

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: vickijo.edwards1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: victoria edwards <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

victoria edwards

Email

vickijo.edwards1@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alec Lindroth](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:38:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Alec Lindroth <chef.aleclindroth@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:41 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Alec Lindroth. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 4 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Alec Lindroth

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glynis Nakahara](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Emily Murase](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Alice Kawahatsu](#); [Grace Horikiri](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment by Glynis Nakahara 4/6/22
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcyc.org>; Alice Kawahatsu

<alihakawa@gmail.com>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>

Subject: Redistricting Task Force Public Comment by Glynis Nakahara 4/6/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please see written record, below, of my public testimony of April 6, 2022.

Thank you for your many hours of dedication.

Regards,

Glynis Nakahara

Good evening. My name is Glynis Nakahara. I'm the Vice President of the Japantown Task Force Board of Directors and Co-Chair of its Land Use/Transportation Committee. I also serve on the Advisory Board of the Japanese Program at Rosa Parks Elementary School.

I want to thank all of you for the many hours of patience and analyses on the difficult task of redistricting.

We appreciate your commitment in the past few weeks to keep Japantown whole. However, given the mapping proposals this evening, I would like to call your attention to several cultural assets that are critical to include at the northern border of District 5, west of Van Ness, where a finger of District 2 dips south:

- 1) San Francisco Buddhist Church at 1881 Pine Street at Octavia
- 2) Kimochi Senior Home at 1531 Sutter Street
- 3) Nihonmachi Terrace Senior Living Facility at 1615 Sutter
- 4) Chibi Chan Preschool at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce
(*this Japantown preschool was left out of map 4B*)
- 5) Rosa Parks Elementary School at 1501 O'Farrell

All of these institutions are a part of the recognized boundaries of Japantown as established in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS).

Japan town was San Francisco's first Cultural District, establishing the precedent for

the City's Cultural District program. On a personal note, I hope you will prioritize keeping intact the City's Cultural Districts – who by this very designation are vulnerable to speculation, gentrification and extinction.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Grant Ingram](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for including Seacliff and Lake Street in D1 and support for Map 4B
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grant Ingram <grant.ingram@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for including Seacliff and Lake Street in D1 and support for Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force, thank you for your hard work. I spoke on April 6, 2022, but due to time limitations I could not complete my comments. I support merging Seacliff and North of Lake into D1 and I support Map 4B.

Arguments made tonight against the unification of these neighborhoods with D1 misinterpreted the California Constitution. The Constitutional criteria is shown clearly on the California State website “We Draw The Lines” and are as follow:

- **First** – Equal Population in Each District
- **Second** –Ensuring that Minorities Have An Equal Opportunity to Elect Representatives of Their Choice
- **Third** – Contiguity
- **Fourth** –Respecting the boundaries of neighborhoods and communities of interest and minimize their division to the extent possible
- **Fifth** – Compactness and a fairly regular shape.

Tonight’s argument to keep Seacliff and North of Lake segregated from D1 was based solely on a “Community of Interest” argument which ignored the other necessary criteria of contiguity, compactness, and respecting the boundaries of neighborhoods to the extent possible.

To make matters worse, the argument incorrectly defined the term “Community of Interest”. The term is defined to include a CONTIGUOUS population that shares common social and economic interests. It’s clear that Seacliff and North of Lake are contiguous to D1 and **not** contiguous with D2. Common social and economic interests exist here because these neighbors shop and eat at the same businesses, enjoy the same parks, use the same infrastructure like Police and Fire department services. So contrary to the arguments heard tonight, the term Communities of Interest is not just limited to the criteria of “similar living standards” (whatever that vague term means).

Everyone can agree that order to comply with the redistricting criteria of equal population- D1 must grow in geographic size. Separating Seacliff and North of Lake violates the precepts of contiguity, compactness, and is based on the argument that the rich white people of Seacliff and North of Lake just don’t fit with the rest of D1 across the street. That argument is not only mean-spirited, but it violates the law. A “Community of Interest” can only be a basis to KEEP a neighborhood together. I repeat - **to keep a neighborhood together**. It is not a lawful reason to **exclude** one neighborhood from merging into another district.

The only legal basis which justifies ignoring the requirements of contiguity and compactness is that a minority group might be deprived of the opportunity to elect a representative of their choice. That’s why I support the efforts to ensure that San Francisco’s African American community is joined into a single district to the extent reasonably possible and it appears that **Map 4B** satisfies that goal. **But not once did we hear that adding Seacliff and North of Lake to D1 will result in a diminished opportunity for minorities in D1 to elect a representative of their choice.** Failing that legal basis, San Francisco has no choice but to merge SeaCliff and North of Lake these D1 to satisfy the requirements of equal population, compactness, contiguity, and common social interests.

I want to thank the Committee. I know you will do your job within the requirements of the law and I really appreciate your hard work and service.

Best regards,

Grant Ingram
Outer Richmond
District One

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [T Flandrich](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 3 Fisherman's Wharf COI submitted this morning 4/6 from Theresa Flandrich North Beach Tenants Cmte.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: T Flandrich <tflandrich@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3 Fisherman's Wharf COI submitted this morning 4/6 from Theresa Flandrich North Beach Tenants Cmte.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: T Flandrich <tflandrich@yahoo.com>

To: etfr@sfgov.org <etfr@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022, 11:53:56 AM PDT

Subject: District 3 Fisherman's Wharf COI submitted this morning from Theresa Flandrich North Beach Tenants Cmte.

Dear Task Force,

As you move forward, I want to be sure that you understand that Fisherman's Wharf & North Beach are inextricably linked and together form a united community based on our long history and goal to get truly affordable housing built for our workforce. Do not move us into District 2, please!

Please see the COI document that I submitted this morning.

Please honor our existing D3 lines, keeping us whole and expand boundaries of North Beach to Hyde Street, as it was in the past, and expand into D6 along our Waterfront which most aligns with our current boundaries. I had hoped that our southern border with the Tenderloin could be expanded, but not if it means separating cultural districts there.

Thank you for your work!

Theresa Flandrich

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Susan Ford](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: map drawing and Russian Hill stays together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Ford <susan.ford103@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: map drawing and Russian Hill stays together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

I hear a lot of comments about the Tenderloin and other groups needing representation because they need a lot of services and their voting power is stronger together. I apologize but I can't sit and listen to all these rants and ravings any longer. So I write and hope you can take note of my comments.

I agree, a unified community IS stronger. But remarks made that assert that "wealthy communities" don't need to stay together for power is very disingenuous. And what is "wealthy?"

With or without money, one voter is one vote - period

Money is not a vote.

I live in that so-called "wealthy neighborhood" of the maligned Russian Hill. My income is very low, and I have lived here a long time. My Russian Hill is a community of interest. As described in various documents and comments made at meetings, we have long worked together with many other groups in the NE corner to make this part of the city a warm and friendly area. We share much history from SF's founding, through 1906 and to today.

I volunteer with many local groups here that serve and improve our community; and I shop mainly in the North Beach area, walk on Telegraph Hill, go to church both at Grace Cathedral and First Church on Van Ness, and visit the museums on the Embarcadero.

Chinese and Italian families have moved west onto Russian Hill and have been long standing members of this neighborhood for decades, owning homes for multiple generations. They deserve that same access to representation as the folks in Tenderloin or Potrero. As a matter of fact, so do I!

RH residents have no history with Marina, and as a community, we have little in common in interests or concerns. RH did not directly share in their damaging disaster of Loma Prieta or their current challenges of rising sea levels. Etc.Etc. That is NO COI!

You have a major challenge in setting D6 up for growth, and that means D5 and other neighboring districts have to step up and take their share of the population increases as D3 does. D6 must have less population in order to continue to grow fairly. **But it cannot or need to be solely at D3's expense.**

Sincerely,
Susan Ford

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Lopipero-Langmo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:47 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Flannery Alber](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please do not change Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Flannery Alber <flanneryalber@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please do not change Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 8 year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Good Luck and keep us together,

Flannery Alber

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Emily Murase](#)
Cc: [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [Grace Horikiri](#); [Judy Hamaguchi](#)
Subject: FW: [Japantown Task Force] Map of Japantown Assets + Request to Restore JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:34 AM
Attachments: [22.4.6 D5 JCHESS Japantown Map Plus.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jccyc.org>; Susie Kagami

<skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>

Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Map of Japantown Assets + Request to Restore JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

The Japantown community has been following your proceedings closely. We have participated in ALL of your meetings since January. Until tonight, you have honored the Japantown boundaries laid out in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy report, developed by the community over a series of town hall meetings, approved by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors in 2013.

We are deeply concerned about efforts to shrink Japantown. I understand that you have a problem with too few residents in District 2 and too many residents in District 5. I have submitted a map that indicates the SPECIFIC LOCATIONS and ADDRESSES of our cultural assets along our northern boundary. Importantly, the map we submitted also indicates which blocks do NOT contain Japantown interests. Please take a look at this map which is attached below (PDF and JPG formats).

Finally, I understand that, at 2 am on Tuesday morning, there was an incomplete effort to RESTORE the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5. There is a CLEAR connection between this preschool to Japantown and the Western Addition and I urge that you shift boundaries so that this facility can REMAIN in District 5.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.

Emily


Emily M. Murase, PhD
ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.

[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](http://1765SutterStreet.com)
[San Francisco, CA 94115](http://SanFranciscoCA.com)
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)

Map

- Draft boundary in Map 4DA (as of 4/4/22)
- Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHES) Boundaries
- Existing D5 Boundary (strongly preferred)
- No known Japantown interest



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHES/Japantown Cultural District.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: melissaippo@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Melissa Ippolito <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Melissa Ippolito

Email

melissaippo@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [cheryl thornton](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D10 bring back map
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: cheryl thornton <cherylthornton@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D10 bring back map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello friends from the Taskforce,

I live in Potrero Hill neighborhood in District 10.

I would urge you to please bring back Map 4D.

My community of interest is Potrero Avenue, [16th street](#) to Bay/Waterfront, Highway [101 Mansell Ave](#) to Bayshore, Geneva Avenue which is Potrero Hill, Bay View Hunters Point and Sunnydale.

There are so many civic organizations, cultural sites and residents who share so many common interests and conditions for which we have joined forces time and time again during election years as well as during our everyday lives that it would be very disconcerting and even disruptive to our shared goals of community improvement to become divided.

From Jackson Park, the NABE to [3rd St](#) corridor spaces, all the way to little village market and Sunnydale rec center.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dkuyeda@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Uyeda

Email

dkuyeda@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Darius Contractor](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Darius Contractor <d@rius.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Darius Contractor
440 Utah St, SF

Sent via [Superhuman](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jude Deckenbach](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:37:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeckenbach@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a 30+ year resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Please do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from me and over 180 other residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, **on Tuesday at 3:00 am**, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. What's up with that? There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnysdale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Thank you for all your work on this difficult project. Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Good Luck and keep us together,
me

Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: emmo55@me.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Don Emmons <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Don Emmons

Email

emmo55@me.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Janine Lacap](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: REDISTRICTING
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janine Lacap <janinelacap@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: REDISTRICTING

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello my name is Janine Lacap and I have lived and worked in SOMA.

Your complete reversal on a virtually unanimous 8-1 vote shows this is not a transparent process. You clearly know what map you want to make, and don't care what we say You are willing to chop up low-income residents, tenants, and people of color in District 6, but unwilling to touch the wealthy entitled privileged Mission Bay and East SOMA You have used Russian Hill as a decoy for tearing apart and disempowering working people, communities of color, and tenants.

You must go back to map 4D and make the necessary changes for our communities, otherwise this whole process has been a complete waste of time, and you have been tricking us when you clearly have your own plan which goes against the SF City Charter and the role you are supposed to do.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mahtab@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mahtab Ghazizadeh <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mahtab Ghazizadeh

Email

mahtab@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Regards,
Mahtab Ghazizadeh

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Johnmburns48@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John and Usha Burns <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

John and Usha Burns

Email

Johnmburns48@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Evan Goldin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Put D6 at the low end
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Evan Goldin <evan.goldin@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Put D6 at the low end

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

I wasn't to urge a change to the current redistributing plans.

District 6 should be placed at the lowest end of the 5% population deviation. We will continue to grow much more than every other district. Currently, we have 44% more residents than D1, because we were already 5% above the deviation 10 years ago.

We don't like having our votes diminished by others who live in districts with smaller populations (e.g., District 1). Don't do this again. Take this planned growth into account and keep us at the low end this time.

Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: okhtay@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Okhtay Azarmanesh <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Okhtay Azarmanesh

Email

okhtay@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bolasater@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Garland Lasater <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Garland Lasater

Email

bolasater@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Daphne Magnawa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Magnawa <dmagnawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a **20-year** resident of Potrero Hill.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lauramellor@mac.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Kim <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Laura Kim

Email

lauramellor@mac.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lynneschaadt@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Lynne Schaadt <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Lynne Schaadt

Email

lynneschaadt@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jlyonstt@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennie Lyons <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jennie Lyons

Email jlyonstt@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kaycockerill@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kay Cockerill <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kay Cockerill

Email

kaycockerill@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: marlys.fassett@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marlys Fassett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marlys Fassett

Email

marlys.fassett@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tiffany Hill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:36:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Tiffany Hill <tifhill@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:24 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: todd.i.pollak@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Todd Pollak <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Todd Pollak

Email

todd.i.pollak@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sffoxden@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Maureen Fox <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Maureen Fox

Email

sffoxden@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Katherine Doumani](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 10 Whole
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine Doumani <kdoumani@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 10 Whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

*Thank you,
Katherine Doumani*

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jenniferflint1@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Flint <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jennifer Flint

Email

jenniferflint1@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hawkespackard@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Chris Packard <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Chris Packard

Email

hawkespackard@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: laurajofagan@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Fagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Laura Fagan

Email

laurajofagan@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rebecca.randall@sonic.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rebecca Randall <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Rebecca Randall

Email

rebecca.randall@sonic.net

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: susan_hendrickson@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Hendrickson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Susan Hendrickson

Email

susan_hendrickson@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Shipley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Shipley <mshipley@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Michael. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 30 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Michael Shipley

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lklow@lklow.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lawrence Low <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Lawrence Low

Email

lklow@lklow.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Selby Schwartz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please move forward with map 4-D, not map 4-B
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Selby Schwartz <selbywynn@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please move forward with map 4-D, not map 4-B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Selby Wynn Schwartz. I live on the same block as Juanita MORE! in Lower Nob Hill, and I'm writing in solidarity with her call to action about redistricting. I have lived in San Francisco for nearly 20 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Sincerely,

Selby Wynn Schwartz

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Madeleine Trembley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: From the Gateway Community - Financial District
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:04 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Madeleine Trembley <madeleine.ggta@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: From the Gateway Community - Financial District
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco,

The Gateway Tenants Association appreciates the fact that the RTF has a tough job that is, contrary to some allegations, analytical, number-crunching and very challenging in keeping in the greater scope the interest of each neighborhood. We do not want to take more of your time by parroting what we have voiced with consistency and flexibility.

