From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Teresa Palmer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: West Panhandle should stay in D5
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:47:18 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Teresa Palmer <teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2022 12:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: West Panhandle should stay in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Redistricting Task Force:

Although I am encouraged by the adoption of the “healing map”, this map continues to sever my residential area (North of Panhandle, west of Masonic) from District 5.

This severance of West Panhandle from D5 is an injustice to me, my neighbors, and my “community of interest”—the Haight Ashbury and the Western Addition.

It is also unjust to the Richmond district from which I am geographically isolated by Lone Mountain. It is unjust that I would dilute the vote of the Richmond’s communities of interest, or would be ignored as an outlier should my association be forced.

Please do not continue the injustice of shattering the Haight-Ashbury community in D5–it is neither just or necessary.

West Panhandle is part of D5 and should stay in it.

Teresa Palmer M.D.
1845 Hayes St. (between Madonic and Ashbury)
94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Awbrey; Douglas L Comstock
Cc:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: West NOPA Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:47:13 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Awbrey <weegreenmea@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2022 11:58 AM
To: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: West NOPA Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Wow! Such a beautiful, powerful, wonderful letter. Thank you Doug.

On Sun, Apr 17, 2022 at 11:50 AM Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com> wrote:

> Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:
>
> I was too stressed to watch the April 13 meeting, but when I woke up on Thursday morning and my neighbor told me you had rejected the divisive map and gone back to what is now map 7, I felt elated enough to get out of bed because the West Panhandle area where I live is "back in District 5." But we don't know for how long.
>
> After two years of staying at home and feeling isolated due to the pandemic, subjecting us to further isolation by removing us from our community and friends was disheartening. Working together to get things done in our community has been a long tradition in the Western Panhandle.
>
> I am 78 and have lived almost 50 years in the Haight Ashbury. I live between Ashbury and Clayton on Hayes.
>
> I live in the Haight.
>
> I do not live in the Richmond. Please keep our community together and a vital part of District 5.
>
> We are part of the Panhandle and part of the Haight. We share the same shopping areas: Haight Street and Divisadero Street, Fulton/Masonic and Broderick/Fell-Oak. We work with the neighborhood organizations that are part of our neighborhood: North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn., Panhandle Residents Organization/Stanyan Fulton, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council and Haight Ashbury Improvement Assn. We attend community meetings at the Haight Branch Library, just 2 blocks south.
>
> We are separated geographically by Lone Mountain (a steep hill) as well as by Golden Gate Park from District 1. Our homes are similar in architecture to the homes in the Western Edition, not the Richmond. We have been a part of the Panhandle since neighborhoods first organized in the area to fight redevelopment and the Freeway that threatened the entire Panhandle and Golden Gate Park.
>
> In addition—we do not want to dilute the strong minority vote in District 1 as our neighborhood demographics would tend to do.

Please keep the West NOPA in the Haight.

……..

Doug Comstock
415 845-5778

A merry heart doeth good like a medicine.

Proverbs 17:22

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Douglas L Comstock; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: West NOPA Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:47:07 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2022 11:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: West NOPA Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

I was too stressed to watch the April 13 meeting, but when I woke up on Thursday morning and my neighbor told me you had rejected the divisive map and gone back to what is now map 7, I felt elated enough to get out of bed because the West Panhandle area where I live is "back in District 5." But we don't know for how long.

After two years of staying at home and feeling isolated due to the pandemic, subjecting us to further isolation by removing us from our community and friends was disheartening. Working together to get things done in our community has been a long tradition in the Western Panhandle.

I am 78 and have lived almost 50 years in the Haight Ashbury. I live between Ashbury and Clayton on Hayes.

I live in the Haight.

I do not live in the Richmond. Please keep our community together and a vital part of District 5.

We are part of the Panhandle and part of the Haight. We share the same shopping areas: Haight Street and Divisadero Street, Fulton/Masonic and Broderick/Fell-Oak. We work with the neighborhood organizations that are part of our neighborhood: North of Panhandle Neighborhood Assn., Panhandle Residents Organization/Stanyan Fulton, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council and Haight Ashbury Improvement Assn. We attend community meetings at the Haight Branch Library, just 2 blocks south.

We are separated geographically by Lone Mountain (a steep hill) as well as by Golden Gate Park from District 1. Our homes are similar in architecture to the homes in the Western Edition, not the Richmond. We have been a part of the Panhandle since neighborhoods first organized in the area to fight redevelopment and the Freeway that threatened the entire Panhandle and Golden Gate Park.

