

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Spencer Robinson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Comment for 4/9/22 Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Spencer Robinson <spencerrobinson98@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Comment for 4/9/22 Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

This is a comment for the 10AM 4/9/22 Redistricting Task Force Meeting.

My name is Spencer Robinson, a San Francisco resident who works in the Tenderloin.

I am strongly opposed to Redistricting Task Force's decision to move in favor of Map 4B instead of Map 4D which had great support from various community groups.

Map 4B splits up of the Tenderloin's and SoMa's LGBTQ+, Black & Brown, immigrant communities which goes against the will of community advocates..

Redistricting should be about preserving the voices of those who are most marginalized and are the least resourced.

The redistricting task forces has ignored the voices of those fighting to keep district 6 together.

I urge the Redistricting Task Force to listen to the voices of those who gathered outside city hall to save the voting power of Tenderloin & SoMa's communities.

Keep your lines of division away from the Tenderloin and SoMa!

In unity,

Spencer Robinson

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: a.nordberg.thompson@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anna Nordberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Anna Nordberg

Email

a.nordberg.thompson@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. I live in Sea Cliff and share far more community affiliation with the Richmond than I do with our current district. Sea Cliff shares no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I support the excellent work of the Richmond District Neighborhood Center and serve on the Board of Children's Council, which helps families in San Francisco, including many in the Richmond, find affordable and quality childcare and works to ensure all children in SF have access to high quality early education.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

Sincerely,
Anna Nordberg

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tai Mamoe](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Leave our Supervisorial districts alone
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tai Mamoe <taimamoecampaigns4u@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Leave our Supervisorial districts alone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Leave our communities as they are. Do not gerrymander supervisorial districts to make downtown big money real estate dominate average citizens and our long standing communities.

Tai Mamoe

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Karen Gee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Portola in District 9!!!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Gee <karenqygee@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Portola in District 9!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Karen Gee and I am a Chinese immigrant who live in the Portola for almost 40 years. I learn ESL in the Portola and I raise my whole family here. I still live here. Please do not put the Portola in District 10 and please keep in District 9.

When I listen to public comment tonight, only one person say in Cantonese they are from the Portola. The other Chinese speakers are all from Visitacion Valley. They do not represent the residents of the Portola. My neighbors and I do not want to be in District 10. We have built community resource in District 9. Please listen to us because we actually live in the Portola.

Josephine Zhao does not represent the Portola or Visitacion Valley community because she doesn't even live here. Please listen to actual residents who live in Portola and want to keep Portola in District 9.

Thank you,
Karen Gee

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nanci Clarence](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10 needs to stay in map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Nanci Clarence <nclarence@clarencedyer.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 10 needs to stay in map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

As a 35 year resident of Potrero Hill, I adamantly believe that D. 10 needs to stay in map 4D. Potrero Hill has a distinct character and the alliances of our neighborhood and its character does not fit with SOMA.

Sincerely,

Nanci Clarence

1730 20th ST

SF CA 94107

Nanci Clarence

Clarence Dyer & Cohen LLP | 899 Ellis Street | San Francisco | CA 94109 Tel 415.749.1800 | Fax 415.749.1694

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this email and any attachment(s) is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above and may be privileged and confidential. Any dissemination, copying, or use of reliance upon such information by you or to anyone other than the recipient(s) listed above is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please telephone the sender at (415) 749.1800 and delete this email and any attachment(s) from the computer or device on which you received it.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nami Unzicker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping JCYC within D5
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nami Unzicker <namiunzicker@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping JCYC within D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force Members:

Thank you for the countless hours you have put into this process, your hard work is much appreciated. I would also like to condemn the responsiveness of RTF Members regarding areas such as Japantown and other Communities of Interest. To me, as a member of Japantown Youth Leaders (JYL), it is highly critical that JCYC remains within D5. This would help preserve a Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition.

Nami Unzicker

Member, Japantown Youth Leaders

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Garth Spiller: REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Garth Spiller <garthspiller@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep Dogpatch, Potrero and Showplace Square/Design District and South Beach together in 6B. We believe this keeps a aligned community together and matches us with other communities with a similar profile. I am a resident of Potrero Hill and strongly support map 4B.

Best,
Garth Spiller 4153054489
636 Vermont St, San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glynis Nakahara](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Jeremy Chan](#); [Emily Murase](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Lori Yamauchi](#); [Grace Horikiri](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment by Glynis Nakahara 4/8/22
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:10 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Lori Yamauchi <llyamauchisf@gmail.com>; Grace Horikiri

<grace@jtowncbd.org>

Subject: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment by Glynis Nakahara 4/8/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please see written record, below, of my public testimony of April 8, 2022.

Thank you for your many hours of dedication.

Regards,

Glynis Nakahara

Good evening. My name is Glynis Nakahara. I'm the Vice President of the Japantown Task Force Board of Directors and also serve on the Advisory Board of the Japanese Bilingual Bicultural Program at Rosa Parks Elementary School.

Thank you for your sensitivity to keeping Japantown whole in your conceptual mapping and your stewardship of our historical connection to the African American community in the Western Addition.

I anticipate robust adjustments and mapping tonight. In light of this, I want to amplify the earlier testimony of Dr. Emily Murase and reiterate our request to please include key cultural institutions at the north border of District 5, west of Van Ness, at Pine and California. These include

- 1) The Buddhist Church of San Francisco at 1881 Pine at Octavia (the first Jodo Shinshu temple in the US which has been there for 111 years)
- 2) Kitty corner to that, the Japanese Catholic Church at 1801 Octavia
- 3) And, JCYC at 2012 Pine Street (a pillar in Japantown serving youth families in the Western Addition and throughout San Francisco)

We want to support the need to advance solutions if needed and have submitted maps allocating other bits at the northern border to D2, including releasing Cathedral Hill to compensate. We've confirmed with Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association that its their desire to consolidate with the Senior core in D2, so that could be a win-win.

I've tried my best to listen in on the hearings over the past week and want to thank you for your mental stamina and commitment to this seemingly insurmountable task.

Thank you again.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [LEO QUILICI](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map5A preferred over 5B
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: LEO QUILICI <leoq9@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map5A preferred over 5B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: andreaagalvin@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Galvin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Andrea Galvin

Email

andreacgalvin@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Webb Green](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Webb Green <webbgreen@mindspring.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Webb H. Green
1142 De Haro Street, 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: William Owen; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: NextDoor Poll of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, & Design District Residents
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*


From: William Owen <owenmed@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: NextDoor Poll of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, & Design District Residents

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Toward the end of the online testimony this evening, I presented to you the latest results of a poll of residents of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and the Design District that I posted earlier today. Here are the latest results of this poll as of 9:05 PM tonight:



As you can see, NOT ONE PERSON voted for adding Potrero Hill to the neighborhoods to the south of us. Furthermore, the overwhelming majority of our residents support placing Potrero Hill and Dogpatch with the neighborhoods to the north of us, including Mission Bay, SOMA, and the Design District. And 95% of residents are in favor of keeping Potrero Hill and Dogpatch whole.

I would like to present the latest results of this poll tomorrow to the Task Force. Please let me know how I can request a graphical presentation of the latest poll on Saturday, for display during my presentation.

Thank you,

William Owen
950 Wisconsin St, San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Shari Geller Diamant](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please stop carving up the west side of D5!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shari Geller Diamant <sgeller@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please stop carving up the west side of D5!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there redistricting task force,

I'm writing in support of Map 5B for its recognition that my neighborhood belongs in D5. I live west of Stanyan but east of UCSF.

Map 5A (and several other prior maps) have split my neighborhood from the commercial areas and local resources (parks and libraries) we use. This area is much more connected to the Haight and it really has nothing to do with D8 at all. Map 5A awkwardly shoves us in with D8, which is mostly up and over the hills from here.

I get that we're not the main event in this redistricting, but it ends up feeling like we're being treated like a no man's land and often expendable, caught as a little arm between two districts in Map 5A. I'm glad that 5A recognizes that we're more Cole Valley than Inner Sunset, but we're also not connected to D8, which seems to have some reasonable topographic boundaries with us. And I'm also against being lumped in with D7 to keep us with the Inner Sunset because D7 is possibly even more disconnected from us than D8.

Under Map 5A, a big issue is that D8's parks, libraries, restaurants, grocery stores, etc. aren't really connected to this neighborhood. We'd be living in D8 and spending most of our non-home time outside of it in D5 and sometimes in D7.

The Inner Sunset should also stay with D5, frankly. You've all cut a lot of people out of a district. It's a pretty big boundary shift. And it seems like Hippie Hill should be in D5, too.

That Community Unity map looked pretty good.

Thanks,
Shari Geller Diamant

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Matthew Boden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Use Map 4D and Keep District 10 restored
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:38:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matthew Boden <matthew.t.boden@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Use Map 4D and Keep District 10 restored

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Matt Boden

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Donna Soohoo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Gary Pegueros](#); [Alice Rogers](#); [Catherine Liddell](#)
Subject: RE: Latest SF redistricting mapping
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donna Soohoo <donnasoohoo@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>; Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>; Catherine Liddell <clliddell@me.com>

Subject: Latest SF redistricting mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I have been a resident of South Beach in District 6 since 2003.

I'm writing again to thank the members of the Task Force for their efforts in giving our new neighborhoods full and equitable consideration (especially recognizing the work done to fully support and incorporate our 30%+ of neighbors in affordable housing).

I am leaning towards the latest Map 5B, which keeps the Tenderloin with SOMA and Mission Bay. But with this map I still think you can iterate to increase population in D1, D2, D4, D5, and D8 while keeping certain Cultural and Community Benefit Districts intact.

With Map 5B tho, D6 is already over the average population goal you were seeking. I know this is a thankless job, and that you won't be able to make everyone happy. Given that this final map will have impacts over the next decade, we do need to make sure that our district has equal representation.

Thanks for your efforts,

Donna Soohoo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sasha Gala](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sasha Gala <sasha.bodala@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Please listen to the overwhelming majority of the public and restore the District 10 Map of 4D.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Sasha Gala

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Paul Wells](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Wells <paul@flowstream.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:20 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I don't go by scripts. I didn't in testifying this evening. 4D was voted for by the task force and then at 3 AM you reversed course and split neighborhoods. Driven by forces to make, it appears to me, to make changes for changes sake. You still have work to do.

I refer to the document in your possession that was voted for in a special meeting of the San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Community . Obviously, I support that you reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. Our vote was not limited to that. Our 11 members represent all 11 districts, appointed by our supervisors, ratified by the entire Board of Supervisors.

The task force has a difficult, we recognize and appreciate that. The changes in The City must be addressed, yes. However, the growth of SOMA and change in the demographics of Potrero Hill, does not make us a unified community.

Your task force was charged with serving our communities. My analogy of going too should be observed as apt. The need for a haircut being addressed by shaving the head. As a city, we are sitting in your chair, trusting the service, asking for a trim.

Please put away the razor.

Thank you,

Paul Wells

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Veena Singh](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistributing
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Veena Singh <surveenks@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistributing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am concerned about the rumor that people are trying to silence task force members they disagree with. How does that ensure a fair process?

If a task force was fairly appointed, they should remain in place until the issue is resolved. Period.

Surveen Singh

Concerned SF taxpayer and voter for over 25 years

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Cardello](#)
Subject: FW: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG 04-08-2022
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:30 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[CARDELLO RESDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG 04-08-2022.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Cardello <richard@cardellodesign.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:48 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG 04-08-2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

RDTF

Attached is my complete intended public comment testimony for today's meeting. Also, here is my spreadsheet which I hope clearly shows which existing districts must loose residents, and which must gain residents.

DISTRICT (E)	POPULATION	OVER	OVER %	UNDER	UNDER %	PROXIMATE TO
1	72,848			6,697	8.47%	2 4 5
2	76,363			3,182	4.00%	1 3 5 6
3	72,474			7,071	8.89%	2 5 6
4	72,784			6,761	8.50%	1 5 7
5	80,667	1,122	1.41%			1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9
6	103,564	24,019	30.20%			2 3 5 8 9 10
7	75,436			4,109	5.17%	1 4 5 8 11
8	82,418	2,873	3.61%			5 6 7 9 11
9	75,829			3,716	4.67%	5 6 7 9 11
10	86,323	6,778	8.52%			6 9 11
11	76,287			3,258	4.10%	7 8 9 10
TOTALS	874,993	34,792		34,794		

Thank you,
Richard

Richard Cardello, ASID CID

R C I D

999 Green Street #903
San Francisco CA 94133

415.923.5810 Office
415.948.6030 Mobile
richard@cardellodesign.com
www.cardellodesign.com

REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE

rdtf@sfgov.org

MEETING THURSDAY 04-08-2022

PUBLIC COMMENT (2 MINUTES)

SFGTV 78

CALL IN: 415.655.0001

ID 2490 411 4569 #/#

PRESS: *3

DISTRICT (E)	POPULATION	OVER	OVER %	UNDER	UNDER %	PROXIMATE TO	
1	72,848			6,697	8.47%	2 4 5	
2	76,363			3,182	4.00%	1 3 5 6	
3	72,474			7,071	8.89%	2 5 6	
4	72,784			6,761	8.50%	1 5 7	
5	80,667	1,122	1.41%			1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9	
6	103,564	24,019	30.20%			2 3 5 8 9 10	
7	75,436			4,109	5.17%	1 4 5 8 11	
8	82,418	2,873	3.61%			5 6 7 9 11	
9	75,829			3,716	4.67%	5 6 7 9 11	
10	86,323	6,778	8.52%			6 9 11	
11	76,287			3,258	4.10%	7 8 9 10	
TOTALS	874,993	34,792		34,794			

The consistent plea from most speakers is:

“DO NOT BREAKUP OUR COMMUNITY.”

I hear, understand, agree, and support that sentiment; so much so that I believe it should be applied to **ALL** communities.

FURTHER, DISTRICTS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE CONTIGUOUS AND COMPACT.

Roughly 34,794 people (about 4% of the population) need to end up in districts different from their current districts.

There are two maps currently under consideration.

Map 5A D3 with Van Ness as the western boundary –

DEVIATION 1,400 1.76%

Map 5B much of Russian Hill moved to D2 to allow the inclusion of the Eastern Cut into D3:

DEVIATION 7,395 9.3%

5B IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE; THIS MAP DOES NOT WORK AND CANNOT BE IMPLEMENTED!

The task force should not be considering maps which do not work!

At this late date, the task force must only consider changes that result in a balanced and compliant map!

Overpopulated Existing Districts:

D5 1,122

D6 24,019

D8 2,873

D10 6,778

Underpopulated Existing Districts:

D1 6,697

D2 3,182

D3 7,071

D4 6,761

D7 4,109

D9 3,716

D11 3,258

Of course, the challenge is selecting the specific areas to be reassigned.