At the heart of the Barbary Coast, the Gateway supports neighborhood 4B together with 21 associations that in joint support the Van Ness/Market delimitation for District 3.

We trust that you understand the importance of keeping together neighborhoods where we have ties and shared interests. Many of us support rent-controlled communities and people of all walks of life. We share a history and common goals and interests. However, understanding all the challenges you face, we remain flexible and empathetic.

Thank you for your patience and dedication to this challenging, time-consuming task. Your assiduity is much appreciated.

Respectfully,

Madeleine Trembley
President



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kristinr@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:35:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: kristin resnansky <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent kristin resnansky

Email kristinr@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joni](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Joni <jonieisen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are long-time residents of Potrero Hill who value our connection with Dogpatch and the Bayview, and support map 4D..

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Joni Eisen, artist and Chuck Bennett. musician

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christine Hsieh](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach, and Treasure Island together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christine Hsieh <ckhsieh@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach, and Treasure Island together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To esteemed members of the redistricting task force:

I have lived in The East Cut for 14 years, since before it had its own name, and have also worked in The East Cut for the last 6. My neighborhood has seen exponential growth over this time, being one of the few areas in San Francisco to develop long overdue and sorely needed housing.

I write to urge you to keep The East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach, and Treasure Island (collectively, the "Emerging Neighborhoods COI") together, as these neighborhoods are truly communities of interest with shared challenges that are best addressed together, including the following:

- A need for more affordable neighborhood retail services to sustain our dense urban housing.
- A lack of local school options for families, leading to families enduring long daily commutes or moving away entirely when their children reach school-age.
- Ensuring our streets are pedestrian friendly and safe, particularly in light of Increased traffic due to bridge proximity, and events at Chase or Oracle.

Map 4DA divides these communities across multiple districts, severely curtailing our ability to have these concerns heard and advocated for. Furthermore, Map 4DA currently packs Districts 3 and 6 close to or even over 5% deviation of ideal district population. Given the massive growth in the Emerging Neighborhoods COI even in the short time since the Census was taken (with much more in the pipeline), residents in both districts will be grossly underrepresented and underserved, just as District 6 residents have already been over the last decade.

Map 4B, on the other hand, keeps the Emerging Neighborhoods COI together, and will help give voice to the collective interests that we share. I urge the task force to keep our COI together.

I thank you for your time and your thoughtful approach.

Best,
Christine

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: marcus.salvato.quintanilla@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marcus Quintanilla <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marcus Quintanilla

Email

marcus.salvato.quintanilla@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: warrex@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wayne Robins <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Wayne Robins

Email

warrex@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map.

Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: pennypalou@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Penny Palou <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Penny Palou

Email

pennypalou@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and

Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mueyrex@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Muriel Murphy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Muriel Murphy

Email

mueyrex@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process.

I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Caroline Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D. One of the benefits of living in District 10 is the diversity. It would be such a disappointment if that was taken away.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer (27 year resident of Potrero Hill)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: colerobins03@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cole Robins <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Cole Robins

Email

colerobins03@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:27 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:54 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

I shop Bayview to go to restaurants, buy garden supplies, specialized parts for my old home, get my car repaired, buy the cheapest gas in the City and get hard to find food products for our kitchen. We walk our dog at Heron Head Park on Cargo Way and India Basin Park on Hunters Point Bl. Bayview is part of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

John deCastro
2jbdecastro@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: royalmargie@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margery Hombrown <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Margery Hombrown

Email

royalmargie@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Susan Ford](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: mapping tool is useful
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Ford <susan.ford103@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: mapping tool is useful

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please ask Hernandez Gill to play with the mapping tool before making his outlandish and recommendations. His playing with population while in the real meeting is a waste of time for everyone from the task force to anyone watching in the public.

S. Ford

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lee2533@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: May Ng <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

May Ng

Email

lee2533@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sarah@sarahtilton.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Sarah Tilton <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Sarah Tilton

Email

sarah@sarahtilton.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sam Claude](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Comments Sam Claude Carmel
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:34:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sam Claude <samclaudecarmel@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Comments Sam Claude Carmel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

My name is Sam Claude Carmel. I grew up in the Bay Area and have lived in San Francisco for the last four years. I am here to advocate for map 4-D to keep the Tenderloin and SOMA neighborhoods united.

Over the past year and a half of the pandemic, I have built Liminal Space SF, San Francisco's first Trans-centering art gallery, which is located at 16 Sherman St. in the Leather and LGBTQ Cultural District and close by the Transgender Cultural District. Liminal Space is opening April 29th and will be a nexus point of art and activities for both districts.

This proposed redistricting puts in jeopardy the identity and cohesiveness of our districts we as Queer and Trans people are fighting to keep, and is in conflict of the growth and economic development of San Francisco's LGBTQ community.

Please move forward with map 4-D to keep our marginalized communities whole and connected and to protect our culture which is essential to the spirit of San Francisco.

Thank you for your time,

Sam Claude Carmel

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: JoeEvansSmith@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joe Evans <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Joe Evans

Email

JoeEvansSmith@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Donald Comcast](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donald Comcast <dcremers@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Please, please do not separate me from my neighbors, in this sweet little urban community of Potrero and Dogpatch. We have built a strong relationship here, which makes all the things of living in San Francisco bearable. I have lived in the City since 1987, and in my house on Potrero Hill for 25 years. I am seriously done with the divisive and ineffective politics that come from City Hall, and if you proceed to break up our community through this redistricting plan I am leaving. I will abandon being a home-owning tax payer, I will abandon being a senior principle in a business based here in San Francisco, I will abandon spending any money in the sad city.

Walk around this city and see how sad and pathetic so many neighborhoods are, and then think about people like me - a progressive gay man, who is so done with the waste and in-fighting and ineffectiveness of our politics - and then ask yourselves why I would stay when you take my neighborhood of 25 years and break it in two.

You do this and you continue to destroy any reason that anyone would continue to invest in San Francisco.

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura ODonovan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please! Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura ODonovan <lodsf@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:36 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please! Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Laura ODonovan
1421 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, CA 94017

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ryan McGilley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Concerns from Labor + Community
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ryan McGilley <rmcgilley@ufcw5.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting Concerns from Labor + Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

I am a fifth-generation born and raised San Franciscan who grew up in District 7 and now live in District 4. I went to public schools in District 1 and have friends all over San Francisco from District 10 to District 3. I am currently a union organizer who represents many food and commercial workers who live in San Francisco and I am a delegate to the California Democratic Party.

I, as well as many of the workers who live in San Francisco that I represent, are extremely disappointed in your decision on Tuesday morning at 3AM to move forward with map 4B, a map that many people including myself, in the community oppose. It is undemocratic to decide in the middle of the night after hearing community input and voting 8-1 to move forward with map 4D on Saturday, which many people in San Francisco support.

Map 4B splits up cultural districts, divides existing long-time neighborhoods, decimates the Black community's voting power, and destroys communities. Other than Commissioners Chema Hernandez Gil, Jeremy Lee, and Michelle Pierce, you all are voting to gerrymander existing communities and neighborhoods for your own political selfishness. Reverend Townsend said it himself, that this map would allow District 5 to elect Shakira Simley as Supervisor. This should not be a factor when deciding how to split up districts, in fact, what Reverend Townsend said for his reasoning is illegal. Yet, the taskforce (minus the 3) is complicit in allowing that as valid reasoning.

Splitting up neighborhoods is illegal, and that is what you all are doing right now.

Please listen to the community and not just a small privileged fraction of San Francisco and move forward with your original decision on Saturday for Map 4D, again a map that many community members have voiced their support on.

Ryan McGilley

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Diane Thompson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Diane Thompson <dvt@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am resident in district 10. I am asking that you DONOT redistrict I have been in this area for over 60 plus years. This community has been working hard to better things for our families. It is unfair to take our district way. Together we stand for district 10 to stay district 10. PLEASE DONOT rezone 10.

Best regards
Mrs. Thompson

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: smoeleken@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sonja Moelleken <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Sonja Moelleken

Email

smoelleken@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lukejohnsonsf@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luke Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Luke Johnson

Email

lukejohnsonsf@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: djsf1973@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Johnson

Email

djsf1973@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Connor Kelley](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 10 together!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Connor Kelley <ckelley876@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 10 together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: thomaswflint@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Tom Flint <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Tom Flint

Email

thomaswflint@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: isabelrosesf@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Isabel Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Isabel Johnson

Email

isabelrosesf@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lederman@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Lyudmila Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Lyudmila Lederman

Email

llederman@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elizabeth Milos](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: redistricting2022d10@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Please bring back Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Milos <elimilos@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: redistricting2022d10@gmail.com
Subject: Please bring back Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello friends from the Taskforce,

I live in Potrero Hill neighborhood in District 10.

I would urge you to please bring back Map 4D.

My community of interest is Potrero Avenue, 16th street to Bay/Waterfront, Highway 101 Mansell Ave to Bayshore, Geneva Avenue which is Potrero Hill, Bay View Hunters Point and Sunnydale.

There are so many civic organizations, cultural sites and residents who share so many common interests and conditions for which we have joined forces time and time again during election years as well as during our everyday lives that it would be very disconcerting and even disruptive to our shared goals of community improvement to become divided.

From Jackson Park, the NABE to 3rd St corridor spaces, all the way to little village market and Sunnydale rec center.

Elizabeth Milos

1418 Rhode Island St, San Francisco, CA 94107

District 10 resident.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: parack@ccsf.edu; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:33:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patricia Arack <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Patricia Arack

Email

parack@ccsf.edu

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [John Elberling](#)
Subject: FW: Comments on Map 4B and Redistricting Process
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[YBNC Committee Comment Letter.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Elberling <johne@todco.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments on Map 4B and Redistricting Process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find our comment from the Yerba Buena Neighborhood Consortium of this date attached.
Thanks you.

John Elberling
Manager

The Yerba Buena Neighborhood Consortium
c/o 230 Fourth St. San Francisco, CA 94103
A Council of the Yerba Buena Neighborhood's Residents and Community Organizations

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102

April 6, 2022

Dear Committee Members,

Who the hell do you think you are?

Your bizarre redistricting Map 4B adopted at 3AM Tuesday morning rips our SOMA neighborhood asunder, tearing out its core Yerba Buena and Sixth Street communities and dumping them into an entirely different part of the City – a district that is entirely west of Van Ness Avenue today.

How could you have so much disrespect for the last 50 years of our SOMA community family? All the challenges and tribulations we have faced together as one? All the dreams we have worked together so hard to build from one end to the other?

And now you would throw all that into a trash can of history just to play some line-drawing game, as if nothing that has happened before matters on your private monopoly board.

Only two answers to that question come to mind:

- The Committee's Gang of Six is an arrogant cohort of neo-imperialists that think ripping San Francisco communities apart is just 'breaking eggs' to impose their imagined new world order on this City. Or ...
- The Gang of Six is in fact a political cabal very intentionally gerrymandering the Supervisors districts to elect its favored candidates.

In either case, if this mis-begotten Map 4B is finally adopted by the Committee, then our YBNC – which has successfully sponsored several ballot measures in recent years – will work with our City's communities to, together, put a replacement map before City voters at a future election, including a requirement for future voter ratification of new district maps thereafter, to un-do 4B's incalculable harms.

Sincerely,

John Elberling
Manager

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kathykinsf@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Kathryn Kimball <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kathryn Kimball

Email kathykinsf@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: erin.fontaine@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Fontaine <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Erin Fontaine

Email

erin.fontaine@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bb2250@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bernard Bauer <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Bernard Bauer

Email

bb2250@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maro Guevara](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep SoMa and TL Together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maro Guevara <marojguevara@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:47 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep SoMa and TL Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello--

My name is Maro Guevara. I've lived in SoMa for four years and have lived in San Francisco since 2006.

I'm writing to join others in urging you to strongly reconsider the recently proposed map.

Please move forward with Map 4D and please keep the Tenderloin and West and Central SoMa together. Do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, Oasis from the Powerhouse, or Wicked Grounds.

For the power and preservation of our communities-- don't break us up!!

Thank you for your consideration.

Maro G.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: judyminton@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D. Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances. Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Judy Minton
415 Connecticut St
San Francisco CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kvercellino@sbccglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelly Vinther <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kelly Vinther

Email

kvercellino@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: icenturion@msn.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Samuel Cadelinia <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Samuel Cadelinia

Email

icenturion@msn.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Arnie Zuckman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Arnie Zuckman <azuckman4@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:38 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jessicalaurenychong@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jessica Chong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jessica Chong

Email

jessicalaurenychong@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rlee288@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ron Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Ron Lee

Email

rlee288@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Refistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:32:07 AM
Attachments: [Proposed Compromises to Plan 4b to make it into a viable Redistricting Plan which preserves the Character of the current districts.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:28 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Refistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

See shared comments attached.

To be honest I think 4D would have been a better starting map but here are some changes that make 4B viable.

Richard Frisbie

Sent from my iPhone

Compromises required across the board to make Plan 4b work in maintaining the essential character currently of all eleven Supervisorial Districts.

#1. Make Russian Hill whole per the boundaries set by the Russian Hill Neighbors at Van Ness, Pacific, Mason, Columbus, and along North Point with Aquatic Park (Van Ness to Hyde, north of North Point to the Waterfront) together and assigned to District 2, not District 3. (Currently, about 38% of Russian Hill is in District 2.) Placement of Russian Hill in D2 is the essential gateway to any successful Citywide redistricting plan whether it is based on 4b or 4d in that it will preserve the character of District 2 and District 1, and create an additional district District 3) beyond Districts 5 and 9 in which 12,000-15,000 additional residents from District 6 can be assigned.

#2. The Emerging Neighborhoods will have to shed its northernmost neighborhood, the East Cut from Market to the Bay Bridge approach east of 2nd Street to District 3. The East Cut is a good match demographically with District 3 and similar socio-economically with the Golden Gateway Commons and Telegraph Landing.

#3. The Filipino Cultural District which runs from 11th to 2nd Streets, will have to shed its easternmost part from 3rd Street to 2nd Street between Market and the Bay Bridge approach which would also go to D3. There are very few Filipinos living in that strip of SOMA, and our lines should be based where people live now, not where they lived 60 years ago, but are no longer there.

#4. Showplace Square, Potrero Hill and Dog Patch would be kept together and assigned to District 6, but would leave District 10 where they are currently located, and the areas west of Hwy. 101 which are currently in District 10 would be separated from Potrero Hill and transferred to District 9 to balance for population.

