SAN FRANCISCO

Housing Conservatorship Working Group

MEETING 16 • August 15, 2022 • 1:00-2:30PM • Zoom Platform



Land acknowledgement

• We acknowledge that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the Indigenous stewards of this land, and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost, nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the Ancestors, Elders, and Relatives of the Ramaytush Ohlone community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples.



- Welcome & Agenda Review
- Implementation update
- Evaluation Update
- Data for State Report
- Public Comment
- Closing & Next Steps



Established by BOS Ordinance 108-19

Purpose

- Conduct evaluation on effectiveness of Housing Conservatorship implementation, and submit reports to the BOS, Mayor, and State Legislature, as required
 - Annual report to BOS and Mayor by January 21 each year
 - Annual reports to the State by January 1 each year



- There have been 107 people with 5+ 5150s in FY 21/22
- 64% have been assessed for coordinated entry
- 45% have accepted and been connected to voluntary care
- 13% have been placed on a conservatorship
- 15% were referred to AOT
- 56% received support and are no longer on the pathway to housing conservatorship
- 65% were discussed in multisystem case conferences to create a plan to support

Evaluation Update





Evaluation update: What we aiming to accomplish this year

Building on existing evaluation activities:

- Maintain efforts to better track and analyze 5150 holds (i.e. more complete and unduplicated counts)
- Explore additional options for assessing appropriateness of peace officer involvement

With rollout of housing conservatorship:

- Include direct input from individuals conserved
- Better understand the ways in which voluntary services are offered to individuals before they are conserved
- Prioritize evaluation activities on the impact on San Francisco's African American residents





The report to the State Legislature is to include findings on
12 core indicators related to the impact of Housing
Conservatorship on conserved individuals





(1) Number and status of persons conserved

- 1 petitions filed during FY 21/22
- 2 people were on a conservatorship at the end of the fiscal year
- These individuals are still under conservatorship, so the effectiveness has not yet been determined.





(2) Service planning and delivery process

- SFPDH is working with existing providers, the hospital and the conservator's office to determine client's needs and how best to support them.
- (3) Number of persons conserved who are placed in locked, acute psychiatric, hospital, rehabilitation, transitional, board and care, or any other facilities or housing types
- Services provided to two individuals include intensive case management, psychiatric respite, psychiatry, being placed in supportive housing with wraparound services, and placement in a board and care facility.





(4) Number of persons conserved placed in another county

None

(5) Number of persons who receive permanent supportive housing

 Clinically appropriate supportive housing is being evaluated, but as both conservatees are still involved in the program, they have not been placed yet in permanent housing as stabilization is the focus.





- (6) Number of persons able to maintain housing
- Not applicable
- (7) Number of persons who successfully complete substance use disorder treatment programs
- None at this time
- (8) Incidence and rate of persons who have been detained subsequent to termination of conservatorship
- Not applicable





(9) Analysis of demographic data of persons conserved

To come

(10) Analysis of effectives of placement and services

Not applicable

(11) Substance use relapse rate

Not applicable

(12) Number of deaths

Not applicable





Next meeting

 Review data for the Board of Supervisors/Mayor's Office report, including:

Requirement

- 1. **Number and status of persons** who have been recommended for a Housing Conservatorship, evaluated for eligibility for a Housing Conservatorship, and/or conserved
- 2. The **effectiveness of these conservatorships** in addressing the short and long term needs of those persons, including a description of the services they received
- 3. The **impact of conservatorships** established on existing conservatorships and on mental health programs provided by the city
- 4. The **number of detentions for evaluation and treatment** under Section 5150 that occurred in SF during the evaluation period, broken down by the type of authorized person who performed the detention
- 5. Where a detention under Section 5150 was performed by a peace officer, an explanation as to **why the peace officer was the appropriate person** to perform the detention



Next meeting

- Review data for the Board of Supervisors/Mayor's Office report, including:
 - Racial/ethnic demographic comparison of general SF population, individuals seen at PES, and individuals under conservatorship
 - Overview information of individuals seen at PES
 - Additional data from SFPD on 5150s initiated by peace officers, including reported reasons for 5150





PUBLIC COMMENT



Monday October 17, 2022- Review of data for BoS/Mayor report

November 14, 2022- Review of additional data and State report

December 12, 2022- Review of BoS/Mayor report



Email: Housing.Conservatorship-Workgroup@sfdph.org

Website Updates: https://sf.gov/information/housing-conservatorship

Housing Conservatorship Working Group