

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emily Wang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Unity of Potrero/Dogpatch/Bayview in District 10 (Map 4D)
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Wang <emily.wang@post.harvard.edu>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Unity of Potrero/Dogpatch/Bayview in District 10 (Map 4D)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force (if you care about families surviving in the city of SF you should read this):

I am a working mom of 2 young boys (4 and 6 years both attending schools on SF USD campuses (Daniel Webster and Starr King). We live in the Potrero/Dogpatch community. I have lived in this area since the City of San Francisco rolled out the **Eastern Neighborhoods plan** in 2009 which is important to consider for what it has done to date, and what still desperately needs to be completed as it relates to the existing and future D10 community.

Per SF Planning 2009:

1. "The Eastern Neighborhoods Plans enable the transition of about half of the area's industrial lands to allow for new housing. The other half is reserved for "Production, Distribution, and Repair" (or PDR) uses, such as warehouses, distribution centers, caterers, art production, and other similar light-industrial activities. Housing and office uses are generally not allowed in these areas."

*2. "The Eastern Neighborhoods Plans also include policies and mechanisms to help assure that new growth happens to assure **"complete neighborhoods,"** or neighborhoods that provide safe and convenient access to shopping and services, affordable housing, **open space**, buildings designed to be welcoming and **engaging to pedestrians**, and a **transit-oriented public realm that supports the needs of people of all ages and abilities.**"*

Paragraph 1 has been done and is full steam ahead on future development and expanding uses and population growth.

Paragraph 2 – The job is NOT done. We are missing the promised transportation infrastructure, open space and greening, safe pedestrian for all to access, amenities such as groceries, banks, and pharmacies that make "complete neighborhoods". As a parent I am also acutely aware that my kids don't have a SFUSD middle schools or high schools in the potrero or Dogpatch neighborhoods that they could attend in the future, and we are in desperate lack of current elementary and early education sites for the current and future population demand.

How can the job be finished? We need to stick together and advocate. These have been working hard to address these missing NEEDS which should have been provided when this eastern neighborhoods plan unraveled to make these **incomplete** neighborhoods. Potrero, Dogpatch, and Bayview voices that have built around these issues within a shared supervisorial district. We hug both sides of the 101 and 280 we extend to the waterfront dealing with the polluted highways, post industrial lands and brownfields sites that need proper remediation and future greening. We have leaned on one another and advocated at grassroots levels within the D10 as a coherent voice. Please support the D10 unity map which is reflected most closely in the 4D Map including Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace, India Basin, Hunters Point,

and Bayview. As a diverse population with a common interests we need to stay together.

Thank you for your consideration and time,

Emily Wang

D10 Potrero/Dogpatch Resident/Mom/Advocate

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Walker](#) <ww@wiyum.org>
Subject: RE: 2 of 2: William Walker General Public Comment 7AFR2022 1 of 2
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:33 AM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.


Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: William Walker <ww@wiyum.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2 of 2: William Walker General Public Comment 7AFR2022 1 of 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



On Thu, Apr 7, 2022 at 11:26 PM William Walker <ww@wiyum.org> wrote:

Redistricting

San Francisco Redistricting Tool Languages Help

City

Tracts

Blocks

Draw a Map View Layers

Draw a Map

Action

Add

Remove

Make Change to District

Active District

7

Choose District Color

028

Submit your District Plan

Save

Submit

Statistics

streetmap contributors

Map of San Francisco showing district boundaries and labels (e.g., Sausalito, Emeryville, Oakland, San Francisco Bay, Daly City, Brisbane).

Type here to search

68°F Mostly clear 11:25 PM 4/7/2022

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Walker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.


The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:27 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: William Walker General Public Comment 7APR2022 1 of 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Cathrynlyman@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Cathryn Lyman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Cathryn Lyman

Email

Cathrynlyman@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christopher Bowman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Russian Hill Neighbors' definition of the boundaries for Russian Hill
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:50 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Russian Hill Neighbors' definition of the boundaries for Russian Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members:

The Russian Hill Neighbors ' website's boundaries for Russian Hill are as follows:

Russian Hill Neighborhood Boundaries

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

The link to their website is: [About Russian Hill Neighbors - Russian Hill Neighbors \(rhnsf.org\)](http://AboutRussianHillNeighbors-RussianHillNeighbors(rhnsf.org))

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman
(628) 280-8571

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [victoria.underwood](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Your prompt attention Requested
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: victoria.underwood <victoria.underwood@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:30 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Your prompt attention Requested

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All the information I have received or read indicates redrawing the district map must be done by April 9, so the Redistricting task force can vote on it by **April 13, 2022**.

As part of the process of securing feedback, the members of the RT have been conducting phone call-ins and so community members in the respective district neighborhoods have provided important feedback to the RT during the last two months upon which RT says it will make their decisions. Those decisions are based upon what is best for that community and its residents under the posted guidelines including giving serious consideration to where communities live, play, shop, worship and where they connect on issues and problems within in their community, so neighborhoods remain cohesive units. Everyone had the opportunity to participate and submit their input all along.

As we approach what should be the nearing the end of this process, we hear that there is an unprecedented and shocking last-minute intervention that three members of the task force may be removed by the San Francisco Elections Commission. Why? The announcement comes three (3) days before the task force's current April 9 deadline (two (2) days away). That may be meaningless *if the map is about to be finalized if not already because it's not posted as of yet.*

The SF Elections Commission has apparently decided to meet Sunday, April 10th to consider the removal of SF Redistricting Task Force Vice Chair Ditka Reiner, plus the other two members Chasel Lee and Raynell Cooper with no explanation to the public. The \$24,000 question is why and why are you not being forthcoming on this!

There can only be two assumptions for this last-minute, emergency step **and neither is good:**

1. You know the final map to be flawed and not reflective of the integrity found to be outside the parameters of the process and scope. And, at the same time, not reflective of the numerous discussions with the public and their districts leading the SF Elections Committee to take steps to rectify that.
2. You know the RT has been pressured to redraw the map in one or more districts and they are receiving political favors from a party or group to satisfy their attempt to secure political gain looking to gain a different outcome that benefits them.

All of us who have participated in this process have already given our approval to certain maps in our district that have been presented by RT. So, if the final map does NOT reflect what we have already approved in writing, we will know it immediately

and we will be voicing our outrage.

Only you know which one of these two scenarios above has caused this drama and loss of public confidence with both San Francisco Departments for not coming clean on the issue or creating one as the case maybe. It's about public trust and the integrity of the system.

It is concerning since this comes up once every ten (10) years and we are faced with a no confidence in the S.F. Redistricting Task Force and the SF Elections Committee process in San Francisco right now.

Only you know which of the two are at issue. It looked like we were close to being there. You just need to be honest with the public and do the right thing here or do irreputable damage to the integrity of the process. If it takes an extension for you to get to the bottom of whatever it is okay but, **the emphasis is to set aside politics to get there by doing the right thing.**

Thank you.

Victoria Underwood

D2

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: maxyoung@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Max Young <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Max Young

Email

maxryoung@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robbie Wise](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Re-districting
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robbie Wise <wise2u@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re-districting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Writing this email to request that Mid-Market, Civic Center, and SOMA kept together. The plans to separate do not make sense.

Thanks
Robert

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: levtushenko.olya@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:37:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Olga levtushenko <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Olga Ievtushenko

Email

ievtushenko.olya@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: john.stephen.graham@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Graham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

John Graham

Email

john.stephen.graham@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ruth Miller](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Bayview & Potrero Hill in District 10
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ruth Miller <rmill94107@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Bayview & Potrero Hill in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear District Mapping task force members:

I am unable to attend this evening's meeting regarding proposed changes to District 10 and 6, but I want to make my concerns and the concerns of my neighbors very clear: we strongly oppose the 4B map that was recently and inexplicably voted on, and we urge once again that you adopt the 4D map that the community supports.

The 4B proposal would redefine my District in ways that would detach me and my neighbors from the broader community we have been a part of culturally, geographically and politically. Our community connections, interests and activities are deeply entrenched in that of Potrero Hill, the Dogpatch and the Bayview. The most recently proposed 4B map is culturally insensitive and ignores long-established neighborhood boundaries and well documented communities of interest. I strongly urge you to consider the consequences of these proposed decisions, which may or may not be designed to divide and conquer or redefine funding formulas, but which ultimately will backfire due to the widespread resentment it will foster and the bad light that will be shined on your lack of community-informed decision-making.

Please listen to the community members and avoid an unnecessarily harmful and ill-advised approach to District delineation. There are far more meaningful and thus less controversial potential dividing lines, and some such alternatives have been illustrated in maps already (map 4D, for example). Residents and business owners in Potrero Hill ask that you not ignore the community you purport to serve and work toward a more productive and collaborative decision.

Sincerely,
Ruth Miller
1140 Mariposa Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

R. Miller CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura stepping](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Friday, April 8, 2022 9:36:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura stepping <lstepping@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Laura Stepping. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 28 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Laura stepping

#HeistYourLife

[Laura Stepping] [Lives Life as a Fiction](#)

text me (415) 516 7859 call me

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (ret.)
380 West Portal Suite F
San Francisco, California 94127

April 6, 2022

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide information on local supervisorial district boundaries. I appreciate your commitment to the mandatory and prioritization of geographic contiguity, geographic integrity of any city, local neighborhood, or local community of interest, geographical compactness, without consideration of any candidate's residency or any incumbent, and no discrimination for or against any incumbent, candidate, or political party.

As a resident of Lakeside for over 50 years, I reject the draft map labeled 4D drafted by the Redistricting Task Force in San Francisco, and released to the public on April 4, 2022 and maps 4B and 4C which all remove Lakeside, Lakeshore and Merced Manor from District 7.

This map removes our historic associations and homeowner groups and shifts them to District 4 with the Sunset District. The new boundaries violate the law as they ignore continuity, compactness, communities of interest and other key shared cultural and historic interests of our neighborhood. They consist of residences built after World War II chiefly by Fred Gellert. Except for Lakeshore Plaza, there is little commercial property, we are single family homeowners and renters.

We face shared issues of public safety, transportation, and public health, underscored by a racially diverse and aging neighborhood. We have historically been under the umbrella of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council which was formed over 85 years ago to promote safety and improvement of our area of San Francisco.