#5. The Portola, which has been whole in District 9 since 2012, but in 1995, 72% of the Portola was in District 10, would be transferred to District 10, replacing Potrero Hill, Dog Patch, and Showplace Square. It's an even switch in terms of total population, but the demographics of the Portola are nearly identical to the demographics of District 10 south of Cesar Chavez and Islais Creek, while those neighborhoods to the north of Cesar Chavez and Islais Creek are not similar demographically, socio-economically, or politically with the rest of the current District 10.

#6. District 9 would move west to Dolores Street except between 24th and Cesar Chavez, where the border would remain on Valencia, to make up for the loss of the Portola District. Thus, incorporating what has traditionally been known as the Mission District and most of the American Indian Cultural District. The Hispanic CVAP would increase in District 9 from 24.99% under Plan 4b to 25.75% under this proposal.

#7. District 5 would combine the Tenderloin north of Market Street to the Western Addition east of Masonic, and north to California from Baker to Franklin except from Steiner and Webster between California and Sutter. Which area is neither particularly Black nor Asian. The district is similar in composition to the plurality Black District 4 from 1977-1981, which also combined the Western Addition with most of the Tenderloin and its Black CVAP would be 13.51% versus 9.14% currently for D5. It would not include census blocks south of Market, which are over 10% Black CVAP which would remain in District 6.

#8. District 8 would pick up most of the portion of District 5 east of Arguello and south of Frederick and including the Lower Haight, North of the Panhandle, the Haight, Cole Valley, Parnassus Heights, and the neighborhood south of Kezar Stadium. District 8 is a better fit for these District 5 neighborhoods than Districts 1 and 2, and 7 and the configuration is similar to the old District 5 (1977-1981) which elected Harvey Milk and then Harry Britt as District 5 Supervisor.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ALICE; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map for Dist 7
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: ALICE <acxavier@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:28 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Map for Dist 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My husband and I live in Golden Gate Heights.

I am the co founder of the 16th Avenue Tiled Steps and have volunteered for the past 16+ years in the maintenance of the Tiled Steps and 27 years on the gardens.

I wish to thank the commission for the current draft maps that include the entire Inner Sunset in District 7.

We support map 4B.

We need to keep the Inner Sunset unified.

By law, District 7 needs to expand. The Inner Sunset business customers and non profits are mostly District 7 residents and our merchants should have one supervisor advocate for them and their customers. This should be the District 7 supervisor.

Dont cut Dist 7 residents off from our community of interest.

We walk to the Inner Sunset to eat at restaurants, shop, attend church, hop on Muni and to go to the farmers market.

Please put the Inner sunset into District 7 and include a portion of Golden Gate Park.

Thank you for your work!

Sincerely,
Alice and Chris Xavier
D7 residents

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: thatcherdavis@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thatcher Davis <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Thatcher Davis

Email

thatcherdavis@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Matt Lewis](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matt Lewis <mattklewis@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:25 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Matt

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: paul@wythes.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: paul wythes <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

paul wythes

Email

paul@wythes.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden

Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Samuel Garrett-Pate](#)
Cc: [Tony Hoang](#); [Mike Ai](#)
Subject: FW: SF Redistricting Letter from Equality California Executive Director
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:34 AM
Attachments: [EOCA SF Redistricting Letter - 4.6.22.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Samuel Garrett-Pate <sam@eqca.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Tony Hoang <tony@eqca.org>; Mike Ai <mike@eqca.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting Letter from Equality California Executive Director

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Please find the attached letter from Equality California Executive Director Tony Hoang respectfully urging you to take critical actions to unite and empower San Francisco's LGBTQ+ communities to elect candidates of choice. If you have any questions or concerns or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you,
Sam

Samuel Garrett-Pate | Managing Director of External Affairs
Equality California | Equality California Institute | Silver State Equality
www.eqca.org | www.silverstateequality.org
[@eqca](mailto:sam@eqca.org) | @silverstateeq | @sgarrettpate

:: Pronouns: He/Him/His
:: Cell: (973) 476-3770
:: Email: sam@eqca.org
:: 3701 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 725
Los Angeles, CA 90010



April 6, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
rdtf@sfgov.org
[Submitted electronically]

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for your consideration of the request made by Equality California in our letter dated March 17, 2022, to continue to keep the Castro, Eureka Valley, Corona Heights, Duboce Triangle, Twin Peaks and Buena Vista united with other existing District 8 neighborhoods. Doing this keeps these neighborhoods together and empowers LGBTQ+ San Franciscans in the city's historic LGBTQ+ neighborhoods to continue electing candidates of choice to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Regarding your ongoing discussions about the proposed District 8 boundaries, we strongly urge you to consider the following in your deliberations:

- **Keep the Mission Dolores neighborhood, including the western portion of the Valencia Street corridor, united with other existing District 8 neighborhoods to empower the dense number of LGBTQ+ community members in this neighborhood.**

Regarding your ongoing discussions about the proposed District 6 boundaries, we strongly urge you to consider the following in your deliberations:

- **Keep the Transgender District in the Tenderloin and the Leather LGBTQ Cultural District in SOMA united in one Supervisorial district to empower these communities to elect members of the LGBTQ+ community and allies responsive to their unique challenges and priorities.**

Thank you for your strong consideration of these requests.

Sincerely,

Tony Hoang
Executive Director

Board of Directors

Joseph Gregorich
President

Scott Malzahn
Vice President

Cecilia Cabello
Secretary

Drew Murphy
Treasurer

Jason Chan
Governance Chair

Susan McCabe
PAC Chair

Jason Anderson
Rachel Anderson
Aaron Avery
Carl Baker
Susan Burnside
Andrea Cubitt
Jason Daniels
Sue Dunlap
Janessa Goldbeck
Laurie Hasencamp
Alicia Isaacs
Hon. Leslie Katz
Thomas Li
Mandy Lee
Kate Maeder
John Marciano
C. Scott Miller
Jane Natoli
Alfredo Pedroza
Liliana Perez
Hon. Alex Randolph
Chris Robert
Yale Scott
Kasey Suffrendini
Nancy Sutley
John Tedstrom
David J. Tsai
Darrell L. Tucci
Nick Velasquez
Hon. James G. Williamson

Equality California
3701 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 725
Los Angeles, CA 90010

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: david_oksenberg@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Oksenberg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Oksenberg

Email

david_oksenberg@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hueygt@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grace Huey <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Grace Huey

Email

hueygt@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Gambale](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE:
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Gambale <nowired@sonic.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 6:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting plans for San Francisco are unacceptable and unnecessary. Leave the districts as they currently are.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: gretchenee@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:31:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gretchen Koch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Gretchen Koch

Email

gretchenee@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jay.n.donde@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jay Donde <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jay Donde

Email

jay.n.donde@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: artbyafisher@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrew Fisher <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Andrew Fisher

Email

artbyafisher@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Patricia Ash](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D6 Redistricting
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Patricia Ash <patriash@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:50 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We strongly support keeping South Beach, Rincon Hill and Mission Bay together in the same district. It is very important that the evolving issues surrounding these neighborhoods have a united voice.

Best Regards,

Patricia and Richard Ash
219 Brannan Street

Sent from my iPad
Pat Ash

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of opposition to Map 4-B
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:31 AM
Attachments: [2022.04.06 Revised Letter to the Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Torres <stephen.torres@castrolgbtq.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Tina Aguirre <taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>; Jesse Oliver Sanford <oliver@castrolgbtq.org>
Subject: Letter of opposition to Map 4-B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening Redistricting Task Force,

Please see the attached letter of opposition to Map 4-B.

Thanks,

--

Stephen Torres

Executive Co-Chair

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

San Francisco, California

415.489.8761

pronouns: he, him, his

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94122

April 6, 2022

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
1800 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94110

Dear Task Force Members,

This revised letter is to address the most recent developments at the Redistricting Task Force, specifically the endorsement of preliminary map 4-B. The Castro LGBTQ Cultural District strongly opposes the adoption of Map 4-B and the erasure of communities of interest that would occur if it is adopted. Specifically the neighborhoods of Mission Dolores and Upper Market and the high concentrations of LGBTQ voters that will be excised from District 8 if this map moves forward.

As we had previously indicated in the April 4th meeting of this Task Force, Map 4-D largely keeps the various LGBTQ neighborhoods that have been historically and culturally part of District 8 and the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District whole. However, there are still fragments of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District as well as the Mission Dolores and Upper Market neighborhoods that are cut out of this iteration which we feel should be kept within the boundaries of District 8.

Also as we have stated before, neighborhoods such as the Upper Market and Mission Dolores are culturally and historically cohesive parts of the Castro. Many members of the LGBTQ community that call the Castro home reside in these neighborhoods. Many historic and culturally significant businesses and sites are in this area as well. Of particular note is the current home of the Gay Men's Chorus at 170 Valencia Street which falls within our district footprint. Additional landmarks of queer significance are Mission Dolores Park and what remains of the once-thriving LGBTQ businesses that lined Church Street and the surrounding area, such as the Pilsner Inn.

Accurate representation of the districts was a major reason for leadership reflective of those communities in the 1977 election of supervisors like the late Ella Hill Hutch, Gordon Lau, Roberto Gonzales and Harvey Milk and that representation is all the more imperative now. In a time when decades of progress due to LGBTQ activism are being rolled back, with laws like Florida's "Don't Say Gay" bill having recently been passed to harm and traumatize another generation of queer youth, it is all the more disheartening to face potential erasure

and silencing of voters who have historically been an integral part of the Castro community which preliminary map 4-B could still do. Additionally, some of the highest concentrations of LGBTQ seniors live in these neighborhoods.

As this Task Force well understands, LGBTQ communities are not currently enumerated in the federal census and it is of the utmost importance that this Task Force hear these communities of interest throughout San Francisco as they voice their concerns to this task force. Although 4-D is the most improved of the preliminary maps released by the Task Force it too partially disregards these communities and the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and could likely hamper the Cultural District's ability to advocate and properly represent community interests and preserve important LGBTQ cultural landmarks and spaces if District 8 is still partially fragmented as this map proposes, albeit not as extensively as Map 4-B

The District is grateful for the Task Force having listened to our concerns and asks the Task Force, in the strongest terms possible, to re-consider the endorsement of Map 4-B, and adjust future preliminary maps so that District 8 be redrawn to include queer neighbourhoods such as Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, Buena Vista, Twin Peaks, Upper Market, Mission Dolores, Eureka Valley, Diamond Heights and Noe Valley in their entirety and as indispensable parts of the greater Castro community as well to preserve intact within one District, the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District.

Sincerely,

Stephen Torres, Executive Co-Chair

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

Cc: Corey Fusco, Executive Co-Chair

Jesse Oliver Sanford, Land Use Chair

Tina Valentín Aguirre, District Manager

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: plfayer@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Paulina Fayer <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:47 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Paulina Fayer

Email

plfayer@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kevin@brunnerco.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Brunner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kevin Brunner

Email

kevin@brunnerco.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mshannononeill@me.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shannon O'Neill <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shannon O'Neill

Email

mshannononeill@me.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Shunya Anding](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shunya Anding <ncanding@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I have been a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods for over 30 years. At times I have been active in working for our communities along with my neighbors because we are a community that cares. Please support Map 4D which is a visual of who we are as a community.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Shunya Anding
168 Missouri St

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: parkerssf@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anne Parker <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Anne Parker

Email

parkerssf@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: stevelambe@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steve Lambe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Steve Lambe

Email

stevelambe@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kansas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:30:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kansas <kansas.safeassociation@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Ray O'Connor

Captain, Kansas Street SAFE Neighborhood Association

(415) 577-5412 (Cell)

(415) 553-0123 (SFPD - Non-emergency)

(415) 553-8090 (SFPD - Emergency calls from cell phones)

(415) 206-8063 (Sheriff's Dispatch)

[Kansas Street Blog](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cton73@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Carrington <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mike Carrington

Email

cton73@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bettina Cohen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: B is Best and Balanced
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bettina Cohen <bettina.cohen@sonic.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: B is Best and Balanced

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Many people across the City are encouraged by your 6-3 decision to reconsider a map that more closely resembles Map **4B**, as in **Best and Balanced**.

Because of your most recent decision, I'm optimistic you will abide by the Voting Rights Act and redistribute San Francisco's uneven population equitably, across the entire City.

District 6 has already grown since the 2020 Census. Your 6-3 decision indicates you will NOT start District 6, the fastest-growing district, as the most-populated district, with a deviation of 4.98 greater than the targeted populations, as map 4D did.

Because you know that's illegal. And, it isn't democratic.

The Communities of Interest of Rincon Hill through Mission Bay deserve equal standing with neighborhoods across San Francisco. Balancing should not disproportionately separate our neighborhoods while others -- that oppose growth -- remain undisturbed.

Our Emerging Neighborhoods of Rincon Hill through Mission Bay are diverse and our neighborhoods are providing new housing and community services across ALL income levels. **We are proving economic diversity benefits EVERYONE.**

Task Force, I'm hopeful you won't disenfranchise me and my neighbors in Mission Bay by putting us into a district where our current under-representation will be perpetuated for the next 10 years. It's a violation of the Voting Rights Act to start with wildly unbalanced population figures.

Let's get real. Some of the current D6 population must shift west to accommodate population growth on the eastern waterfront.

I'm hopeful you'll adopt the most equitable redistribution of population possible as we have with **best and most balanced** Map 4B.

Thank you for your work.

Bettina Cohen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jason Hudak](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jason Hudak <jasonehudak@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Jason Hudak. You know what you are trying to do. Do not do this. It will not end well for you or in the long run. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for _ years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Jason Hudak

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: donsteven@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: don papa <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

don papa

Email

donsteven@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: hollycouden@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Holly Couden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Holly Couden

Email

hollycouden@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminnott@outlook.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:13 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Rodney Minott
Potrero Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mmpeterson25@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michelle Peterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michelle Peterson

Email

mmpeterson25@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cade Cannedy](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: New Redistricting Map
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Cade Cannedy <ccannedy@stanford.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern at the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing to publicly condemn the newest maps adopted that will remove more than half of District 5. As you know, D5 had the least population growth of other districts so significantly reshaping it makes very little sense. Additionally, it'll remove several key communities and fracture neighborhoods that live, work, and participate in government together. As a current D5 resident, I'm very happy with our Supervisor Dean Preston and believe these maps will undermine the incredible work he is doing. During the pandemic, his office was instrumental in connecting myself and neighbors to much needed rent relief, and I have no doubt many of us would have been forced out without his work.

Sincerely,

Cade Cannedy
D5 Resident at 869 Grove St.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mhlauer375@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: MICHAEL LAUER <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

MICHAEL LAUER

Email

mhlauer375@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Al Bersch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: don't divide us
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Al Bersch <a.h.bersch@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: don't divide us

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm writing as a constituent of the Tenderloin and SOMA community. I've lived in San Francisco since 2010. I am a queer and trans member of the leather community. I am also a renter. I am writing to urge you to NOT divide our low income trans and leather districts!