The difficulty is one of implementation -- how to break up overpopulated districts while respecting the communities of interest.

D6 has the largest population surplus; it must be reduced by 24,019 residents. D6 residents should be asked, not just which of their neighborhoods they wish to remain together, but rather, **which neighborhoods are nominated for reallocation?**

I have lived in D3 since moving to San Francisco in 1982, one year on Telegraph Hill and since 1983 on Russian Hill/North Beach, all in zip code 94133. I am a member of both Russian Hill Neighbors and North Beach Neighbors.

D3 has the largest deficit and needs an additional 7,071 residents. Located in the northeast corner of the city, District 3 is largely defined by the waters of SF Bay. It is close to Districts 2, 5 and 6.

Districts in need of additional residents should NOT have any of their territory removed; their existing boundaries should be the starting point to which additional area is added.

There were recent proposals by some Task Force members to totally remove Russian Hill from D3 and assign it to an Eastwardly expanded D2, even though this would totally disconnect established Communities of Interest which wish to remain together (refer to COI Map overlay). This would be unfair.

Please respect the wishes of the 21 organizations and many residents who wish to remain together in D3 and who would welcome 7,071 new D3 residents.

There are many criteria used when trying to balance the district populations.

Certainly, maintaining communities of interest is very important; this concept should be respected and applied **uniformly** throughout the City.

Established connections should not be disconnected, especially for the purpose of sacrificing one community for another. It is not fair to take territory away from a deficit district, just so it can absorb more of an unconnected area. Doing so would be inequitable.

It may be worth taking a more geographic approach; the map suggests many obvious lines of division. Large thoroughfares such as Van Ness, Market Street, Geary Boulevard, etc. are existing and appear to be logical boundaries. Considering these long-established lines, in whole or in part, may be much less contentious and more acceptable.

Please develop a MAP that does NOT remove any territory from D3; but rather, ADD the needed territory to achieve the required population, forming compact and cohesive district.

San Francisco is largely defined by its hills and valleys; splitting Russian Hill in half and separating it from North Beach and Telegraph Hill would defy logic. Van Ness is the obvious western boundary of D3.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lori Yamauchi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Emily Murase JTF](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Susie Kagami](#)
Subject: RE: Testimony from Lori Yamauchi
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lori Yamauchi <llyamauchisf@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Emily Murase JTF <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>

Subject: Testimony from Lori Yamauchi

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for considering my testimony.

Here are my remarks:

Good evening, Task Force members.

My name is Lori Yamauchi and a 41-year resident of San Francisco.

I am a member of the Japantown Task Force Board of Directors and the Land Use and Transportation Committee.

Thank you for listening to testimony regarding the retention of Japantown in District 5 together with the Fillmore.

As previously mentioned by Dr. Emily Murase and Glynis Nakahara, I respectfully ask that the District 5 map under either Maps 5A or 5B keep important cultural and neighborhood assets of Japantown in District 5 by holding the northern boundary of District 5 at California Street between Octavia and Buchanan streets, while placing the northern boundary between Gough and Octavia streets no further south than Pine Street. This will allow the retention of the Japanese Community Youth Council, the San Francisco Buddhist Church, and the St. Francis Xavier Japanese Catholic Church in District 5 and the Western Addition.

I also respectfully ask that the following neighborhood assets remain in the Western Addition and District 5 including Booker T. Washington Community Service Center at 800 Presidio Avenue, Rosa Parks Elementary School at 1501 O'Farrell, Western Addition Branch Library at 1550 Scott, Hamilton Recreation Center at 1900 Geary and Hokkeshu Buddhist Temple at 2556 Post.

Finally, thank you for your service and thank you to the members of the public who have taken the time to testify at your meetings.

Thank you for considering my testimony.

Sincerely,

Lori Yamauchi

llyamauchisf@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: laurie.grayson@graysonsearch.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laurie Grayson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Laurie Grayson

Email

laurie.grayson@graysonsearch.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Schwenger](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Schwenger <john.schwenger@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:48 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

We need to stay whole as one unified community. Quit breaking us up and dismissing what we have built over the years.

Sincerely,

John Schwenger

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [karoline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please choose Map 5B
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:47 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please choose Map 5B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Karoline Hatch-Berens and I am a San Francisco native and I have lived here most of my life. Thank you for your time and work on this important issue. I understand that some of you have had a very long and intense day, as well, i hope you are keeping track of all the comments and what each person says. I, as many other speakers have expressed, was pleased to see the draft 5 of the maps. I am here today to ask you to please move forward with map 5B. The Western Addition neighborhood goes all the way to Stanyan street and should be unified with the Haight-Ashbury in district 5. The connections between the two neighborhoods are long and historic, and we have related groups with high percentage of tenants and long-term, bohemian san franciscans. My one concern with 5B is the border for D7 that moves as far north as Lincoln. The Inner Sunset has much more in common with D4 and D5 than D7. I also really like the Community Unity Map! That would be ideal.

Thank you again for so very much of your time and work. Also thank you to the moderator for being so gentle and polite!

I meant to give these remarks in person, but was unable to stay late enough to do so.

All the best,
karoline

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joy Lowry](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting feedback
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:37:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Joy Lowry <allaboutjoysf@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:42 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a District 6 resident. I listened to the most of the meeting on Friday.

Understanding this is still a work in progress, I support keeping D6 together, including crossing Market St. Maps 5a and 5b - both seem workable. However my greatest concern is not splitting our voting block because of the horrific drug and violence situation by 7th and Mission, the Federal Building and 8th - 9th on Mission. We need help, just as the Tenderloin does. I'm coming late to the game so I don't understand some of the challenges you have that have changed the map or the reasoning behind 5a vs 5b - maybe future housing growth??

I appreciate your hard work. Unfortunately, you did not do yourself a favor by announcing new map versions in the manner you did. It just created distrust.

Thank you,
J Lowry

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jude Deckenbach](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square together!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeckenbach@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force:

I ask you to bring Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back together.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square.

Thank you for your work on this difficult project.

Sincerely,
me

Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pankaj Agarwal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4B was excellent
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Pankaj Agarwal <pa@pankaj.ca>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4B was excellent

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Please keep Dogpatch, Potrero and Showplace Square/Design District and South Beach together in 6B. We believe this keeps a aligned community together and matches us with other communities with a similar profile. I am a resident of Potrero Hill and strongly support map 4B

Pankaj Agarwal

Email: sftax@pankaj.ca

Together we will end extreme poverty globally and in the US by the Year 2030 (World Bank's explicit target that everyone, everywhere lives on at least \$1.90 each day)

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.results.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoyOWZjMTFlOTMwZmNhZWVhZWYwYzRhNjVlY2JmNmVlOTo2OjlxNTQ6NTBkODYxZGMxZWY5MTg3NzZMZWQwMjg3NzZiYzc0N2ExMTgzOWM5ZGZjYzZmMmJmMTBhN2NhNzZmYjYyODM0MzpwOk4

-----Original Message-----

From: Pankaj Agarwal

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:28 PM

To: rdtf@sfgov.org

Subject: Map 4B is excellent

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

I am a resident of Potrero Hill and on 630 Vermont St., San Francisco, CA 94107.

I fully support the current map 4B!

The reason for this is that our interests are much more aligned with those of Dogpatch and Mission Bay and South Beach residents, than those of Bayview and hunters point.

Sincerely,

Pankaj Agarwal

sftax@pankaj.ca

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cattaho@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Cindy Lim <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Cindy Lim

Email

cattaho@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: patriciamkaussen@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patricia Kaussen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Patricia Kaussen

Email

patriciamkaussen@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Curtis Bradford](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: National Registry of Historical Districts-Uptown Tenderloin Historical District
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Curtis Bradford <CBradford@tndc.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: National Registry of Historical Districts-Uptown Tenderloin Historical District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Taskforce. This email is to notify you of a specific important Community of Interest. There is a U.S. California Nationally Registered Historical District that exists in the Tenderloin neighborhood of San Francisco. This is an official “National Registry of Historical Places” National Register #09001407

National Register #08001407

Uptown Tenderloin Historic District

**Bounded by Market, McAllister, Golden Gate, Larkin,
Geary, Taylor, Ellis**

This is a Federally recognized Historical District that contains over 408 buildings that are also registered buildings on the historical registry. I submit this Historical District information as an official Community of Interest and evidence of a “historical neighborhood boundary” as defined by the taskforce guidelines.

Thanks you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Diana Drue](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [SBRBMNA Calendar](#); [SBRBMNA Google Group](#)
Subject: RE: Map 5A - keeps South Beach/Rincon-East Cut/Mission Bay together
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Diana Drue <ddrue@mac.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:06 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: SBRBMNA Calendar <sbrmbna@gmail.com>; SBRBMNA Google Group
<sbrmbna@googlegroups.com>

Subject: Map 5A - keeps South Beach/Rincon-East Cut/Mission Bay together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good day Task Force Members,

My name is Diana Drue and I've been living in District 6 for over 20 years. I've participated in local neighborhood community groups, and have served as a poll worker for past elections and the 2020 Census.

I want to thank each and every member on the task force for your time and especially your patience, as you listen to the community feedback while also taking into consideration of the legal requirements of your mission.

I support Task Force Map 5A, as it keeps South Beach/Rincon-East Cut/Mission Bay communities of interest together so we can continue to address:

- 1) the impacts of what the 30% increased population growth and 2 sports centers have incurred in District 6 regarding transportation-based air quality and safety issues for the bridge, Muni, and Bart
- 2) climate change that impacts the safety of the seawall/port and those that live nearby

If these communities of interest are broken up, then it's a detriment to all of San Francisco, as well as visitors, if the collaborative work to address the safety and infrastructure of traffic and seaport wall is discontinued

Time changes and we have new data from the 2020 Census, and we need to plan according to the new data and not according to how people want to keep things the same as it was years ago, as that's not very progressive. It's all about the latest census data, legal requirements, the math, and how to best serve the communities of San Francisco. We need to be open-minded for collaboration, but with people saying they want to keep things the same, they are saying no to collaboration. We are all San Franciscans and should be looking how we can work together for positive change and not dig in heels to keep things status quo as that ship has long sailed and is very close-minded.

That said, work with your district supervisor. And if you don't like the job they are doing, then vote them out. And next time there's a Census, fill out the form!

Map 5A will enable District 6 to continue building the community—and ensure the health and safety of District 6 and San Francisco--as well.

Thanks in advance for your time and consideration,
Diana Drue

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hannelore.romero@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannelore Romero <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:05 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Hannelore Romero

Email

hannelore.romero@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am a lifelong Richmond District denizen, born and raised on 26th Avenue and El Camino, and I am now raising my children right next door to where I grew up. I attended schools in the Richmond District (Alamo and Presidio Middle School) and my children both attended Lafayette and now are at Presidio Middle School. This is all to say that I am an invested member of this community and definitely feel as though the Clement and Geary corridors are my neighborhood, not the Marina and Cow Hollow.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Madeleine Trembley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Gateway Tenants Association
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:21 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Madeleine Trembley <madeleine.ggta@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:58 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Gateway Tenants Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for allowing me to talk via Webex. I am backing up my plea to you as, when the microphone was mine, I was coming out of an asthma crisis and wanted to make sure I expressed myself correctly.

The Gateway Tenants Association supports District 3 United. This district represents over 21 organizations working together. Moreover, we have shared history and interests. We support **Map 5 A** as it keeps van Ness as the border to the West and **keeps us whole.**

Our D3 was greatly affected by Covid for two years. We lost residents, not to speak of tourism. We understand that the RTF's role is to crunch numbers and be analytical. District 3 is down in population, and D 6 is up. We do want to help you with your arduous task. We do ask you not to take away our historical lines. **Add to us**, but please do not take away what has united us for so long. Don't break what works. We are at the heart of the Barbary Coast, and it is essential to keep Russian Hill and Chinatown whole and united. We trust you will listen to all voices with particular attention focused on the eleven hundred letters from Chinatown.

We thank you for your dedication to this complicated task.

Madeleine
Madeleine Trembley
President 2022



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [EddieKsf](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Edward Lortz](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: EddieKsf <eddieksf@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Edward Lortz <edwardksf@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I lived on Potrero Hill for 30 years until retiring overseas, but still keep up with the old hood...

IT MAKES NO SENSE TO SPLIT SHOWPLACE SQUARE FROM POTRERO.

IT IS INSANE, REPEAT, INSANE, TO SPLIT DOGPATCH.

Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage.

Edward Lortz

Former resident, 1242 19th street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mail@Michaelmckinnon.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael McKinnon <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael McKinnon

Email

mail@Michaelmckinnon.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emily Murase](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: [Japantown Task Force] Northern Boundary of District 5 - Honor Japantown Assets
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Northern Boundary of District 5 - Honor Japantown Assets

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair TOWNSEND, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a board member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

I urge that you maintain a northern border of District 5 that keeps the Japanese Community Youth Council at 2012 Pine, the St. Francis Xavier Japanese Catholic Church at 1801 Octavia, and the San Francisco Buddhist Church at 1881 Pine in District 5.

I also ask that you maintain the western border that includes the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center at 800 Presidio in District 5. It is very important that Japantown maintain its deep connection to the Western Addition and the Fillmore District.

We fully understand the bind you are in and would like to contribute to a solution. I submitted a map to the Task Force yesterday that indicates the addresses of our cultural and neighborhood assets and identifies blocks where there are no known Japantown interests.

As you move into mapping later today, please honor the rich cultural heritage of Japantown, the Western Addition, and the Fillmore District. Thank you for your tremendous and exhausting service.

Emily

**Emily M. Murase, PhD
ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.**

**[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)**

415.297.3975 (Cell)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Bevan Dufty](#)
Cc: scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov; [Mark Leno](#)
Subject: FW: Letter from Sen. Scott Wiener, Sen. (Ret.) Mark Leno, BART Dir. Bevan Dufty
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:36:05 AM
Attachments: [D8 Supervisors Letter to SF Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bevan Dufty <bevan.dufty@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:47 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel

(REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>

Cc: scott.wiener@sen.ca.gov; Mark Leno <kepah@aol.com>

Subject: Letter from Sen. Scott Wiener, Sen. (Ret.) Mark Leno, BART Dir. Bevan Dufty

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Redistricting Task Force

Thank you for considering our letter concerning District 8's boundaries.

Bevan

April 8, 2022

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for the many hours you have spent over the past months engaging with community and working on maps that ensure that the new supervisorial districts create fair, equitable representation for all San Franciscans.