Please correct the removal of these similar neighborhoods from District 7 and respect San Francisco history and communities of interest.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Quentin L. Kopp". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Judge Quentin L. Kopp (ret.)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gail Baugh](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Tes Welborn](#); [Jason Henderson](#); [james warshell](#); [Barbara Thompson](#); [HVNA Barbara Early](#); [Jennifer Laska](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) whole in D5
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:05:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Tes Welborn <tesw@aol.com>; Jason Henderson <jhenderson@sonic.net>; james warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; Barbara Thompson <deborahndavidmom@gmail.com>; HVNA Barbara

Early <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>; Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>
Subject: Keep Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) whole in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force,

Your latest map splits Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) into 2 districts D5 and D8.

As stated in the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI and the Hayes Valley LGBTQ COI, the boundaries are clear and include both sides of the streets unless noted:

Webster east on McAllister to Van Ness, south on Van Ness to North side of Market, west on north side of Market to Laguna and Hermann, west on Hermann to Fillmore, north on Fillmore to Haight, east on Haight to Webster, north on Webster to McAllister.

HVNA was founded 30 years ago to bring residents together to create community for all. Splitting HVNA diminishes the many collaborations formed within D5, and ongoing efforts to knit the community back together after the demolition of the Central Freeway.

Gail Baugh
Former president HVNA

"If you want to go fast, go alone. If you want to go far, go together." (An African proverb)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emma Shlaes](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Bayview together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emma Shlaes <emmaslaes@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:21 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Bayview together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods - really right on the border between the two neighborhoods at 22nd and Mississippi. I am also a member of both the Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Association. These two neighborhoods are really one, blending together like peanut butter and jelly.

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D. Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law. Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you!
Emma Shlaes

--

Emma Shlaes
emmashlaes@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: nel@ellca.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cornelia Ellwein <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cornelia Ellwein

Email nel@ellca.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: strongarmace@mac.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steve Armstrong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Steve Armstrong

Email

strongarmace@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: marytaugher@sbcglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mary Taugher <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mary Taugher

Email

marytaugher@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: buzbev@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bev Lips <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Bev Lips

Email

buzbev@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mayumikamon@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Bonham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brian Bonham

Email

mayumikamon@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Crowder, Dona](#); [Delgadillo, Martha \(REG\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting task force and Elections Commission- respect the independent process
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:39 PM
To: Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting task force and Elections Commission- respect the independent process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep the task force in place as it has been since the start. Please preserve democracy and the integrity of the Elections Commission.

I am Donna Crowder, writing for myself and for members of my neighborhood Clarendon Heights and Twin Peaks Improvement Association. I have lived in San Francisco for 48 years. I have lived in district 7 in the Twin Peaks area for most of this time and have voted consistently in regular and special elections, participating in issues important to the residents of San Francisco in protecting and enhancing citizens ability to engage and vote.

The Elections Commission appointees have done their job together with all the members of the redistricting task force with long hours and significant outreach for public hearings in order to give a chance to everyone who would like to comment and seriously consider all aspects.

The deadline for mapping new district lines is imminent. If you remove the task force members now, they will surely be replaced by those who have not been vetted, have not served and listened to endless hours of testimony by neighbors from all over the city, people who have also spent their time and energy to give valuable input. This last minute intrusion on the previously agreed upon process is not warranted and may be illegal and could possibly be challenged.

District supervisors who may be affected are behind this move and this is contrary to the very purpose of redistricting. The district lines should not be drawn by elected officials and political parties with vested interests in the outcome.

Do not remove the redistricting task force members. They went through an open application process. They were vetted. They have worked independently for months. Let them do their jobs.

Dona Crowder
dona@donacrowder.com
Clarendon Heights TPIA

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: vkgsfca@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vikram Gupta <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Vikram Gupta

Email ykgsfca@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: prm5@georgetown.edu; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Mohun <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Paul Mohun

Email

prm5@georgetown.edu

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: charlottesf@mac.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charlotte Mosher <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Charlotte Mosher

Email

charlottesf@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Cardello](#)
Subject: FW: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE / RC TESTIMONY WITH SPREADSHEET / D3 RUSSIAN HILL
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:04 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[CARDELLO RESDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG 04-07-2022.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Cardello <richard@cardellodesign.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:18 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE / RC TESTIMONY WITH SPREADSHEET / D3 RUSSIAN HILL

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Redistricting Taskforce

Thank you for your continued hard work on this very difficult issue.

Attached is a copy of my testimony for the 04-07-2022 Taskforce meeting. I have included my spreadsheet showing the over and under populations and adjacencies by district.

D3 is the district most in deficit.
None of its territory should be taken away.
The existing boundaries should be the starting point.
Additional territory should be added to achieve the required population.

Van Ness is the “natural” western boundary for D3.

DISTRICT (E)	POPULATION	OVER	OVER %	UNDER	UNDER %	PROXIMATE TO
1	72,848			6,697	8.47%	2 4 5
2	76,363			3,182	4.00%	1 3 5 6
3	72,474			7,071	8.89%	2 5 6
4	72,784			6,761	8.50%	1 5 7
5	80,667	1,122	1.41%			1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9
6	103,564	24,019	30.20%			2 3 5 8 9 10
7	75,436			4,109	5.17%	1 4 5 8 11
8	82,418	2,873	3.61%			5 6 7 9 11
9	75,829			3,716	4.67%	5 6 7 9 11
10	86,323	6,778	8.52%			6 9 11
11	76,287			3,258	4.10%	7 8 9 10
TOTALS	874,993	34,792		34,794		

Thank you

Richard Cardello
999 Green Street Apt 903
San Francisco CA 94133

415.948.6030 Mobile
richard@cardellodesign.com

REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE

rdtf@sfgov.org

MEETING THURSDAY 04-07-2022

PUBLIC COMMENT (2 MINUTES)

SFGTV 78

CALL IN: 415.655.0001

ID 2484 830 1358 #/# PRESS: *3

DISTRICT (E)	POPULATION	OVER	OVER %	UNDER	UNDER %	PROXIMATE TO	
1	72,848			6,697	8.47%	2 4 5	
2	76,363			3,182	4.00%	1 3 5 6	
3	72,474			7,071	8.89%	2 5 6	
4	72,784			6,761	8.50%	1 5 7	
5	80,667	1,122	1.41%			1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9	
6	103,564	24,019	30.20%			2 3 5 8 9 10	
7	75,436			4,109	5.17%	1 4 5 8 11	
8	82,418	2,873	3.61%			5 6 7 9 11	
9	75,829			3,716	4.67%	5 6 7 9 11	
10	86,323	6,778	8.52%			6 9 11	
11	76,287			3,258	4.10%	7 8 9 10	
TOTALS	874,993	34,792		34,794			

Roughly 34,794 people (about 4% of the population) need to end up in districts different from their current districts.

D3 has the largest deficit and needs an additional 7,071 residents. Located in the northeast corner of the city, District 3 is largely defined by the waters of SF Bay. It is close to Districts 2, 5 and 6.

Districts in need of additional residents should NOT have any of their territory removed; their existing boundaries should be the starting point to which additional area is added.

There were recent proposals by some Task Force members to totally remove Russian Hill from D3 and assign it to an Eastwardly expanded D2, even though this would totally disconnect established Communities of Interest which wish to remain together (refer to COI Map overlay).

There are many criteria used when trying to balance the district populations.

Certainly, maintaining communities of interest is very important; this concept should be respected and applied **uniformly** throughout the City.

Established connections should not be disconnected, especially for the purpose of sacrificing one community for another.

It is not fair to take territory away from a deficit district, just so it can absorb more of an unconnected area.

Doing so would be inequitable.

It may be worth taking a more geographic approach; the map suggests many obvious lines of division.

Large thoroughfares such as Van Ness, Market Street, Geary Boulevard, etc. are existing and appear to be logical boundaries.

Considering these long-established lines, in whole or in part, may be much less contentious and more acceptable.

Please develop a MAP that does NOT remove any territory from D3; but rather, ADD the needed territory to achieve the required population, forming compact and cohesive district.

Please, no GERRYMANDERING!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Ben Mok; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [DPH-sarahw](#)
Subject: RE: Response to latest redistricting map
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:03:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ben Mok <benm@cycsf.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: DPH-sarahw <sarahw@cycsf.org>
Subject: Response to latest redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

CYC is deeply concerned about the latest redistricting map. Please see our concerns below.

District 1: We are concerned that D1 boundaries reflected in both 4B or 4D significantly dilute the Asian and Pacific Islander vote. Including the Seacliff neighborhood in D1 will compromise voices of the API working class families and tenants living in the Richmond district. We urge the TF to consider expanding boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood.

District 3: We strongly disagree with the narrative that there is unanimous support from Chinatown to unite Russian Hill and Chinatown. A recent DPH report stated that over 70% of the residents in Chinatown speak little English; Chinatown also has among the lowest median income in the city, and the highest level of tenants in the city. Russian Hill does not share these statistics with Chinatown. We urge the TF to consider moving the area bound by Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Columbus, and the waterfront out of District 3 into District 2; this will allow the taskforce to resolve multiple issues in the area and move forward.

District 5: We urge the TF to add the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St back into D5, and reincorporate the Chibi Chan Early Childhood center back into D5.

District 6: The Task Force has ignored public comment from members of the Filipino community and Southeast Asian community - including immigrants and refugees - to keep the Tenderloin and West SOMA intact in D6. We demand the Task Force finally heed calls made by these communities, along with other low-income communities of color, and maintain these areas in D6.

Regards,

Ben Mok
Community Relations Manager
benm@cycsf.org

Community Youth Center of San Francisco (CYC)
Main Office & Computer Clubhouse
1038 Post Street
San Francisco, California 94109
Tel: 415.775.2636 Ext. 242
Fax: 415.775.1345
www.cycsf.org

Youth are the center of our community.

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or

protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify CYC immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by CYC (Community Youth Center) or one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. CYCSF.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pankaj Agarwal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4B is excellent
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:03:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Pankaj Agarwal <pa@pankaj.ca>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4B is excellent

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

I am a resident of Potrero Hill and on 630 Vermont St., San Francisco, CA 94107.

I fully support the current map 4B!

The reason for this is that our interests are much more aligned with those of Dogpatch and Mission Bay and South Beach residents, than those of Bayview and hunters point.

Sincerely,

Pankaj Agarwal
sftax@pankaj.ca

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sapna Mahwal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: In Favor of Map 4B
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:03:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: In Favor of Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods and I fully support the current map 4B!