Don't carve up our trans and leather districts, which would also split key Black, Latino, Arab, API, and Filipino neighborhoods. Don't further disenfranchise renters in San Francisco. We have made this city what it is. We are the city.

Thank you,

Al Bersch

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christy Shirilla](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Simon Bertrang](#); [Michael Vuong](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting - Mapping
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christy Shirilla <christy@tlcbd.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Simon Bertrang <simon@tlcbd.org>; Michael Vuong <mvuong@kidsclub.org>
Subject: Redistricting - Mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

After watching the task force deliberations on Monday, April 4, and specifically the discussion between Member Matthew Castillon and Member Jeremy Lee, I feel the need to clarify that the Tenderloin Community Benefit District (TLCBD) position on redistricting.

We strongly encourage the redistricting task force to keep the Tenderloin whole and represented in a single supervisorial district. However, we do not endorse any specific map, we are only asking that the task force make its best effort to keep the entire Tenderloin community in one single Supervisorial District.

The boundaries we consider the Tenderloin are Van Ness to the west, Geary to the north, Mason to the east, and Market/McAllister to the south.

We thank you all for your hard work. If you'd like to discuss this further, please feel free to call or email.

Best,
Christy Shirilla

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kfsiwinski@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kf Siwinski <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kf Siwinski

Email

kfsiwinski@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sreisfield@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Stasia Y <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stasia Y

Email

sreisfield@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: miracarrington@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mira Carrington <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mira Carrington

Email

miracarrington@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: petercrawford68@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Peter Crawford

Email

petercrawford68@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dodiescrawford1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:29:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Loulie Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Loulie Crawford

Email

dodiescrawford1@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: akawaja@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:28:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: ANDREW KAWAJA <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 5:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

ANDREW KAWAJA

Email

akawaja@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joel Bean](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:28:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joel Bean <jdbean46@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Joel D. Bean

District 10 Resident for 21 years.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Donovan Lacy](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D10 Unity - Please keep our community Whole!
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:28:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donovan Lacy <donovanlacysf@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10 Unity - Please keep our community Whole!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods, and after listening to hours of overwhelming community support for Map 4D, I was shocked that you decided to reverse course and chose map 4B.

Do not destroy decades of relationships in our community to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities, we work together to create safer streetscapes so that we can safely within our community and everyday we fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Thank you,

Donovan Lacy

Vice President - Dogpatch Neighborhood Association

Co-Chair, Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Associations joint Livable Streets Committee

206-910-9503

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ed Rudolph](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Thursday, April 7, 2022 11:28:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Rudolph <eddierudolph@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:46 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,
Edward Rudolph
398 Texas St.
SF CA 94107

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mawindisch@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:55:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Michelle Hughes <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michelle Hughes

Email

mawindisch@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alyse Manglik](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Alyse Manglik <amtalbot@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

Do not destroy decades of relationships to solve issues in other parts of the City. Restore and maintain the District 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please listen to the people who live in these neighborhoods. Give us voice by allowing us to define our community. Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Alyse Manglik

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matthew@brailer-rhoa.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matthew Rhoa <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Matthew Rhoa

Email

matthew@brailer-rhoa.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [J. Scott Weaver](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: J. Scott Weaver <jscottweaver@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners,

Your recent switch to the 4B map is both puzzling and ill-advised. This shifts the Tenderloin and parts of Central SOMA out of District 6 and into District 5. This shift across districts is unprecedented and uniformly opposed by Tenderloin and SOMA residents. Moving much of the Haight Ashbury into District 8 - which contains neighborhoods on the other side of Twin Peaks (e.g. Noe Valley, the Castro, Corona Heights, Diamond Heights, and Upper Market Duboce triangle) is equally absurd. And putting historically African American Western Addition neighbors into District 2 completely dilutes the vote of those neighbors. this is a bad plan.

Map 4D best approximates where districts should be designated and is most consistent with the current district boundaries. Anything else appears to be intentional gerrymandering.

J. Scott Weaver
Attorney at Law

4104 24th Street, #957
San Francisco, CA 94114

(415) 317-0832

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jimmy Consos](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jimmy Consos <jconsos@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Jimmy Consos. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 14 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Jimmy Consos

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jeremy.kamras@arnoldporter.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jeremy Kamras <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jeremy Kamras

Email

jeremy.kamras@arnoldporter.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: myoldgoat@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Michael Regan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael Regan

Email

myoldgoat@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [jillian gnarling](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: please do not divide SOMA and the TL
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jillian gnarling <jilliangnarling@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: please do not divide SOMA and the TL

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is jillian gnarling I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 10 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many

issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

jillian gnarling

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ewanbarkerplummer@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ewan Barker Plummer <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Ewan Barker Plummer

Email

ewanbarkerplummer@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: brendajewett@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brenda Jewett <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brenda Jewett

Email

brendajewett@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kristina Pappas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting: I support the Community Unity Map or 4D
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kristina Pappas <kristina.pappas@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting: I support the Community Unity Map or 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to express my support above all for the [Community Unity Map](#), which is the least disruptive map that addresses shifts in population, keeps communities of interest together, and is supported by dozens of community organizations.

Of the four that you are choosing among, I support map 4D. It is the least disruptive of your choices.

I don't understand why you don't take advantage of the work these organizations have done. Are you somehow offended that their map might be a better solution even though you have spent time developing your maps? So what? You should be thrilled to take advantage of a good solution, no matter where it comes from.

I also don't understand why you reversed the reversal of your decision at 2:30 am on Tuesday, when no one was able to attend the meeting to give public comment. Your task force lost all credibility with that move and likely ignited a backlash.

I was not paying close attention before, but I am now, and I am pissed. I am not the only one.

Kristina Pappas

--

Kristina Pappas
415.812.3128

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kwokmail@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vivian Kwok <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Vivian Kwok

Email

kwokmail@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: laulemlee@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Laurance Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Laurance Lee

Email laulemlee@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: roodad@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Mahoney <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Mahoney

Email roodad@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kenglish1775@comcast.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kate English <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kate English

Email

kenglish1775@comcast.net

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mcmillan@viselect.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:54:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brad McMillan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brad McMillan

Email

mcmillan@viselect.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: afdgolden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alexandra Golden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Alexandra Golden

Email

afdgolden@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bethabromfield@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Beth Bromfield <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Beth Bromfield

Email

bethabromfield@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following community intact: The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Thank you.

Beth A. Bromfield

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lois Scott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: TENDERLOIN IN D5 HARMS VULNERABLE POPULATION
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lois Scott <loishscott85@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Subject: TENDERLOIN IN D5 HARMS VULNERABLE POPULATION

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DOING TOO MUCH HARM TO VULNERABLE POPULATIONS: TENDERLOIN COIs NOT WESTERN ADDITION COIs

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I communicate again as a former resident of the Tenderloin (first decade in SF, single, employed in Civic Center) and a present resident of Cathedral Hill/Western Addition (three decades, married and now retired City Planner). As I listened late into the night on April 4, I thought I heard agreement that further harm to acknowledged vulnerable voting groups was to be avoided. Surely the unhoused and immigrant populations fall in these categories. I fell asleep at about 1:30 pm. I was shocked to learn the next day that apparently in the primary interest of numbers, but not human beings, that you had again mapped the tenderloin into the same district as the Western Addition and District 5.

You made so much effort to solicit testimony and facilitate multi lingual communication, but seemingly hundreds of comments went unheeded. It was clear the Tenderloin has many special problems and a host of community-based organizations and nearby religious institutions such as Glide Church advocating for residents, and helping empower them to advocate for themselves. Medical support, safety for children, sanitation, drug dealing enforcement and treatment, unhoused people living on the street are dealt with collectively and individually by the Community Based Organizations. **The CBO's and the people they serve in the TL is an important COI.** There is, however, not a direct community of interest between Cathedral Hill (or any of the other existing neighborhoods of District 5), as a neighborhood of middle-income multifamily rental, condo and cooperative housing, with a different demographic and a desire to protect themselves from unhoused persons and drug related activities. The TL is more single individuals, more transient and much lower income, and face greater challenges of employment, and physical and mental health.

Setting district boundaries that put together Western Addition and Japan town with the Tenderloin does not support the vulnerable population of either area and would set us up as competitors for legislative attention within a single supervisorial district. Rather than allies, I would predict enmity would deepen – Cathedral Hill open spaces would be fenced off and more young adults would purchase guns.

Please undo what is a very bad merger with harms to the very populations you

and the redistricting criteria seek to ensure have an equal voice in voting and ultimately to legislated resources.

PS Van Ness remains a very strong historical and geographic dividing line (and fire break) between our downtown Core and medium to high density mixed character housing in the Western Addition and beyond, If you have to adjust lines you could encompass both sides of Van Ness up to California Street. This would help in unifying future planning of transportation and land use for Van Ness Ave. And please look again at the Unity Map and the CSFN Map for well crafted and minimal shifts between districts.

Lois H. Scott, 85 Cleary Ct #11, San Francisco CA 94109

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: patrick.barber@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Patrick Barber <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Patrick Barber

Email

patrick.barber@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Catherine Liddell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Evan Goldin](#); [Rogers Alice](#); [DPH-alec.bash](#)
Subject: RE: D6 Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Liddell <cliddell@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Evan Goldin <evan.goldin@gmail.com>; Rogers Alice <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>; DPH-alec.bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>

Subject: D6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members -

As you continue your work, please keep in mind that District 6 should be placed at the lowest end of the 5% population deviation. Why? Because it will continue to grow as it has since the last redistricting effort.

We don't like having our votes diminished by others who live in districts with smaller populations (e.g., District 1).

Please plan for growth this time around. Thank you.

Katy Liddell
403 Main Street #813
SF 94105

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jpg.golden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jonathan Golden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jonathan Golden

Email jpg.golden@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B

right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: 3976llc@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeffrey Rocca <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeffrey Rocca

Email 3976llc@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bjacksonsf@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill Jackson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Bill Jackson

Email

bjacksonsf@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while few residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: KEEP MAP 4D DO NOT REMOVE POTRERO HILL FROM District 10 Mtg Wednesday April 6, 2022
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott <drcrscott71@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: KEEP MAP 4D DO NOT REMOVE POTRERO HILL FROM District 10 Mtg Wednesday April 6, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: SF Re-Districting Task Force

My Name is Rev. Dr. Carolyn Scott, I am a SF native born and raised. My parents migrated to SF from Texas my Dad first in 42 working at the Navy Shipyard with my Mom following him here. The Shipyard was the engine which brought food to the table and clothes. My Dad later worked with MUNI and remained with the SF City and county. I have lived in District 5 & D-11 I am living in District 10 for now over 30 years. Our Churches Families and loved ones are in these areas .

I appeal to you to Please Keep Map 4D My community of Interest boundaries are:
Potrero Ave.

16th Street to the Bay/waterfront

HWY 101

Mandell Ave To Bayshore

Geneva Ave which is Potrero Hill BayView Hunters Point and Sunnydale.

We have within these boundaries a shared common goal that are specific to our everyday lives. We Shop, live, play Attend Church and gather in common spaces. From Jackson Park to the NABE to 3rd Street corridor spaces, all the way to little village market and Sunnydale Rec. center. Please do not take away our Voting Power nor create gentrification by scattering us.

Thank you...

Rev Dr. Carolyn Scott

SF Faith Based Coord/

OCII Commissioner

Sent from my iPhone Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: serenaperkins@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Serena Perkins <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Serena Perkins

Email

serenaperkins@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: maggieamack@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:18 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maggie Mack <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Maggie Mack

Email

maggiemack@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ryan Helton](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ryan Helton <ryanhelton@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Ryan Helton. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 7 years. As a resident of SF, **I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B**, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and

Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Ryan Helton

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Annie Lee](#)
Cc: [Carly Finkle](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:53:08 PM
Attachments: [RTF-Map4_CAA Public Comment_2022.04.06.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Annie Lee <alee@caasf.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carly Finkle <cfinkle@caasf.org>
Subject: Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Attached please find public comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action on the recent Map 4B vote. Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment at this evening's meeting via email.

Best regards,
Annie Lee

--

Annie Lee, Esq. | 李申申

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Director of Policy

she/her/她

alee@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. [Apply now!](#)



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

April 6, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94012
rdtf@sfgov.org

Re: Public comment regarding Map 4B vote

Dear Redistricting Task Force:


Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) submitted public comment on April 1, 2022 to express the importance of maintaining the voice and representation of working-class communities of color. We specifically shared our concerns regarding Maps 4A, 4B, and 4C, which do not keep the Tenderloin, Central SOMA, Filipino Cultural District, Transgender Cultural District, and Leather District together in one district. Separating these neighborhoods will dilute the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color and give more weight to wealthier neighborhoods along the District 6 waterfront. As indicated in seven hours of public comment on April 2, 2022, many community members agree with CAA that the Tenderloin and SOMA remain united in one district.

Despite vocal community support, the Task Force voted in the early hours of April 5, 2022 in favor of Map 4B, which separates the Tenderloin and Central SOMA from the rest of SOMA. This vote was especially jarring as the Task Force had previously voted on April 2, 2022 to approve Map 4D, which kept the Tenderloin and all of SOMA in District 6.

We are concerned with the Task Force's middle-of-the-night reversal and disregard of overwhelming community input. Decisions that impact our city for the next decade cannot be made under the cover of darkness, when community members (and interpreters) are not present. Furthermore, the Task Force must consider and give due consideration to the voices of historically and systemically excluded communities who are participating in the redistricting process.

We urge the Redistricting Task Force to listen to working-class communities of color and keep the Tenderloin and SOMA unified in one district.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Annie Lee".

Annie Lee
Director of Policy

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mischama13@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jane Ma <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jane Ma

Email

mischama13@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ahbproduction@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ann Brennan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Ann Brennan

Email

ahbproduction@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Greg Gomez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Gomez <sfogreg@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Greg Gomez_. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 18 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Gregory Gomez
sfogreg@aol.com
April 6, 2022

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bethtownsend@anngetty.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Townsend <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Elizabeth Townsend

Email

bethtownsend@anngetty.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kevin@klynch.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Lynch <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kevin Lynch

Email

kevin@kllynch.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dirkprobstel@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

dirk Probstel

Email

dirkprobstel@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:29 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: jennifer yan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent jennifer yan

Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mara Iaconi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep 4D Map!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mara Iaconi <maria.iaconi@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep 4D Map!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowOGUwM2ZlMjMyMzE2MTFiMDU5ZDkwNjY0OTBhNDQ5Njo2Ojg2OTU6MTFiZDcwNDUxMGNkNTgyYmZiMjdhODQxMjM0MDIyODY5Y2FhNDM1NTM2OGNjYjkxODkyODU0YWQwODQ2ZmI2ODp0Ok4>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I urge you to maintain the boundaries as set forth in Map 4D and return Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square to District 10 along with Bayview.

