

Close Juvenile Hall Working Group

Data and Needs Subcommittee

San Francisco Juvenile Hall

Population Profile Report

June 2020

Subcommittee Members:

- Daniel Macallair; Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ), Chair
- Meredith Desautels; Youth Law Center, Co-chair
- Patricia Lee; San Francisco Public Defenders Office, Juvenile Division
- Denise Coleman; Huckleberry Youth Programs
- Maria McKee; San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department
- Christina Gomez; Young Women's Freedom Center (YWFC)
- Allison Magee; Zellerbach Foundation

Committee Consultants:

- Laura Ridolfi and Clarence Ford; W. Haywood Burns Institute (BI)
- David Muhammed; National Center for Criminal Justice Reform (NCJR)

Research Volunteer: Mike Males PhD, Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice

Data and Needs Assessment Report #1: Juvenile Hall Overview

This brief report is the first in a series of reports that will be issued by the by the Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee of the Close Juvenile Hall Work Group (CJHWG).

This report first responds to the CJHWG request for a “Population profile over the last 6-12 months that enumerates the numbers,” including but not limited to youth detained for 707b offenses, specific offenses, gender identity, race/ethnicity, age and zip code. According to the CJHWG, the information is imperative as other subcommittees rely on the data to move forward. Data in this report include averages of daily snapshots and trends from March 2019 to April 2020 based on monthly reports issued by the San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department (SFJPD, 2020)¹.

Additionally, the report provides an overview of important trends in the use of San Francisco’s Juvenile Hall using official data gathered from 200 annual and monthly SFJPD reports issued from 1990 through March 2020. Understanding changes in the use of juvenile hall over this longer time period will inform the development of the most effective strategies for moving forward.

San Francisco Juvenile Hall Population Profile

Table 1. Juvenile Hall Snapshot Summary Table, (Twelve-month Rounded Average)


		Pre-COVID -19		Transition month		Post -COVID 19		
		Mar2019 - Feb 2020		March 2020		April 2020		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
Overall Average	Referrals	82		42		31		
	Juvenile Hall Admissions	52		33		22		
	Average Daily Population (ADP)	35		21		13		
Snapshot Average	Offense Categories	707(b) Felony	13	37%	5	29%	4	36%
		Other Felony	8	23%	4	24%	2	18%
		Misdemeanor	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%
		Technical Violations or Warrants	13	36%	8	47%	5	45%
		<i>Total</i>	35	100%	17	100%	11	100%
	Race/Ethnicity	White	1	5%	1	6%	1	9%
		Black	22	62%	10	59%	8	73%
		Latino	7	21%	4	24%	1	9%
		API	4	11%	2	12%	0	0%
		Other	1	2%	0	0%	1	9%
		<i>Total</i>	35	100%	17	100%	11	100%
	Gender	Girls	8	23%	2	12%	1	9%
		Boys	27	77%	15	88%	10	91%
		<i>Total</i>	35	100%	17	100%	11	100%
	Age	12 and Under	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		13	3	7%	0	0%	1	9%
		14	4	11%	2	12%	1	9%
		15	8	23%	4	24%	1	9%
		16	7	19%	4	24%	2	18%
		17	12	35%	4	24%	3	27%
18+		2	5%	3	18%	3	27%	
<i>Total</i>		35	100%	17	100%	11	100%	

¹ A year of monthly snapshot reports from March 2019 through February 2020 were used to provide the CJHWG a picture of the juvenile hall population prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. March and April 2020 data are included in a monthly snapshot trends. San Francisco Juvenile Probation has informed the Needs Assessment Subcommittee that offense categorization in these reports may be based on outdated methods that in some instances, mis-categorize crime types; under-count warrants and other technical violations; and, exclude the underlying charges associated with Ramey Warrants.