Sincerely, Sapna

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kielygomes@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:03:07 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Karen Schwartz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Karen Schwartz

Email

kielygomes@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: gakangelaris@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:03:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gerald Kangelaris, MD <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Gerald Kangelaris, MD

Email

gkangelaris@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Arlene; Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Process
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:02:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Arlene <arlenefilippi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:42 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I understand that Supervisors Peskin and Preston have changed a Special Session scheduled originally for Sunday to tomorrow. Is this yet another attempt to keep the public from being involved? This is WRONG. These Supervisors are attempting to ruin the Redistricting Process. Leave the Redistricting Process alone. Everyone agreed to the process, now let them carry out their work.

Arlene Filippi
42 Wood Street
San Francisco

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cheryl Meeker](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting for San Francisco
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:02:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cheryl Meeker <cherylmeeker@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: redistricting for San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

What??? You have cut our district in half in the most recent map!!

I worked hard to make sure I am represented by a housing activist so that homelessness could be dealt with effectively in my neighborhood — and it has worked!

Now the Task Force seems bent on destroying the effectiveness of the leadership of District 5 by chopping us in half!

Keep District 5 intact like in map 4D.

Sincerely
Cheryl Meeker

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 4, 2022, at 6:12 PM, Cheryl Meeker <cherylmeeker@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello,

I would like to make sure that our D5 district is kept intact -- to keep the progressive nature of D5 intact. Specifically, I want to make sure my address is included in District 5: 1296 Haight Street.

It looks like map 4D is the map that keeps District 5 most intact. Please make sure that our district stays as intact as possible.

Thanks,
Cheryl

--

Cheryl Meeker

415 255 0668

<http://cherylmeeker.blogspot.com>

"To love. To be loved. To never forget your own insignificance. To never get used to the unspeakable violence and the vulgar disparity of life around you. To seek joy in the saddest places. To pursue beauty to its lair. To never simplify what is complicated or complicate what is simple. To respect strength, never power. Above all, to watch. To try and understand. To never look away. And never, never, to forget. ..."

- Arundhati Roy

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Richard Cardello; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [RHN Board](#)
Subject: RE: RHN / REDISTRICTING -- UPDATES AND MORE: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:02:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Cardello <richard@cardellodesign.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: RHN Board <board@rhnsf.org>
Subject: RE: RHN / REDISTRICTING -- UPDATES AND MORE: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RHN Board

After watching the Redistricting Task Force meeting on 04-04-2022, I wanted to better understand the challenges.

Based on the “Existing” district map on the SFRDTF website, I created a spreadsheet showing the over or under populations in each district:

DISTRICT (E)	POPULATION	OVER	OVER %	UNDER	UNDER %	PROXIMATE TO	
1	72,848			6,697	8.47%	2 4 5	
2	76,363			3,182	4.00%	1 3 5 6	
3	72,474			7,071	8.89%	2 5 6	
4	72,784			6,761	8.50%	1 5 7	
5	80,667	1,122	1.41%			1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9	
6	103,564	24,019	30.20%			2 3 5 8 9 10	
7	75,436			4,109	5.17%	1 4 5 8 11	
8	82,418	2,873	3.61%			5 6 7 9 11	
9	75,829			3,716	4.67%	5 6 7 9 11	
10	86,323	6,778	8.52%			6 9 11	
11	76,287			3,258	4.10%	7 8 9 10	
TOTALS	874,993	34,792		34,794			

It is clear that about 34,794 people need to end up in districts different from their current districts.

The population of D3 should be increased by 7,071 residents.

Located in the northeast corner of the City, District 3 is largely defined by the waters of SF Bay.

It is close to Districts 2, 5 and 6.

There were recent proposals by some Task Force members to totally remove Russian Hill from D3 and assign it to an Eastwardly expanded D2, even though this would totally disconnect established Communities of Interest which wish to remain together (refer to COI Map overlay).

There are many criteria used when trying to balance the Districts' populations.

Certainly, maintaining communities of interest is very important; this concept should be respected and applied **uniformly** throughout the City.

Established connections should not be disconnected, especially for the purpose of sacrificing one community for another.

It is not fair to take territory away from a deficit district, just so it can absorb more of an unconnected area.

Doing so would be inequitable.

Conceptually, districts in need of additional residents should NOT have any of there territory removed; their existing boundaries should be the starting point to which additional area is added.

Another approach would be geographic; just looking at the map suggests many obvious lines of division.

Large thoroughfares such as Van Ness, Market Street, Geary Boulevard, etc. are existing and appear to be logical boundaries.

Considering these long-established lines, in whole or in part, may be much less contentious and more acceptable.

Work on MAP 4B.

Please, no GERRYMANDERING!

Richard

Richard Cardello, ASID CID

R C I D

999 Green Street #903
San Francisco CA 94133

415.923.5810 Office
415.948.6030 Mobile
richard@cardellodesign.com
www.cardellodesign.com

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:47 PM

To: Moe Jamil <moejamil@gmail.com>

Cc: Jennifer Parkes <jenparkes@gmail.com>; RHN Board <board@rhnsf.org>; Susan Ford <susan.ford103@gmail.com>; Teddy Kramer <teddy@neon.work>; taber_40@comcast.net

Subject: RHN / REDISTRICTING -- UPDATES AND MORE: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance

The good news is that I think the message that RH should be united with a Van Ness western boundary was heard loud and clear and openly acknowledged. I believe that Vice Chair Reiner was the one who pointed out the legal responsibility to meet the population numbers, perhaps warning us of what is to come. I think there is interest in adjusting Map 4D to accommodate our request but as Moe says, the numbers may be a challenge.

CA

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 2, 2022, at 6:54 PM, Moe Jamil <moejamil@gmail.com> wrote:

4d causes math problems for us we should discuss.

On Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 5:56 PM Jennifer Parkes <jenparkes@gmail.com> wrote:

Someone needs to be at the Monday Redistricting meeting representing RHN & D3 United.

They're going to do live line drawing and that will be where we can get our boundaries solidified on the 4D map.

I volunteer!

Jen

On Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 13:11 <taber_40@comcast.net> wrote:

This is an excellent statement and it appears to accurately reflect our position.
Thank you.

Steve Taber

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:42 AM

To: 'Teddy Kramer' <teddy@neon.work>; 'Moe Jamil' <moejamil@gmail.com>;
'Susan Ford' <susan.ford103@gmail.com>; 'Jennifer Parkes'
<jenparkes@gmail.com>; Stephen Taber <taber_40@comcast.net>

Cc: RHN Board <board@rhnsf.org>

Subject: FW: UPDATES AND MORE: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance

Today is an important day for redistricting. I am heading down to City Hall to give my remarks on behalf of RHN (attached here). I know that Jen is planning to speak for RHN and I hope that others of you will consider doing so. Mapping is first on the Agenda and the speaker queue will likely be long. Below is an update from Diana Taylor who has been coordinating the D3 United group. Suggested talking points from her are attached and may be helpful to your own remarks. You can only speak for 2 minutes before being cut off. Call or text my cell at 415-902-3980 if you have any questions, concerns, comments, etc.

Thanks.

Carol Ann

From: dianataylor50@gmail.com [<mailto:dianataylor50@gmail.com>]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2022 10:10 PM

To: Alec Bash; Betty Louie; 'bruno kanter'; 'Carol Ann Rogers'; 'Danny Sauter'; Hudson Bell-NHA; 'Janet Crane'; 'Kathleen Courtney'; 'Madeleine Trembley'; Philippe Sonne; pietrojbonanno@italiancs.com; 'Robbie Silver'; 'Robyn Tucker'; 'Stan Landfair'; theharrers@aol.com; 'Velzo, Michael'

Cc: 'Jennifer Parkes'; folbergtaylor@comcast.net

Subject: UPDATES AND MORE: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance

A few updates:

- Kathleen and Robyn from RH spoke with RTF member Raynell Cooper today: Mr. Cooper emphasized that we should be SPECIFIC in our asks.
- I have a meeting scheduled with RTF member Chasel Lee on Monday afternoon by phone.
- Jennifer Parkes from RHN has a call into RTF member Pierce
- Has anyone talked to RTF member Hernandez-Gil?

HERE'S THE SCHEDULE SO FAR ON WHO AND WHEN WILL BE SPEAKING ON WEBEX OR CITY HALL

- All Day: Philippe (BCNA) on WebEx, Alec (GTA) at City Hall
- 10-11; 1-4pm: Diana (BCNA)
- 11:30-1pm: Bob (BCNA)
- 10-1pm: Madeleine (GTA)
- TBA: Two from NBN
- Betty and Eva submitting letters at City Hall? Yay!
- Others: please fill in your times and where you will be speaking

From: dianataylor50@gmail.com <dianataylor50@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:12 PM

To: Alec Bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>; Betty Louie <bjlouie@att.net>; 'bruno kanter' <brunokanter@gmail.com>; 'Carol Ann Rogers' <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>; 'Danny Sauter' <sauterdj@gmail.com>; Hudson Bell-NHA <hdsnbll@gmail.com>; 'Janet Crane' <jcrane@f-sc.com>; 'Kathleen Courtney' <kcourtney@xdm.com>; 'Madeleine Trembley' <madeleine.ggta@gmail.com>; Philippe Sonne <philsonne@hotmail.com>; pietrojbonanno@italiancs.com; 'Robbie Silver' <rsilver@downtownsf.org>; 'Robyn Tucker' <venturesv@icloud.com>; 'Stan Landfair' <stanleylandfair3@gmail.com>; theharrers@aol.com; 'Velzo, Michael' <mvelzo@jsfin.com>

Cc: folbergtaylor@comcast.net

Subject: For Saturday's RTF meeting--Talking Points and attendance

Dear D3 United...

Attached are talking points and notes for tomorrow's meeting.

- Please see the notes from Kathleen Courtney, Carol Ann Rogers, and Robbie Silver.
- Also added are instructions for making public comments

Please let us know if, when and where you will be joining the SATURDAY, APRIL 2nd (10am – 4pm +)

- Will you be joining in person at City Hall or on WebEx?
- How long will you be attending in person or on WebEx?
- Send your cell phone # with your response.