Sincerely,

*Mara Iaconi
Life-long Potrero Hill Resident*

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cameron Huppertz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: San Francisco Redistricting Map Feedback
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:21 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cameron Huppertz <cameronhuppertz@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting Map Feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Cameron Huppertz. I'm from the Sunset community and have lived in San Francisco for 7 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

--

Cameron Huppertz (he/they)

404-285-1482

[LinkedIn](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Adraktas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stephanie Adraktas

Email

stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: erinmotlow@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Motlow <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Erin Motlow

Email

erinmotlow@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Luisa Antonio](#)
Subject: FW: Letter for the SF RDTF for Wed., April 6, 2022 Meeting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:52:07 PM
Attachments: [Ltr RTF 4622.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luisa Antonio <luisa.antonio@sfbec.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter for the SF RDTF for Wed., April 6, 2022 Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll,

Attached is a letter addressing Item #2 Mapping for this evening's meeting.

Thank you for forwarding the attached document to the members of the Task Force.

best,

Luisa M. Antonio

--

Luisa M. Antonio | Executive Director

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)



April 6, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Item #2 Mapping

Dear Task Force Members,

I am extremely disappointed when the Task Force had taken a vote advancing Map 4B early Tuesday morning. Your vote on Tuesday ignored the voice of the low-income communities, communities of color, tenants who expressed their tremendous support for Map 4D.

Although Map 4D needs modifications, it is closer to keeping communities whole and cultural districts intact. Map 4B, on the other hand, scatters the Filipino Community and divides SoMa Pilipinas into 3 different districts - D5, D6 and a sliver in D9. You heard from the community, again and again, that the SoMa and TL COI would like to remain together because of deep historical connection and shared advocacy and yet, their voice was silenced. Priority is given to the wealthier neighborhoods and homeowners whose needs are so much different from the low-income communities of color, immigrants and tenants.

I continue to urge the Task Force to keep SoMa, Tenderloin and SoMa Pilipinas **whole** in District 6.

I stand in solidarity with the API Community and ask the Task Force **not to dilute Chinatown with the incorporation of Russian Hill**. Recent DPH report states that over 70% of Chinatown residents are LEP. Russian Hill does not share the same statistics with the majority of Chinatown residents. Consider moving the area bound by Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Columbus and the Waterfront out of District 3 into District 2.

I recommend adding blocks surrounded by Pine, Scott, Bush, and Steiner back into District 5 and **reincorporating the Chibi Chan Early Childhood Education back into District 5**.

I am advocating expanding District 1 boundaries eastward toward Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods. **Incorporating Seacliff in District 1 will compromise the voice of the API working class families and tenants in the Richmond District.**

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Luisa Antonio", written in a cursive style.

Luisa Antonio
Executive Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cdmack62@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:51:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Cartet Mack <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Cartet Mack

Email

cdmack62@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jim.ketcham@sfrhs.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:51:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jim Ketcham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jim Ketcham

Email

jim.ketcham@sfuhs.org

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: melinda@hendersonsf.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:51:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Mindy Henderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mindy Henderson

Email

melinda@hendersonsf.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [DPH-alec.bash](#)
Subject: FW: Maps 4b/4D Population Deviations Analysis show 4B increases line-drawing capabilities
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:51:43 PM
Attachments: [Population Deviation Analysis - Maps 4B & 4D.xlsx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alec Bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Maps 4b/4D Population Deviations Analysis show 4B increases line-drawing capabilities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear RTF Members,

After sitting through all Monday's meeting with you and watching your line-drawing and final conclusions, I remember Member Costillion's comments that it would be easier to line-draw starting with Map 4B rather than Map 4Bt. I thought it helpful to prepare and transmit the attached Analysis of Population Deviations from the Population Mean in Maps 4B and 4D, which I believe support those comments.

Map 4D starts with an extremely high average 4.51% population deviation for the four easterly Districts 3, 6, 9, 10 with D6 highest at 4.98%. Total deviation is 14,352 people. That provides very little flexibility drawing lines, as was evident Monday night despite the almost 4 hours effort.

Map 4B, on the other hand, starts with the four easterly districts at a balanced total deviation of only 483 people, giving Members great flexibility line-drawing on the east side. While you will still face difficult conversations and hard decisions, the total deviations in the four westerly Districts 1, 2, 4, 7 are about the same in both Maps 4B and 4D, and not all that different in the three middle Districts 5, 8, 11.

I understand that none of this will be easy, and that both analytical skills and listening skills are critical as you go forward. I hope the analysis I've provided will be of interest and help as you proceed tonight (Wednesday April 6th) and in the remaining meetings.

Best wishes,

Alec Bash

Gateway Tenants Association VP-Community Affairs

155 Jackson Street

415.999.6273 c

Analysis of Population Deviations from the 79,545 Population Mean in Maps 4B and 4D

DISTRICTS	EXISTING		MAP 4B		MAP 4D	
	Population	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%	- 2,832	-3.56%	- 1,717	-2.16%
2	76,363	-3.89%	- 2,213	-2.78%	- 2,476	-3.11%
3	72,474	-8.78%	921	1.16%	3,023	3.80%
4	72,784	-8.39%	- 3,547	-4.46%	- 3,547	-4.46%
5	80,667	1.53%	3,515	4.42%	- 3,726	-4.68%
6	103,564	30.35%	- 3,790	-4.76%	3,963	4.98%
7	75,436	-5.05%	1,243	1.56%	- 1,041	-1.31%
8	82,418	3.73%	3,269	4.11%	- 2,893	-3.64%
9	75,829	-4.56%	2,332	2.93%	3,543	4.45%
10	86,323	8.65%	54	0.07%	3,823	4.81%
11	76,287	-3.98%	1,046	1.31%	1,046	1.31%
Total Population	874,993					
MeanDeviation	79,545					
Allowed	No More Than +/- 5%, i.e. 75,568-83,522					

MAP 4B & MAP 4D DEVIATIONS			
	Sum Total	Mean	% of Mean
MAP 4B			
East 3, 6, 9, 10	- 483	-121	99.85%
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 7,349	-1837	97.69%
Mid 5, 8, 11	7,830	2610	103.28%
MAP 4D			
East 3, 6, 9, 10	14,352	3588	104.51%
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 8,781	-2195	97.24%
Mid 5, 8, 11	- 5,573	-1858	97.66%

MAJOR CHANGES FROM US CENSUS 2010
District 6 has added 24,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts
District 10 has added almost 7,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts

MAP 4B & MAP 4D DEVIATION RANGES			
MAP 4B	7,830 to -7,349	15,179	
MAP 4D	14,352 to -8,781	23,133	52.4% higher than in Map 4B

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dunkennedy@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 4:51:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Duncan Kennedy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 3:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Duncan Kennedy

Email

dunkennedy@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [victoire.reynal](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:57:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent victoire reynal

Email victoirereynal@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Laurie Marks](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:55:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Laurie Marks

Email lauriemarks18@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and

Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [Jesse Saekow](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Re: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:53:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good afternoon, my name is Jesse and I am with the Bayanihan Equity Center. I have been working in District 6 for the past 3 years with seniors and adults with disability, and see the needs of the community largely being low-income Filipino seniors.

It is extremely disappointing that after a virtually unanimous 8-1 vote in favor of map 4D there is a complete and sudden reversal to revert back to map 4B after hearing the community pleading with task force members about the importance of keeping the SOMA and TL together. This reversal back to map 4b sends a clear message that our voices are clearly not being heard or prioritized.

Reverting back to map 4B is a step backward which will divide the working class, and low-income communities of color while leaving the wealthy Mission Bay, Rincon Hill, and "East Cut" intact. Map 4B will split up SOMA's Filipino population who largely depend on CBO's and resources within District 6 as a whole. Map 4B erases the Filipino community in District 6, and scatters us while erasing the decades of community building.

It is essential to keep the SOMA and Tenderloin together within the boundaries of D6 who have deeply tied connections, and histories.

You have heard the communities concerns, and we please ask that the Task Force adapts Map 4D which most accurately depicts and represents the needs of the working-class, immigrant, and Filipino communities of District 6.

Thank you

On Fri, Mar 25, 2022 at 4:33 PM REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jesse Saekow <jesse.saekow@sfbec.org>

Sent: Friday, March 25, 2022 2:59 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

"The API Council and its member organizations appreciate the Task Force's changes made in the 2nd iteration of the draft map, specifically the decisions to move Japantown back into D5, OMI back into D11, and Vis Valley back into D10. We urge the Task Force to maintain these decisions in future maps.

We recommend the Task Force make the following two adjustments to more fully ensure that the map is fair and inclusive for API communities across the city:

- 1. SOMA-Tenderloin: Maintain the connection between the Tenderloin and West SOMA COI. The Tenderloin COI is bound by Geary Blvd, Mason Street, Market St, and Van Ness Ave; and the West SOMA COI is bound by Market St, 2nd Street, Bryant St, and 11th St. Western SOMA includes an important Community of Interest (COI); members of the Filipino Community have repeatedly expressed a desire to remain united with the Filipino community in the Tenderloin. Draft map 2B, if adopted, will further divide the Filipino community.*
- 2. D3: Reincorporate the Lower Russian Hill neighborhood bound by Vallejo St, Powell St, Clay St, and Van Ness Ave into the District 3 boundaries of map 2B. Many of the Council's organizations who serve District 3 residents would have their offices re-districted out of the proposed District 3 boundaries. This may affect funding streams that will adversely impact a number of COI's.*

We do not support map 2A; as the Council expressed during Monday's District 7 + 11 meeting, we remain concerned about the Sunnydale COI - bound by east of the John McLaren Park, Mansell St, Visitacion Ave, Hahn St, Sunrise Way, Sawyer, and Calgary St - being reassigned from District 10 to District 11. The Samoan Community Development Center and one of Wu Yee's locations have been moved to D11 as a result of the Sunnydale neighborhood's move to D11.

The Council is also concerned about D3 boundaries in map 2A. These proposed boundaries shift the border further southeast down to I-80. Yet, the populations in the East Cut/Rincon Hill/Russian Hill neighborhoods have different priorities from the immigrant communities residing in Chinatown and North Beach. Including these areas as part of District 3 will diminish Chinatown's ability to advocate for itself in the future.

Thank you. We strongly urge the Task Force to consider making the two adjustments we've described to the current map iteration."

--

Jesse Saekow | Community Service Worker

Pronouns: He, Him, His ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)



Jesse Saekow | Community Service Worker

Pronouns: He, Him, His ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)

From: [Owen Hart](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:50:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Owen Hart

Email olhart120@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced Map that keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 and unites the Richmond and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation, and this is why I am asking the Task Force to deliver a legal, fair, and balanced Map.

To help create a geographically contiguous and compact map, I'm asking you to keep Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights in D2 where we have deep community ties and are closer to the rest of D2, and keep Sea Cliff/North of Lake in D1 as Map 4B has been drawn.

It is undeniable that Sea Cliff residents shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of the Richmond community. Due to their location, they have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, or Pacific Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff (and Presidio Terrace) in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond, and subsequently, has configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and

Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it a community.

By law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support forwarding map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake, Presidio Terrace in The Richmond where they belong, and keeps Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights intact in D2.

From: [Mike Jones](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:50:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Jones

Email mj357@comcast.net

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Mindy Henderson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:49:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mindy Henderson

Email melinda@hendersonsf.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Rodney Minott](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Reinstate Map 4D!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:48:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D. As a long time Potrero Hill resident, my neighbors are outraged that you've dropped Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch from District 10.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAq>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Unlike map 4B, your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I urge you to maintain the boundaries as set forth in Map 4D and return Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square to District 10 along with the Bayview.

Sincerely,
Rodney Minott
Potrero Hill

From: [B Lois Sitter](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:47:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent B Lois Sitter

Email blsitter@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Michael Cerchiai](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:46:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Michael Cerchiai

Email mcerchiai@me.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Dolly Ramos](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Meeting 4/6/2022 (Agenda #2: Mapping)
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:45:40 PM
Attachments: [Letter for Redistricting Task Force Meeting 04.06.2022.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force Members,

I am submitting a letter in regards to today's meeting agenda#2: Mapping.

Regards,

--



Dolly Marie Ramos | Community Service Worker & Data Systems Specialist

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)



April 06, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall, Room 400
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco CA 94102

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

My name is Dolly Ramos and I am with the Bayanihan Equity Center. BEC is a non-profit providing direct services to seniors and adults with disabilities in SF. I have been working in D6 for more than 5 years and have been a resident of the district for almost 3 years now.

I, along with the other community members, have been repeatedly voicing our feedback and concerns on the redistricting process. Countless hours have been spent and it is very disappointing that after hundreds of public comments made through various platforms may it be through letters, calls and even with some community members risking their safety in person, you continue to ignore our input on this process. It is very undemocratic of you to make a decision that is against the public's support at hours when the community that will be gravely affected were already asleep and won't be able to push back. Hundreds of people have been attending the task force meetings advocating on behalf of minorities, marginalized low income residents and workers, our communities of interest and communities of color for equal representation, fair voting rights, social and racial equity yet despite of our echoing sentiments, it seems that our voices are being silenced and overpowered by the rich and powerful whose interests you seemed so inclined of protecting. It seems that the public comments are being held just because it is required to do so but you do not use this time to listen to what the public needs and asks for. You disregarded the communities of which the City Charter requires you, as redistricting task force members, to protect. Your role as a redistricting task force is to uphold

the City Charter and prevent the dilution, disempowerment and division of communities and established neighborhoods, yet you are doing the exact opposite.

I am appalled that after an overwhelming support for map 4D, you reverted your decision and voted for map 4B which divides the Filipino cultural district- SOMA Pilipinas, Japantown and removes vital parts of the tenderloin and transgender district out of D6. Cultural districts were established to unite and strengthen our historically marginalized and deprived community. It is so demoralizing to see D6 on the chopping block in favor of the more affluent neighborhoods. Map 4B erases the Filipino Community as well as other communities of color's identities in D6. I urge you to go back to working on Map 4D. Do not divide Tenderloin, West and Central Soma in favor of privileged neighborhoods. Keep our cultural districts intact and do not rip apart the shared history and culture of our communities.

Sincerely,

Dolly Marie Ramos
Community Service Worker (BEC) & SoMa Resident

From: [Louisa Boudreau](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:44:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Louisa Boudreau

Email LVDMWB@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Alison Fong](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:42:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alison Fong
Email ayfong1@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Jane Mudge](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:42:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jane Mudge

Email j_mudge@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Mimi Milania Main](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting task force meeting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:41:28 PM
Attachments: [Berkeley Districts_2022.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My husband and I formerly hail from SF's district 5 and have been watching the redistricting process with increasing dismay.

We now live in Berkeley and the recent update on city council redistricting was one of several updates I received from my rep. A very small percentage of people were moved, and the redistricting map is very block-y and comprehensible (see attached picture).