Majority of Youth are Detained for Technical Violations, Warrants and Non-Violent Offenses

Policies and practices instituted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic have affected the number of youth in juvenile hall in recent months, but prior to COVID-19, the number of youth detained in juvenile hall was already declining. The average number of youth in juvenile hall during the twelve months prior to COVID-19 was 32 youth. **On average, youth with warrants or accused of technical violations, misdemeanors or non-violent felonies account for 63 percent of youth detained.** Youth accused of crimes listed in Welfare and Institutions Code (W.I.C.) 707(b)² account for 37 percent of youth detained (an average of 12 youth in the 12 months prior to COVID-19).


Figure 1. Juvenile Hall Snapshot Offense Categories of Youth Detained, March 2019-April 2020.



Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly reports.

Greatest Proportion of Detention for “Technical Violations or Warrants” are “Warrant 602”

Figure 2. Juvenile Hall Average of Snapshot for Youth Detained for Technical Violations or Warrants, March 2019-February 2020.



An average of 13 youth were detained for a non-new law violation over the course of the last 12 months. Nearly half (44%) of those youth were detained on a “Warrant 602,” and an additional 27% of those youth were detained because of a home detention or home supervision violation under W.I.C. 628.1.


Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly reports.

² Law requires that probation hold a youth in custody prior judicial review if the youth is fourteen years of age and has been accused of an offense listed in Welfare and Institutions Code (W.I.C.) 707(b).

Despite Numeric Reductions, Significant Racial and Ethnic Disparity Persists

Significant racial and ethnic disparities persist in San Francisco’s juvenile hall. Whereas Black youth represent only 8 percent of the youth population, they represent 61 percent of youth detained. Comparing the likelihood of detention based on twelve months of juvenile hall snapshots, for every one White youth detained, there are 49 Black youth detained, 6 Latino youth detained and 2 Asian or Pacific Islander youth detained.


Figure 3. Percent of Youth in San Francisco Youth Population (ages 10-17), 2018. Percent of Youth in Juvenile Hall and Disparity in Likelihood of Detention, March 2019- February 2020.



Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly reports.

Gender

Figure 4. Average number of girls and boys in San Francisco Juvenile Hall, March 2019- February 2020.




On average there were 8 girls (23% of total) and 27 boys (77% of the total) in juvenile hall.

Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly reports.

Age

Figure 5. Average number of youth in San Francisco Juvenile Hall by Age, March 2019- February 2020.



On average, there were 3 youth who were 13 years old; 4 youth who were 14 years old; 8 youth who were 15 years old; 7 youth who were 16 years old; 12 youth who were 17 year old; and 2 youth who were 18+ years old detained in juvenile hall.

Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly reports.

Residence Zip Code

Figure 6. Resident Zip Codes of Youth Booked into Juvenile Hall, 2019.

In 2019, more than one-third (1/3) of youth booked into Juvenile Hall (130 youth out of a total 331 youth or 39 percent of all youth booked) were not San Francisco residents or had unavailable zip code information. Of the remaining youth, the majority resided in five communities including Bayview Hunters Point (51 youth), Inner Mission (13 youth), Potrero Hill (13 youth), Visitation Valley/Portola (18 youth), and Western Addition and Tenderloin/ Western Addition (20 youth).




Table 2. San Francisco Unduplicated Counts of Juvenile Hall Bookings by Geographic Residence of Youth (2014, 2019).