Attachments:

1. Talking points for maps 4B-D with notes/issues
2. RTF Meeting agenda with instructions for Saturday 4/2
3. Maps 4B-D from RTF

Diana Taylor

President, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association

[640 Davis St., Unit 13](#)

[San Francisco, CA 94111](#)

(415) 517.6926

Email: dianataylor50@gmail.com

<http://www.bcnasf.org/>

--

Jennifer Parkes
415.378.0933
@parkesjen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sandymori118@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:02:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sandy Mori <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Sandy Mori

sandymori118@gmail.com

601 Van Ness Ave, #245

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: anton.oenning@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anton Oenning <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Anton Oenning

Email

anton.oenning@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kcancino18@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Cancino <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

P.S. My husband and I purposely moved into D5 in 1969 because we wanted to live in an integrated neighborhood. We believe our boys, born in 1979 and 1983, benefited tremendously by seeing our neighbors of different skin colors as well as attending a school that was more representative of those neighbors.

Karen Cancino
kcancino18@gmail.com
1927 Ellis Street

SF, California 94115

|

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jack Alber](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 10 Together!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jack Alber <jackalber@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 10 Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 10+ year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Good Luck and keep us together,
Jack Alber

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joe Ciarallo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joe Ciarallo <joe.ciarallo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello -

I'm a resident who lives in Cole Valley, and I am writing to express my strong support for the redistricting efforts that would move Haight / Cole Valley out of District 5.

I believe this change is best for the voting of our neighborhood, and the city at large.

Best,
Joe Ciarallo

--

Joe Ciarallo

201-835-3225

twitter.com/joeciarallo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Emily Murase](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: [Japantown Task Force] Map of D5 Boundaries + Japantown Cultural District
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:34 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Map of D5 Boundaries + Japantown Cultural District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair TOWNSEND, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a board member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

I wanted to thank profusely Task Force Members Jeremy Lee, Jose Maria Hernandez Gil, Ditka Reiner, and Michelle Pierce for supporting the motion to keep the Japantown Cultural District whole.

It was pretty devastating to hear that the motion was voted down after midnight last night.

However, we have identified specific blocks within the Japantown Cultural District that do NOT have identified cultural or neighborhood assets, and submit them for your consideration (see attached map).

In particular, we understand that the Sequoias and Coventry Place senior living facilities wish to join Cathedral Hill and move to District 2. If that is the case, we will not object to moving those blocks out of District 5 as we firmly believe in self-determination.

We fully understand that bind you are in and would like to contribute to a solution, but NOT at the expense of identified cultural and neighborhood assets in the Japantown Cultural District.

Chair TOWNSEND, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a board member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

I wanted to thank profusely Task Force Members Jeremy Lee, Jose Maria Hernandez Gil, Ditka Reiner, and Michelle Pierce for supporting the motion to keep the Japantown Cultural District whole.

It was pretty devastating to hear that the motion was voted down after midnight last night.

However, we have identified specific blocks within the Japantown Cultural District that do NOT have identified cultural or neighborhood assets, and submit them for your consideration.

In particular, we understand that the Sequoias and Coventry Place senior living facilities wish to join Cathedral Hill and move to District 2. If that is the case, we will not object to moving those blocks out of District 5 as we firmly believe in self-determination.

We fully understand that bind you are in and would like to contribute to a solution, but not at the expense of identified cultural and neighborhood assets in the Japantown Cultural District.

Chair TOWNSEND, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a board member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

I wanted to thank profusely Task Force Members Jeremy Lee, Jose Maria Hernandez Gil, Ditka Reiner, and Michelle Pierce for supporting the motion to keep the Japantown Cultural District whole.

It was pretty devastating to hear that the motion was voted down after midnight last night.

However, we have identified specific blocks within the Japantown Cultural District that do NOT have identified cultural or neighborhood assets, and submit them for your consideration.


In particular, we understand that the Sequoias and Coventry Place senior living facilities wish to join Cathedral Hill and move to District 2. If that is the case, we will not object to moving those blocks out of District 5 as we firmly believe in self-determination.

We fully understand that bind you are in and would like to contribute to a solution, but not at the expense of identified

cultural and neighborhood assets in the Japantown Cultural District.

Emily

Emily M. Murase, PhD



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dkmoser@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:01:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Moser <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Moser

Email

dkmoser@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

As a homeowner in d5 I strongly support map 4b.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jonathan@jonathanbrowninginc.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:00:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jonathan Browning <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jonathan Browning

Email

jonathan@jonathanbrowninginc.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

4. Regarding SOMA, all of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elizabeth Carney](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [George Canciani](#)
Subject: RE: South Beach mapping
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:00:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>; George Canciani <george@tribalcode.com>
Subject: South Beach mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

Thank you for your listening and responding to our requests. Mission Bay/ South Beach/ Rincon Hill neighborhoods need to stay together. Imagine if you lived on the "onramp to the Bay Bridge". This is what it is like in our neighborhood right now.

It is a fast growing neighborhood and will become its own district one day.

In the meantime, the map from April 6, 4BA helps to keep us together.

Thank you for keeping our building at 2nd and Bryant with South Park also. Every gesture makes a difference to our ability to take an industrial district and become a community.

thanks and warm regards,

Elizabeth Carney

past president and long time resident of 2nd and Bryant CTLOA community

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Donovan Lacy](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D10 Unity!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:00:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donovan Lacy <donovanlacysf@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10 Unity!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I know I might sound like a broken record, but each new rendition of the redistricting map makes me more and more nervous that my community and my neighbors are being ignored, so I cannot help to reiterate that Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace are one community and we need to be kept together. I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods, and after listening to hours of overwhelming community support for Map 4D, I continue to be stunned that you are moving forward with Map 4B.

Do not destroy decades of relationships in our community to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities, we work together to create safer streetscapes so that we can safely within our community and everyday we fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Thank you,

Donovan Lacy
Vice President - Dogpatch Neighborhood Association
Co-Chair, Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Associations joint Livable Streets Committee
206-910-9503

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [MJ Thomas](#); [Kathryn Devincenzi](#)
Cc: [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Dick and Janet Frisbie](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: No Recall
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:58:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: MJ Thomas <mjfogcity@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:13 PM
To: Kathryn Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>
Cc: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Dick and Janet Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: No Recall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Bravo Kathy!

On Apr 7, 2022, at 2:58 PM, Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com> wrote:

To: Election Commission

Please do not recall the members of the redistricting task force that you appointed. They have heard the public testimony and participated in the process.

Also, changing the Special Session from Sunday to tomorrow would impair the public's ability to be involved.

Have respect for the public process!

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kelly Moran](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting task force - support Map 4BA - keep "tall towers" neighborhood together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:58:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelly Moran <moran@sonic.net>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:09 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting task force - support Map 4BA - keep "tall towers" neighborhood together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident of the “tall towers” new development area in Eastern San Francisco, I support the portion of Map 4BA that keeps our new neighborhood all together. It is important to keep the East Cut, South Beach, Mission Bay, and as much of SOMA as possible together in one district, as this is a unique part of San Francisco with a common interest.

The negative population deviation of the district is important, as the area is growing in population like wildfire! It will be more stable for the whole city to keep that negative deviation in the map to accommodate our rapid growth.

Thank you for your service to our city in taking on this challenging task.

Kelly Moran
Brannan Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kclegari1975@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:58:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Calegari <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kathy Calegari

Email

kcalegari1975@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura Goldin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Martha Goldin](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:58:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Goldin <lgsplace@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Martha Goldin <honmgret@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

We write to express our objections to this Task Force's "mapping" process, its failure to follow the law, and the intentional neglect of communities of interest.

This group was tasked with redistricting in accord with fundamental principles of due process. Your primary concerns are supposed to be:

- The Voting Rights Act — Assuring that the voting power of racial and language minorities are NOT diluted. That includes actions which prevent those minorities from concentrating their strength to elect representatives.
- Communities of Interest, which include common social, economic or political history.
- Equal in population, with some deviation "**if necessary to prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or keep recognized neighborhoods intact.**"

Among other considerations is reflecting the core of existing neighborhoods.

We have attended in person portions of 2 meetings. We each testified once in person. We have also listened to several meetings, spoken via telephone and have written to the task force. Like so many community members, we are being ignored. It is clear that this task force has a singular goal: empowering the wealthy white communities and further gentrifying (YIMBY-fying) San Francisco. This is 100% contrary to the clear guidelines you were given.

On Tuesday, April 6, 2022, we watched you juggle districts. Without any regard to current boundaries, ignoring the needs of communities of interest, disregarding the Voting Rights Act, cheerfully diluting the voting power of minorities, your SOLE focus was 100% balancing of Districts within your desired District structures. You had absolutely no regard for representatives of Communities of Interest who were present to speak to the needs of their minority, renter, non-English speaking, needy seniors, low and middle income communities. Instead, you worked hard to assure the dominance of white wealth and YIMBYs in as many Districts as possible. You've even been provided with documentation of the celebrations those groups are having because they have so successfully manipulated this process. We remind you, those groups are **NOT** entitled to any preferential treatment.

We live in District 1. District 1 is composed of middle and low income households, a large Asian Pacific community, seniors, 60% renter, most in rent controlled units. In D-1, for many years, we have focused on the building of low income and senior housing, protecting rent controlled units. We have services which assure that these communities of interest have access to food, shelter, transportation, language resources. Our community is concerned about getting our unhoused into affordable housing and keeping roofs over the heads of our working class friends and neighbors. Our seniors, particularly Chinese and Russian seniors rely on vital community

services such as Institute On Aging and the Russian American Community Services, services you are removing from our District.

You are trying to add Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace to District 1. These are formerly restrictive covenant, gated communities. They remain highly segregated areas. They employ security guards to assure that the rest of us stay out. The homes in these neighborhoods belong to people with extremely high income. They are single family residences and excessively large lots. Those households don't need services. Their demographic falsely increases the "average" income in the district, diluting the ability of the district to get public services. The people in Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, West Clay Park virulently oppose building affordable housing in their neighborhoods, prime real estate for low income, multi family housing.

The arguments you've heard in favor of adding Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace to D1 are limited to "compact and contiguous" and that they shop and dine in the neighborhood. In that regard, they are no different than most San Franciscans who shop and dine all over our City. By example, we shop on Clement Street. We shop at Rainbow. We shop in Laurel Village. We shop on Fillmore. We shop in Civic Center. We shop at BiRite. We shop near the Ballpark and in Butcher Town. Does that give us the right to claim we are a special community of interest with rights in those Districts?
ABSOLUTELY NOT!

