I'm not. And it's a violation. 18 19 It's would also say, like, very briefly, let me 20 add this document. For some reason, you made a comment 21 about people riding bicycles on the sidewalk. 22 I see how that can be unsafe. That makes sense 23 I would not tell the police not to enforce that. 24 Also, as someone born and raised in 25 San Francisco with a physical disability, I don't want

1	you riding your bicycle next to me.
2	I don't think that's equivalent, though, to
3	having a front license plate.
4	So like when you put those two things on the
5	same document, I see how it could be easy to be like:
6	Well, this document doesn't make sense.
7	When actually, one thing kind of makes sense
8	and the other doesn't. So, that's kind of silly there.
9	Yeah. I think that's all I really want to say.
10	The comments were kind of all over the place.
11	I came in a bit late so I tried to catch up.
12	But my main thing is if you're going to look
13	for community, actively look for them, actively put them
14	in positions, like, to be involved.
15	And then, like, to be honest, what does that
16	report back look like?
17	What are you going to tell them that you've
18	actually done? And how you deal with their words and how
19	you took them in and what came from them because that
20	usually doesn't happen either.
21	You have meetings in the community and you
22	leave and there's no real report back and there's no
23	checking in and there's, no, like, status reports.
24	But then, 30 of you all will work together
25	every day and have person relationships, will report back

1	to each other.
2	THE CHAIR: Thank you for that Rome. I think
3	that's a great comment to end on before we move on to the
4	next item.
5	I just want to say how much I appreciate the
6	diversity of this room.
7	And like you know, vice-president Elias said,
8	none of this is easy. There's a lot of gray area.
9	There's a lot of good arguments to make on both sides.
10	I heard a service member point out that maybe
11	you shouldn't be banning riding bicycles on the street.
12	They make very good arguments for that why that
13	is. I heard my friend from the ACLU say we need a more
14	robust list.
15	I think there is arguments to be made on both
16	sides. That's why it is important to have everyone in
17	the room.
18	You just heard right here a response to someone
19	else's comments, (inaudible) and coming to agreement.
20	I really hope and I expect there that there
21	will be more of that as we continue to have these
22	dialogues.
23	I know that the Chief wanted us to play a video
24	about the department's race and reconciliation work. I
25	don't know if you want to say

1	(Indecipherable comments.)
2	THE CHAIR: I think if well, (inaudible) not
3	here, so we can do whatever you want.
4	Now, I think the plan I think what the law
5	requires is for the tape to run first and then get public
6	comment.
7	CHIEF SCOTT: Thank you, Commissioner.
8	All right. I'm going to stand up for this.
9	Residents, very good conversation. Tracy?
10	I'm obviously Black. I've been stopped, I've
11	been stopped on muni in uniform.
12	PHELICIA JONES: Really?
13	CHIEF SCOTT: Yes.
14	PHELICIA JONES: That's a damn shame.
15	CHIEF SCOTT: It not only happened to me, the
16	person that got me in this profession, my cousin, LAPD
17	officer, it also happened to him. So this is very real
18	for all of us. Very real.
19	Couple things that you're about to watch here,
20	I want to lay just some really quick context.
21	This conversation is about change and change in
22	a positive direction.
23	We've been involved in a racial reconciliation
24	conversation for about a year in the department and this
25	policy discussion has been woven into that.

1	We talk about the history of how we got here.
2	It's important for us to know that. And it's
3	important for us to make the connection about how things
4	have been and how that relates to this very day.
5	So what we've done is we sit in this room,
6	command staff and others, we've brought speakers in and
7	then we started taking trips to where a lot of the civil
8	rights progress in our country was made. And that's the
9	south.
10	Now, I'm going to and there are several
11	people, of African-American heritage. I want to ask a
12	quick question.
13	How many people who are Black or of Black
14	heritage have roots in the south?
15	Every last one of us.
16	Here is the connection of why we started this
17	in the south.
18	There's plenty of stories to tell about
19	San Francisco. We've done that too.
20	But the things that I learned, the things that
21	me and you learned, and the things that were happening in
22	the south when our parents and our grandparents were
23	coming up. That was my first impression about police and
24	what to be aware of.
25	And I grew up down there.