Zip Code	Neighborhood	2014 Volume	2014 Percentage	2019 Volume	2019 Percentage	Percent Change 2014-2019
94124	Bayview/Hunters Point	83	22%	51	15%	-39%
94110	Inner Mission	26	7%	13	4%	-50%
94103	South of Market	13	3%	11	3%	-15%
94134	Visitation Valley/Portola	33	9%	18	5%	-45%
94102	Tenderloin/Western Addition	6	2%	6	2%	0%
94112	Ingleside/Excelsior	24	6%	11	3%	-54%
94115	Western Addition	25	7%	14	4%	-44%
94133	North Beach	8	2%	7	2%	-13%
94107	Potrero Hill	13	3%	13	4%	0%
94130	Treasure Island	15	4%	3	1%	-80%
94117	Haight Ashbury/L. Western Addition	6	2%	3	1%	-50%
94131	Twin Peaks/Glen Park	2	1%	0	0%	-100%
94132	Lake Merced	3	1%	4	1%	33%
94127	Miraloma/Sunnyside	1	0%	1	0%	0%
94116	Parkside	4	1%	2	1%	-50%
94109	Russian Hill/Polk Gulch	4	1%	2	1%	-50%
94121	Outer Richmond	5	1%	2	1%	-60%
94122	Sunset	2	1%	1	0%	-50%
94108	Chinatown	1	0%	1	0%	0%
94111	Telegraph Hill/Waterfront	0	0%	0	0%	
94114	Castro/Eureka Valley	0	0%	1	0%	
94118	Inner Richmond	4	1%	1	0%	-75%
94123	Marina/Cow Hollow	1	0%	0	0%	-100%
	Non-San Francisco and Unavailable	100	26%	130	39%	30%
	Total	379	100%	331	100%	-13%

Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), annual reports.

San Francisco Juvenile Hall Annual Trends


While the recent population profile provides important information for CJHWG subcommittees, longer range trends provide important context for the work, as well.

Major Decrease in Incarcerated Youth

The social distancing policies instituted to control the Corona virus (COVID-19) in the Bay Area as of March 17, 2020, have affected the number of youth in the justice system in San Francisco. However, recently implemented policies, which affected the total youth detained in March and April 2020, account for a small proportion of the City’s overall reduction in incarcerated youth since the mid-1990s.

San Francisco youth were being locked up in state and local facilities in record low numbers even before COVID-19 policies were implemented (Figure 7). From a peak of 193 in Juvenile Hall and Log Cabin Ranch³ in 1996, the number of youth incarcerated locally fell to 26 as of February 29, 2020 (before COVID-19 policies took effect) and 11 as of April 30, 2020⁴. This decline in local incarceration of youth accompanies an even larger decline in state incarceration, which fell from a peak of 108 in 1995 to 82 in 2000 and just six as of April 30, 2020.

Figure 7. Average monthly Local Juvenile Hall/Camp admissions and State CYA/DJJ Admissions, 1997-2020 (April)



Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly and annual reports.

Despite Significant Reductions in Detention Rates, Racial and Ethnic Disparities are Increasing


The rate at which youth are securely detained has decreased across demographics since 2006, but the reduction in detention for White youth outpaced that of youth of color resulting in an increase in the relative likelihood of detention or disparity gap. For example:

- For White youth, the rate of detention decreased by 79% (from 4.3 per 1000 in 2006 to 1.3 per 1000 in 2018)
- For Black youth, the rate of detention decreased by 47% (from 110 per 1000 in 2006 to 58.1 per 1000 in 2018).
- For Latino youth, the rate of detention decreased by 69% (from 27.2 per 1000 in 2006 to 8.3 per 1000 in 2018).

³ San Francisco’s Log Cabin Ranch closed in December 2018.


⁴ See Figure 1 on p.2 for the February and April 2020 admissions numbers referenced here.

Figure 8. Detention Rates per 1,000 youth ages 10-17 in San Francisco County.



Source: California Department of Justice, Annual Juvenile Justice Data.

Figure 9. Disparities in Detention for Black youth ages 10-17 in San Francisco County.




Source: California Department of Justice, Annual Juvenile Justice Data.

Detention rates decreased more significantly for White youth than for Black youth resulting in an increase in the disparity gap.

- For every 1 white youth detained in 2006, there were 17.4 Black youth detained;
- For every 1 white youth detained in 2018, there were 44.9 Black youth detained.

Figure 10. Disparities in Detention for Latino youth ages 10-17 in San Francisco County.



Source: California Department of Justice, Annual Juvenile Justice Data.