At the beginning of this process, you were handed a solution in the form of the Community Unity Map. Put together by many many diverse groups with myriad interests, it balanced the needs of all communities of interest, followed the dictates of the Voting Rights Act and tried to maintain the character of existing Districts. You have consistently chosen to ignore the voices of the thousands who support that approach.

If you are going to continue to insist on ignoring the needs of the community and the voices of the PEOPLE, at least return the D1 north-western boundary to its current location (along California to 26th north to Lake to Arguello). Also, add back in the section bounded by Arguello, Geary, Masonic and Turk.

-Hon. Martha Goldin, Judge retired

-Laura Goldin, Mediator

--

-lg

"Wars are poor chisels for carving out peaceful tomorrows." - Martin Luther King, Jr.



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Coholan](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Process
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:58:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Coholan <michael@hilltopllc.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Elections Commission:

I demand that you abort this blatant attempt to hijack the Redistricting process. Leave the RDTF alone to carry out the process everyone agreed from the outset and let them conclude their work as scheduled.

Thank you,

Michael Coholan

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ohnho1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ohn Ho <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Ohn Ho
ohnho1@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Feinberg, Giles \(BOS\)](#); [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: No Recall
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Feinberg, Giles (BOS) <giles.feinberg@sfgov.org>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:40 PM

To: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: No Recall

Good afternoon Kathy,
Thank you for your email and support of the redistricting task force members.

From: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:59 PM

To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: No Recall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Election Commission

Please do not recall the members of the redistricting task force that you appointed. They have heard the public testimony and participated in the process.

Also, changing the Special Session from Sunday to tomorrow would impair the public's ability to be involved.

Have respect for the public process!

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Seema Lindskog](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Don't waver, stay strong, and do your job
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Seema Lindskog <seema3366@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Don't waver, stay strong, and do your job

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Respected redistricting taskforce,

You're under a tremendous amount of pressure from all sides. Please don't let it get to you. You're doing a great job, please stay strong, don't waver, and finish the work. Please don't try to remove any of your members or do anything else that will jeopardize the legitimacy of your taskforce.

Please remember that not all residents of San Francisco are far-left progressives. There is a large silent majority of moderate democrats who are tired of the fact that progressives have made a mess of the governance of our city, so that it is now known the world over for its inescapable robberies, drug addicts, and homeless individuals. Something needs to change. We cannot continue to double down on the same failed policies and power centers. Please shake things up with new district boundaries which will hopefully lead to new thinking.

Thanks,
Seema Lindskog
Cole Valley

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leo Quilici](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting - District 6
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Leo Quilici <leoq9@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am in favor of map 4BA over 4DA.

Leo Quilici
94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matt415@me.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matthew Righetti <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matthew Righetti

Email

matt415@me.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tnagle@vallejoinvestments.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Taylor Nagle <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Taylor Nagle

Email

tnagle@vallejoinvestments.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ckiesel@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Colleen Kieselhorst <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Colleen Kieselhorst

Email

ckiesel@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Catherine Liddell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6 - Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Liddell <clliddell@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 - Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members -

Thank you all for your tireless work with this effort.

I ask that you continue to put Map 4BA as your top priority. It keeps our emerging neighborhoods together so that we can continue our work to develop South of Market. It allows for the growth which we shall surely see as we have in the past ten years. It is the map that makes the most sense for all.

It is the map we all want to see activated. Please use Map 4BA for District 6.

Thank you.

Regards,

Katy Liddell
403 Main Street #813
SF 94105

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tina Kwok](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Dick Frisbie](#); [J GRAZIANI](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting D2
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tina Kwok <kwoktina@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:19 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Dick Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; J GRAZIANI <stephen.graziani@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear All,

I am a homeowner and live on Laurel Street in San Francisco.

I demand that you abort this blatant attempt to hijack the Redistributing process. Leave the RDTF alone to carry out the process everyone agreed from the outset and let them conclude their work as scheduled.

Appreciate your help.

Thank you.

Tina Kwok
535 Laurel Street
San Francisco, CA 94118

Tina Kwok
kwoktina@me.com

May the long time sun shine upon you,
All love surround you,
And the light within you guide your way.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Patricia Ash](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 4BA
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:56:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Patricia Ash <patriash@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Map 4BA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please accept Map 4BA as the best possible redistricting solution.

Best,

Patricia and Richard Ash
219 Brannan Street SF

Sent from my iPad
Pat Ash

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alice Rogers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support current direction of Map 4BA
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:56:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support current direction of Map 4BA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members,

Although still clearly unresolved in any ways, I support the direction of map 4BA relative to D6 and D3. Though it cannot remain at -18% deviation, starting the next 10 years for D6 at a minus deviation allows for the growth we know is in the pipeline. This is preferable.

This map also keeps our South Beach/Rincon/Mission Bay neighborhoods together to finish the work we have begun in terms of infrastructure advocacy related to life-safety issues like arterial street management, air quality around the Bay Bridge, managing crowd impacts from entertainment venues, and building schools and neighborhood services to support the full spectrum of income levels.

Though in a different district, this map also keeps the TL and as much of central SoMa as possible together for better focus on their issues.

I do regret the knock-on boundary changes around D5, but absorbing 30K in new population is going to have this effect....now—and if not dealt with properly at this point—with greater disruption in 10 years.

Thank you for your endurance,

Alice Rogers

.....
[Alice Rogers](#)
[President, South Beach|Rincon|Mission Bay NA](#)
sbrmbna@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wrb100@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:56:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Billy Brandreth

Email

wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Redistricting Task Force Members, Raynell Cooper, Chasel Lee and Ditka Reiner.

As you recall, you spent months selecting three qualified candidates with high moral character, ethics, and intellect through a grueling application and interview process out of a pool of nearly 3,000 applicants.

These appointees have been working tirelessly for months to hear from everyday San Franciscans. Members Cooper, Lee and Reiner are simply doing their job, which is incredibly time-consuming and thankless. The Task Force members have to make hard choices in order to comply with federal, state and local law, all while trying to account for community input.

This last-minute attempt to derail the RTF through unfounded and exaggerated claims completely compromises the integrity of the redistricting process, which is supposed to be deliberative and nonpartisan — not last minute and subject to mob rule.

Your job as the Elections Commission is to be non-partisan and not bend to political tactics. By even holding this special meeting, you are discrediting the hard work that you did to appoint your Task Force Members .

You are doing the citizens of San Francisco a huge disservice by interrupting this critical democratic process at the final hour.

I urge you to defend democracy by standing up for your hard-working appointees and upholding the independence of the Redistricting Task Force.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dgolden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:56:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David Golden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Golden

Email

dgolden@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's amendment equal protection clause.

Thank you for dedication to public service.

Regards, David

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DeAnn Work](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch Together!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:56:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: DeAnn Work <deannfwork@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Taskforce,

Thank you for your hard work, and it is disappointing to see members of the current Board of Supervisors and political factions that want to preserve their current political advantages attacking you and trying to manipulate the process.

Given that your obligations are to help ensure voters have equal representation by balancing the population of the districts, and to keep communities of interest together when possible, I was disappointed to see you move away from Map 4B which did both of those things for our area by keeping Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square together, as we had requested. Although this move was touted as "keeping Potrero Hill united", Potrero Hill itself was not divided under 4B -- the objective was obviously to keep Potrero in the district with the Bayview as that is perceived as working to the advantage of a specific political faction, by keeping our votes separated from those of Dogpatch and Mission Bay. This has nothing to do with keeping our community together and everything to do with preserving the existing power bloc despite both D6 and D10 gaining much more population than the rest of the city.

It would make much more sense and be more respectful of the communities in Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square to keep them together, and this probably needs to be done in a way that is more similar to 4B, given the population growth in the SE part of the city, and expected population growth there in the future. If this cannot be done, then at a minimum, **please move forward with Map 4DA which at least keeps Potrero and Dogpatch united. Please continue to focus on addressing population imbalances and keeping communities together and resist the attempts to bully you into continuing the disenfranchisement of the eastern part of the City.**

Thank you again for the important work you are doing.

--

DeAnn Work, Potrero Hill Resident

deannfwork@gmail.com

Cell: 415.706.1343

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Laufman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Laufman <richardlaufman@hotmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:05 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task,

This most recent change in the Map seems pretty lowdown.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Sincerely,
Richard Laufman
SFCAC and IBNA board member

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kbrandreth@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kandice Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kandice Brandreth

Email

kbrandreth@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Redistricting Task Force Members, Raynell Cooper, Chasel Lee and Ditka Reiner.

As you recall, you spent months selecting three qualified candidates with high moral character, ethics, and intellect through a grueling application and interview process out of a pool of nearly 3,000 applicants.

These appointees have been working tirelessly for months to hear from everyday San Franciscans. Members Cooper, Lee and Reiner are simply doing their job, which is incredibly time-consuming and thankless. The Task Force members have to make hard choices in order to comply with federal, state and local law, all while trying to account for community input.

This last-minute attempt to derail the RTF through unfounded and exaggerated claims completely compromises the integrity of the redistricting process, which is supposed to be deliberative and nonpartisan — not last minute and subject to mob rule.

Your job as the Elections Commission is to be non-partisan and not bend to political tactics. By even holding this special meeting, you are discrediting the hard work that you did to appoint your Task Force Members .

You are doing the citizens of San Francisco a huge disservice by interrupting this critical democratic process at the final hour.

I urge you to defend democracy by standing up for your hard-working appointees and upholding the independence of the Redistricting Task Force.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kaliehill0609@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Kalie Hill <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kalie Hill

Email

kaliehill0609@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Chris Tatum](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Stop the blatant manipulation of the redistricting process
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Tatum <ctatum333@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Stop the blatant manipulation of the redistricting process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

stop the recall and finish the job at hand. stop the blatant manipulation of the redistricting process.
stop Peskin and Preston! stand for all of San Francisco!

Chris Tatum
9 Lupine Ave, SF

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: martha@ehmannconte.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehmann Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Martha Ehmann Conte

Email

martha@ehmannconte.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Redistricting Task Force Members, Raynell Cooper, Chasel Lee and Ditka Reiner.

As you recall, you spent months selecting three qualified candidates with high moral character, ethics, and intellect through a grueling application and interview process out of a pool of nearly 3,000 applicants.