So we've been taking officer and community 1 2 members on these journeys we've done two, we plan three 3 more. And this really is about understanding where 4 we've been. And I appreciate if you don't understand the 5 history, don't remember it, we're bound to repeat it. 6 I think that's true. Here's another thing. Many of us that consider 8 9 ourselves to be leaders in this room, when you go and you see people that have laid their lives on the line, and I 10 mean literally, laid their lives on the line to change 11 12 the way things are, this is really nothing. 13 None of us are going to walk out of this 14 building and probably get shot because we're in here 15 arguing about traffic stops. We talked to people who lost their loves ones. 16 17 We talked to people who were maimed because they were trying to make change just to vote, just to end police 18 19 brutality. If that doesn't motivate us to be bold, I don't 20 know what else will. 21 22 So we're trying to hit this from all angles. 23 want you to see this because I want you to understand 24 what this department's commitment is to this issue. 25 And we can talk about data all day long.

1	sometimes you get vaunted and it just becomes a number on
2	a page.
3	All this is connected to people.
4	So that's what this journey is about. And I
5	want to lay this context because this work, to me, is
6	what really is going to lay our foundation to really have
7	an understanding of where we are and how we got here.
8	And what we need to do to get ourselves in a better
9	place.
10	I don't think we're going to come up with all
11	the answers about how to fix everything. But we can fix
12	some things.
13	So this is about a 13-minute video.
14	Hope you I hope you get some value from
15	seeing what we've done.
16	(Video played.)
17	THE LADY: Can you turn it up?
18	(Video continues playing.)
19	CHIEF SCOTT: So this is ongoing. And let's
20	for the two trips that we've been on, we had community
21	have community part of the process.
22	We need some funding to get it started and
23	we're trying to get funding at this moment, but this is
24	really what this work is about.
25	(Inaudible.) we're not going to agree on

everything. But we have to move forward. 1 2 It's not about popularity, it's not about who likes it and who doesn't. It's about are we willing to 3 do what we need to do to address these issues as much as 4 we can do in this city and work for both sides of public 5 safety. 6 Not just addressing the disparity, but getting the officers who have done the work a means to do that. 8 9 In a thoughtful manner. So thank you for allowing me to play that. 10 Ι hope it gives you some context about how we are trying to 11 12 address these issues around really understanding 13 everything that goes into this. 14 It's not just a narrow conversation. 15 Thank you. Thank you, Chief, for that context 16 THE CHAIR: 17 and perspective. I think we all really appreciate that. PHELICIA JONES: You need some funding, Chief. 18 19 I think that's really good, that you do this again. You need to find some funding. 20 21 CHIEF SCOTT: Thank you. 22 PHELICIA JONES: Maybe we can help on that. DOCTOR JAMES TAYLOR: The academic in me is 23 24 screaming out. I think the SFPD is the third police 25 department in the country's history, behind Boston and

NYPD. And there's a fascinating California history of 1 law enforcement with SFPD, LAPD. 2 Race is different in California than it was in 3 Blacks weren't a major focus of racism here 4 until the 1940s, even though there's some evidence in the 5 1840s. But clearly the 1940s. 6 So it's just a policing -- I try to teach my students in my classes, evolves differently in different 8 9 regions. 10 The south is one way. Everybody says slave patrols. That's not accurate here. Slaves patrols are 11 12 not accurate in Boston or New York. New York comes out of (inaudible) system of 13 Right? And Boston and New York have their 14 15 different reputations. SFPD emerges, LAPD comes. So SFPD to me is a unique police department in 16 17 American history, because it was one of the earliest. But because the west coast being so far removed from the 18 19 east. And Blacks were back east. So the racial policing here was not focused on Blacks until much later. And so 20 it's very young still. It's still very young. Racial 21 22 policing in San Francisco, focused on Blacks, black focus. 23 24 But, if you go back further, it's Mexicans, and Indians and Chinese people who were the targets of 25