Detention rates decreased more significantly for White youth than for Latino youth resulting in an increase in the disparity gap.

- For every 1 white youth detained in 2006, there were 4.3 Latino youth detained;
- For every 1 white youth detained in 2018, there were 6.4 Latino youth detained.

Odds of Detention in Juvenile Hall, Once Referred to Probation, are Increasing

While the number of referrals to probation continues to decline, the odds of juvenile hall admission, once a youth is referred, have risen. The percentages of youth referred to Juvenile Probation who are detained, which decreased in the early 2000s, increased over the last decade (Figure 11). In 2009, 48 percent of youth referred to Juvenile Probation were detained in juvenile hall; by April 2020, that proportion rose to a high of 66 percent.

Figure 11. Number and Percent of Youth Referred to Juvenile Probation and Admitted Juvenile Hall 1992-2020 (April)





Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly and annual reports.


Proportionally, Youth Detained for Less Serious Reasons, Has Increased

The reason for increased detention as a percentage of referrals does not seem to be that a higher proportion of youth today are charged with more serious offenses. The proportion detained in Juvenile Hall for non-violent offenses (54 percent of total detainees in 2009; 63 percent as of April 2020) and for non-felony offenses (36 percent in 2005; 47 percent in 2020) both have risen (Figure 12). That is, a youth confined in Juvenile Hall in 2020 was more likely to be charged with a technical violation or booked for a warrant.

Figure 12. Offense categories of youth detained in Juvenile Hall, 2005-2020 (April)




As the number of youth detained declines, the proportion of youth detained for less serious offenses increases. In 2005, youth accused of “violent” offenses accounted for 43% of detained youth; 2020 it was 35%. **In 2005, youth detained for technical violations accounted Technical 24% of detained youth; in 2005; in 2020, it was 47%.**



Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly and annual reports.

Costs of Incarceration per Youth Increasing

Figure 13. SFJPD, average annual costs per referral, in constant 2020 Dollars



Sources: San Francisco Mayor’s Budget (2020); SFJPD (2020). All costs are in constant 2020 dollars adjusted by the Bay Area Consumer Price Index. Costs in 2020-21 are projected using the proposed 2020-21 budget and estimating total 2020 referrals by using March 2020 year-to-date totals compared to the same period of 2019.

Over the last decade, the SFJPD's annual budget has risen slightly: around \$44.7 million in 2009-10; \$46.1 million projected for 2020-21 (all figures are in constant, inflation-adjusted 2020 dollars). The full-time-equivalent staff of SFJPD has fallen from 244 in 2009-10 to 222 projected for 2020-21. Since, during this period, referrals to SFJPD have fallen by over 70 percent, from 3,296 in 2009 to 974 in 2019 and a projected 775 for 2020, the cost per referral has more than quadrupled (Figure 13).

Similarly, the annualized costs of holding a youth for one year in Juvenile Hall has risen from around \$145,000 in 2009-10 to \$475,000 in 2019-20. If the Juvenile Hall's 2020 daily population continues the January-March 2020 average of 28 (as of March 31, it stood at 17) and the proposed 2020-21 budget increase of \$3.8 million more than in 2018-19 for the Hall is implemented, the annual cost to incarcerate one youth in Juvenile Hall would increase to nearly \$650,000 in 2020-21.

References

- Office of the Attorney General, California Department of Justice (DOJ). (2019). Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System (JCPSS) data for 2006-2018 provided to the W. Haywood Burns Institute (BI) by DOJ, in response to a public records act request.
- Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2019). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2018." Online. Available at: <https://www.ojdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>
- San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department (SFJPD) (2020). Publications and documents. Annual and Monthly reports. Available at: <https://sfgov.org/juvprobation/publications-documents>
- San Francisco, Office of the Mayor (2020). Budget documents. At: <http://sfmayor.org/budget-documents> .Mayor's Proposed FY 2019-20 and 2020-21 Budget. Available at: http://sfmayor.org/sites/default/files/CSF_Budget_Book_June_2019_Final_Web_REV2.pdf. Archived Budget Documents. Available at: <http://sfmayor.org/archived-budget-documents>