We have collectively had the honor of representing District 8 on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors for most of the two decades since its creation in 2000, when voters restored the practice of district elections in San Francisco. As representatives of this incredible part of the city, we know the heavy burden of weighing tough choices and the needs of diverse communities.

The Redistricting Task Forces before you had to weigh the same tough choices that you are weighing today – and each time, they created a District 8 that maximized the LGBTQ+ community's political voice through the redistricting process. Because of their work, District 8 has been the only San Francisco Supervisorial District that has consistently elected an LGBTQ+ Supervisor since the return of district elections two decades ago.

With LGBTQ+ representation on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors at its lowest level since the return of district elections, and LGBTQ+ people under attack across the country, it is critical that you keep the LGBTQ+ community together in District 8.

As former District 8 Supervisors, we join a united LGBTQ+ community including the Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club, Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club, Equality California and the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District in calling on the Redistricting Task Force to empower the dense LGBTQ+ community in the existing neighborhoods of District 8 and keep them united.

The maps discussed by the Task Force at your April 7th meeting largely accomplish this by ensuring that most of the LGBTQ+ community in the Mission Dolores neighborhood remains united with existing District 8 neighborhoods including the Castro, Eureka Valley, Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, Buena Vista, Noe Valley, Dolores Heights, Glen Park, Diamond Heights and Twin Peaks.

As you proceed in the coming days, we ask you to consider the following:


- Keep San Francisco's only LGBTQ+-affirming senior housing at 55 and 95 Laguna, and the site of future LGBTQ+-affirming senior housing at 1939 Market Street, in District 8.
- Ensure the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ+ Cultural District, including the National LGBTQ+ Center for the Arts located at 170 Valencia Street, remain united in District 8.
- Keep as much of the Mission Dolores neighborhood, including portions of the western side of Valencia Street, united with other existing District 8 neighborhoods.

It is your responsibility to empower the LGBTQ+ San Franciscans in these neighborhoods to continue to elect LGBTQ+ representatives like us to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. We trust that you are up to the task.

Sincerely,



Mark Leno
(District 8 Supervisor 2001-2002)



Bevan Dufty
(District 8 Supervisor 2002-2011)



Scott Wiener
(District 8 Supervisor 2011-2016)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Linda Landucci](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Proposed Redistricting of Lakeside One
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Landucci <linda.landucci@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Redistricting of Lakeside One

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a resident of Lakeside One. In the current redistricting process, please keep Lakeside One in District 7, along with Lakeside Two and Lakeside Three. Lakeside is a longstanding neighborhood in San Francisco with homes and commercial corridor built in the 1930s and 1940s. Lakeside stretches from Sloat Blvd on the north side, to the junction of 19th Ave and Junipero Serra Blvd on the south side, and is bounded by 19th Avenue on the west and Junipero Serra Blvd on the east.

As Lakeside community members, I and my neighbors want Lakeside One to stay connected to Lakeside Two and Three. This is because our neighborhood groups work together on many common projects and priorities, with support from our District 7 Supervisor.

Thank you,

Linda Landucci
30 Beachmont Drive
SF 94132

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elizabeth Carney](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting San Francisco
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>
Subject: Redistricting San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force Team

Many many thanks for giving our new neighborhoods of Eastern SOMA full and equitable consideration, especially since our neighborhoods contain affordable housing (30%). We are diverse!

Please settle on a map that keeps our district together : South Beach/ Rincon-East Cut/ Mission Bay, so that we can we work together to solve our new neighborhood problems.

THANK YOU,
E Carney

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joyce Lavey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joyce Lavey <joyce.lavey@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ali Nufire](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep Dogpatch part of D10!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Ali Nufire <ali@993tennessee.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Dogpatch part of D10!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi -

We've been living (owning) in our place in Dogpatch since the early 2000's and love it here. Please keep Dogpatch as part of D10 with Dogpatch, Bayview and Potrero Hill. We've worked well together and have moved forward together all these years as a wonderfully diverse district. Please keep us in Map 4D and maintain the District 10 boundaries outlined there.

Thank you,

Ali Nufire, Tennessee St./Dogpatch resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alyssa Ikuma](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 5 Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alyssa Ikuma <aikuma@jccyc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 5 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

Thank you for the immense time and effort that all of you have put into the redistricting process and appreciate your response in understanding the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact. This is no easy feat.

As the redistricting process winds down and the April 15th deadline for finalizing the map nears, I would like to take one last opportunity to let you know how imperative it is to keep the Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC - 2012 Pine Street) within District 5. I thankfully acknowledge that it is included in most iterations of the current maps. JCYC is one of the oldest organizations in Japantown and it would be devastating to not be included with the rest of Japantown as well as with the Fillmore, as we have a shared history of struggle and rebuilding that dates back to before World War II.

I appreciate your time and patience spent on the redistricting process and hope that you will remember the importance of keeping JCYC within D5 and preserving the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition as you vote on the final redistricting map.

Alyssa Ikuma
Japanese Community Youth Council

--

Alyssa Ikuma

Program Assistant, JCYC Youth Development Programs

Phone: 415.563.8052 Fax: 415.346.1948

Email: aikuma@jcy.org

Website: www.jcy.org/programs/youthdevelopment

Address: 1840 Sutter Street, Ste 207, San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emma Shlaes](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emma Shlaes <emmashlaes@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:22 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Emma Shlaes

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Lopipero-Langmo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:21 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Analytical Labs kist](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 Community Unity Map 4D or recent version 5B Map as of 4/8/22
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:35:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Analytical Labs kist <alsfok@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:19 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 Community Unity Map 4D or recent version 5B Map as of 4/8/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a member of the Potrero Boosters and live in the Potrero Annex. I supported the District 10 Community Map 4D. Now it is closest to the recent rendition called Map 5B. We are on hills that are part of the Potrero Hills and were artificially divided by the 101 Freeway. Using the 17th and 16th streets and the foot bridge we are connected to the Potrero Hills community gardens, Slovenian Hall and shops and restaurants. Potrero Annex and Dogpatch, together made it a project to form the first San Francisco Green Benefits District. For this reason you can't divide us from Dogpatch.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill, Bayview and Showplace Square together. Our District 10 Community Map 4D was submitted to the Task Force.

Our common interests neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage and problems of mixed use, industrial next to residential undergoing largest development under the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan. We attended many meetings that united us.

From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest.

The most recent version 5B is a map that can keeps us together. Reunite Bayview, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. We are proud that our chosen supervisors, Shamman Walton, Malia Cohen and Sophie Maxwell represented our united common interest to be neighborhoods of diversity and inclusion that have worked together to make our neighborhoods safer, healthier and greener.

Please don't divide us.

Sincerely,

Olga Kist
467 Potrero Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: renita.livolsi@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:27 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Renita LiVolsi <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:04 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Renita LiVolsi
renita.livolsi@gmail.com
1248 Jackson Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sapna Mahwal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please revert to 4B
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please revert to 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I see the map has changed yet again. Please keep Dogpatch, Potrero and Showplace Square together in 6B. We believe this keeps a aligned community together and matches us with other communities with a similar profile. I am a resident of Potrero Hill and strongly support map 4B

Sapna

> On Apr 7, 2022, at 7:07 PM, Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

> Dear Redistricting Task Force:

>

> I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods and I fully support the current map 4B!

>

> Sincerely, Sapna

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Talía Low](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 5 Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Talía Low <talialow1@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 5 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

I am a member of the Japantown Youth Leaders (JYL), a program of the Japanese Community Youth Council. Thank you for including JCYC (2012 Pine Street) in District 5. It is very important that JCYC is not cut off from the rest of Japantown and the Fillmore district as we share a long history of struggle and working together.

Thank you to all the members of the redistricting task force. I know it hasn't been an easy process and that you've all put in many long hours and long nights. Please keep Japantown and other communities of interest together and please keep JCYC in District 5!

Talia

Member, Japantown Youth Leaders

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: marc.heyneker@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marc Heyneker <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marc Heyneker

Email

marc.heyneker@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Offenhartz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Go back to the 4B Map, please.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Offenhartz <john@offenhartz.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Go back to the 4B Map, please.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage.

Sincerely,
John Offenhartz

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Kanwar Kelley; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Shannon Vanley](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4B
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kanwar Kelley <kanwark@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Shannon Vanley <shannonvanley@gmail.com>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support map 4B and alternatively map 4D - the current map tears apart our community

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Kanwar Kelley, MD, JD

First name: Kanwar

Last name: Kelley

Sent via iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lindaklam@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Linda Lam <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Linda Lam

Email

lindaklam@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Walker](#); [Adkins, Joe \(BOS\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: maika pinkston black ohlone map
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.




Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:35 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: maika pinkston black ohlone map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tony Jenks](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Public Comment: Tony Jenks 4/8/22
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:34:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tony Jenks <tjenks@jccyc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment: Tony Jenks 4/8/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner and Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for the enormous amount of time and effort required for this process you are undertaking.

As a member of the JCYC team for over five years, and a San Francisco resident who has been a part of the San Francisco Japantown community for over 12 years, myself and the JCYC team and community are appreciative of the RTF's responsiveness to the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact.

JCYC is currently within D5 on all of the most current maps, and it is vital that this remains part of the final map.

JCYC has been a key part of and voice and service provider for the Japantown community for over 50 years, and the over 7,000 children and youth we serve annually, including the families of these children and youth, rely on JCYC's services - it is vital to keep JCYC within D5 and to preserve the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition. The Japantown and the Western Addition communities have worked together in solidarity for decades, which continues to make San Francisco more inclusive and stronger, while setting a positive example for other cities throughout the United States - we need to ensure that our progress is not threatened by redistricting, but supported so we can continue to lift up each other's communities for decades to come.

Sincerely,

Tony Jenks

Tony Jenks
Development Manager
2012 Pine Street, San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 202-7946
www.jcyc.org



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rjsloan; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Remote Listener Confusion on "4D" vs. "4B"
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: rjsloan <rjsloan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>
Subject: Remote Listener Confusion on "4D" vs. "4B"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce,

It's difficult to understand public comment. I'm calling in remotely. I can't tell the difference between the term "4D" and "4B" over the telephone.

Thank you,

RJ Sloan

(415) 465-3261

RJSLOAN@YAHOO.COM

Amanda Gorman

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://youtu.be/2mTmTdOgv0M___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowMWl0NzgyMTQxNzdmYjRlMTJkZjJjZjVlZDE4OTg3NDdo2OmUxYmU6NmRlYjcwMjRlMTQxOGUxODQ4MGE1MTUyN2FlOTlyN2M5MDM3YmVjYzJjYmFmNDQ3NzZhOTU2NDA4ZjVlMTNmOTp0Ok4

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [William Walker](#)
Subject: FW: Map of D5, D6, D10, D11 for Black Communities
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:58 AM
Attachments: [Walker Map 4pm 8APR2022.pptx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:14 PM
To: Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map of D5, D6, D10, D11 for Black Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your iterative process has failed the San Francisco electorate. Your iterative process has failed to produce a map that keeps communities of interest together. I wish that this task force had folks that were more versed in making maps. I also wish the consultant understood our neighborhoods and communities of interest.

I have crafted a map of D5, D6, D10 and D11 that ensures that African Americans have an opportunity to vote as a community of interest.

According to the Public Policy Institute of California. California law requires the CRC to pay serious attention to the Federal Voting Rights Act (VRA), which requires the commission to draw districts for an underrepresented racial or ethnic group where that group is large, geographically concentrated, and politically distinct. The law also includes provisions for “communities of interest” that can sometimes be interpreted as encouraging more districts for underrepresented groups where their populations might influence but not determine election outcomes.

Please consider my attached map.


I will also add more to this comment after today's meeting.

William

DISTRICT 5, 6, 10, 11 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN

William Walker

April 8, 2022



District 5


Bounds

North : Fulton or California

South: Parnassus or Haight

West: Masonic or Stanyan/GG Pk

East: Van Ness Ave



District 6


Bounds

North : Geary and Market, 2nd St

South: 14th St or 16th St

West: Van Ness Ave or Valencia

East: I-280 Ext, King St, 2nd St



District 10


Bounds

North : 16th St

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Potrero Ave, US 101, Mansell,
McLaren Park

East: San Francisco Bay



District 11

Bounds

North : Holloway, Ocean Ave (incl CCSF), I-280

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Ashton, Junipero Serra (excluding Merced Triangle)

East: San Francisco Bay

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Matt Shiraki](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep Lakeshore/Merced Manor in District 4
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matt Shiraki <mattshiraki@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Lakeshore/Merced Manor in District 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello task force members:

My name is Matt Shiraki and I live in the Lakeshore/Merced Manor neighborhood with my wife Kristine, three year old daughter Naomi, and one more baby on the way (name TBD).

I want to thank the commission for the current draft maps that wisely put Lakeshore and Merced Manor into District 4. On behalf of my whole family, **Please keep this map the way it is.**

This is a change that makes logical sense. District 4 has to expand. It's a natural expansion to go south. All of us who live west of 19th Avenue and south of Sloat have more in common with the Sunset than West Portal. We go to Sunset restaurants, Stern Grove, and Ocean Beach. District 4 residents also cross Sloat to shop at the Lucky grocery store and the Lakeshore Plaza. We have the YMCA, Lowell High School and Lakeshore elementary school that District 4 residents use. It makes perfect sense to unite South of Sloat with the Sunset. You have done this already with all four of your draft maps. Clearly, you see the wisdom of this. Please keep it that way.

Please do not succumb to political pressure because some people think the residents South of Sloat won't vote the way they want. Politics should not be a consideration for these district lines. Please keep Lakeshore in District 4 the way you have already so wisely done in the draft maps.

Thank you for your service and consideration.

Best,
Matt Shiraki
1201 Sloat Boulevard
4158668654

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: christine.farren@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christine Farren <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Christine Farren
christine.farren@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rosalind Hinton](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosalind Hinton <rosalindhinton@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I love being in D10 with the Bayview and feel a change from this is a change in the wrong direction.
Please go back to 4D. This is not as hard as you guys are making it.

You see my address below. Let's keep the unity.

Rosalind Hinton, PhD

rosalindhinton@mac.com

cell: 312-209-2009

1727 20th Street

San Francisco, CA 94107

[Wikipedia, User:RosPost](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Liz Mullen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep D10 together
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Liz Mullen <lizard.mullen@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep D10 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Dogpatch neighborhood.

I'm asking you to restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote.

Keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch together. Keep our diverse district together.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Mullen

Dogpatch Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Priscilla Scala](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Priscilla Scala <priscilla.scala@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Priscilla Scala

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: iamadele@earthlink.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:33:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Adele Hall Zachrisson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Adele Hall Zachrisson

Email

iamadele@earthlink.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Stefanie Pogre](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stefanie Pogre <stefanie.pogre@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Stefanie Calloway

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: leelagill1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leela Gill <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Leela Gill
leelagill1@gmail.com
850 Baker Street
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [I burgess](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: I burgess <lburgess@burgess-laborlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Melanie Kaba](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melanie Kaba <melanie.kaba@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted. On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Melanie and Family

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: judywadesf@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judy Wade <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Judy Wade

Email

judywadesf@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Goodwin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: DO NOT REDISTRICT DISTRICT 10!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Goodwin <reg@regsf.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: DO NOT REDISTRICT DISTRICT 10!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 27 year resident of the Dogpatch neighborhood.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you,

Richard Goodwin

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean-Paul Hu](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Dogpatch Portrero
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jean-Paul Hu <hujean.paul@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Dogpatch Portrero

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I ask you to bring Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back together.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eleanor Cox](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Adjustments needed on the west side: suggestions for changes to districts 4 and 7
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanor Cox <eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Adjustments needed on the west side: suggestions for changes to districts 4 and 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear task force members,

I would like to see some discussion during your line drawing session today about the west side. Up until now, most of your discussion has been about the eastern and southeastern neighborhoods. I understand why, given the population growth in those areas, but the changes that are being made affect those of us west of Divis and Twin Peaks as well, and I have not seen enough analysis on why or how the proposed changes to the west side district boundaries were determined by your body.

The majority of the public comment given on Monday, April 4, was about the west side. And yet the live drawing to follow went right back to focusing on districts 3 and 6. Again, we understand those areas require intense scrutiny but the west side must be considered at some point in this process.

In looking at map 5A - which i believe will be the focus of your line drawing today given how you ended the meeting last night - I see that district 4 is near -5% deviation and district 7 is at almost 0% deviation.

Given these deviations and the public testimony we have heard from residents of both the outer sunset (D4) and the inner sunset (D5), please explore moving the inner sunset into District 4. We recognize that part or all of the inner sunset needs to be moved out of District 5 in order for that district to absorb more population on the east side. This is disappointing to us because we have spent years building connections with the Haight and the Western Addition. Yet we are open to being united with our neighbors to the west in District 4 to create a unified sunset district. There are so many social, cultural, historical connections between our neighborhoods: the Chinese-speaking population, concentrations of renters and working families, neighborhood commercial corridors that run right into each other, Gold Gate Park, Lincoln Ave., transit corridors (the 7 haight, the N judah),

I think there is a shared affinity between our neighborhoods that has been publicly expressed throughout this process - in both Cantonese and english. And clearly District 4 can accommodate us while maintaining your target deviations.

Some other voices have indicated both a preference to keep the inner sunset whole (which I agree with!) along with a preference to move the inner sunset into District 7. These voices tend to focus their reasoning around shopping along 9th Avenue. Yet, the Inner Sunset neighborhood commercial corridor runs along 9th Ave AND Irving Street. The heart of our commercial districts is more than Tartine and Arizmendi, it includes laundry mats, nail salons, grocery stores, pet supply, tech repair, post offices/shipping, insurance shops, etc. It feels like the the current maps are prioritizing the voices of the brunch crowd and 9th Ave merchants (aka, a comparatively affluent community) over those of us who simply rely on access to neighborhood services.

This impressions could be cleared up by public discussion on how and why you decided to move the Inner Sunset into District 7 over District 4, while REMOVING Merced Manor from District 7 and moving them into District 4.

I do not understand the hesitation to consider including us with District 4 when:

- 1) It would keep the Inner Sunset whole and therefore meets the criteria putforward by the Inner Sunset Park Neighbors and the Inner Sunset Merchants Association;**
- 2) So many who live in those areas have requested that very change based on shared community;**
- 3) and when it would only help your deviation numbers.**

Please take a look at this area today weather you move forward with 5A or 5B. The west side deserves as much thoughtful consideration and respect as Russian Hill or the Community Benefit Districts.

Thank you in advance,
Eleanor Cox

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [jean bogiages](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jean bogiages <jeanmbogiages@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

The Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District needs to be in the same supervisor district. Potrero Hill and Dogpatch work with the Bayview district in terms of transportation, pollution issues, equal opportunity and more. We need to stay together.

Sincerely,
Jean Bogiages
potrerogatewaypark.org
greenbenefit.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [E Medrano](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: It makes sense
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: E Medrano <medranoea@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: It makes sense

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

It makes so much sense to keep the family of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch together. We have been a family since the **goats** were on the **hill**, centuries ago, or longer.

I hope you're not also trying to change the value and quality of our schools, shops, libraries, houses, organizations, medical facilities, ourzpolitics, etc. It could be devastating. Keep us where we are, where we moved to long ago, where we raised our families. I purposely chose to live to in Potrero Hill where it is less crowed and where McKinley Park is nearby on POTRERO HILL.

Thank you

Thank you

Thank you

Thank you

Thank you

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Walter Caplan](#)
Subject: FW: D 7 map - Stonestown and Parkmerced
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:28 AM
Attachments: [RDTF 4-7-22.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*


-----Original Message-----

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Caplan Walter <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Subject: D 7 map - Stonestown and Parkmerced

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FOREST KNOLLS

Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization



**the Neighborhoods of District 7
adjacent to the impending redevelopment of
Stonestown and Parkmerced**

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [rachel herbert](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: from Rachel Herbert regarding Redistricting District 8
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: rachel herbert <doloresparkcafe@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:53 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: from Rachel Herbert regarding Redistricting District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Rachel Herbert
Park Café Group
501 Dolores Street
SF CA 94110

To the San Francisco Redistricting Taskforce,

4/08/22

As an LGBTQ business owner in San Francisco (Dolores Park Café, Duboce Park Café, Precita Park Café) since 1997, I am requesting that the area from Valencia to Dolores Street remain in District 8. The Valencia Street Corridor from 16th street -25th Street represents an important piece Lesbian History and should continue to be included in District 8 along with the Castro. Like-wise Dolores & 18th Street is where iconic SF Dyke March began. Now more than ever San Francisco must preserve what is left of our rich multicultural LGBTQ history; a history that brings people from all backgrounds together. Please do not divide our community and potential erase an important piece of San Francisco's Lesbian heritage at a time when our visibility is more important than ever.

Thank you, Rachel

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marion Hewitt](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marion Hewitt <mkhewitt@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely, Marion Hewitt Potrero Hill resident since 1979.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lconner@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: linda Conner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

linda Conner

Email

lbconner@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Hugo Kobayashi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting comment
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hugo Kobayashi <sffishhead@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Hugo Kobayashi and I've been a resident of the Inner Sunset and District Five for 29 years.

I've commented by phone three times already about the latest district maps. Please listen to the people who've made public comments. When I woke up Thursday morning I was shocked to see that the map the task force chose, map 4B, completely ignored most of the public comments I heard. Your job is to listen to the public and create districts that help most of the citizens of San Francisco. Map 4B rejected most of the public comments that I heard.

I'm glad that the latest map, Map 5B, better reflects the public comment that I've heard. But my neighborhood, the Inner Sunset, has been completely incorporated into District Seven which I disagree with. I want the Inner Sunset to remain in District Five because the Inner Sunset is a more tenant heavy neighborhood than District Seven. District Seven has a much higher percentage of home owners, has higher priced homes and is more suburban. The Inner Sunset is a much denser neighborhood. We have much more in common economically and culturally with the Haight, Hayes Valley, the Fillmore and Japantown.

If it's not possible to have all or part of the Inner Sunset in District Five, then all or a part of the Inner Sunset should be included in District Four. We have much more in common with District Four than District Seven. The Inner Sunset is connected to District Four by the Irving Street commercial district and the N Judah train. Please keep the Inner Sunset out of District Seven.

Please work with Map 5B but keep the Inner Sunset, from Arguello to at least Funston, preferably to 17th Avenue, from Lincoln up to at least Kirkham in D5.

And please put the Inner Sunset into D4 if it's not possible to keep the Inner Sunset in District Five.

Thank you,

Hugo Kobayashi

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lenore Hyman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Do not split Potrero hill
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Lenore Hyman <lenoresf@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:36 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Do not split Potrero hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not split Potrero hill
I do not agree with re districting plan.
Sincerely
Lenore Hyman
1429 De Haro st
94107

Sent from my iPhone.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: scars_perch.0d@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Greg Sobolski <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Greg Sobolski

Email

scars_perch.0d@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

Please do not succumb to the pressure of reverting to 5B. You ably allowed debate and made a supportable decision with 5A. This important process should not be subverted by threats.

We support you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DPH-josaki](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Emily Murase](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [Grace Horikiri](#); [judy hamaguchi](#); [DPH-etamura](#); [Alvin Woo](#); [Tony Jenks](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Public Comment: Jon Osaki 4/8/22
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:32:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jon Osaki <josaki@jccyc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Sandy Mori <smori@kimochi-inc.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; judy hamaguchi

<judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>; DPH-etamura <etamura@jcyc.org>; Alvin Woo <awoo@jcyc.org>;
Tony Jenks <tjenks@jcyc.org>

Subject: Redistricting Public Comment: Jon Osaki 4/8/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner and Members of the Redistricting Task Force - I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation for the incredibly complex and challenging task you all have undertaken.

As you have repeatedly heard over the past few months, the Japantown community is a historically marginalized and at times targeted community in this City. I am grateful for the attention you have given to our concerns and critical efforts to keep our community whole within the District 5 boundaries.

There will no doubt be tremendous pressure to make additional changes and I am making one final urgent appeal to **maintain the current Northern border of District 5 which extends up to California between Buchanan and Octavia**. This will keep my organization, the Japanese Community Youth Council, the Nichiren Buddhist Church and the St. Francis Xavier Japanese Catholic Church within District 5 and the rest of Japantown. I remain concerned that our efforts to keep Japantown intact could be compromised by other changes within the district and I urge you to remain steadfast in your support of our work to preserve one of the last three remaining Japantowns in the United States.

I would also like to express my support of the maps produced by the Black Power in SF group. Japantown and the Black community has a long and important history of solidarity, particularly in the Western Addition and I continue to honor our collective desire to support each other.

Sincerely,

Jon Osaki

--

Jon Osaki
Executive Director
2012 Pine Street, San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 202-7918
www.jcyc.org

Empowering Young People For The Future



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: nagoss@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: nicolas goss <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

nicolas goss

Email

nagoss@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Derek Lee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please Reconsider
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Derek Lee <delee@jccyc.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:22 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Reconsider

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I would like to express my concern about the possible impact that this redistricting could have on the JCYC and community. The Japantown Community Youth Council has been an important resource and community asset to Japantown for many decades. It would be sad to see that our location (2012 Pine Street) could possibly be removed from District 5. As a community member, I would hope that this organization could still be included with Japantown and the Fillmore to preserve its impact and significance within the community!

Thank you for taking the time to read this email,
Derek Lee

--

Derek Lee (He, Him, His)
Youth Development Coordinator
JCYC Youth Development
2012 Pine Street, Floor 2.5, San Francisco, CA 94115



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rvgoss@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Goss <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Richard Goss

Email

rwgoss@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <John_deCastro@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:22 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

John deCastro

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Andrea Laudate](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:21 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

andrea laudate mamalaudate@yahoo.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Donald Cremers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donald Cremers <donaIdcremers@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:19 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Donald Cremers
25 year resident of 634 Connecticut Street
35 year resident of San Francisco

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: judyminton@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

As a resident of Potrero Hill for 30 years, I implore you to adopt District 10 Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Judy Minton
415 Connecticut St.
San Francisco CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mike Montgomery](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Map 4D!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Montgomery <michael.montgomery@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Map 4D!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I urge you to maintain the boundaries as set forth in Map 4D and return Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square to District 10 along with Bayview.

Sincerely,
Mike Montgomery

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stephen Torres](#)
Cc: [Tina Aguirre](#); [Jesse Oliver Sanford](#)
Subject: FW: April 8, 2022 Letter from Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:32 AM
Attachments: [2022.04.08 Revised Letter to the Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Torres <stephen.torres@castrolgbtq.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Tina Aguirre <taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>; Jesse Oliver Sanford <oliver@castrolgbtq.org>
Subject: April 8, 2022 Letter from Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Redistricting Task Force Members,

Please include our most recent letter in your record.

Best regards,

--

Stephen Torres

Executive Co-Chair

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

San Francisco, California

415.489.8761

pronouns: he, him, his

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94122

April 8, 2022

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
1800 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94110

Dear Task Force Members,

This revised letter is to address the most recent developments at the Redistricting Task Force, specifically the latest iteration of the redistricting map as composed by this Task Force late yesterday, April 7th.

As we had previously indicated, we have asked that the various LGBTQ neighborhoods that have been historically and culturally part of District 8 and the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District remain whole and this latest iteration largely does that. However, there are still fragments of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District as well as the Mission Dolores and Upper Market neighborhoods that are cut out of this iteration which we feel should be kept within the boundaries of District 8 and could likely hamper the Cultural District's ability to advocate and properly represent community interests and preserve important LGBTQ cultural landmarks and spaces if they are still partially fragmented as this map proposes.

The Upper Market and Mission Dolores neighborhoods are culturally and historically cohesive parts of the Castro. Many members of the LGBTQ community that call the Castro home reside in these neighborhoods. Many historic and culturally significant businesses and sites are in this area as well. Of particular note is National LGBTQ Center for the Arts at 170 Valencia Street which falls within our district footprint.

Accurate representation of the districts was a major reason for leadership reflective of those communities in the 1977 election of supervisors like the late Ella Hill Hutch, Gordon Lau, Roberto Gonzales and Harvey Milk and that representation is all the more imperative now. In a time when decades of progress due to LGBTQ activism are being rolled back, with laws across the nation are being passed to harm and traumatize the LGBTQ community, it is all the more important that we continue to emphasize to this Task Force that the voices and needs of our community at large are heard as we are not represented or enumerated in the United States Census that initiates this redistricting process.

We continue to advocate that this Task Force listen to all members of San Francisco's LGBTQ Community and keep LGBTQ neighborhoods such as Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, Buena Vista, Twin Peaks, Upper Market, Mission Dolores, Eureka Valley, Diamond Heights and Noe Valley within District 8 in their entirety and as indispensable parts of the greater Castro community as well to preserve intact within one District, the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District.

The District is grateful for the Task Force for having listened to our concerns and appreciates the immense task in your hands and long hours you have dedicated to this sometimes emotional and difficult process.