These updates should be thoughtful and collaborative, and relatively routine for the vast majority of stakeholders. The process being run in SF has been divisive, seeming to ignore the vast majority of inputs.

I implore the task force to change its approach and make sure the updated districts reflect community feedback.

Thank you,
Mimi Main



From: [DeAnn Work](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Please Keep Map 4B!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:35:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the hard work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. As a resident of Potrero Hill (currently D10), I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. The population growth that has happened in current D6 and D10 (virtually all of the population growth in the City) has meant that keeping districts largely unchanged would perpetuate the underrepresentation of those of us who live in the eastern part of the City. I appreciate the efforts you are making to keep communities intact while still balancing population as required. The interests to be protected are those of San Francisco's voters and communities, not specific political factions or current supervisors, and Map 4B does the best job of this.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. Map 4B keeps Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square together, reflecting the tight connections among these communities, which share a CBD, boosters organization, local newspaper, parks, and commercial districts. It also groups them with Mission Bay which allows the areas that have been the focus of development around USCF and the ballparks, with multiple large-scale multifamily buildings, to collaborate in solving common problems related to traffic, schools and infrastructure, which is vital.
2. The communities in the southeasternmost part of the city are often ignored and have distinct interests with respect to development and traffic concerns. Map 4B allows residents of the Bayview, Vis Valley and Portola the potential to elect a supervisor with their interests and representation at heart. Also as those areas are likely to see a significant increase in population, it makes much more sense to keep them close to or below target population than to keep a D10 that is barely under 5% excess (which will mean it will be over the threshold within a year.)
3. The monolingual API community in Portola has been consistently advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. I support the efforts of the marginalized residents of Portola to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.
4. It also makes perfect sense to keep Lake Street/Seacliff with the Richmond in District 1. As a former resident of the Richmond, we always thought of that area as a part of the Richmond, not a separate community.

Please continue to support a balanced map that keeps communities of interest together and

doesn't prioritize the interests of certain political factions over the interest we all have in having our votes count equally. Thank you for all you are doing!

--

DeAnn Work

deannfwork@gmail.com

Cell: 415.706.1343

From: [Diane Sargent](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Diane Sargent

Email diane.sargent@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Thank you
Diane Sargent

From: [Kyle Lakatos](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:33:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Kyle Lakatos. I'm a medical student at UCSF, a member of the TL/SoMa community, and have lived in San Francisco for 3 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+.

It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St.

Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Kyle L.

From: [Alice Rogers](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Reduced CoI for East Cut/Rincon, South Beach and Mission Bay
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

Thank you for your dedicated time in working to absorb our 30K population gain by massaging district boundaries across the City. Clearly, every district will experience some shifts that will upset the status quo, but you have invested much effort in recognizing the core needs in the Community of Interest maps and in testimony presented to you.

As you return to Map 4B to address again what you could not solve while iterating on Map 4D, **we ask that you treat our core triad of emerging neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach, and Mission Bay—on par with how existing communities are being treated; that is, that our combined neighborhoods not disproportionately be severed as was done in Map 4D.**

In support of the TL/SoMa CoI connection, our secondary CoI map (below) cedes claim to central and western SoMa, a significant reduction in our scope of supporting properties slated for redevelopment. **It is in this reduced core that we really need to finish building community and neighborhood services to support our diverse range neighbors, especially the 30%+ who live in affordable units. We are asking that you ensure that this critical community-building work—both in the TL/SoMa block and in our core neighborhoods—not be either/or, but rather both/and.**

High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods CoI



Thank you for your consideration

Respectfully,
Alice Rogers

.....
Alice Rogers
President, South Beach|Rincon|Mission Bay NA
sbrmbna@gmail.com

SB|R|MB NA
sbrmbna.com

From: [Ann Caltagirone](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:31:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ann Caltagirone

Email anngae@pacbell.net

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Marina Dee](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:30:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Dee

Email jazmin1557@aol.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Katherine Harbin](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:28:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Katherine Harbin

Email kateharbin@source-cap.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Liz Farrell](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:25:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Liz Farrell

Email lizbriggsfarrell@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Bev Lips](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:25:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bev Lips
Email buzbev@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [William Palladino](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Palladino
Email thepalladinocrew@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Cullen Roche](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:23:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cullen Roche

Email cullen.roche1992@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [COLEY POGOSIAN](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:23:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent COLEY POGOSIAN

Email turbo-z@mindspring.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Aislin Palladino](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:23:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Aislin Palladino

Email aislin.palladino@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Ararat Pogosian](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:22:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ararat Pogosian

Email turbo-z@mindspring.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Pat Kelly](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:22:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Pat Kelly

Email patkelly@mindspring.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Mary Roque](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Letter for Mapping (Item #2, Redistricting Task Force Agenda for 4/6/22)
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:22:03 PM
Attachments: [Ltr to SF Redistricting Task Force Apr 6, 2022.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk Carroll,

Attached below is my letter in response to item #2 for tonight's (4/6) SF Redistricting Task Force agenda.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Mary Nichole Roque

--



Mary Roque | Administrative Assistant & Data Collection Specialist

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)

Mary Nichole Roque
179 Paris Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

April 6, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Mapping (Item #2, Redistricting Task Force Agenda for April 6, 2022)

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

Your decision to revert to map 4B on Tuesday, April 5, 2022 is incredibly disappointing. Undoing your decision to move forward with map 4D sends a poor message to the masses that have, time and time again, participated in the redistricting process. It is demoralizing to continuously write and speak to you, and still feel unheard. Nevertheless, we continue to share our strong visions of the future of San Francisco, because it is much ours as it is yours.

From the choices you have made thus far, it is gleamingly apparent who you serve: the wealthy, entitled, and privileged residents of Mission Bay and East SOMA. By moving forward with map 4B, you are uprooting the history of low-income residents, tenants, and communities of color in District 6. By moving forward with map 4B, you are erasing the Filipino community in District 6. By moving forward with map 4B, you are disrespecting the hundreds of speakers that have continuously asked you to keep the Tenderloin and SOMA together.

You must go back to map 4D, and do right by the people of San Francisco. It is your duty to keep the integrity of the redistricting process, and to uphold the values of San Francisco; you can only do so by listening to the community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'MN Roque', written in a cursive, stylized script.

Mary Nichole Roque
District 11 Resident

From: [Cynthia Wang](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:19:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cynthia Wang

Email cyn@wangins.com

I am a resident of District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Alexis Atauri](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Please keep our SOMA and Tenderloin communities together.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:17:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Alexis Atauri. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 9 years. 6 of those years I have had the pleasure of uplifting these very communities with others through the art of Drag. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

These proposed actions by your task force go against the values and principles written on your website :

Redistricting is a once-in-a-decade opportunity to redraw San Francisco's supervisor districts to create more fair, equitable representation in our local government.

I hope you can see that by making this decision you are - at best - failing your mission statement. At worst you are attacking historical, marginalized communities in SF by breaking up their collective power and representation in government. This type of conservative power grab does not serve the people living in SF only those who wish to further cloister and abuse power and people.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and

Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of deprioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you for reading our messages and I urge you to reconsider making a grave injustice to our community.

From: [Justin Whaley](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:16:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

My name is Justin Whaley. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 8 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together. We need it!

Thank you,

Justin Whaley
Writer | Editor
[c] 415.746.0758
[e] jaymwhaley@gmail.com
[w] [LinkedIn](#) | [Portfolio](#)

From: [Jack Edwards](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:13:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jack Edwards

Email jack.edwards@bc.edu

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [L Benninger](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Map 4D please!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

Please maintain the boundaries for District 10 shown on map 4D.

Thank you,
-Lisbeth Benninger

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carolyn Feinstein](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Carolyn Feinstein

Email carolyn@carolynfeinstein.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Braden Edwards](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:12:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Braden Edwards

Email bradenedwards@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Marina Roche](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:08:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email marinaroche@me.com

I am a resident of District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Joshua Yang](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Josh. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for _ years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St

-Josh

From: [Leslie Boin Podell](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:05:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Leslie Boin Podell

Email leslie@podell.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Monique Jenkinson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:05:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Monique. I'm from the Mission, but I work frequently in the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for nearly 30 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Monique Jenkinson

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of [John Tse via Airtable](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Tse, John \(BOS\)](#); [Seth Neill](#)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:04:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Theresa Flandrich

Date Submitted

4/6/2022 11:08am

Mutual Interest

Shared cultural, religious, and historical traditions inexplicably linked for generations & sharing goal, need for truly affordable housing to remain at home and work in our community on The Wharf & in North Beach

Community Location

North Beach from Broadway to Bay Street & Fisherman's Wharf from Bay Street to the Bay

Why Keep Together

Please see attached letter! 150 year tradition of honoring heritage, shared history of working together as a whole community North Beach & Fisherman's Wharf in District 3-

Attachments

Community of Interest: Fisherman's Wharf/North Beach D 3 (4/6/2022)

From: Theresa Flanrich, 40 yr worker/resident, Co-Founder of North Beach Tenants Committee

Late Monday, 4/4/2022, I was alarmed at seeing the Task Force separate, cut out Fisherman's Wharf from North Beach, and move the entire waterfront area into D2. Although I did hear one member say "wait, The Wharf is an integral part of North Beach" the group chose to proceed to re-draw, dismember our community. I realized then that it was imperative that I illustrate why Fisherman's Wharf and North Beach are inseparable, together they make up a whole community.

- North Beach boundaries are Broadway to Bay Street and Fisherman's Wharf boundary is Bay Street to the Bay-contiguous
- Italian Heritage Festival & Parade (since 1868) 154 years the "longest continuous Italian Heritage Parade in the US" begins at the Wharf & ends at Washington Square, in front of Sts Peter & Paul Church
- The Seamen and Fishermen's Memorial Chapel (at the dock of the fishing boats) houses the plaques of family members, North Beach families primarily, who were lost at sea. A place of worship which in Latin
- Madonna del Lume 87 year tradition, honoring the Patroness of fishermen, begins at Sts Peter & Paul Church (Filbert Street) and proceeds down Columbus Ave to the Wharf-a 2 day festival
- The Blessing of the Fleet an integral part of honoring our Fishermen

Cultural, Religious, Linguistically and historically, North Beach & Fisherman's Wharf are inextricably linked in D3. As residents, and workers at the Wharf, at our hotels, restaurants and bars, our mutual goal is to work on getting truly affordable housing built in the little space we have left in our densely built corner of the City. Only together, along with other neighborhood organizations of shared values & interest can we achieve this goal of housing all workers in. Fisherman's Wharf Merchant Associations, North Beach Associations, UNITE 2, and residents.

[View in Airtable](#)

©2022 Airtable

[Visit our help center](#)

From: noreply@airtable.com on behalf of [John Tse via Airtable](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Tse, John \(BOS\)](#); [Seth Neill](#)
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "COI Table" table
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:04:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Here's the latest version of this record from the "COI Table" table:

Name

Jessica Jenkins

Date Submitted

4/6/2022 10:11am

Mutual Interest

Page Slow Street

Community Location

Page St from Stanyan St to Octavia St

Why Keep Together

Page St is one of the most popular and active Slow Streets. Over the past 2 years, residents have celebrated it with block parties, sing-alongs, clean-ups, sidewalk & street gardening, public art, community signage, and bike buses. It provides a safe place for people of all ages and abilities to recreate and travel between Market St and Golden Gate Park. We have formed a Page Slow Street organization that is fiscally sponsored by SF Parks Alliance and

are fundraising to develop permanent community parklets, sponsor events, and advocate for permanent slow street status and increased traffic calming.

Attachments

[View in Airtable](#)

From: [Marianne Hesse](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:03:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marianne Hesse

Email mhesse@pacbell.net

I am a resident of District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Alec Bash](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Maps 4b/4D Population Deviations Analysis show 4B increases line-drawing capabilities
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:01:31 PM
Attachments: [Population Deviation Analysis - Maps 4B & 4D.xlsx](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RTF Members,

Sitting through all Monday's meeting with you, watching your line-drawing and final conclusions, I've prepared the attached Analysis of Population Deviations from the Population Mean in Maps 4B and 4D.

Map 4D starts with an extremely high average 4.51% population deviation for the four easterly Districts 3, 6, 9, 10 with D6 highest at 4.98%. Total deviation is 14,352 people. That gives you very little flexibility drawing lines, as was evident Monday night despite the almost 4 hours effort.

Map 4B, on the other hand, starts with the four easterly districts at a balanced total deviation of 483 people, giving Members great flexibility line-drawing assuming you start on the east side. While you will still face difficult conversations and hard decisions, the total deviations in the four westerly Districts 1, 2, 4, 7 are about the same in both Maps 4B and 4D, and not all that different in the middle Districts 5, 8, 11.

I understand that none of this will be easy, and that both analytical skills and listening skills are critical as you go forward. I hope the analysis I've provided will be of interest and help as you proceed tonight (Thursday 4/7/22) and in the remaining meetings.

Best wishes,
Alec Bash
Gateway Tenants Association VP-Community Affairs
155 Jackson Street
415.999.6273 c

Analysis of Population Deviations from the 79,545 Population Mean in Maps 4B and 4D

DISTRICTS	EXISTING		MAP 4B		MAP 4D	
	Population	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation	Deviation	% Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%	2,832	-3.56%	1,717	-2.16%
2	76,363	-3.89%	2,213	-2.78%	2,476	-3.11%
3	72,474	-8.78%	921	1.16%	3,023	3.80%
4	72,784	-8.39%	3,547	-4.46%	3,547	-4.46%
5	80,667	1.53%	3,515	4.42%	3,726	-4.68%
6	103,564	30.35%	3,790	-4.76%	3,963	4.98%
7	75,436	-5.05%	1,243	1.56%	1,041	-1.31%
8	82,418	3.73%	3,269	4.11%	2,893	-3.64%
9	75,829	-4.56%	2,332	2.93%	3,543	4.45%
10	86,323	8.65%	54	0.07%	3,823	4.81%
11	76,287	-3.98%	1,046	1.31%	1,046	1.31%
Total Population	874,993					
Mean Deviation	79,545					
Allowed	No More Than +/- 5%, i.e. 75,568-83,522					

MAP 4B & MAP 4D DEVIATIONS			
	Sum Total	Mean	% of Mean
MAP 4B			
East 3, 6, 9, 10	- 483	-121	99.85%
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 7,349	-1837	97.69%
Mid 5, 8, 11	7,830	2610	103.28%
MAP 4D			
East 3, 6, 9, 10	14,352	3588	104.51%
West 1, 2, 4, 7	- 8,781	-2195	97.24%
Mid 5, 8, 11	- 5,573	-1858	97.66%

MAJOR CHANGES FROM US CENSUS 2010
District 6 has added 24,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts
District 10 has added almost 7,000 people to be redistributed within the 11 Districts

MAP 4B & MAP 4D DEVIATION RANGES			
MAP 4B	7,830 to -7,349	15,179	
MAP 4D	14,352 to -8,781	23,133	52.4% higher than in Map 4B

From: [RICHARD BEARD](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 2:00:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent RICHARD BEARD

Email RICHARD@RICHARD-BEARD.COM

I am a resident of District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Arthur Hubbard](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:56:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Arthur Hubbard

Email amhsf@att.net

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Mary Benjamin](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:56:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mary Benjamin
Email mary.hall.benjamin@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Constance Stamas](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:54:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Constance Stamas

Email spinnerchick@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 5

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Cora Thornton-Silver](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cora Thornton-Silver

Email cora.thorntonsilver@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Peter Lee](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:48:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Peter Lee

Email peterboothlee@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Thomas Shanahan](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Choose Map 4B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:46:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I wanted to voice my support for Map 4B. It most coherently represents San Francisco's neighborhoods and communities.