Appendix A: Monthly Detention Reasons

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%
March 2019	11350(A) HS/M	M	POSSESS NARCOTIC CNTL SUB	1	2.00%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	4.00%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	12	24.00%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	2	4.00%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	2	4.00%
	22810(G)(1) PC/F	F	ILUSE TEARGAS/TEARGAS WPN	1	2.00%
	242 PC/M	M	BATTERY	1	2.00%
	243(D) PC/F	F	BATT W/SERIOUS BODILY INJ	1	2.00%
	245(A)(1) PC/F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	1	2.00%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	2	4.00%
	25400(A)(1) PC/F	F	CCW IN VEHICLE	1	2.00%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	2	4.00%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONY/LABOR/PROP	1	2.00%
	487(C) PC/M	M	GRAND THEFT FROM PERSON	1	2.00%
	626.9(B) PC/F	F	FIREARM AT SCHOOL	1	2.00%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	4	8.00%
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	2	4.00%
	CTORD WKND XX		COURT ORDER WEEKEND	1	2.00%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	12	24.00%
			Total	50	100%
April 2019	11352(A) HS/F	F	TRANSP/SELL NARC/CNTL SUB	1	2.33%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	4.65%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	10	23.26%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	1	2.33%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	1	2.33%
	22810(G)(1) PC/F	F	ILUSE TEARGAS/TEARGAS WPN	1	2.33%
	243(D) PC/F	F	BATT W/SERIOUS BODILY INJ	1	2.33%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	2.33%
	25400(A)(1) PC/F	F	CCW IN VEHICLE	1	2.33%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	2	4.65%
	460 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	2	4.65%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONY/LABOR/PROP	1	2.33%
	487(C) PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT FROM PERSON	1	2.33%
	519.1 PC/F	F	EXTORTION BY THREAT:INJ	1	2.33%
	628.1 WI/M	M	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION	2	4.65%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	4	9.30%
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	3	6.98%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	8	18.60%
		Total	43	100.00%	
May 2019	11378 HS/F	F	POSS CNTL SUB FOR SALE	1	2.33%
	148(A)(1) PC/M	M	OBSTRUCT/ETC PUB OFCR/ETC	2	4.65%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	4.65%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	5	11.63%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	3	6.98%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	3	6.98%
	25400(C)(4) PC/F	F	CCW PROHIB/UNLAWFUL POSS	1	2.33%
	32 PC/F	F	ACCESSORY	1	2.33%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	1	2.33%
	460 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	2	4.65%