Sincerely,

Stephen Torres, Executive Co-Chair
Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
Cc: Corey Fusco, Executive Co-Chair
Jesse Oliver Sanford, Land Use Chair
Tina Valentín Aguirre, District Manager

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <John_deCastro@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

John deCastro

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: nguyenkent@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kent Nguyen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kent Nguyen

Email

nguyenkent@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Shunya Anding](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Shunya Anding <ncanding@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:01 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Shunya Anding

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tiffany Hill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tiffany Hill <tifhill@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:01 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cath Wayland](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Cath Wayland <cathwayl@aol.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:59 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Catherine Wayland
Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: janice.barger@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Janice Barger <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Janice Barger

Email

janice.barger@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dale Riehart](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Retistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:31:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dale Riehart <dale@daleriehart.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:55 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Retistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dare Task Force,

Thank you for giving our new neighborhoods full and equitable consideration, specifically for recognizing the work done to fully support and incorporate 30%+ of neighbors in affordable housing.

I join others in District 6 to ask that you settle on a map that keeps our district at the lowest feasible deviation from the target base population to allow for the growth already in the pipeline in the decade ahead.

YOUR decision according to your own thinking was to—go all in on keeping South Beach/Rincon-East Cut/Mission Bay together—as was our original ask.

Dale Riehart
86 South Park St
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eric Daimler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eric Daimler <eric@daimler.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

please consider merging as many districts as possible.

then have the top 2, or 3, or 4 vote getters represent that district.

Ideally, we have the whole city merged so that each representative advocates for the whole, not their own narrow interests.

-Eric Daimler

SF Resident and Business owner

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ingrid Olasov](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ingrid Olasov <ilawhorn@alum.mit.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I'm following up on my past emails and surprised at the backwards progress that the districting team has made. At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Best,
Ingrid

,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Renee Curran](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: The west side
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Renee Curran <sfmeancat@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: The west side

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

I live in the Inner Sunset and have commented several times in person and by phone. I am a tenant who relies on rent control to stay in this city where I have lived for almost 30 years, 25 of those in the Inner Sunset/District 5. I have also been a volunteer tenants' rights counselor for 12 years and have a pretty good idea of the struggles tenants face in SF.

It appears that some improvements were made in one of the latest maps, 5B, but the west side continues to be mostly ignored, specifically the borders of D4, D7, and D5. My preference is that all or most of the Inner Sunset remain in District 5. However, I have heard the many callers from the D4 Chinese community ask to extend the borders of D4 to Funston, and this makes sense.

The Inner Sunset, while most compatible with other District 5 neighborhoods such as the Haight-Ashbury, has far more in common with D4 than with D7 with its sprawling residential neighborhoods made up of multi-million-dollar homes. Similarly, D4 has far more in common with us than with the wealthy areas to the south that are currently in D7 but that these maps add to D4. We have a continuous commercial corridor along Irving from 5th Avenue to about 25th Avenue. We are mostly tenants and working-class or moderate-income homeowners. We share our borders with Golden Gate Park where we have the same level of access for recreation, and are similarly affected by the various cultural events there in terms of noise and increased traffic. By extending the borders of D4 south, and the borders of D7 into the Inner Sunset, you do harm to two vulnerable communities. You dilute the voting power of working class Asian renters and homeowners in D4, and working-class tenants in D5.

Please work with Map 5B but keep the Inner Sunset, from Arguello to at least Funston, preferably to 17th Avenue, from Lincoln up to at least Kirkham in D5.

Alternatively, please put the Inner Sunset into D4.

Thank you,

Renee Curran

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dean.christophers@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Dean <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Christopher Dean

Email

dean.christophers@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Libby Benedict](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: We want to STAY in District 1
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Libby Benedict <lbenedict55@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: We want to STAY in District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FRANCISCO HEIGHTS CIVIC ASSOCIATION:
Established 1937
79 Almaden Court/San Francisco, CA/94118

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing in my capacity as President of the Francisco Heights Civic Association, representing 500+ homeowners and renters in the shadow of Lone Mountain.

We are extremely disappointed that the Taskforce decided to revert back to map 48, which divides several communities, including Lone Mountain and the University of San Francisco.

Our organization, one of the oldest in San Francisco (founded before WWII), wants to remain, for districting purposes, in District 1. We love and identify with this community, and we have allied with Richmond District residents numerous times regarding civic improvement and quality of life issues over that last 80+ years; including, but not limited to, neighborhood park improvement and expansion, height mitigation, and the threat of overbuilding.

Please keep our communities whole and add Lone Mountain and the areas around and near Rossi Playground back into District 1. We know District 1's population needs to grow, so we respectfully request that you expand the district lines east, where the neighborhoods are more similar to the current district: with majority tenants, representing diverse populations.

Libby Benedict
Lbenedict55@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: chris@donohoe.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Chris Donohoe

Email

chris@donohoe.org

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: shaelyndawson@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shaelyn Dawson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shaelyn Dawson

Email

shaelyndawson@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 9

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I support map 4B. Please do not succumb to pressure from a small number of vocal opponents who want to undermine your process.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Ed Rudolph; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Rudolph <erudolph@pacbell.net>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Edward Rudolph
398 Texas St
SF 94107

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminnott@outlook.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cristina.gutterman@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:25 AM

From: Cristina Guttermann <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cristina Guttermann

Email cristina.gutterman@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District

that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rhamilton@stanfordalumni.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Russell Hamilton <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Russell Hamilton

Email

rhamilton@stanfordalumni.org

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Hi there,

I'm a Sea Cliff resident that is in favor of moving from District 2 to District 1. This is a difficult decision because we have appreciated how much our current Supervisor has supported Sea Cliff and been involved in our issues. However, geographically speaking, it's hard to ignore the logic of joining the rest of the Richmond District.

I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you, and best of luck on the process.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: connerama@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Conner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Chris Conner

Email

connerama@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!
Chris Conner

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kayla Ikuma](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [DPH-etamura](#)
Subject: RE: District 5
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kayla Ikuma <kayla.ikuma@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: DPH-etamura <etamura@jccyc.org>
Subject: District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

I am a member of the Japantown Youth Leaders (JYL), a program of the Japanese Community Youth Council. Thank you for including JCYC (2012 Pine Street) in District 5. It is very important that JCYC is not cut off from the rest of Japantown and the Fillmore district as we share a long history of struggle and working together.

Thank you to all the members of the redistricting task force. I know it hasn't been an easy process and that you've all put in many long hours and long nights. Please keep Japantown and other communities of interest together and please keep JCYC in District 5!

Name

Kayla Ikuma, Japantown Youth Leaders

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matakajessica@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jessica Mataka <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:15 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Jessica Mataka
matakajessica@gmail.com
2202 California Street Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Fatiehk@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Zahra Khojasteh-Sobolski <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Zahra Khojasteh-Sobolski

Email

Fatiehk@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of task Force

I support map4B

Please do not succumb to pressure from a small number of vocal opponents who want to undermine your process

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura Saunders](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Saunders <tolsaunders@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee Members,

As a 15 year resident of Soma I vote to keep the Tenderloin together with Soma in D6. I've had the privilege of buying a below market rate condo in Soma and want to keep the D6 focus on affordable housing and inclusive neighborhoods that enable future growth of a diverse community.

Laura

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: spencer.c.knight@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Spencer Knight <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Spencer Knight

Email

spencer.c.knight@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: aileenmariemahon@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:30:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Aileen Mahon <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Aileen Mahon

Email

aileenmariemahon@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sarahnel@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sarah Nelson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Sarah Nelson
sarahnel@gmail.com
3674 18th St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard B. Allen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Fwd:
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Richard B. Allen <richardballen35@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

My name is Dick Allen. I've been a resident of District 7 for 35 years and a small business retail owner in SF for 38 years. I served on the San Francisco Planning Commission during the Feinstein administration to be a **voice for small neighborhood businesses.**

My request: please keep the inner Sunset merchants under the jurisdiction of one supervisor, District 7. Dividing District 7 merchants into two political districts is not logical. Continue to keep our "community of interest" united.

Thank you,

Dick Allen, District 7

richardballen35@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Douglas L Comstock](#); raynell.cooper@sfgov.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: North of Panhandle Testimony
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:58 PM
To: raynell.cooper@sfgov.org; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: North of Panhandle Testimony

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Doug Comstock, and I have testified to the Redistricting Task Force on two occasions disclosing that I live north of the Panhandle and that we are an integral part of the Haight. I know of five other neighbors who have indicated the same thing. Please hear us.

Doug Comstock
Hayes Street
415 845-5778

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: alex.r.mitra@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Alexander Mitra <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Alexander Mitra

Email

alex.r.mitra@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: scars_perch.0d@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Sobolski <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Greg Sobolski

Email

scars_perch.0d@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

We appreciate you and support Map 4B. Please do not succumb to the pressure of undoing the decision you carefully made. That would make a mockery of the process and be undemocratic.

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 4B, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

We support you! Don't let a small number of vocal people threaten you and subvert this process.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: spencer.guthrie@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Spencer Guthrie <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Spencer Guthrie

Email

spencer.guthrie@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sarah Farley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 5B and 4D - Keep D5 Together
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sarah Farley <sfarley@jps.net>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:52 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for Map 5B and 4D - Keep D5 Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I reside on the 1900 block of Grove Street and under Map 5A (and 4B) I would be part of D1. I don't understand why parts of the Haight (under 4B) and all of NOPA (under 4B and 5A) would be removed from D5 other than to dilute the strong progressive voice of this district. I've lived in D5 since the 90's and I love its diversity and values. If I had to choose a district to live in, it would be D5, as it presently exists. Keep NOPA in D5!

Sarah Farley
NOPA Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ksobolski@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: KATIA SOBOLSKI <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

KATIA SOBOLSKI

Email

ksobolski@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I support map 4B, which adds much needed population density to D5, which has seen an unsustainable stasis in population growth over the past several years. i urge you to tune out the noise and move forward with your decision to pursue a district map that incorporates dense areas of current D6 that are adjacent to current D5 into D5.

Thank you
Katia Sobolski

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Susan Sakuma](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC) and District 5
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Sakuma <stsakuma06@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:45 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC) and District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

Thank you for your time and effort that all of you have put into the redistricting process and your response in understanding the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact. This is no easy feat.

As the redistricting process winds down and the April 15th deadline for finalizing the map nears, I would like to take one last opportunity to let you know how imperative it is to keep the Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC - 2012 Pine Street) within District 5. I thankfully acknowledge that it is included in most iterations of the current maps. JCYC is one of the oldest organizations in Japantown and it would be devastating to not be included with the rest of Japantown as well as with the Fillmore, as we have a shared history of struggle and rebuilding that dates back to before World War II.

The Japanese have a phrase we use...*Gaman*, which means enduring the seemingly unbearable with patience and dignity. I appreciate your *gaman* on the redistricting task force and hope that you will remember the importance of keeping JCYC within D5 and preserving the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition as you vote on the final redistricting map.

JCYC should continue to be included in D5. The Buddhist Church of San Francisco (BCSF), which is located two blocks to the west of JCYC, has participated in programs together with other Japantown/ Western Addition organizations.

Sincerely,
Susan Sakuma (member and secretary of the board)
Buddhist Church of San Francisco
1881 Pine Street
SF, CA 94109

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: doreen.horstin@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Doreen Horstin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Doreen Horstin

Email

doreen.horstin@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [allie umemoto](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Japantown Redistricting JCYC
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: allie umemoto <alli3umemoto@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Japantown Redistricting JCYC

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

I'd like to begin by thanking you for the immense time and effort that all of you have put into the redistricting process and appreciate your response in understanding the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact. This is no easy feat.

As the redistricting process winds down and the April 15th deadline for finalizing the map nears, I would like to take one last opportunity to let you know how imperative it is to keep the Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC - 2012 Pine Street) within District 5. I thankfully acknowledge that it is included in most iterations of the current maps. JCYC is one of the oldest organizations in Japantown and it would be devastating to not be included with the rest of Japantown as well as with the Fillmore, as we have a shared history of struggle and rebuilding that dates back to before World War II.

I have personally worked with JCYC and have seen its immense impact on the community of Japantown. This is why it is so important to keep it within District 5.

The Japanese have a phrase we use...*Gaman*, which means enduring the seemingly unbearable with patience and dignity. I appreciate your *gaman* on the redistricting task force and hope that you will remember the importance of keeping JCYC within D5 and preserving the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition as you vote on the final redistricting map.

Thank you,
Allie Umemoto
Japantown Community Member

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Chuck Obermeyer](#)
Subject: FW: FW: Question About Displaying Slides During Public Comment
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:19 AM
Attachments: [1.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chuck Obermeyer <chuck@midmarketneighbors.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: FW: Question About Displaying Slides During Public Comment

Hi all,

I've made some small changes. Attached is the new slide.

Yes please, if possible I'd like this slide displayed tonight. Public commenters will request it to be displayed as they make their comments.

Thanks!

Chuck

Chuck Obermeyer (he/him)
Executive Director
(415)-697-4185
chuck@midmarketneighbors.org



---- On Fri, 08 Apr 2022 09:00:51 -0700 **REG - Redistricting Taskforce**
<rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote ----

Good morning Mr. Obermeyer.

Sorry I'm just getting to this. When do you want this displayed? Tonight?

John Carroll

From: Chuck Obermeyer <chuck@midmarketneighbors.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 2:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Question About Displaying Slides During Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Attached is the slide we'd like have available for public commenters to be able to request to be put up. Please let me know if there are any other steps or requirements that I should take care of.

Thanks!
Chuck

Chuck Obermeyer (he/him)
Executive Director
(415)-697-4185
chuck@midmarketneighbors.org



---- On Thu, 07 Apr 2022 22:50:34 -0700 **Chuck Obermeyer**
<chuck@midmarketneighbors.org> wrote ----

Hello, staff of the clerk of the board,


Thank you for your long hours, hard work, and legendary decorum. As a big parli pro nerd and a survivor of many disordered meetings (though who isn't?) I cannot begin to express my admiration for the guardrails you have maintained throughout this tough process.

I've seen/heard previous callers request to bring up slides to help illustrate their points during their public comments. What would be the proper procedure for doing so? Is there a set time before each meeting by which certain steps should be taken? I'd love to offer folks in my organization the opportunity to utilize a visual while making their points. Thanks!

Many thanks for your answer and your service,
Chuck

Chuck Obermeyer (he/him)
Executive Director
(415)-697-4185
chuck@midmarketneighbors.org





From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Karan Bhatia](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Tenderloin and SOMA together
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karan Bhatia <karan_1109@hotmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:41 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep the Tenderloin and SOMA together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm a resident who lives on Market St on the edge of both SoMa and Tenderloin.