Thanks,
Tom

--

[Tom Shanahan](#)

Cornell Tech, M.Eng. in Computer Science, Class of 2020

From: [Thomas Shanahan](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Choose Map 4B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:45:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I wanted to voice my support for Map 4B. It most coherently represents San Francisco's neighborhoods and communities.

Thanks,
Tom

--

Tom Shanahan
Tshanahan24@gmail.com

From: [Emily Martin](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:42:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Emily Martin
Email emilymartin@me.com
I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Cynthia Gissler](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:42:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cynthia Gissler

Email cgissler@testlabs.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Jeremy OBriant](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:40:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeremy OBriant

Email obriant@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 5](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I STRONGLY support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

4. Combining Western Addition, The Tenderloin, and Central SOMA makes sense, I work in the heart of that area and it basically already exists as a cohesive neighborhood... the current lines don't make sense.

5. Cole Valley and Haight Ashbury are the same neighborhood, they sit in the same basin, keeping them together makes sense.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the

democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Anthony Fox](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:37:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Anthony Fox

Email sftonyfox@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Barbara Eisele](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:37:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Barbara Eisele

Email glennie.eisele@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 3

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [JEff King](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:36:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent JEff King

Email jeff@jeffkingandco.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Ken Lips](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:35:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ken Lips
Email klips007@aol.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Linda Mathews](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:34:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Linda Mathews

Email linda.mathews@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Karen Schwartz](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:33:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Karen Schwartz

Email kielygomes@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [David Crane](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Crane

Email davidgcrane@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Casey Larson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:32:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Casey Larson

Email caseyeagan@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Brett Lilienthal](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:31:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Brett Lilienthal

Email brett.lilienthal@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Christina Carroll](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:31:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Christina Carroll

Email christina.carroll@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Anna brockway](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:29:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Anna brockway
Email annabrockway@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Brett Benson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Brett Benson

Email admin@healthevolution.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Ashley Finn](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:27:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ashley Finn

Email ashleylucio@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [James Brown](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:25:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Brown

Email jbrown94127@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Joel Gendler](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: feedback on redistricting plan from a District 1 tenant
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:24:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force:

The recent late night change of heart does not give our city a good look. In fact, it's embarrassing. Reversing course and going against the will of the people at 3am when they are not there to push back on it is neither democratic nor accessible. There are no favorable conclusions to draw about why you decided to reverse course and chose map 4B, which divides Japantown and the Filipino Cultural District and carves the Tenderloin and the Transgender District out of D6.

The Task Force clearly has its own agenda, and is completely disregarding over two months of public comment.

I urge you to go back to working off of map 4D, as you voted to do by an 8-1 vote on Saturday.

I live in District 1. The Task Force said that the 4D redistricting map wasn't workable. Here are reasonable proposals for starting from map 4D to make a workable plan for District 1:

- The Richmond District is a diverse community. Please keep District 1 a united district of working class neighborhoods that empowers low- and middle-income workers, renters, and the Asian American community.
- I know District 1 needs to grow, but you can do this **by expanding into areas that are similar to the current district** – areas that have majority tenants, similar income levels, and diverse populations:

o

Please include more of the Inner Richmond in District 1

- Expand the district line along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue

- Please expand District 1 east into Anza Vista

- Expand east of USF along Turk Boulevard to Divisadero, up Divisadero corridor and west along Geary

- Please keep Lake Street the dividing line between District 1 and District 2

These suggestions keep existing neighborhoods intact including Lone Mountain, Sea Cliff, Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Haight Ashbury, and NoPa.

I do hope you take seriously your obligation to generate a redistricting plan that has the support of the people who live here. Let me remind you:

- Please uphold the City Charter allowance of 5% population deviation
 - The City Charter states that district population variations of up to 5% are allowed to “prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or to keep recognized neighborhoods intact,” and that “redistricting...shall reflect communities of interest.”
- The aim and goal of the Task Force must be to prevent the disempowerment, division, and dilution of communities of interest. The “voting power of minorities,” comes from neighborhoods and communities of interest. The further you push towards a 0% or 1% deviation map, as we saw, the more you disempower the voting power of minorities, split neighborhoods, and divide/dilute communities of

interest.

Best,

Joel Gendler
1804 Cabrillo St, San Francisco, CA 94121

From: [Jeffry Weisman](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:23:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeffry Weisman

Email jweisman@fisherweisman.com

I am a resident of [District 3](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Stephanie Lehman](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:23:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Stephanie Lehman
Email slehman21@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Wil Wright](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Feedback on Proposed District Map 4-B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:19:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, San Francisco Redistricting Task Force-

My name is Wil Wright. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 10 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

-Wil Wright

"I can think of no other edifice constructed by man as altruistic as a lighthouse. They were built only to serve. They weren't built for any other purpose..." -George Bernard Shaw

From: [John Alex Lowell](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Agreement with District Map 4B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:17:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Fellows on SF Redistricting Task Force,

I wholeheartedly agree with the layout of Supervisorial Districts in [Map 4B](#) from March 30th. I did not agree with 4D. Within District 5 I've lived since 1995. In particular, I agree with combining the Tenderloin with that segment of central northern SOMA into District 5! Well done!

Namaste,

John Alex Lowell

Email address: jxlowell.jal@gmail.com

Cell phone: [415-533-7857](tel:415-533-7857)

From: [Jennifer Chou-Green](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:16:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jennifer Chou-Green

Email jchougreen@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Alexander Prestia](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting - URGENT
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:16:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Alex Prestia. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 5 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to

adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Alex Prestia

From: [Holly Peterson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:15:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Holly Peterson

Email holly.peterson@me.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Susie Coliver](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Urging Unity Map 4D!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:12:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I urge you to maintain the boundaries as set forth in Map 4D and return Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square to District 10 along with Bayview.

Sincerely,

Susie Coliver, Founder

ARCH Art Supplies

1490 17th Street. SF.CA 94107

C: 415.225.5299

susie@archsupplies.com

www.archsupplies.com

[@archsupplies.com](#)

From: [Thomas Ostly](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:12:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Thomas Ostly
Email thomasostly@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Erika Kim](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:11:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Erika Kim
Email e_kimch@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Carol Bonnie](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:10:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Carol Bonnie

Email carol@thebonnies.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Michael Rodrigues](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:07:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Michael Rodriguez. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for _ years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Michael

From: [Jen Emerson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:07:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jen Emerson

Email jpjemerson2@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Ari Kanter](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:06:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ari Kanter

Email arikanter@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Phillip Zakhour](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Phillip Zakhour

Email philzakhour@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Curtis Gardner](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:02:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Curtis Gardner

Email cgardner@jspllc.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: SF Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 1:01:19 PM

From: Greg Brundage <brundage@cyprs.com>
Date: Friday, February 18, 2022 at 12:59 PM
To: "rdtf@sfgov.org" <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I reside on the “crooked street” (Lombard) and am President of the Lombard Hill Improvement Association. Our Association, consisting of families living on the Lombard block between Hyde and Leavenworth and on Montclair St., has taken care of the “street” gardens for over 60 years during which time the “crooked street” has become one the main SF tourist attractions. As you all no doubt know, this part of Russian Hill has had its share of challenges over the last ten to fifteen years due to the surge in auto and pedestrian traffic and, of course, street crime. To date, we have managed, with significant D2 Supervisor assistance, to maintain the quality of our community life—it has not been easy. We have worked closely with Mark Farrell and his successor, Catherine Stefani, and their staffs, to control the neighborhood/community chaos created during the summer tourist season and also to significantly improve the gardens. The opening of Francisco Park later this year will, no doubt, compound the chaos. Supervisor Stefani clearly understands our situation and we have a strong relationship of trust and candor. Safety and traffic management has been the main focus of the D2 team over the years, and it is imperative that we retain this special connection.

Thank you for your consideration.
Sincerely,

Greg Brundage

This email may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Any unauthorized review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this email is strictly prohibited. To reply to our email administrator directly, please send an email to admin@cyprs.com.

From: [Grant Ingram](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:59:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Grant Ingram

Email grant.ingram@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Robert Eklund](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:58:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Robert Eklund

Email robb eklund@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Beverly Spector](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:58:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Beverly Spector

Email buzbev@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Robert Chansler](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Robert Chansler

Email rchansler@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Bradley Green](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:56:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bradley Green

Email bradg@5ht.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Kimberly Oksenberg](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:54:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kimberly Oksenberg

Email koksenberg@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 6

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Todd Lamberty](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Todd Lamberty

Email mrlamberty@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [John Hurabiell, Sr.](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:54:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John Hurabiell, Sr.

Email Lotusman@pacbell.net

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

And please tell Preston to try the truth for a change.
What a hack.

From: [Susan McDonough](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:53:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Susan McDonough

Email sdrcrm@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Sydney Samucha](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sydney Samucha

Email cindysf2@aol.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Lauren Harris](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting Map Input
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:51:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

In sharing my opinions surrounding the changes to the provisional maps, I think the one thing that we all need to consider are communities. In making the choice to revert back to Map 4B, I believe you are in no way taking in the opinions and interests of the communities of San Francisco at large. I understand that taking in all community input may be contradictory but think about those who are the most marginalized within the city and how redistricting affects their outcomes and abilities to organize themselves and resources under different Supervisors.

In my current line of work I have been keeping a close eye on the redistricting process. The decision to vote to revert to Map 4B, no doubt by those who are put into this position under the influence of the Mayor and Elections Committee, has been an insult to community organizers and advocacy efforts throughout the process. It is clear to see that the majority of the changes in reverting to 4B would benefit those from affluent neighborhoods with the resources to have their voices heard and opinions weighed more heavily. I think it would be important for the benefit of the community and city to go on the final stages of the redistricting process thinking heavily about what communities will truly feel the brunt of your actions and how that has major potential to change their life outcomes no matter the scale. Community and unity should never be ignored.

Best,
Lauren Harris

From: [Ward Naughton](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:50:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ward Naughton

Email wardcn@aol.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Daniel Weaver](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:49:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Daniel Weaver. I'm from the TL community and have lived in San Francisco for 11 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Daniel Weaver

From: [Coby Anderson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:47:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Coby. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 7 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Coby

From: [Scott Whelan](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:47:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Scott Whelan

Email sfo423@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Carrie Mainelli](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:46:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Carrie Mainelli

Email carrie_mainelli@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Bronwyn Brunner](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:41:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bronwyn Brunner

Email bronwynbrunner@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [William Marks](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:38:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent William Marks

Email williamcmarks@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 8

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Cheryl Thompson](#)
To: [RDC - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RDC_2022.jpg
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:37:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please share.

https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/2022_RDTF_Meeting_Schedule.pdf



CIVIL RIGHTS DAY

**FOR
REDISTRICTING**

**YOUR VOICE
MATTERS
CALL TO ACTION**

DISTRICT 10



City Hall
Friday, April 8th
3:00PM
Room 416

Keep Potrero Hill in D10!
redistricting2022d10@gmail.
com

From: [Tito Soto](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: NO to map 4-B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:34:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Tito Soto. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 3 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that

you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

--

Tito Soto

Event Producer + Drag Performer

787.630.5416

ig: [@tito_so_to](https://www.instagram.com/tito_so_to)

tito-soto.com

From: [Mark Dietrich](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:30:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mark Dietrich

Email markdietrichsf@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Douglas Biederbeck](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:28:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Douglas Biederbeck

Email bixman@mac.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Janice L. Bolaffi](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:27:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep Japan town as while integral unit Do not divide it up
Jan bolaffi
Jan

From: [Leslie Boin Podell](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:27:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Leslie Boin Podell

Email leslie@podell.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Ron Zeff](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ron Zeff

Email ronzeff@carmelpartners.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Kate Usher](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting Soma/TL
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:24:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi I'm Kate, I'm from SoMa and have lived in San Francisco for 11 years. As a resident of SF, and former TL resident, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

From: [Grant Ingram](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:14:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Grant Ingram

Email grant.ingram@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Joseph Barajas](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:07:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Joseph Barajas. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 30 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Joseph Barajas

From: [Greg Harris](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:04:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Greg Harris

Email greggbbk@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: mrsf196@aol.com
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); catherine.stephani@sfgov.org; [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); baytimesnews@gmail.com; suzanne.ford@sfpride.org; carolyn.wysinger@sfpride.org
Subject: URGENT re San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:02:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 6, 2022

Greetings Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

My name is **Gary Virginia**, a resident of the Castro in District 8 since 1987. For reference, I have served as President of San Francisco Pride in 2013-2015; President of PRC (formerly Positive Resource merged with Baker Places, Inc., and the SF AIDS Emergency Fund); Founder of Krewe de Kinque charitable Mardi Gras club (2004-present); Advisory Board Member of the *San Francisco Bay Times*; past candidate for District 8 Supervisor (2000); Mr. San Francisco Leather 1996; past member of the SF Human Rights Commission LGBT Advisory Board and HIV/AIDS Planning Council.

I am VERY concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's **proposed district map 4-B**, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods as well as undermines representation by district for other marginalized minority communities such as the LGBTQ+ community of San Francisco.

The community will not stand for this undemocratic and manipulative effort to disempower minority communities of San Francisco.

It was a hard-fought battle to return to DISTRICT ELECTIONS in 2000 so that **not just the wealthy** could campaign citywide for a chance to get elected. The historic, openly gay **Harvey Milk** could not have been elected Supervisor had not district elections been in effect the third time he ran.

Currently there is a LOW of only ONE LGBTQ+ person on the 11-member board of supervisors. That elected official is **Rafael Mandelman** and he would not even be a resident of District 8 which he represents should the currently proposed map be adopted.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the

southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Gary Virginia

MrSFL96@aol.com; (415) 867-5004 c

cc: SF Board of Supervisors, SF Mayor London Breed, SF Bay Times, San Francisco Pride's IED
Suzanne Ford & President Carolyn Wysinger

From: [Jp Conte](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 12:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jp Conte

Email jpconte@gencap.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Sydney Simpson](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Keep the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:59:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Sydney Simpson. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 10 years.