June 2020 Report to CJHWG: Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%
	460(B) PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	1	2.33%
	484(A) PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	2.33%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	2	4.65%
	487(C) PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT FROM PERSON	1	2.33%
	626.9(B) PC/F	F	FIREARM AT SCHOOL	1	2.33%
	628.1 WI/M	M	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION	1	2.33%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	7	16.28%
	737 WI	M	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT	1	2.33%
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FAILURE FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	1	2.33%
	BENCH WARRANT XX		BENCH WARRANT	1	2.33%
	CTORD 602 XX		COURT ORDER 602	1	2.33%
	WRNT HOLD XX		WARRANT HOLD	1	2.33%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	3	6.98%
			Total	43	100%
June 2019	11351.5 HS/F	F	POSS/PUR COKE BASE F/SALE	1	2.86%
	11378 HS/F	F	POSS CNTL SUB FOR SALE	1	2.86%
	148(A)(1) PC/M	M	OBSTRUCT/ETC PUB OFCR/ETC	1	2.86%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	1	2.86%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	4	11.43%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	3	8.57%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	1	2.86%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	2.86%
	273.5 PC/F	F	INFLECT CRPL INJ SP/COHAB	1	2.86%
	273.5(A) PC/F	F	CRPL INJ:SPOUS/COHAB/DATE	1	2.86%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	1	2.86%
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	2.86%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	2.86%
	602 WI/X	X	FAIL OBEY ORDER JUV COURT	3	8.57%
	626.9(B) PC/F	F	FIREARM AT SCHOOL	1	2.86%
	628.1 WI/M	M	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION	2	5.71%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	5	14.29%
	69 PC/F	F	OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXEC OFF	1	2.86%
	737 WI	M	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT	1	2.86%
	CURT HOLD XX		FAILURE COURTESY HOLD	1	2.86%
WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	2	5.71%	
WRNTOC XX		WARRANT FROM ANOTHER COUNTY	1	2.86%	
		Total	35	100%	
July 2019	10851(A) VC/F	F	VEHICLE THEFT	1	3.33%
	11351.5 HS/F	F	POSS/PUR COKE BASE F/SALE	1	3.33%
	11352(A) HS/F	F	TRANSP/SELL NARC/CNTL SUB	1	3.33%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	6.67%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	4	13.33%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3.33%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	2	6.67%
	212.5 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	1	3.33%
	212.5(A) PC/F	F	ROB:1ST DEG:CAB/ETC/DWELL	1	3.33%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	2	6.67%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3.33%
	460 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	1	3.33%
	602 WI/X	X	FAIL OBEY ORDER JUV COURT	1	3.33%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	2	6.67%

June 2020 Report to CJHWG: Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%
	737 WI	M	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT	1	3.33%
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	3	10.00%
	BENCH WARRANT XX		BENCH WARRANT	1	3.33%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	4	13.33%
			Total	30	100%
August 2019	182(A)(1) PC/F	F	CONSPIRACY:COMMIT CRIME	1	3.70%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	3	11.11%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	1	3.70%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3.70%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	1	3.70%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	3	11.11%
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	2	7.41%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	2	7.41%
	602 PC/M	M	TRESPASSING	1	3.70%
	628.1 WI/M	M	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION CONDITION	2	7.41%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	2	7.41%
	69 PC/F	F	OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXEC OFF	1	3.70%
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	1	3.70%
	BENCH WARRANT XX		BENCH WARRANT	1	3.70%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	4	14.81%
		Total	27	100%	
September 2019	10851(A) VC/M	M	TAKE VEH W/O OWNER CONSNT	1	3.13%
	11351.5 HS/F	F	POSS/PUR COKE BASE F/SALE	1	3.13%
	11370.1(A) HS/F	F	POSS CNTL SUB WHILE ARMED	1	3.13%
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	6.25%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	1	3.13%
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	5	15.63%
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	1	3.13%
	242 PC/M	M	BATTERY	1	3.13%
	245(A)(1) PC/F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	1	3.13%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	2	6.25%
	2800.2 VC/F	F	EVADING PO:DISREGARD SFTY	1	3.13%
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	3.13%
	487(A) PC/M	M	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	3.13%
	594(B)(1) PC/F	F	VANDALISM \$10000 OR MORE	1	3.13%
	628.1 WI/M	M	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION CONDITION	1	3.13%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	3	9.38%
	69 PC/F	F	OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXEC OFF	1	3.13%
	737 WI	M	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	1	3.13%
	BENCH WARRANT XX		BENCH WARRANT	2	6.25%
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	4	12.50%
		Total	32	100%	
October 2019	11351.5 HS/F	F	POSS/PUR COKE BASE F/SALE	1	4%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	3	11%
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	2	7%
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	4%
	25400(A)(2) PC/F	F	CCW ON PERSON	1	4%
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	4%
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	4%
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	1	4%
737 WI	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	1	4%	