These appointees have been working tirelessly for months to hear from everyday San Franciscans. Members Cooper, Lee and Reiner are simply doing their job, which is incredibly time-consuming and thankless. The Task Force members have to make hard choices in order to comply with federal, state and local law, all while trying to account for community input.

This last-minute attempt to derail the RTF through unfounded and exaggerated claims completely compromises the integrity of the redistricting process, which is supposed to be deliberative and nonpartisan — not last minute and subject to mob rule.

Your job as the Elections Commission is to be non-partisan and not bend to political tactics. By even holding this special meeting, you are discrediting the hard work that you did to appoint your Task Force Members .

You are doing the citizens of San Francisco a huge disservice by interrupting this critical democratic process at the final hour.

I urge you to defend democracy by standing up for your hard-working appointees and upholding the independence of the Redistricting Task Force.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: No Recall
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:59 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>
Subject: No Recall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Election Commission

Please do not recall the members of the redistricting task force that you appointed. They have heard the public testimony and participated in the process.

Also, changing the Special Session from Sunday to tomorrow would impair the public's ability to be involved.

Have respect for the public process!

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Linda Ach](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: elections
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Ach <lindarach@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:58 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: elections

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I demand that you abort this blatant attempt to hijack the Redistricting process. Leave the RDTF alone to carry out the process everyone agreed from the outset and let them conclude their work as scheduled.

Linda Ach

124 Commonwealth Ave

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: alicia.engstrom@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alicia Engstrom <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Alicia Engstrom

Email

alicia.engstrom@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Task Force Members.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly oppose your decision to hold a special emergency meeting and call into question the integrity of your appointed Redistricting Task Force Members, Raynell Cooper, Chasel Lee and Ditka Reiner.

As you recall, you spent months selecting three qualified candidates with high moral character, ethics, and intellect through a grueling application and interview process out of a pool of nearly 3,000 applicants.

These appointees have been working tirelessly for months to hear from everyday San Franciscans. Members Cooper, Lee and Reiner are simply doing their job, which is incredibly time-consuming and thankless. The Task Force members have to make hard choices in order to comply with federal, state and local law, all while trying to account for community input.

This last-minute attempt to derail the RTF through unfounded and exaggerated claims completely compromises the integrity of the redistricting process, which is supposed to be deliberative and nonpartisan — not last minute and subject to mob rule.

Your job as the Elections Commission is to be non-partisan and not bend to political tactics. By even holding this special meeting, you are discrediting the hard work that you did to appoint your Task Force Members .

You are doing the citizens of San Francisco a huge disservice by interrupting this critical democratic process at the final hour.

I urge you to defend democracy by standing up for your hard-working appointees and upholding the independence of the Redistricting Task Force.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ldgriffin626@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Larry Griffin <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io>

Thank you for consideration.

Larry Griffin
ldgriffin626@aol.com
1920 MCALLISTER ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Frisbie; Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: DO NOT Recall of Members on RDTF
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:55:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:35 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: DO NOT Recall of Members on RDTF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco deserves better than a backroom deal by Supervisors intent on aborting the independence of the RDTF.
Do not be a party to this blatantly Trumplike attempt to circumvent the Redistricting process.

Richard Frisbie
VP-Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sean Karlin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sean Karlin <sean.karlin@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of **Hunters Point**.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace, **and** Dogpatch, share common cause with **the** neighborhoods to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Sean Karlin
800 Innes Ave
SF, CA. 94124

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Regina Islas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: RTF Mapping
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Regina Islas <regina.islas@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: RTF Mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

Regina islas/D2 making public comment for the 5th time and

Here we all are yet again, after the despicable 3am map change maneuver -and the 4D map needed plenty of revision as it was. Are we, members of the community, being listened to? Coi's such as immigrants, working class, renters, people of color, and LGBTQ+ folx, and vulnerable seniors- having provided months and hours of comment about what would best serve them in a fair and equitably drawn map-none of this testimony is evident in the maps the TF has drawn thus far!. This must change TODAY with a map that represents the feedback that we have given this commission. We EXPECT NOTHING LESS THAN YOUR BEST!

D1 must not include Sea Cliff, Presidio Hts & Terr for all the reasons we've already given, check your notes & return these areas back into D2 where they have always been since the beginning of district elections.

Lines for the growth needed for D1 that will not destroy the community of: immigrants, renters, vulnerable seniors that require real services-not dependence upon the fickle largesse of the wealthy- should expand along Lake st to 2nd Ave, maintaining Lake as a dividing line between D1 &2, and Expand east of USF along Turk Boulevard to Divisadero, up Divisadero corridor and west along Geary. Use the 4D compromise lines that have significant revisions that we need to empower our COIs.

The wealthy will never be under or unrepresented- they are NOT a COI, despite cries to the contrary.

The TL SOMA and Cathedral Hill do not belong in D5 for reasons already explicitly given-correct these egregious errors TODAY and create a map that looks like you've been listening to us!

Finally, reminding all of us that we live on unceded Ramaytush/Ohlone land.

Onward together,

Regina S Islas/D2

[she/her]

regina.islas@gmail.com

650.484.7706

#SolidarityIsMySuperpower

Sí se puede.

Dolores Huerta

We don't just want a seat at the table. We want the table.

Alicia Garza

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jbruton@btwcsc.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Juanita Bruton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Juanita Bruton
jbruton@btwcsc.org
530 divisadero
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathy Howard](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Comments on current maps
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:09 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Comments on current maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I currently live in the Sunset district and have also lived for many years in the Richmond District as well as other districts in San Francisco. I am also a member of D4ward.

I don't know why this Task Force has ignored the Community Unity map, since it was arrived at with the cooperation of groups from all over SF, but I suggest you refer to it in your decision making.

Among the most recent maps you have published, the 4D map was the most reasonable - but for reasons unknown to all but I assume a select few, you have decided to also ignore that map.

Here are the changes that need to be made to the 4B map

- for District 4 - If you move the District 4 boundary south of Sloat Boulevard, you will be depriving the people in that area of their community of interest with District 7. So instead please **keep the current southern District 4 boundary at Sloat Boulevard.**
- To add population to District 4 - Move the boundary for District 4 east along the edge of Golden Gate Park - along Lincoln, Irving, Judah and Kirkham to meet the western boundary from District 5. **Keep the Inner Sunset in D5 and D4.** They all share a Community of Interest by bordering Golden Gate Park
- **Keep the south-eastern edge of Golden Gate Park in District 5.**
- for District 1 – **Keep the current northern boundary of District 1.** Do not move into Sea Cliff, which is not a community of interest with the rest of the Richmond District and would better relate to District 2.

Katherine Howard

D4 and D1 before that

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joyce Lavey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joyce Lavey <joyce.lavey@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mattpaige1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matt Paige <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matt Paige

Email

mattpaige1@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kristin Tieche](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Oppose 4B/Support 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kristin Tieche <ktieche@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose 4B/Support 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to urge you to reconsider and support 4D, keeping Communities of Interest together.

You've heard all the arguments, so I won't repeat them. I would just like my voice, as a longtime SF resident to be heard and counted.

Thank you,
Kristin Tieche
D1/94117

--

Kristin Tièche (she/her)
Director, The Invisible Mammal
Learn more: <http://www.theinvisiblemammal.com/>
in: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/kristintieche/>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: MarMac@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehrenfeld <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Martha Ehrenfeld

marmac@aol.com

1379 6th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122-2503

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Virginia Smyly](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Virginia Smyly <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:34 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Virginia Smyly
gsmyly@pacbell.net

147 Cleo Rand
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ktschwab@mac.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Paige <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Catherine Paige

Email

ktschwab@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tiffany Hill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:54:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Tiffany Hill <tifhill@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 1:04 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dickielew@sbcglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:53:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Lewin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Lewin

Email

dickielew@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jani Mussetter](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 10
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:53:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jani Mussetter <janimusse@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

The thing that I just can't understand is why we the tax payers, we the voters, we the residents of San Francisco and also of district 10, always have to "fight" or BATTLE the government to get what we want? Why is that? I think it's pretty clear to you all that we want to keep the district as it is. We have established bonds and a real community with our fellow district mates. I understand why you feel inclined to break us up, but you have the power and ability to give us what we want and that is to just leave our district as it is!! Why....because we are the ones LIVING here! It just seems you are always pushing our boundaries with either heinous high density housing projects or just plain disgusting architectural designs where I have to close my eyes while passing and now.....it's literal. You are indeed pushing our boundaries.

I have lived here in district 10 for 28 years and counting and own my home. I love Potrero Hill and hope I add a little flair to the hood. I have an art studio here and make the most of it.

Anyway, that's it. No big long email...just simple and to the point.

Have a splendid day....and I mean that!

Jani Mussetter

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jenniferkriz@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:53:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jennifer Kriz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jennifer Kriz

Email

jenniferkriz@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: katherinepost@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:53:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine Calvert <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Katherine Calvert

Email

katherinepost@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Judy Hamaguchi](#)
Cc: [Emily Murase](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [Grace Horikiri](#)
Subject: FW: [Japantown Task Force] Map of Japantown Assets + Request to Restore JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:53:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[22.4.6 D5 JCHESS Japantown Map Plus.pdf](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jccyc.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>
Subject: Re: [Japantown Task Force] Map of Japantown Assets + Request to Restore JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks, Emily. I have also sent email to Redistricting Taskforce.
Judy

Be authentic. Live juicy! If you have "yes" in your heart, all things are possible."

On Apr 7, 2022, at 11:38 AM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcy.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Map of Japantown Assets + Request to Restore JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

The Japantown community has been following your proceedings closely. We have participated in ALL of your meetings since January. Until tonight, you have honored the Japantown boundaries laid out in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy report, developed by the community over a series of town hall meetings, approved by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors in 2013.

We are deeply concerned about efforts to shrink Japantown. I understand that you have a problem with too few residents in District 2 and too many residents in District 5. I have submitted a map that indicates the SPECIFIC LOCATIONS and ADDRESSES of our cultural assets along our northern boundary. Importantly, the map we submitted

also indicates which blocks do NOT contain Japantown interests. Please take a look at this map which is attached below (PDF and JPG formats).

Finally, I understand that, at 2 am on Tuesday morning, there was an incomplete effort to RESTORE the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5. There is a CLEAR connection between this preschool to Japantown and the Western Addition and I urge that you shift boundaries so that this facility can REMAIN in District 5.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.