As a resident of SF of over 5 years, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Karan

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matthew@brailer-rhoa.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:29:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Matthew Rhoa <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matthew Rhoa

Email

matthew@brailer-rhoa.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Please resist the bullying by politicians and their surrogates to overthrow the recommendations. Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split

up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront

Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bcommins1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Confusion about 4D vs 4B
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:28:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: bcommins1@gmail.com <bcommins1@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:49 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Confusion about 4D vs 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm a latecomer to this issue, but would like to do something. Can you send me the two maps so I can compare what we want vs 4B?

Barbara

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Adkins, Joe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Map of D5, D6, D10, D11 for Black Communities
Date: Saturday, April 9, 2022 8:17:32 AM
Attachments: [Walker Map 4pm 8APR2022.pptx](#)

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 9, 2022 1:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Map of D5, D6, D10, D11 for Black Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please add my map for line drawing on Day 6

----- Forwarded message -----

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Date: Fri, Apr 8, 2022 at 4:13 PM
Subject: Map of D5, D6, D10, D11 for Black Communities
To: <JOE.ADKINS@sfgov.org>, Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>, <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Your iterative process has failed the San Francisco electorate. Your iterative process has failed to produce a map that keeps communities of interest together. I wish that this task force had folks that were more versed in making maps. I also wish the consultant understood our neighborhoods and communities of interest.

I have crafted a map of D5, D6, D10 and D11 that ensures that African Americans have an opportunity to vote as a community of interest.

According to the Public Policy Institute of California. California law requires the CRC to pay serious attention to the Federal Voting Rights Act (VRA), which requires the commission to draw districts for an underrepresented racial or ethnic group where that group is large, geographically concentrated, and politically distinct. The law also includes provisions for “communities of interest” that can sometimes be interpreted as encouraging more districts for underrepresented groups where their populations might influence but not determine election outcomes.

Please consider my attached map.


I will also add more to this comment after today's meeting.

William

DISTRICT 5, 6, 10, 11 COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN

William Walker

April 8, 2022



District 5


Bounds

North : Fulton or California

South: Parnassus or Haight

West: Masonic or Stanyan/GG Pk

East: Van Ness Ave



District 6


Bounds

North : Geary and Market, 2nd St

South: 14th St or 16th St

West: Van Ness Ave or Valencia

East: I-280 Ext, King St, 2nd St



District 10


Bounds

North : 16th St

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Potrero Ave, US 101, Mansell,
McLaren Park

East: San Francisco Bay



District 11

Bounds

North : Holloway, Ocean Ave (incl CCSF), I-280

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Ashton, Junipero Serra (excluding Merced Triangle)

East: San Francisco Bay

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 4-D Map for D-10 Keep us Whole Keep Potrero Hill in Whole in D-10
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott <drcrscott71@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:29 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: 4-D Map for D-10 Keep us Whole Keep Potrero Hill in Whole in D-10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: SF Re-Districting Task Force

My Name is Rev. Dr. Carolyn Scott, I am a SF native born and raised. My parents migrated to SF from Texas my Dad first in 42 working at the Navy Shipyard with my Mom following him here. The Shipyard was the engine which brought food to the table and clothes. My Dad later worked with MUNI and remained with the SF City and county. I have lived in District 5 & D-11 I am living in District 10 for now over 30 years. Our Churches Families and loved ones are in these areas .

I appeal to you to Please Keep Map 4D My community of Interest boundaries are:

Potrero Ave.

16th Street to the Bay/waterfront

HWY 101

Mandell Ave To Bayshore

Geneva Ave which is Potrero Hill BayView Hunters Point and Sunnydale.

We have within these boundaries a shared common goal that are specific to our everyday lives. We Shop, live, play Attend Church and gather in common spaces. From Jackson Park to the NABE to 3rd Street corridor spaces, all the way to little village market and Sunnydale Rec. center.

Please do not take away our Voting Power nor create gentrification by scattering us. Please keep Potrero Hill Whole our Non Profit Community outreach efforts intertwine we serve D-10, D-11, D-5 together our families services and Churches are tied.

Thank you...

Rev Dr. Carolyn Scott

SF Faith Based Coord/

OCII Commissioner

Sent from my iPhone Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ken Craig](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Re-Districting
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ken Craig <kencraigca@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re-Districting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

First, let me thank you for the work already completed on this huge and difficult task.

As a long-term resident, first in South Beach at The Brannan, and now in Rincon Hill at Lumina, I have watched the tremendous growth and development of these intrinsically joined neighborhoods, from open lots and warehouses to vibrant high-density communities.

The people, neighborhood associations, building HOA volunteers, and many individuals have worked tirelessly to develop common bonds and a strong community here, and I urge you to keep these three neighborhoods together in your final decisions. This is one of the most dynamic areas of the city for construction, huge influxes of workers and visitors during the day, and lots of still planned development. It is vital that these three neighborhoods remain together with a single District Supervisor to help the City and the neighborhoods navigate the changes and set a positive course forward into the future.

I also urge you to NOT make any changes to the make up of your Task Force until the final decision has been submitted. Any changes before that decision, at such a late stage in the

work of the task force would be disruptive at a minimum, and would call into serious question the motives for the change and the results of the task force.

Sincerely,

Ken Craig

Resident, 333 Beale Street, Rincon Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Amy Hansen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 4D for Potrero
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Hansen <amymorganstern@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:25 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for Map 4D for Potrero

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: karinawinder@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Karina Velasquez <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Karina Velasquez

Email

karinawinder@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mmurano@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Michael Murano <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael Murano

Email

mmurano@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: td@hoyablue.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Todd Davis <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Todd Davis

Email

td@hoyablue.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: heygogo@mac.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Yvonne Gomez <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Yvonne Gomez

Email

heygogo@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jeff Milstead](#); [Delgadillo, Martha \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Concerns about the redistricting process
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:36 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeff Milstead <spoetz@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:57 AM
To: Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Concerns about the redistricting process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Martha,

I'm writing to you today to express my deep, deep concern about what has been going on with the redistricting task force.

I wholeheartedly support the Community Unity map, and I'm calling for the removal of any redistricting task force members who have been engaged or are engaging in dereliction of duty, including any Mayoral appointees.

Thanks,

Jeff Milstead
2174 27th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DPH-etamura](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep JCYC in District 5!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erika Tamura <etamura@jcy.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:54 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep JCYC in District 5!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

I'd like to begin by thanking you for the immense time and effort that all of you have put into the redistricting process and appreciate your response in understanding the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact. This is no easy feat.

As the redistricting process winds down and the April 15th deadline for finalizing the map nears, I would like to take one last opportunity to let you know how imperative it is to keep the Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC - 2012 Pine Street) within District 5. I thankfully acknowledge that it is included in most iterations of the current maps. JCYC is one of the oldest organizations in Japantown and it would be devastating to not be included with the rest of Japantown as well as with the Fillmore, as we have a shared history of struggle and rebuilding that dates back to before World War II.

The Japanese have a phrase we use...*Gaman*, which means enduring the seemingly unbearable with patience and dignity. I appreciate your *gaman* on the redistricting task force and hope that you will remember the importance of keeping JCYC within D5 and preserving the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition as you vote on the final redistricting map.

Erika Tamura
Director of Youth Development Programs
Japanese Community Youth Council

--

Erika Tamura (she/her)

Director, JCYC Youth Development Programs

Phone: [415.563.8052](tel:415.563.8052) Fax: 415.346.1948

Email: etamura@jcy.org

Website: www.jcy.org/programs/youthdevelopment/jyl

Address: 1840 Sutter Street, Ste 207, San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Evan Owski](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Oppose 4B & Support 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Evan Owski <eowski@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose 4B & Support 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Taskforce:

I'm writing to urge you to reconsider and support map 4D, keeping Communities of Interest together. I don't understand the possible justification for the 11th hour about-face this taskforce made in supporting map 4B, but I urge you to reverse course while there is still time.

Sincerely,
Evan Owski
D5 / 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sam Sadle](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Showplace Square/North Potrero Hill
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sam Sadle <s.sadle@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:45 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Showplace Square/North Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Carroll,

I want to quickly follow up and again urge the taskforce to keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and the Design District/Showplace square together in a single district. I believe that this community deserves to remain together whether in District 10 or District 6 (I have no preference). More than anything I ask that my community remain as a single entity so that we can work together to tackle shared problems in a unified manner.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,
Sam Saddle

On Thu, Mar 17, 2022 at 12:23 PM Sam Saddle <s.saddle@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello,

I would like to write to you all about the proposed maps for redistricting. In particular about my intersection.

I live at 999 16th street, at the corner of 16th and Missouri. In fact, I used to live directly across the street in 1010 16th street, also at the corner of 16th and Missouri. When I moved (literally across the street) four years ago it was with the goal of staying in my neighborhood (continuing to use the same streets, sidewalks, and parks that I know and love), remaining close to my community, and getting a bit more space (we had a kid on the way). It was a great move. We kept our community but gained square footage. Since that move I have only dug in further into this neighborhood. I'm working on launching "Friends of Daggett Plaza," a group of residents focused on programming and improving [Daggett Plaza](#); I'm engaged with the Potrero-Dogpatch Livable Streets Committee focused on better non-car connections between dogpatch, showplace, north potrero hill, and mission bay; I volunteer with the [Green Benefit District](#), and have worked closely with the Department of Planning on [SNACS](#), the **Showplace/SoMa Neighborhood Analysis and Coordination Study**.

I mention all of this to show not how busy I am but to show that this corner of the city is a unified neighborhood, with shared interests, challenges, and initiatives. But under the proposal shared with the public recently my neighborhood will be divided haphazardly across three BoS districts (9, 10, and 6), each with their own center of gravity far from this neighborhood (the Mission, Bayview-Hunters Point, and the Tenderloin). While we've always been a bit at the mercy of multiple districts, this proposal has only made it worse. For instance, to work on safe connected bike routes from where I live at 16th and Missouri to SOMA (the next neighborhood over), I've got to work with three different members of the BoS. To organize events for the Friends of Daggett plaza (which is directly across the street from my apartment), I've got to work with two different supervisors. In fact, the move across the street that I mentioned earlier, under this plan if anyone made that same move today, they would be moving from district 9 to district 10, and if they

moved a few blocks north they would be moving from district 9 to district 6.

We are a community here, one that has traditionally been ignored as nothing more than an easy way to get to the 101 and the 280. But we're residents of San Francisco as well and for decades we've tried to build community while the city has considered us a dumping group. We're finally making some serious progress in knitting our community back together. Please do not pull us apart again.

Sincerely,
Sam Sadle

--
Sam Sadle
San Francisco, CA
s.sadle@gmail.com

--
Sam Sadle
San Francisco, CA
s.sadle@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: shaneebbert@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Shane Ebbert <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shane Ebbert

Email

shaneebbert@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the map 5A, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Greg Brundage](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Brundage <brundage@cyprs.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

I realize this note comes late in your process, but I wanted to repeat my earlier request for the “crooked street” and its immediate environs to remain in District 2. As President of the Lombard Hill Improvement Assoc., which maintains the flower gardens on Lombard between Hyde St. and Leavenworth, we have worked for over 8 years with Sup Mark Farrell and Sup Stefani to bring order to the immediate (3-4 blocks in each direction) neighborhood impacted by the surge in pedestrian and auto tourism. The immediate neighborhood has no commercial properties, so it has nothing in common with North Beach or Fisherman’s Wharf—our problem is dealing with traffic, its movement, safety, and property security. We also have little to do with Russian Hill Neighbors other than residing on Russian Hill. The nexus of their members live $\frac{3}{4}$ of a mile from the “crooked street” and do not share our tourism issues. They have no idea of what we had and have to put up with. In conclusion, we have a significant investment in the relationship we have developed with the District 2 team and would hate to see it end. We ask to have our Immediate neighborhood, as described above, remain in District 2.

Thank You,

Greg Brundage

This email may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any unauthorized review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email is strictly prohibited. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to admin@cyprs.com.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joyce Lavey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:21 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joyce Lavey <joyce.lavey@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dwight and Linda Ost; Delgadillo, Martha \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: gerrymandering
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dwight and Linda Ost <lindao2728@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:27 AM
To: Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: gerrymandering

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello, I wouldn't normally advocate removing task force members but in this case, I support it if said members are doing the wrong thing that would unduly affect fairness & equity in certain districts re supervisor representation, etc. We will not kow tow to big real estate interests, etc!

I support the Unity map to keep as close to the existing lines as possible...

sincerely

-Dwight Ost (district 8)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [karoline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 5B is more like it
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:15 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Map 5B is more like it

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for redrawing the maps to be closer to the existing districts.

I urge you to continue with Map 5B. The Haight-Ashbury and Western Addition are linked and need a Supervisor to look out for our particular needs and characteristics.

Thank you again for all the hard work and many many hours of meetings.

All the best,
Karoline Hatch-Berens
94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: todd.marinchak@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Todd Marinchak <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Todd Marinchak

Email

todd.marinchak@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

5. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay must remain intact as the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI. These eastern neighborhoods, which include the highest current and projected percentage of BMR and affordable housing in the city at 30-35%, have done the work of building the housing units needed by all of San Francisco over the last decade and have worked tirelessly to address the shared challenges of being new, growing, and diverse neighborhoods. They collaborate constantly on topics such as creating local public school options for their thousands of family housing units and addressing the safety challenges as their streets are transformed from fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, transit- and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. The residents of this COI live have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to stay united. Don't diminish their voice and ability to ensure the health and safety of their communities – keep the High-Density Waterfront Neighborhoods COI together.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Letter regarding tomorrow's hearing
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:38:04 PM
Attachments: [RTF Elections Commission Letter 040722.pdf](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 11:05 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Letter regarding tomorrow's hearing

From: Cooper, Raynell (REG)
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:54 PM
To: Bernholz, Lucy (REG) <lucy.bernholz@sfgov.org>; sfcommissionerchappell@gmail.com <sfcommissionerchappell@gmail.com>; Jerdonek, Chris (REG) <chris.jerdonek@sfgov.org>; Jung, Charles (REG) <charles.jung@sfgov.org>; Shapiro, Robin (REG) <robin.m.shapiro@sfgov.org>; Dai, Cynthia (REG) <cynthia.dai@sfgov.org>
Cc: Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter regarding tomorrow's hearing

Hello Elections Commission members,
Please find our letter regarding tomorrow's hearing attached. Ms. Delgadillo, please include in the packet for tomorrow's meeting. Mr. Carroll, please distribute to the rest of RTF.
Best,
Raynell Cooper

Dear Members of the San Francisco Elections Commission,

We are writing to you to express our shock and dismay that you are considering a motion to remove us from the Redistricting Task Force. For the past seven months, we have spent countless hours volunteering our time, often late into the night, to hear from different residents, communities, and organizations about how we can best adjust San Francisco's district boundaries to reflect the changing population of our city.