June 2020 Report to CJHWG: Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%	
	871(A) WI/M	M	MINOR ATT ESC/ESC FAC	1	4%	
	Attempted 187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	6	22%	
	BENCH WARRANT XX		BENCH WARRANT	1	4%	
	CTORD 602 XX		COURT ORDER 602	1	4%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	5	19%	
			Subtotal	26	96%	
	602(a) WIC Transfer In					
	Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal			
	69 PC/F	F	OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXEC OFF	1	4%	
			Subtotal	1	4%	
		Total	27	100%		
November 2019	11351 HS/F	F	POS/PUR F/SALE NARC/C/SUB	1	3%	
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	5	13%	
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3%	
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	5	13%	
	245(A)(1) PC F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	2	5%	
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	3%	
	368(B)(1) PC/F	F	HARM/DEATH:ELDER/DEP ADLT	1	3%	
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	3%	
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	3%	
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	1	3%	
	628.1 WI/X	X	VIOLATION(S) OF HOME SUPERVISION CONDITION	1	3%	
	737 WI/X	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	2	5%	
	871(A) WI/M	M	MINOR ATT ESC/ESC FAC	1	3%	
	Attempted 187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	6	15%	
	CTORD 602 XX		COURT ORDER 602	1	3%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	7	18%	
			Subtotal	37	93%	
	602(a) WIC Transfer In					
	Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal			
	10851(A) VC/F	F	VEHICLE THEFT	1	3%	
460(A) PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3%		
Attempted 211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	1	3%		
		Subtotal	3	8%		
		Total	40	100%		
December 2019	11351 HS/F	F	POS/PUR F/SALE NARC/C/SUB	1	3%	
	11351.5 HS/F	F	POSS/PUR COKE BASE F/SALE	1	3%	
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	2	6%	
	212 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3%	
	213 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	8	24%	
	245(A)(1) PC/F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	1	3%	
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	3%	
	25400(A)(1) PC/F	F	CCW IN VEHICLE	1	3%	
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY	1	3%	
	460 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	2	6%	
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	2	6%	
	737 WI/X	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	2	6%	
	871(A) WI/M	M	MINOR ATT ESC/ESC FAC	1	3%	
	Attempted 187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER	2	6%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	5	15%	
		Subtotal	31	91%		

June 2020 Report to CJHWG: Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%	
	602(a) WIC Transfer In					
	Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal			
	10851(A) VC/F	F	VEHICLE THEFT	1	3%	
	32 PC/F	F	ACCESSORY	1	3%	
	460(A) PC/F	F	BURGLARY:FIRST DEGREE	1	3%	
			Subtotal	3	9%	
		Total	34	100%		
January 2020	11351 HS/F	F	POS/PUR F/SALE NARC/C/SUB	1	3%	
	182(A)(1) PC/F	F	CONSPIRACY:COMMIT CRIME	1	3%	
	187(A) PC/F	F	MURDER:FIRST DEGREE	1	3%	
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	4	13%	
	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY:SECOND DEGREE	5	16%	
	242 PC/M	M	BATTERY ON PERSON	1	3%	
	243(E)(1) PC/M	M	BAT:SPOUSE/EX SP/DATE/ETC	1	3%	
	245(A)(1) PC F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	2	6%	
	25400(C)(4) PC/F	F	CCW PROHIB/UNLAWFUL POSS	1	3%	
	29820(B) PC/F	F	CONV PRSN POSS F/ARM:U/30	1	3%	
	4463(A)(1) VC/F	F	FORGE/ALTER VEH REG/ETC	1	3%	
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	3%	
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	3%	
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	1	3%	
	737 WI/X	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	2	6%	
	777(A)(2) WI/M	M	FL OBEY ORD JUV CRT:602WI	1	3%	
	BENCH WARRANT		BENCH WARRANT	1	3%	
	CURT HOLD		COURTESY HOLD	1	3%	
	WRNT602		WARRANT 602	3	10%	
			Subtotal	30	97%	
		602(a) WIC Transfer In				
		Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal		
		10851(A) VC/F	F	VEHICLE THEFT	1	3%
				Subtotal	1	3%
			Total	31	100%	
February 2020		211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	2	7.69%
	245(A)(1) PC F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	1	3.85%	
	459 PC/F	F	BURGLARY:SECOND DEGREE	2	7.69%	
	487 PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT	1	3.85%	
	487(A) PC/F	F	GRDTHFT:MONEY/LABOR/PROP	1	3.85%	
	487(C) PC/F	F	GRAND THEFT FROM PERSON	1	3.85%	
	602 WI/X	X	FAIL OBEY ORDER JUV COURT	1	3.85%	
	CTORD 602 XX		COURT ORDER 602	1	3.85%	
	CURT HOLD XX		COURTESY HOLD	1	3.85%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	10	38.46%	
			Subtotal	21	80.77%	
		602(a) WIC Transfer In				
		Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal		
		245(A)(2) PC/F	F	ASLT W/FIREARM ON PERSON	1	3.85%
246.3 PC/F		F	ILL FIREARM DISCH W/NEGL	1	3.85%	
496(A) PC/F		F	REC KNWN STOLN PROP	1	3.85%	
WRNT602 XX			WARRANT 602	2	7.69%	
		Subtotal	5	19.23%		