Emily

Emily M. Murase, PhD
ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.

[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)
www.japantowntaskforce.org


415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)



Map

- Draft boundary in Map 4DA (as of 4/4/22)
- Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHES) Boundaries
- Existing D5 Boundary (strongly preferred)
- No known Japantown interest



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHES/Japantown Cultural District.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Judy Hamaguchi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D5 mapping efforts
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:52:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D5 mapping efforts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

Once again, our community, the neighborhood, the people who consider Japantown-Western Addition "home" are put through the anguish of holding, and preserving a place and our history. At the start, there seemed to be members who actually listened to our D5 reps of concerned citizens. The Task Force heard compelling reasons and pleas, and yet, it has been a roller coaster! I wonder how the City hopes to encourage trust, when we have seen so much disappointment. Your work is not easy, but by truly processing what is said and understanding the true value of relationships, you can make these communities stronger. Please know what all the parts of a community really means to all of us.

Judy Hamaguchi
President, SFJACL

Be authentic. Live juicy! If you have "yes" in your heart, all things are possible."

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [tyseymore](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:52:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: TONYA WILLIAMS <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:30 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

TONYA WILLIAMS
tyseymore@gmail.com
2021 Filmore Street, Ste 1257
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rodneyearljacksonjr@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rodney Jackson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Rodney Jackson
rodneyearljacksonjr@gmail.com
319 buchanan st,
san francisco, California 94102

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: gcalegari@calegariandmorris.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg and Kathy Calegari <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 12:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Greg and Kathy Calegari

Email

gcalegari@calegariandmorris.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake,
Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they
belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and
Presidio Heights intact in D2. My Wife and I strongly
support 4B as it now is.
Greg and Kathy Calegari

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kansas Safe Association](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:52 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kansas Safe Association <kansas.safeassociation@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:58 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnysdale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Ray O'Connor

Captain, Kansas Street SAFE Neighborhood Association

1483 Kansas Street

San Francisco, CA 94107

(415) 577-5412 (Cell)

(415) 553-0123 (SFPD - Non-emergency)

(415) 553-8090 (SFPD - Emergency calls from cell phones)

(415) 206-8063 (Sheriff's Dispatch)

[Kansas Street Blog](#)

Member SAFE Neighborhood Crime Watch: www.sfsafe.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Kanwar Kelley; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Shannon Vanley](#)
Subject: RE: Strong Support for Map 4B
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kanwar Kelley <kanwark@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Shannon Vanley <shannonvanley@gmail.com>
Subject: Strong Support for Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

I am writing today to express my strong support of Map 4B.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch do NOT share common cause with our neighbors to the south. This map is a breath of fresh air.

I support keeping Showplace, Potrero Hill, and Dogpatch together which map 4B accomplishes.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods and not the special interests who pretend to represent our interests. Please move forward with map 4B.

Sincerely,

Kanwar Kelley, MD, JD

First name: Kanwar

Last name: Kelley

Sent via iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: holdenspaht123@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Holden Spaht <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Holden Spaht

Email

holdenspaht123@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Hannah Bascom](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Maintaining District 10 Boundaries
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannah Bascom <hannah.bascom@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Maintaining District 10 Boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 10+ year resident of the Potrero Hill neighborhood.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Thank you,
Hannah Bascom
364 Connecticut St

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Evan Goldin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Put D6 at the low end
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Evan Goldin <evan.goldin@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Put D6 at the low end

Thanks! And there was a typo at the top, should have said:

Dear Task Force Members,

I **want** to urge a change to the current **redistricting** plans.

District 6 should be placed at the lowest end of the 5% population deviation. We will continue to grow much more than every other district. Currently, we have 44% more residents than D1, because we were already 5% above the deviation 10 years ago.

We don't like having our votes diminished by others who live in districts with smaller populations (e.g., District 1). Don't do this again. Take this planned growth into account and keep us at the low end this time.

Thank you.

On Thu, Apr 07, 2022 at 11:36 AM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications*

that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Evan Goldin <evan.goldin@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Put D6 at the low end

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

I wasn't to urge a change to the current redistributing plans.

District 6 should be placed at the lowest end of the 5% population deviation. We will continue to grow much more than every other district. Currently, we have 44% more residents than D1, because we were already 5% above the deviation 10 years ago.

We don't like having our votes diminished by others who live in districts with smaller populations (e.g., District 1). Don't do this again. Take this planned growth into account and keep us at the low end this time.

Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Julián Delgado Lopera](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: urgent: feedback on redistricting of SF
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julián Delgado Lopera <julidlopera@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:42 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: urgent: feedback on redistricting of SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Julián Delgado Lopera. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 14 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Julian Delgado Lopera

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rick Girling](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#)
Subject: RE: Gerrymandering is not a SF value!!!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Subject: Gerrymandering is not a SF value!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,

I thought Gerrymandering was something Republicans in the rest of the country did...now I learn that Republicans and corporate democrats are conspiring to Gerrymander our Districts!! In a process that is NOT supposed to be political, money is pouring in for a Gerrymandered Coup to make the Board of Supervisors more reflective of real estate interests rather than middle-class and working class SF residents like myself and my family.

As Nick Podell involved in [Neighbors for a Better San Francisco](#), the [real-estate and tech-funded failed effort to keep progressives off the board](#) says it...

"We are in the final week of the Redistricting process and it is going better than I could have ever dreamed. **The current draft map, 4B, will flip 3 districts with Progressive Supervisors to moderate majorities and make 2 other districts which are currently Progressive majority, competitive with a 50/50 voting block split.**"

Stop the secret deals made at 3 AM!

Stop the Shenanigans and adopt map 4D or the Community Unity Map!

Rick Girling
182 BAnks St.
SF CA 94110

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: joj@3rdstvyouth.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joi Jackson-Morgan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Joi Jackson-Morgan
joi@3rdstyouth.org
1728 Bancroft Ave.
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: pollybpaulsen@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:50:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Polly Paulsen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Polly Paulsen

Email

pollybpaulsen@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jason Cauthen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District Re-alignment
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:50:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jason Cauthen <jasoncauthen@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District Re-alignment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I live at Fulton and Lyon, currently in District 5, in NOPA since 2011. I was born in San Francisco and have lived here for most of the past 40+ years. That is long enough to remember the days before NOPA existed, and when the whole area was called Western Addition. Carving up District 5 would be a mistake, and would be another blow to several communities - particularly a diminishing African American community in San Francisco.

I support the many districting maps (e.g. 4D) which keep my neighborhood contiguous with those of Alamo Square, Fillmore, and Haight Street. These neighborhoods share **celebrations** (The North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association and the Alamo Square Neighborhood Association hosted joint Halloween community parties last year), the Sunday Streets events connect NOPA to Fillmore street and beyond. We share **challenges** ranging from the unhoused, to cleanliness, to car/garage break-ins. Our **commuters** have shared the same buses (5, 6, 7, 22, 24, 31, 43) to get to or from work and school. We patronize the same **businesses**, in similar commercial areas of Lower Divisadero (and the merchants of the DMA), Haight Street, and Fillmore. We confront issues that elicit a range of strong emotions: such as Bay to Breakers, gentrification, and Motorist/Ped/Bike priorities. We mourned the passing - and celebrated the life - of CB ("The Mayor of Divisadero") together.

Some of the maps I've seen of break this area (e.g. 4B, 4C) - which shares these celebrations, challenges, and everything else - into several districts. This is a mistake. I spent 12 years attending school in Pacific Heights - its needs are different from those of the D5 neighborhoods (including NOPA); I grew up in the Inner Sunset - it too is very different; I lived in the inner Richmond just after 9/11 - the celebrations and challenges bear little in common to those in D5. For all of its diversity - D5 neighbors bear more in common with one another than we do with some of the neighborhoods I've seen D5 carved into.

There is no perfect map, and the commission has a nearly impossible job. I appreciate your willingness to bear the slings and arrows of all constituents who will disagree with whatever outcome you could choose. Please continue in your effort to understand and respect the communities we have created in the city both within and across neighborhoods when making your final decision on redistricting.

Thank you,
Jason Cauthen

For disclosure: I am a member of the NOPNA Board, but this view represents only my own personal point of view, and is not meant to represent the view of anyone beyond myself.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: evie@matevacapital.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:49:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Evie Davis <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Evie Davis

Email

evie@matevacapital.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mcalistermichaelr@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:49:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Michael McAlister <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael McAlister

Email

mcalistermichaelr@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Raynard Hillis](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting is District 10
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:47:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Raynard Hillis <pastorrrhh@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting is District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greeting committee members,

My name is Raynard H. Hillis, Sr. I Pastor the Double Rock Baptist Church at 1595 Shafter Ave. I am also the Moderator of Home & Foreign Mission district Association and President of San Francisco African American Faith based coalition. All together I lead over 55 churches in community and ministry work here in the Bay View and all around the Bay Area. I have been made aware of the current decision that your committee is about to make concerning redrawing the line of my beloved district 10. My Specific concern is with Potrero Ave, 16th st to the Bay/ Water front, Mansell Ave to Bayshore etc....

I'm truly trying to see the reasoning behind this decision of redrawing the lines in this particular manner. The African American population of San Francisco is already marginalized in this city and your decision is about to do us even greater harm. There seems to be an unlined agenda attached to your decision. It appears, your committee is trying to silence to voice, history and growth of my Community.

I've been made aware that moves have already been made politically to fill district 10's seat once redistricting is complete. Your move embraces the political instead of the needs and concerns of our district.

This my friends can not happen. I am sending this email to inform your committee that the full weight of every church and its Pastor the Bayview, Hunters Point will stand against this decision. Your choice makes you look bias, Insensitive and very uncaring of our community.

Please take note, whatever we as a concerned community must do to stop this move, we will do.

Raynard H. Hillis. Sr
Double Rock Baptist
H&FMDA
SFAAFBC
1595 Shafter Ave
94112

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Amy Bishop](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4D: Keep SOMA & TL Together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:41:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Bishop <amybishop822@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4D: Keep SOMA & TL Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 5 years on both sides of market but we are one local community. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

--

Amy Bishop

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jbentdel@comcast.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Delman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Delman

Email jbentdel@comcast.net

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kcrommie@comcast.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:52 AM

From: Karen Crommie <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Karen Crommie
Email kcrommie@comcast.net
I am a resident of District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of

the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's
14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: triplelll@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lyslynn Lacoste <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Lyslynn Lacoste
triplelll@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Darrough](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Districts of community
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Darrough <rcreedd@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Districts of community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please continue drawing districts by keeping the communities together as they have been. You have a number of alternatives for this as opposed to purposely tearing them apart.