The necessary changes that we and our colleagues have been painstakingly poring over for weeks now are difficult decisions, and we are doing our best to weigh the many variables at play. The fact is that due to demographic changes in certain areas of the city, we have no choice but to shift districts so that they are roughly proportional. We are trying to do so in a collaborative process that reflects the concerns we've heard from stakeholders throughout the process. We have not made any final decisions yet—we are still making changes, debating options, and doing the hard, collaborative work to reach a final outcome.

Over the past few days, we have been accused of bias, attacked on social media, and targeted by elected officials and their allies who are trying to intervene in our independent process and influence the districts that they will ultimately represent. This proposal to remove us as Task Force members is not only an attack on the independence of our committee, but it also fundamentally undermines the public trust in our democratic process. If your Commission chooses to remove us from the Task Force only a few days before the redistricting process is finalized and after all the work we've been doing for weeks, the public will not, and frankly should not, have any reason to trust the legitimacy of the process or the fairness of our electoral system.

At a time when voting rights and the integrity of our elections is under attack nationwide, San Francisco should be a place that stands up for democratic values. We are asking you to reject this proposal, shield our committee from these efforts to undermine our independence, and allow us to complete our process free of undue influence and partisan pressure.

We volunteered for this position because we care about our city, we care about our democracy, and we want to do the work to reach the best possible outcome that we can, together. Please stand up for our democracy, the independent redistricting process, and the integrity of our local elections, and reject this proposal.

Sincerely,

Raynell Cooper
Chasel Lee
Ditka Reiner
Members, San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lizzieBermudez@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Marinchak <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Elizabeth Marinchak

Email

lizzieBermudez@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Change.org](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: New petition to you: Keep SOMA, TL and Treasure Island Together!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:52 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*


From: SOMCAN SF via Change.org <change@t.change.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:56 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>


Subject: New petition to you: Keep SOMA, TL and Treasure Island Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**SF Redistricting Task Force members:
you’ve been listed as a decision maker**

SOMCAN SF started a petition on Change.org and listed you as a decision maker. Learn more about SOMCAN SF’s petition and how you can respond:



SOMCAN SF is petitioning SF Redistricting Task Force members

[Keep SOMA, TL and Treasure Island Together!](#)

Every 10 years, after Census data is released, cities, counties, and states redraw district lines to align with population changes so that every district has an equal voice, equal resources, and equal representation. Where district lines are drawn on the...

[View the petition](#)

WHAT YOU CAN DO

1. View the petition: [Learn about the petition and its supporters.](#)

You will receive updates as new supporters sign the petition so you can see who is signing and why.

2. Respond to the petition: [Post a response](#) to let the petition supporters know you're listening, say whether you agree with their call to action, or ask them for more information.

3. Continue the dialogue: Read the comments posted by petition supporters and continue the dialogue so that others can see you're an engaged leader who is willing to participate in open discussion.

CHANGE.ORG FOR DECISION MAKERS

On Change.org, decision makers like you connect directly with people around the world to resolve issues. [Learn more.](#)

This notification was sent to rdtf@sfgov.org, the address listed as a decision maker.

[Privacy policy](#)

We'd love to hear from you! [Contact us](#) through our help center.

Change.org · 548 Market St #29993, San Francisco, CA 94104-5401, USA

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nathan Mee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Potrero and Dogpatch must stay together
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero and Dogpatch must stay together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

I was deeply disturbed by the map that ended last night's meeting. Separating Potrero Hill and Dogpatch by 280 serves only to inflame the wound that freeway made on our neighborhood when it was built. Potrero and the Dogpatch function as one community, we share a business association, our neighborhood groups are deeply integrated, and our community lives as one. To separate these two communities simply because a roadway makes a convenient line makes no sense. Many of the prior maps (4B, 4D) had sensible lines drawn around what is really one neighborhood. Please don't artificially divide us.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Teresa Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: No of Panhandle is part of Haight-Ashbury & Western Addition
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Teresa Palmer <teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:21 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: No of Panhandle is part of Haight-Ashbury & Western Addition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Cooper inaccurately stated that the Task Force had not heard from north of panhandle residents wanting to stay in D5. I and a number of other neighbors in the North of Panhandle area between Divisadero and Stanyan pointed out to the task force that this area is both part of the Western Addition and the Haight Ashbury (both lower and upper) and should all be kept together in D5.

I have testified 3 times to this in person and have already emailed you that you are illegally breaking up a well established and old historical COI.

We are a community that has many mutual supports, and deserve not to be ignored —why are you ignoring us?

Teresa Palmer M.D.
1845 Hayes St.
San Francisco 94117
4152608446

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matin.nazir@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matin Nazir <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matin Nazir

Email

matin.nazir@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sarah.skaggs@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: sarah skaggs <sarah.skaggs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

I look forward to hearing back from you on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Skaggs
Dogpatch Resident & Homeowner

--

sarah skaggs

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Ariel Brumbaugh; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Maintain District 10 Boundaries set in map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ariel Brumbaugh <ariel.brumbaugh@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Maintain District 10 Boundaries set in map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. We live on Potrero Hill, and commute daily to the Dogpatch for school and work.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Most Sincerely,
Ariel Brumbaugh

614 Mississippi St
San Francisco, CA 94107



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [vanessa r aquino](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Task Force 2022
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: vanessa r aquino <vanessa.r.aquino@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:08 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Task Force 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I have been a resident of the Dogpatch neighborhood for over 18 years. Also I am a San Franciscan and I want us to stay in D10 including Potrero Hill.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Ross Aquino
1106 Tennessee Street

--

Vanessa

Sent from iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christine Dye](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting : DO NOT REMOVE US FROM D10
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christine Dye <christinereneedye@mac.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:06 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting : DO NOT REMOVE US FROM D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Christine Renée Dye

415-336-7306

836 22nd St

San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Douglas L Comstock](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: The Panhandle is in the Haight!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: The Panhandle is in the Haight!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In case you missed it: Our history is the Haight's history, not the Richmond's or Pacific Heights'.



Panhandle Residents Organization / Stanyan - Fulton (PROSF) Community of Interest (COI)

1. What's your Community of Interest?

Safety Issues

PROSF (Panhandle Residents Organization Stanyan to Fulton) was started in reaction to theft, drug, and alcohol complaints. In the nineties we joined with RAD (Residents Against Druggies) to fight against the sale and use of drugs particularly around the bathroom in the Panhandle Park.

Building Development

New building development that preserves backyard open spaces to allow light and air circulation into nearby buildings. In 1987 a developer tried to construct a building at 1931 Hayes that would have infringed on the established minimum of 45% backyard open space.

Transportation

- In 2014 we worked on getting technology commuter buses (Genentech, Google, Apple) off of residential streets and diverting them to main thoroughfares such as Fell Street. Upper Hayes St. has New Traditions Elementary School and John Adams CCSF both public schools with young people crossing the street all the time.
- In 2016 we had meetings on the Masonic Avenue project, inviting SFMTA engineers to speak.
- In 2018 we were concerned about the locations of bike share stations in the neighborhood; residents at first opposed the bike share stations proposed by Lyft because they took away parking. We demanded they be moved to locations away from the businesses on Hayes St. to neighboring streets near Hayes St.
- In 2021 we asked for the reinstatement of the #21 Hayes for public transportation. During the pandemic, the 21 Hayes was suspended by the SFMTA. Residents gave public testimony to the SFMTA Board of Directors requiring that be it be reinstated because seniors and the disabled depend on it for transportation.

2. Where is your community located?

PROSF is bounded by Fulton St. to the north, Fell St. to the south and west to Stanyan St. and east to Masonic Ave. Contained within our borders are New Traditions Elementary School, John Adams CCSF and St. Mary's Medical Center (Dignity Health).

3. Why should your community be kept together in one district?

PROSF is an active community group with regular meetings, held at the John Adams CCSF (pre-pandemic) which is at the corner of Masonic Avenue and Hayes St.

We are mostly a community of tenants and small homeowners. Many tenants are older and have disabilities making them a vulnerable population. We have many large old apartment buildings that are rent controlled and house vulnerable seniors thanks to rent control. Our area is one of the strongest tenant voting blocks in the city. We strongly bonded together during the recent eviction deluge as we helplessly watched neighbors evicted one-by-one and many volunteered to pass Proposition F for City-funded legal representation to protect tenant's rights.

We have a history of community activism and common shared experiences that sprang up during the anti-war demonstrations during the Vietnam war. In 1965 neighbors met and learned from each other at the Blue Unicorn at 1927 Hayes St., the first "hippy" coffeehouse and gathering place in the Greater Haight-Ashbury. In fact, it was a gathering place for artists, poets, writers, musicians, and political activists. The spot attracted many of North Beach's "Beatniks" due to the low rents following "white flight" to the suburbs. The coffeehouse culture is still alive and well, with two lively coffeehouses, Sacred Grounds and Karma Café—just two blocks from each other.

Love it or hate it, this "Hippy" formative period set the stage for personal interaction among North Panhandle affiliates. Some of the buildings along Hayes, Grove and Fulton that were originally meant for servants and working class "tenements" in other words for those who served or worked on Fell and Oak Street's Embassy Row, instead became testing places for communal living. Though these experiments in alternative lifestyles either failed or moved to farmlands in the north — such places as Mendocino and Willits became new homelands, that sense of community has remained.

You could count on the 21 Hayes buses, loaded with demonstrators carrying hand-made signs whenever a demonstration was called. We packed Haight Street with demonstrators when Governor Reagan called in the National Guard to quell the so-called "riot."

Meanwhile we watched as Urban Renewal began its devastation with the bulldozing of many homes and small businesses in the Fillmore, and we were able to organize with groups around the Haight to stop the plan — It was planned to raze California to Market, Van Ness to Masonic, and our area provided low-cost housing for many displaced people, many of whom still live here today.

We joined forces with Sue Bierman from Cole Valley, and the newly formed Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council (HANC) to stop the plan to pave the Panhandle for a freeway. The current President of HANC, Lisa Awbrey lives on Hayes near Clayton.

We joined forces with the Community Congress in 1975 to bring District Elections to San Francisco, and elected Harvey Milk as our first District Supervisor.

We battled for rent control beginning in the late 'seventies with demonstrations and eviction graffiti on every telephone pole and empty storefront window. That was finally won when Harry Britt was appointed after Harvey's death. Harry Britt lived between Page and Oak until his death in 2020.

Panhandle Residents Organization (PRO) became officially formed when developers bought the small storefront that had been the Blue Unicorn and another small storefront with a dwelling in the rear and began the demolition. They planned to build a three-story building allowing only a 15-foot backyard. The rest of the block typically has 50-foot backyards, and the developers plan would bisect the open corridor that is also typical for the area. Over a hundred neighbors signed the petition to stop the plan, and PRO was able, with the help of Supervisor Carol Ruth Silver and Harry Britt to scale it back to conform to a more reasonable yard space, which is enjoyed by the many residents who now live there.

When our neighborhood began to experience crime, the Panhandle Residents Organization (PRO) began to advocate for more Police protection. A Neighborhood Watch program was instituted and brought many together in the 'nineties.



We have continued to fight together to make our space more livable with innumerable battles, most recently the Panhandle bathroom improvements, and continue to do regular Panhandle clean-ups and merged with Stanyan Fulton Association to become PROSF as it is today. We continue to give input to City Hall, most recently demanding the reinstatement of the #21 Hayes bus line.

Our concerns remain with the Greater Haight community, especially around crowd and traffic control for major events in Golden Gate Park, such as 4/20, Hardly Strictly Bluegrass, Bay-to-Breakers as well as day to day traffic from tourists looking for the flower children of the 'sixties.

Going forward - the community is advocating to reinstate the 21 Hayes bus line, better bicycle-friendly integration along the Panhandle, street cleaning of bicycle lanes along the Panhandle, and temporary housing for homeless residents.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Janice Shepherd](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Dogpatch redistricting
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janice Shepherd <shepherd.janice@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 10:01 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Dogpatch redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together.

Keep our diverse district together.

Regards,
Janice Shepherd
Dogpatch Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Prager](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Regarding D10 and redistricting in San Francisco
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:18 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Prager <davidprager@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Regarding D10 and redistricting in San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. The following is suggested email copy I got from my Dogpatch Neighborhood Association. I agree with everything in it below, so I am sending it along with my endorsement.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

David

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joel Bean](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D10 Unity Map - Please keep us together
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joel Bean <jdbean46@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10 Unity Map - Please keep us together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero
Hill and Dogpatch

neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City.

Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.


On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you

rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep D10 unified!

Thank you!

Joel Bean



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maulik Shah](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Dogpatch and Showplace Square together!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maulik Shah <maulikshah@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:52 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keep Potrero Dogpatch and Showplace Square together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

I write again to implore you to keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, and Dogpatch together.

And again implore you to restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Maulik Shah
Potrero Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matt@mrbcapital.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Matthew Barger <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matthew Barger

Email

matt@mrbcapital.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sale_item@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Art Lamb <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Art Lamb

Email

sale_item@mindspring.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sean Harris](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Concerns
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:37:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sean Harris <seanpharris@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Concerns

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Dogpatch and have significant concerns with the current redistricting process. In other cities and counties across the country, redistricting commissions often need at least two to three months to hear public input on draft maps before being finalized. The Redistricting Task Force has given itself less than five weeks to see these changes to fruition.

I'm especially irritated that the task force originally voted 8–1 in favor of a change to Map 4D on Saturday night and then late Tuesday evening changed course to map 4B — without public comment or honoring any sort of input from San Franciscans. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Also, as a member of the LGBT community, I also have major concerns about carving up West SOMA, Centra SOMA, and the Tenderloin. Please do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, Oasis from the Powerhouse, or Wicked Grounds. Keep the Western Boundary at Van Ness and Division and the Southern Boundary at Townsend. We cannot split up our LGBTQ communities, cultural assets, and important nightlife corridors like 11th St and Folsom Street. Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA splits up several communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API, Filipino, and LGBTQ. Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA also unnecessarily splits up renters and people living in affordable housing. If D6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in D3, putting the hub in D5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in D2 would also help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years, violates the law. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard.

Thank you for your time, service and listening to my concerns.

Sean Harris

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: waneehamilton@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 12:36:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mwanee Hamilton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Mwanee Hamilton
waneehamilton@gmail.com
1264 Turk street
San Francisco , California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emily Wang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Unity of Potrero/Dogpatch/Bayview in District 10 (Map 4D)
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Wang <emily.wang@post.harvard.edu>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Unity of Potrero/Dogpatch/Bayview in District 10 (Map 4D)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force (if you care about families surviving in the city of SF you should read this):

I am a working mom of 2 young boys (4 and 6 years both attending schools on SF USD campuses (Daniel Webster and Starr King). We live in the Potrero/Dogpatch community. I have lived in this area since the City of San Francisco rolled out the **Eastern Neighborhoods plan** in 2009 which is important to consider for what it has done to date, and what still desperately needs to be completed as it relates to the existing and future D10 community.