1	exclusionary laws, of gentleman's agreements, of
2	internment.
3	So I just I loved this. I also hope we can
4	do a video about the west coast nature of race and
5	policing because it's culturally different than
6	Black-white. It's it's blue versus brown, yellow,
7	red. Where Alabama, Chicago, New York, Philly, it might
8	be Black and blue.
9	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Very well taken.
10	That's part of the division.
11	(Multiple speakers; indecipherable.)
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Happy to work with you
13	one on one. And happy to talk about future funding.
14	That is what we wanted (inaudible) as a native
15	San Franciscan and someone that identifies as indigenous.
16	First thing I appear here was, you know, the
17	context here is indigenous and Latino issues.
18	So I completely agree (inaudible.)
19	Chief, we're headed there.
20	Hopefully we can (inaudible.)
21	So happy to be
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was just pointed
23	out by Professor Taylor, and all the Black people in this
24	room, our last names are the names of people who owned
25	our family; right?

1	So we don't even have our original last names.
2	For some of us, we can't even trace very few
3	of us can even trace our origins in this country; right?
4	So our experience, even in the positions that
5	we are in right now, are unique. Just what you said,
6	right?
7	Just what the chief said.
8	You know, we're what Ms. Jones said,
9	Mr. Cox, Ms. Jenkins said, right? Our experiences are
10	varied and they do speak to the communities that we've
11	grown up in whether it be here or other places.
12	But it's a unique experience.
13	And race is at the forefront of all of this.
14	Right?
15	And we're not running from it. We're not
16	hiding from it.
17	Even me being a Black in a association that is
18	predominantly white and male. Right? Where else would
19	that happen? Right?
20	So all these things are out there that, yes, we
21	need to talk about. And we need to have these tough
22	conversations, because it is real.
23	(Multiple speakers; indecipherable.)
24	DOCTOR JAMES TAYLOR: Anti-Black term occurs
25	when Blacks come.

That's when -- from the south. 1 2 So there is a direct southern connection. As Blacks migrate, all of a sudden, the yellow, red and 3 brown person is less a threat and it turns to more Black. 4 So SFPD's reputation is really since the '40s, 5 about '43 on. 6 It's so young, we can correct it. Black -- that's the point I'm trying to make. 8 9 Whites should think about this, it's relatively young with this anti-Black emerges when Blacks come here. And 10 there were no ghettos. They created ghettos when there 11 12 were none. Right? And things of that sort. 13 14 So, I think if you can sort of acknowledge 15 that, the use of this racism, perhaps we can begin to think about the fact that it's not permanent and it can 16 be eradicated. 17 Thank you everyone. 18 THE CHAIR: I really 19 appreciate all of this additional conversation. 20 We are -- I know we kept everyone here well 21 over time. There will be many more of these working 22 groups, where we can continue having these discussions. 23 And I just want to reiterate again, you know, 24 what everyone's already said. I want to express my deep 25 thanks.

1	PHELICIA JONES: Can I just say, if we're going
2	to be long, I don't mind catering lunch, because you all
3	gotta eat.
4	Two and three hours. I'll foot the bill for
5	you know. We don't want to get hangry.
6	(Multiple speakers; indecipherable.)
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's take one comment
8	and recommend adjournment.
9	THE CHAIR: There's no need to stay.
10	There's no need to stay for public comment,
11	folks, obviously. But we will comply with our public
12	meeting laws.
13	SERGEANT YOUNGBLOOD: Anyone want to make a
14	public comment regarding line item 4?
15	There is no public comment.
16	Line item 5, adjournment.
17	Meeting adjourned.
18	(Meeting concluded.)
19	000
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	