June 2020 Report to CJHWG: Data and Needs Assessment Subcommittee

Month	Referral reason	M/F	Offense Description	Count	%	
			Total	26	100%	
March 2020	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	3	17.65%	
	245(A)(1) PC/F	F	FORCE/ADW NOT FIREARM:GBI	1	5.88%	
	245(A)(4) PC/F	F	ADW/FORCE:POSSIBLE GBI	1	5.88%	
	25850(A) PC/F	F	CRRY LOAD F/ARM:PUB:S/CIR	1	5.88%	
	602 WI/X	X	FAIL OBEY ORDER JUV COURT	1	5.88%	
	737 WI/X	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	1	5.88%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	6	35.29%	
			Subtotal	14	82.35%	
	602(a) WIC Transfer In					
	Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal			
	245(A)(2) PC/F	F	ASLT W/FIREARM ON PERSON	1	5.88%	
	246.3 PC/F	F	ILL FIREARM DISCH W/NEGL	1	5.88%	
	496(A) PC/F	F	REC KNWN STOLN PROP	1	5.88%	
			Subtotal	3	17.65%	
		Total	17	100%		
April 2020	211 PC/F	F	ROBBERY	3	27.27%	
	2800.2(A) VC/F	F	EVADE PO:DISREGARD SAFETY	1	9.09%	
	628.1 WI/X	X	HOME DETENTION VIOL:MINOR	1	9.09%	
	737 WI/X	X	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT/PLACEMENT FAILURE	2	18.18%	
	CTORD 602 XX		COURT ORDER 602	1	9.09%	
	WRNT602 XX		WARRANT 602	1	9.09%	
			Subtotal	9	81.82%	
	602(a) WIC Transfer In					
	Referral Reason	MF*	Offense Literal			
	245(A)(2) PC/F	F	ASLT W/FIREARM ON PERSON	1	9.09%	
	496(A) PC/F	F	REC KNWN STOLN PROP	1	9.09%	
		Subtotal	2	18.18%		
		Total	11	100%		

Appendix B: Annual Offense Categories

	Total	Violent*	Other Felony	Misdemeanor	Technical Violations or Warrants
2005	70	30	13	10	17
2006	115	49	32	19	15
2007	114	48	35	13	18
2008	111	50	24	12	25
2009	75	31	14	11	19
2010	87	40	16	16	15
2011	78	35	6	17	20
2012	80	39	14	13	14
2013	52	17	14	12	9
2014	65	18	14	16	17
2015	39	14	7	8	10
2016	41	12	8	8	13
2017	43	26	3	8	6
2018	45	18	11	9	7
2019	26	12	4	1	9
2020*	17	6	3	0	8

Source: San Francisco Juvenile Probation (2020), monthly and annual reports.