As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

Please don't split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Sydney Simpson

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Lanier Coles](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:53:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lanier Coles

Email lanier_coles@hotmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Thank you,
Lanier Coles

From: [Amanda Michael](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:52:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Amanda Michael

Email mssamanda1@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [John Maniscalco](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:49:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John Maniscalco

Email jpmanis@pacbell.net

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Billy Brandreth](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:48:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Billy Brandreth

Email wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [Pamela Tau](#)
To: [Laura Goldin](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Re: Mapping
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:42:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks for the update and work on this.

On Wed, Apr 6, 2022 at 11:32 AM Laura Goldin <lgsplace@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I write on behalf of several D1 residents. But for time constraints, there would be many more signatures. The sentiments expressed here have reverberated through San Francisco for the past 24 hours.

On Saturday, April 2, 2022, this Task Force voted overwhelmingly - 8-1 - to move forward with map 4-D. We the public were directed to use that map as the format for future discussion. We came out, we explained the need to keep Communities of Interest together, not to disenfranchise those communities. You heard testimony about keeping districts as intact as possible.

Instead of responding to the community, early in the morning of April 6, 2022 - a mere 60 hours after telling us to work from map 4-D, you backtracked and voted to proceed with Map 4-B.

Map 4-B represents a decimation of communities of interest. It unnecessarily divides up current districts. It is the map supported by the white wealthy people who are working hard to suppress the voices of renters, working class people, minorities - all the communities of interest you are charged with protecting in this redistricting process.

The 3 a.m. vote to go backwards feels like a vote to obey outside influences, not We The People. I would like to feel differently.

It is time to return the process to the People most impacted. Overlay the maps with the Community Unity Map. That map, drafted through the hard work of countless community organizations with diverse interests is the only map that:

- Empowers Communities of Interest
- Keeps districts intact to the greatest extent possible.
- Keeps Communities of Interest together as they've repeatedly asked you to do and as required by law.
- Complies with the dictates of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution and all other legal and constitutional mandates applicable to the redistricting process.

Thank you.

- laura goldin
- Pamela Tau Lee
- Jean B Barish, Esq.
- Jonathan Meade
- Don Misumi
- Hon. Martha Goldin, Judge Retired
- Julie E. Pitta

"Wars are poor chisels for carving out peaceful tomorrows." - Martin Luther King, Jr.



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: [John McInerney III](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:41:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John McInerney III

Email jmcinerney@anasaziproperties.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond

and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [MIGUEL LOPEZ](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: No to sf Map 4B but 4D it's better
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Miguel Lopez __. I'm from the Castro /TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 22 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help

solve many issues.

*Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.
Thank you,*

Sent from my iPhone

Miguel Lopez

From: [Laura Goldin](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Mapping
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I write on behalf of several D1 residents. But for time constraints, there would be many more signatures. The sentiments expressed here have reverberated through San Francisco for the past 24 hours.

On Saturday, April 2, 2022, this Task Force voted overwhelmingly - 8-1 - to move forward with map 4-D. We the public were directed to use that map as the format for future discussion. We came out, we explained the need to keep Communities of Interest together, not to disenfranchise those communities. You heard testimony about keeping districts as intact as possible.

Instead of responding to the community, early in the morning of April 6, 2022 - a mere 60 hours after telling us to work from map 4-D, you backtracked and voted to proceed with Map 4-B.

Map 4-B represents a decimation of communities of interest. It unnecessarily divides up current districts. It is the map supported by the white wealthy people who are working hard to suppress the voices of renters, working class people, minorities - all the communities of interest you are charged with protecting in this redistricting process.

The 3 a.m. vote to go backwards feels like a vote to obey outside influences, not We The People. I would like to feel differently.

It is time to return the process to the People most impacted. Overlay the maps with the Community Unity Map. That map, drafted through the hard work of countless community organizations with diverse interests is the only map that:

- Empowers Communities of Interest
- Keeps districts intact to the greatest extent possible.
- Keeps Communities of Interest together as they've repeatedly asked you to do and as required by law.
- Complies with the dictates of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution and all other legal and constitutional mandates applicable to the redistricting process.

Thank you.

-laura goldin
-Pamela Tau Lee
-Jean B Barish, Esq.
-Jonathan Meade

- Don Misumi
- Hon. Martha Goldin, Judge Retired
- Julie E. Pitta

"Wars are poor chisels for carving out peaceful tomorrows." - Martin Luther King, Jr.



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: trevortraina@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:34:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Traina Trevor <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Traina Trevor

Email

trevortraina@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. Inner Sunset residents and merchants have been advocating for months their desire to be unified with Golden Gate Heights and District 7. The Inner Sunset does not want to be split up into District 4 and/or District 5. The only way to

keep the Inner Sunset whole is to unite it with Golden Gate Heights in District 7 under one supervisor. Neighborhood associations that are more than 100 years old have always considered Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights the same neighborhood. The residents of the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights have expressed throughout the redistricting process their desire to be united. They live, shop, eat in restaurants and attend church together. The only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood and unites it with Golden Gate Heights.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [big bad bobby.](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: big bad bobby. <beechulo@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Robert Cortez. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for over 30 years. As an SF resident, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Robert Cortez
District 6

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Vtamariz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Vtamariz <vtamariz@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:55 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Vincent Tamariz MD. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 13 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Vincent Tamariz MD

**usual disclaimer of mobile device with regard to pithiness, clarity, punctuation and spelling.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: pdefacio@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: pdefacio@aol.com <pdefacio@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Paul Defacio. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Paul Defacio

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jeremy Long](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Tenderloin Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeremy Long <jeremyaaronlong@fastmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Tenderloin Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Jeremy. I'm from the Tenderloin community and have lived in San Francisco for 10 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Jeremy Long

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nick Navarro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nick Navarro <picklesolution@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Nicholas Navarro. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 12 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

--

- Nick (He/They)

www.pseuda.name

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dan Marchese](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Splitting of LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dan Marchese <dan.marchese@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:36 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Splitting of LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Dan Marchese. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 22 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Dan Marchese

18 Norfolk St., San Francisco, CA 94103

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rose Owens](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Don't Break Up Our Communities!!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rose Owens <rosen.owens@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Don't Break Up Our Communities!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Rose Owens. I'm a former resident of the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for ten years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in

being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Rose Owens

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Orion Kellogg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 5
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Orion Kellogg <okellogg@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a 17-year resident of District 5, I'm proud of the work I've done with my incredible neighbors to build a district that represents our shared values and what is important to us. Housing, healthcare, tenant protections, bicycle lanes, and more are just a few of the things that we've rallied to build in our home and I'm asking that the sanctity of these neighborhood and district boundaries be preserved.

The task force which has voted to move forward with a map removing Haight, Lower Haight, NOPA, Cole Valley, and the Inner Sunset from District 5 does not reflect our district needs or wants and is counter to the overwhelming support the community gave to the existing map last Saturday.

Thanks for your time,
Orion Kellogg

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gareth Gooch](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gareth Gooch <galpic@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Gareth Gooch. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 13 years.

As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Gareth Gooch

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mcabbe@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melissa Abbe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Melissa Abbe

Email

mcabbe@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I live ON Lake Street, Inner Richmond, where it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop and recreate in our community, ie Clement and Balboa Streets. We have no affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, or Pacific Heights. And we like it that way! Why would I have different representation from the people across the street from me? One thin sliver of gerrymandering, that's why! When I have concerns about traffic shenanigans on California Street, my Supervisor cannot help me and this affects my representation.

This redistricting process should be about keeping neighborhoods together, not focusing on perceived differences. By including North of Lake to the water in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we who live here treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including North of Lake/Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current

D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

Melissa Abbe
1024 Lake Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Liz Jones](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting concerns
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Liz Jones <comandantejones@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:05 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting concerns

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Elizabeth Jones. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 28 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you, Elizabeth Jones

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gregory Trybula](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gregory Trybula <gjtrybula@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Gregory Trybula. I have lived in San Francisco for 1.5 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest, particularly underrepresented minority communities, and cutting up neighborhoods that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure so dearly. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Gregory Trybula
gtrybula@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: anniew415@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:33:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Annie Wilson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Annie Wilson

Email

anniew415@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map - that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: matthewnlindgren@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: matthewnlindgren@gmail.com <matthewnlindgren@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Matthew Lindgren. I'm from the SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 5 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Matthew

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Drake Manalo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 4D > 4B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Drake Manalo <drakemanalo@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4D > 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Drake Manalo. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 6 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. **As a Filipino American, I implore you for the improvement of our communities in the TL and SOMA to move forward with 4-D.**

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Drake Manalo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [James Graham](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Graham <jamesness78@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is James Graham. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 23 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
James

jamesgrahamdancetheatre.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robert Arnold](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: About Map 4-B redistricting
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Arnold <robert@sfcommunityhealth.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: About Map 4-B redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Hello,

My name is Robert Arnold and I represent hundreds of disenfranchised people from the TL/SoMa community for the past 12 years. I have lived in San Francisco for 16 years and as a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. The only reason to split these districts up is to force a more conservative narrative and to split up our POC and LGBTQ+ residents. If you know anything about San Francisco, you know this will not end well on voting day for the proponents of this Gerrymandering.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Robert C. Arnold, LVN

He/Him/His

San Francisco Community Health Center

Associate Director of HIV Services

726 Polk Street, 4th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94109

www.SFCommunityHealth.org



robert@sfcommunityhealth.org

CELL: 415.516.9612

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information and is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Disclosure of the information contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Notice of Confidentiality: **This communication and any of its attachments is intended for the use of the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential or subject to copyright, the disclosure of which is governed by applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this information is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete originals, copies & printouts of this e-mail. Thank you.**

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DeVane Cheney](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: DeVane Cheney <devanecheney@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:49 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is DeVane Cheney and I live in the SoMa community. I've lived in San Francisco for 4 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa neighborhood.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
DeVane Cheney

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Molly Woodbury](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Molly Woodbury <mollyewoodbury@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:48 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is **Molly Woodbury**. I **work in** the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for **over twelve** years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, LatinX, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Molly

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Steven Thomas](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Steven Thomas <marlo925@me.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Steven Thomas, I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 17 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Steven Thomas

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Chris Knight](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: RE: Highly concerned about SF's rushed redistricting of TL/SOMA
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Knight <chris@divinogroup.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Highly concerned about SF's rushed redistricting of TL/SOMA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Mayor Breed and SF Redistricting Task Force. I am quite concerned about the late-night decision to draw new district maps without public input - per reporting from Tim Redmond at 48 Hills.

My name is Chris Knight, and I'm from the LGBTQ+ community and have lived in San Francisco for 30 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.

Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you, Chris

—

Chris Knight

Co-founder, creative director @ [Divino Group](#)

Art director and publicist @ [Glitter Worthy Store](#)

Street/nightlife photographer @ [Left Coast Scenes](#)

Co-producer and director of [Glitter Bomb TV](#)

[Instagram](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Based in San Francisco, Calif.

415-786-9226 c.

chris@divinogroup.net

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [marcus wilson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: marcus wilson <m.omar.w@icloud.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:40 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marcus Wilson. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for _ years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,
Marcus

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Boston Blake](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Boston Blake <bostonblake@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:40 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Boston Blake. I have lived in San Francisco for 26 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Boston Blake

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Darwin Bell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Darwin Bell <darwinbell@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, My name is Darwin Bell. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 32 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods. A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement. I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues. Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together. Thank you,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tdhouse32@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:32:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Tom House <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:33 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Tom House

Email

tdhouse32@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map - that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Vivian Liang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Ocean Avenue
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vivian Liang <Vivian.Liang@nems.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Ocean Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District, also known as Ocean Avenue Association, in one single district.

Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the Supervisor of the district in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280. Ocean Avenue is a recognized and vital business corridor located between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is currently entirely in District 7 and we work closely with the Supervisor to on issues of community building, merchant support, and marketing of the corridor.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into two separate districts, D7 on the North side and D11 on the South side of the Avenue. We do not want to split our important business corridor in two separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor. Under this proposal, shops across the street from one another would be represented by two different Supervisors.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both sides of Ocean Avenue. **We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district, regardless of which district it is redistricted into, as all businesses share a unified vision for this corridor.** We recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI.

Thank you for considering this appeal for unity on Ocean Avenue.

Vivian Liang
Board of Directors, Ocean Avenue Association

Vivian Liang

Government and Community Affairs Representative

2171 Junipero Serra Blvd
Daly City, CA 94014

Phone: (415) 391-9686 x5936

Email: Vivian.Liang@nems.org

Website: www.nems.org



**NORTH EAST
MEDICAL SERVICES**

東北醫療中心

a california *health* center

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This email and any files transmitted with it are the property of North East Medical Services, are confidential, and intended only for the named recipient(s) above. If it has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender and delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly forbidden. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Todd Albert](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please DO NOT split up D5 and especially cultural districts
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Todd Albert <toddsalbert@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 9:16 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please DO NOT split up D5 and especially cultural districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Todd Albert and I was born and raised in San Francisco. I have lived here over 30 years and am in love with this beautiful city.

I am a middle school educator in SFUSD, teaching Science, and I love working with our city's youth.

I am asking you please to **not** split up D5.

After doing my due diligence, something that I always teach and ask our future leaders (Middle School students) to do, it has become extremely evident and obvious that this is not the right move for San Francisco as a whole.

The proposed redistribution of D5 is clearly a power grab by the conservatives that want to split up the progressives. In the proposed redistribution, V.Brown probably would have become supervisor over Dean Preston.

This city represents equity, equality, and love for all- especially those who need it the most. Under this power grab, with more conservatives in certain neighborhoods, which would lead to different supervisors elected, landlords would be given more control and domination over tenants and such. As a public school teacher, there is no way I can afford a home in SF on my salary, and I am very fearful the proposed redistribution would lead to my demise.

In addition, the cultural and underserved areas of SF would be split up and redistributed, and this is not right.

Finally, the fact that the vote happened at 3am is not right. No one was awake. That is extremely....shady. Nothing should be done at 3am, especially something that would affect tens of thousands of peroples' livelihood.

Please DO NOT split up the districts,
Todd Albert

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: prince@juddlawgroup.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Colleen Prince <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Colleen Prince

Email

prince@juddlawgroup.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.
2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map - that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitation Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wegraysn5@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Grayson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

William Grayson

Email

wegrayson5@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: isabellejhones@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Isabelle Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Isabelle Jones

Email

isabellehjones@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sophiejones@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:33 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sophie Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Sophie Jones

Email

sophiejones@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Whitney Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Whitney Jones

Email

wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Robandlisa@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 11:31:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: lisa katz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

lisa katz

Email

Robandlisa@mindspring.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!