Per SF Planning 2009:

1. "The Eastern Neighborhoods Plans enable the transition of about half of the area's industrial lands to allow for new housing. The other half is reserved for "Production, Distribution, and Repair" (or PDR) uses, such as warehouses, distribution centers, caterers, art production, and other similar light-industrial activities. Housing and office uses are generally not allowed in these areas."

*2. "The Eastern Neighborhoods Plans also include policies and mechanisms to help assure that new growth happens to assure **"complete neighborhoods,"** or neighborhoods that provide safe and convenient access to shopping and services, affordable housing, **open space**, buildings designed to be welcoming and **engaging to pedestrians**, and a **transit-oriented public realm that supports the needs of people of all ages and abilities.**"*

Paragraph 1 has been done and is full steam ahead on future development and expanding uses and population growth.

Paragraph 2 – The job is NOT done. We are missing the promised transportation infrastructure, open space and greening, safe pedestrian for all to access, amenities such as groceries, banks, and pharmacies that make "complete neighborhoods". As a parent I am also acutely aware that my kids don't have a SFUSD middle schools or high schools in the potrero or Dogpatch neighborhoods that they could attend in the future, and we are in desperate lack of current elementary and early education sites for the current and future population demand.

How can the job be finished? We need to stick together and advocate. These have been working hard to address these missing NEEDS which should have been provided when this eastern neighborhoods plan unraveled to make these **incomplete** neighborhoods. Potrero, Dogpatch, and Bayview voices that have built around these issues within a shared supervisorial district. We hug both sides of the 101 and 280 we extend to the waterfront dealing with the polluted highways, post industrial lands and brownfields sites that need proper remediation and future greening. We have leaned on one another and advocated at grassroots levels within the D10 as a coherent voice. Please support the D10 unity map which is reflected most closely in the 4D Map including Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace, India Basin, Hunters Point,

and Bayview. As a diverse population with a common interests we need to stay together.

Thank you for your consideration and time,

Emily Wang

D10 Potrero/Dogpatch Resident/Mom/Advocate

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Walker](#), [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2 of 2: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:33 AM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.


Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: William Walker <wwywm@wiyum.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2 of 2: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



On Thu, Apr 7, 2022 at 11:26 PM William Walker <wwywm@wiyum.org> wrote:

Redistricting

San Francisco Redistricting Tool Languages Help

City

Tracts

Blocks

Draw a Map

View Layers

Draw a Map

Action

Add

Remove

Make Change to District

Active District

7

Choose District Color

028

Submit your District Plan

Save

Submit

Statistics

streetmap contributors

San Francisco Bay

Emeryville

Oakland

Daly City

Brisbane

San Francisco

Marin Headlands (GGNRA)

Sausalito

San Francisco Bay

Type here to search

68°F Mostly clear 11:25 PM 4/7/2022

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Walker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.


The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:27 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Cathrynlyman@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cathryn Lyman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Cathryn Lyman

Email

Cathrynlyman@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christopher Bowman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Russian Hill Neighbors" definition of the boundaries for Russian Hill
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Russian Hill Neighbors' definition of the boundaries for Russian Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members:

The Russian Hill Neighbors ' website's boundaries for Russian Hill are as follows:

Russian Hill Neighborhood Boundaries

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

The link to their website is: [About Russian Hill Neighbors - Russian Hill Neighbors \(rhnsf.org\)](http://AboutRussianHillNeighbors-RussianHillNeighbors(rhnsf.org))

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman
(628) 280-8571

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [victoria.underwood](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Your prompt attention Requested
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: victoria.underwood <victoria.underwood@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:30 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Your prompt attention Requested

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All the information I have received or read indicates redrawing the district map must be done by April 9, so the Redistricting task force can vote on it by **April 13, 2022**.

As part of the process of securing feedback, the members of the RT have been conducting phone call-ins and so community members in the respective district neighborhoods have provided important feedback to the RT during the last two months upon which RT says it will make their decisions. Those decisions are based upon what is best for that community and its residents under the posted guidelines including giving serious consideration to where communities live, play, shop, worship and where they connect on issues and problems within in their community, so neighborhoods remain cohesive units. Everyone had the opportunity to participate and submit their input all along.

As we approach what should be the nearing the end of this process, we hear that there is an unprecedented and shocking last-minute intervention that three members of the task force may be removed by the San Francisco Elections Commission. Why? The announcement comes three (3) days before the task force's current April 9 deadline (two (2) days away). That may be meaningless *if* the map is about to be finalized if not already because it's not posted as of yet.

The SF Elections Commission has apparently decided to meet Sunday, April 10th to consider the removal of SF Redistricting Task Force Vice Chair Ditka Reiner, plus the other two members Chasel Lee and Raynell Cooper with no explanation to the public. The \$24,000 question is why and why are you not being forthcoming on this!

There can only be two assumptions for this last-minute, emergency step **and neither is good:**

1. You know the final map to be flawed and not reflective of the integrity found to be outside the parameters of the process and scope. And, at the same time, not reflective of the numerous discussions with the public and their districts leading the SF Elections Committee to take steps to rectify that.
2. You know the RT has been pressured to redraw the map in one or more districts and they are receiving political favors from a party or group to satisfy their attempt to secure political gain looking to gain a different outcome that benefits them.

All of us who have participated in this process have already given our approval to certain maps in our district that have been presented by RT. So, if the final map does NOT reflect what we have already approved in writing, we will know it immediately

and we will be voicing our outrage.

Only you know which one of these two scenarios above has caused this drama and loss of public confidence with both San Francisco Departments for not coming clean on the issue or creating one as the case maybe. It's about public trust and the integrity of the system.

It is concerning since this comes up once every ten (10) years and we are faced with a no confidence in the S.F. Redistricting Task Force and the SF Elections Committee process in San Francisco right now.

Only you know which of the two are at issue. It looked like we were close to being there. You just need to be honest with the public and do the right thing here or do irreputable damage to the integrity of the process. If it takes an extension for you to get to the bottom of whatever it is okay but, **the emphasis is to set aside politics to get there by doing the right thing.**

Thank you.

Victoria Underwood

D2

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: maxyoung@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Max Young <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Max Young

Email

maxryoung@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robbie Wise](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Re-districting
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robbie Wise <wise2u@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re-districting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Writing this email to request that Mid-Market, Civic Center, and SOMA kept together. The plans to separate do not make sense.

Thanks
Robert

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: levtushenko.olya@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Olga levtushenko <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Olga Ievtushenko

Email

ievtushenko.olya@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: john.stephen.graham@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Graham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

John Graham

Email

john.stephen.graham@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ruth Miller](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Bayview & Potrero Hill in District 10
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ruth Miller <rmill94107@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Bayview & Potrero Hill in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear District Mapping task force members:

I am unable to attend this evening's meeting regarding proposed changes to District 10 and 6, but I want to make my concerns and the concerns of my neighbors very clear: we strongly oppose the 4B map that was recently and inexplicably voted on, and we urge once again that you adopt the 4D map that the community supports.

The 4B proposal would redefine my District in ways that would detach me and my neighbors from the broader community we have been a part of culturally, geographically and politically. Our community connections, interests and activities are deeply entrenched in that of Potrero Hill, the Dogpatch and the Bayview. The most recently proposed 4B map is culturally insensitive and ignores long-established neighborhood boundaries and well documented communities of interest. I strongly urge you to consider the consequences of these proposed decisions, which may or may not be designed to divide and conquer or redefine funding formulas, but which ultimately will backfire due to the widespread resentment it will foster and the bad light that will be shined on your lack of community-informed decision-making.

Please listen to the community members and avoid an unnecessarily harmful and ill-advised approach to District delineation. There are far more meaningful and thus less controversial potential dividing lines, and some such alternatives have been illustrated in maps already (map 4D, for example). Residents and business owners in Potrero Hill ask that you not ignore the community you purport to serve and work toward a more productive and collaborative decision.

Sincerely,
Ruth Miller
1140 Mariposa Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

R. Miller CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura stepping](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura stepping <lstepping@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Laura Stepping. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 28 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Laura stepping

#HeistYourLife

[Laura Stepping] [Lives Life as a Fiction](#)

text me (415) 516 7859 call me

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [ruth](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting: 4D not 4B
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ruth <whist@pacbell.net>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting: 4D not 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Dogpatch neighborhood.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Sincerely,

Ruth Erznok

Minnesota St, Dogpatch

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Maintain District 10 boundary!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com <terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:28 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Maintain District 10 boundary!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods, and I also run a small business in Bayview. I also serve as Director on the Dogpatch-NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District Board.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you, Terri

TERRI MCFARLAND
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

California RLA #5310
1258 Fitzgerald Avenue #203
San Francisco, CA 94124
T: 415 205 4904
E: terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Brian Beaver](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero and Dogpatch United!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Beaver <brian.beaver@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero and Dogpatch United!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

- Brian Beaver
1099 23rd St #19
San Francisco

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: karenmooresf@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Dogpatch in District 10!
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: karenmooresf@gmail.com <karenmooresf@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Dogpatch in District 10!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods and love our community dearly. We do not share common interests with those in South Beach or Rincon Hill.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Regards,

Karen Moore-Shepley & Tanya Shepley

Residents of Dogpatch since 2016

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: annamorfit@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Anna Ortiz Morfit <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Anna Ortiz Morfit

Email

annamorfit@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cynthia Burks](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Do not change districts
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cynthia Burks <cbburks@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:22 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Do not change districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lisaericson@sbcglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Elizabeth Ericson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Elizabeth Ericson

Email

lisaericson@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: epoole@adplaw.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Edward Poole <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Edward Poole

Email

epoole@adplaw.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Irina; Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Jordan Park public opinion
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:39:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Irina <irina67@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:21 AM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: Irina <irina67@yahoo.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jordan Park public opinion

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We see that some members (2 Supervisors) are trying to steal the Redistricting process for their own personal political ambitions. By recalling 3 members and replacing them with hand picked people selected by those Supervisors.

We need you to leave the RDTF alone to carry out the process the public and everyone agreed from the outset and let them conclude the process they have been working on with the public.

Remaping is a waste of time and tax payers money, it has been thousands of hours and meetings and more meetings and finally we were ready to conclude, however few politicians we know who they are, want to use the DICTATORSHIP by ignoring the Public interest and opinion. Wrap it up you can not prevent public from knowing and understanding this corrupt process.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Lopipero-Langmo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:13 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Daphne Magnawa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Magnawa <dmagnawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 8:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a **20-year** resident of Potrero Hill.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: alexander.c.krull@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Alexander Krull <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Alexander Krull

Email

alexander.c.krull@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 9

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dr-karen-davison@dr-davison.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Karen Davison <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Karen Davison

Email

dr-karen-davison@dr-davison.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you for the work you have done. I support the 4B map, which is legally compact, contiguous, and meets the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. Please follow the law and preserve population distribution and do not disrupt the communities that many of us have worked to form.

PLEASE KEEP SOMA whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down and keep Civic Center in D6. I live at a property I own and created and maintain a small business in SOMA. Ongoing city policies and this proposed change will impact the character of my community. The districting process is crucial and changes have a real impact on the lives and businesses of those who have been here (for over a decade in my case). I was unable to attend the meeting and speak directly, but I am sending a plea for the committee to listen to those who live and work in the areas that will be impacted.

Please keep SOMA intact (proposal 4B).

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: susanmohun@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Susan Mohun <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 7:07 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Susan Mohun

Email

susanmohun@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ayhc69@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angie Yap <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Angie Yap

Email

ayhc69@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tiffany Hill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Tiffany Hill <tifhill@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:40 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ling Z](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Russian Hill in D3
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Ling Z <lingz32013@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 6:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Russian Hill in D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sir and Madam

My family feels that It's paramount to keep Russian Hill in D3!

Thank you for your great work!

Kind regards

Ling

1198 filbert street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sbrunner2222@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Sydney Brunner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Sydney Brunner

Email

sbrunner2222@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kansas Safe Association](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kansas Safe Association <kansas.safeassociation@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:51 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Yes, I'm writing again!

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Ray O'Connor

Captain, Kansas Street SAFE Neighborhood Association

1483 Kansas Street

San Francisco, CA 94107

(415) 577-5412 (Cell)

(415) 553-0123 (SFPD - Non-emergency)

(415) 553-8090 (SFPD - Emergency calls from cell phones)

(415) 206-8063 (Sheriff's Dispatch)

[Kansas Street Blog](#)

Member SAFE Neighborhood Crime Watch: www.sfsafe.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: eaglinchadwick@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chadwick Eaglin <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:14 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Chadwick Eaglin
eaglinchadwick@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: yabari_chambers@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yabari Chambers <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:12 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Yabari Chambers
yabari_chambers@yahoo.com
939 Buchanan
San francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ccblavonne@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:38:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crystal Brown Hamilton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Crystal Brown Hamilton
ccblavonne@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ricodubb@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rico Hamilton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 5:00 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Rico Hamilton
ricodubb@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: peterboothlee@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Peter Lee

Email

peterboothlee@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Barbara Early, HVNA](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Tes Welborn](#); [Jason Henderson](#); [Jim Warshell](#); [Barbara Thompson](#); [Jennifer Laska](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) whole in D5
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Early, HVNA <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 1:16 AM

To: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Tes Welborn <tesw@aol.com>; Jason Henderson <jhenderson@sonic.net>; Jim Warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; Barbara Thompson <deborahndavidmom@gmail.com>; Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>

Subject: Re: Keep Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) whole in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Amen.

Barbara Early
HVNA Corresponding Secretary
hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com
415.688.9134

On Apr 7, 2022, at 9:22 PM, Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com> wrote:

Task Force,

Your latest map splits Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) into 2 districts D5 and D8.

As stated in the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI and the Hayes Valley LGBTQ COI, the boundaries are clear and include both sides of the streets unless noted: Webster east on McAllister to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to North side of Market, west on north side of Market to Laguna and Hermann, west on Hermann to Fillmore, north on Fillmore to Haight, east on Haight to Webster, north on Webster to McAllister.

HVNA was founded 30 years ago to bring residents together to create community for all. Splitting HVNA diminishes the many collaborations formed within D5, and ongoing efforts to knit the community back together after the demolition of the Central Freeway.

Gail Baugh
Former president HVNA

"If you want to go fast, go alone. If you want to go far, go together." (An African proverb)