Thank you, [I hope]
Richard Darrough D5

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Brad Bulger](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SOMA should be one district
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brad Bulger <brad@bulgermail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>
Subject: SOMA should be one district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

not that you care, but if i could voice an opinion as a resident, the 4C map i saw (on someone else's site, since you don't provide it easily) was the best one.

SOMA is not the same as the Tenderloin. just because there are homeless people on either side of Market doesn't make them the same. the two neighborhoods have very different issues.

a district that is mostly Tenderloin with a little bit of SOMA will end up giving us exactly that kind of representation.

EVERYTHING IS CLOSED HERE. we have way more in common with the proper Downtown in that regard than we do with the Tenderloin and Chinatown.

Brad Bulger
1188 Mission St #1201
San Francisco, CA
94103

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Xander Walbridge](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: KEEP D10 TOGETHER
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Xander Walbridge <xwalbridge@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: KEEP D10 TOGETHER

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 29+ year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from me and over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peter Walbridge](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 10 as is. Please.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Walbridge <Peter.Walbridge@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:31 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 10 as is. Please.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 25+ year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. We raised 3 kids here on Portero Hill.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from me and over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnysdale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Please keep us together,
Peter Walbridge
512 Missouri street.
SF, CA 94107
415-845-8432

--



Peter Walbridge *National Creative Director*
2101 Webster St., Suite 1300 Oakland, Cal 94612
Cell:(415) 845-8432 Desk:(415) 977-5732
(he, him, his) sierraclub.org
Have a project? sc.org/designstudio

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joel Bean](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joel Bean <jdbean46@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Joel Bean
District 10 resident for 22 years

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Genny McAuley Stich](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Genny McAuley Stich <gennymca@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Genny McAuley Stich

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rleider@leidergroup.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Leider <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Richard Leider

Email

rleider@leidergroup.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [artmechano](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: artmechano <artmechano@netscape.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill neighborhood for forty years.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

*David B Adams
2412 18th STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94110*

PS. I have been very involved with my neighbors, keeping our neighborhood clean. Also with our little mini-park!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: amandagrumet@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amanda Grumet <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Amanda Grumet

Email

amandagrumet@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ssimley@btwcsc.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shakirah Simley <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

- Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.
- Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.
- Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.
- Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.
- Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.
- Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: <https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/>

Thank you for consideration.

Shakirah Simley
ssimley@btwcsc.org

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kellaritis@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:40:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Kellar <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael Kellar

Email

kellaritis@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: josemedio@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jose Medio <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jose Medio

Email

josemedio@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: pbelden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Belden <pbelden@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Peter Belden
Potrero Hill
Vermont Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Daphne Magnawa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Magnawa <dmagnawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:15 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a **20-year** resident of Potrero Hill.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rexannemartin@me.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rexanne Martin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:16 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Rexanne Martin

Email

rexannemartin@me.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leonora Meusel](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leonora Meusel <lmeusel@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted. On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D. Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leonora Meusel](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leonora Meusel <lmeusel@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted. On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D. Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leonora Meusel](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leonora Meusel <lmeusel@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:07 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted. On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D. Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Will Chang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Will Chang <will246@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: William Chang <will246@gmail.com>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Will Chang

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marcy FRASER](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Marcy FRASER <marcyfraserinsf@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:02 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I have been a resident and homeowner on Potrero Hill for the last 25 years.

Please. Do not destroy decades of collaborative relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. Outrageous. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Marcy Fraser

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: twohsieh@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Tom Hsieh <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 9:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Tom Hsieh

Email

twohsieh@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maulik Shah](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maulik Shah <maulikshah@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Thank you to the members who last night rejected attempts to separate the public housing projects from the rest of Potrero Hill. The mere notion that poorer blocks inhabited by people of color would be redlined out of the richer, whiter blocks with which they have geographical, historical, and social ties should be offensive to everyone. That some members felt the need to waste the community's time on an "exploration" of blatant gerrymandering is testament to how far this process has deviated from its legal mandate.

While I applaud the motion to keep Potrero Hill whole, the current map remains unacceptable as it continues to split Potrero from Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Maulik Shah
Potrero Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lmuhfeld@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: louise patterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent louise patterson

Email lmuhfeld@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Caroline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment on San Francisco Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline <carolinealaw@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:34 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Public Comment on San Francisco Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

This is what I wanted to say at the redistricting meeting yesterday:

Hello, I am representing myself today. I'm also very hungry, I haven't eaten dinner yet, for some reason I thought I would be able to eat after I give my comment and it would be timely.

I commend you for staying up so late last night to do this work. I want you to know my friends also stayed up that late watching you, so I hope you don't feel so bad next time you have to stay up that late because you're keeping my friends awake too.

I would like to remind this board that while anecdotal evidence might be less important than actual hard numbers, it for sure hurts us when you spend all this time listening to us, only to disregard everything that we've said.

For some reason I thought you all voted for 4D, but I hear you've decided to go back on your word. This doesn't feel good for me, I'm sure it doesn't feel good for you. I hope you listen to the people's voices, the people who traveled from far away, the people that came from work, the people with families, transgender people, people of color, people without homes, marginalized people, disenfranchised people.

THE PEOPLE. Not just your wallets.

This is what I want to say today:

I want to thank the board for staying up late again, and I would like to address those in the task force specifically:

I will say I think it's not right that you keep pushing public comment out later and later. I think it's pretty messed up that you think it's acceptable to change your agenda around so that you don't have to listen to the people that are working hard, that wrote their speeches for you, that took time out of their very busy days for YOU. The people that WANTED to give you their thoughts IN PERSON. What the hell is wrong with you all??

A gentleman took the time out of his busy day to make public comment on mapping (agenda item 2), and only was able to make comment on whatever the fuck agenda item 5 was. You reprimanded him for speaking because "it wasn't what you were talking about." FUCK THAT. YOU KNOW WHY HE WAS THERE. YOU KNOW WHAT HE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT, and I'm not even sure if you explained well why you weren't listening to him. HE WAS STILL CONFUSED when he came back to overflow seating.

WE WERE ALL CONFUSED. Because you allow bureaucracy and rule changes and shitty stuff to happen because you don't want to stay up late?? FUCK YOU. This is your job, your job is to listen to the public! You're supposed to have our backs!

You wasted our time and honestly your own time by creating that fucked up map. I'm glad you're gonna vote to remove people from the task force because WOWIE you guys all suck! I wish I could be more specific about why you all suck, but I can't because it's just too much! You suck for multiple reasons and I hope you all go home and you think about what you've done and I hope you feel bad, or if you feel good about what you've done then please consider those who came out yesterday to make public comments and you IGNORED.

I came out in support of what D1 wants for this redistricting. I don't understand your feelings for why Sea Cliff needs to be put into my district, it doesn't make sense to me, but if that's what you need to do to make sure that families in other districts don't get torn apart, then that's probably what you have to do. I don't want my trans and my black and my disabled and my elderly neighbors to have hardship, especially not because of something like this.

And actually. It may seem like this isn't fun or cool work to do, there are "more pressing" issues to get done in our city. But this affects real people. This redistricting will make it harder to get work done for some. It will affect us in the future.

So I hope you take our pleas into heavy consideration, especially since it's your job. I hope that we can work together and make our city better.

Whatever weird map you come up with and vote on... I hope you can sleep well at night knowing that it's not just you that has to deal with the consequences of your actions, but the real people that live here in this beautiful city do too. So please represent us. Please listen to us.

and i swear if I get an automated message in response to this email i'm gonna be pissed lol i'll eventually get over it, but geez if someone doesn't actually read this i'm going to have a conniption LMAO

Thank you so much for taking the time to read this

--

Caroline Law (they/them)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [H. Smith](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:39:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: H. Smith <cl78910@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:33 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Debra M Bauer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Debra M Bauer <debrambauer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:27 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: judyminton@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep D10 together!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep D10 together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear
Redistricting
Task Force:

I am a 30+ year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City.
Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from me and over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Judy Minton
415 Connecticut St
San Francisco CA 94107

Let's Build this Park!





From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: beaugardner415@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Beggs Gardner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Beggs Gardner

Email

beaugardner415@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: georgiasgardner@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Georgia Gardner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Georgia Gardner

Email

georgiasgardner@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cgardner@jsoilc.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Curtis Gardner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Curtis Gardner

Email

cgardner@jspllc.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ccmccgardner@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christine Gardner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Christine Gardner

Email

ccmccgardner@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: douglas@douglasdurkin.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Douglas Durkin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Douglas Durkin

Email

douglas@douglasdurkin.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Connor Kelley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: You must keep D10 intact!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Connor Kelley <ckelley876@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: You must keep D10 intact!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: daniel_lurie@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daniel Lurie <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Daniel Lurie

Email

daniel_lurie@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Purvi Sahu](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Mom](#)
Subject: RE: Regarding zoning D2/D5
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Purvi Sahu <purvisahu@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 7:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mom <hemangini52@yahoo.com>
Subject: Regarding zoning D2/D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello

We are residents of the Nopa district (some of my neighbors are blind copied on this letter to help them share their opinion with you. Not sure why are we being considered for zoning once again?.

Alamo Square and Nopa have historically been sister neighborhoods in D5... we were **previously asked to split upper Nopa into greater western addition while being asked to donate our side to extend into what was USF PanHandle (now called West Nopa)** .. it took a lot of effort and investment with time from residents to get votes and **disagree with your zoning**. If you really must then we **agree with being included as part of D2 (PAC Heights) and NOT Tenderloin in D5**

That said please share and explain :

- 1. Why is there a need to divide our district ?**
- 2. How will this change impact our neighborhood depending on which side we split?**

This will enable us to share an informed vote with you

Awaiting your response & Best Regards,

Purvi Sahu

Resident of Nopa

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Lopipero-Langmo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:53 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wongye@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Yedi Wong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Yedi Wong

Email

wongye@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Melanie Kaba](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melanie Kaba <melanie.kaba@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 6:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted. On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D. Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mayumikamon@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Bonham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brian Bonham

Email

mayumikamon@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jesse Long](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jesse Long <jessedlong@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Jesse Long