In addition—we do not want to dilute the strong minority vote in District 1 as our neighborhood demographics would tend to do.

Please keep the West NOPA in the Haight.

……

Doug Comstock
415 845-5778

A merry heart doeth good like a medicine.

Proverbs 17:22

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Simone Manganelli; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Stop protecting incumbent Supervisors
Date: Monday, April 18, 2022 8:46:58 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Simone Manganelli <simsimbean@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2022 4:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Stop protecting incumbent Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the 2020 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of San Francisco and live in District 8.

I support keeping the Tenderloin in District 6 to keep the transgender community whole, and keeping Potrero Hill in District 10, keeping the Black community whole. I also support keeping Seacliff in District 2, which also prevents the dilution of the Chinese community in District 1.

However, the current "healing map", which will be the basis of future mapping meetings, also has one other oddity: it zigs around current Supervisor Mandelman's house, to keep his house in District 8.

As a member of District 8, I oppose this move. Please make the boundary line straight, and ignore Rafael Mandelman's house. There is no basis for taking existing Supervisors' residences into account when drawing district maps, and indeed it makes it look like your task force is trying to protect incumbents. The San Francisco charter states only that the district maps "shall reflect communities of interest" -- surely one current politician's house does not meet that standard.

Please cease protecting incumbents, and make sure the District 8-District 9 continues along Guerrero and San Jose Ave., rather than down Valencia.

Thank you.

-- Simone Manganelli
Resident, District 8

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Simone Manganelli; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	etfr@sfgov.org
Subject:	RE: Stop protecting incumbent Supervisors
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:46:49 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Simone Manganelli <simsimbean@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2022 4:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: etfr@sfgov.org
Subject: Stop protecting incumbent Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the 2020 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of San Francisco and live in District 8.

I support keeping the Tenderloin in District 6 to keep the transgender community whole, and keeping Potrero Hill in District 10, keeping the Black community whole. I also support keeping Seacliff in District 2, which also prevents the dilution of the Chinese community in District 1.

However, the current "healing map", which will be the basis of future mapping meetings, also has one other oddity: it zigs around current Supervisor Mandelman's house, to keep his house in District 8.

As a member of District 8, I oppose this move. Please make the boundary line straight, and ignore Rafael Mandelman's house. There is no basis for taking existing Supervisors' residences into account when drawing district maps, and indeed it makes it look like your task force is trying to protect incumbents. The San Francisco charter states only that the district maps "shall reflect communities of interest" -- surely one current politician's house does not meet that standard.

Please cease protecting incumbents, and make sure the District 8-District 9 continues along Guerrero and San Jose Ave., rather than down Valencia.

Thank you.

-- Simone Manganelli
Resident, District 8

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: James Spinello; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: KEEP SOMA TOGETHER for D6 Supervisor with the voted and approved final map attached - before outside politics and peer-pressure blew things up
Date: Monday, April 18, 2022 8:46:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2022 1:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: KEEP SOMA TOGETHER for D6 Supervisor with the voted and approved final map attached - before outside politics and peer-pressure blew things up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To those involved with Redistricting layout for D6 supervisor,

I for one have lived in SOMA West since 2002 and over the years have seen many changes throughout SOMA as a whole, with new high rises and new developments from our side of SOMA West all the way to the embarcadero. All of these continue to showcase the need to keep SOMA together. We are more like every other area in SOMA and should have the same representation as a whole unified body called SOMA. Times change as we've seen over the past 20 years, and we should continue to keep up with the times. We should keep SOMA together under D6 supervisor in my opinion with the attached voted and approved final map before outside last minute politics and peer-pressure blew things up.

I heard at meetings many people say things about the Filipino Cultural District would be split / however that entire district would fully be in this map.


This reminds me of the same thing that happened during the formation of SWCBD where the political might stood in the wings until the final day and then stepped in with peer-pressure trying to blow-up everything. Don't let the bullies win.

Plus we all want to avoid any upcoming law-suits if you change away from the voted and approved final map beyond your required deadline. You voted on this map and approved this map before last minute politics and peer-pressure blew up everything.

In my opinion, the attached final map, that you voted on and approved before the April 15th deadline keeps SOMA together under one D6 supervisor makes the most sense, especially when you think about all of the upcoming future developments and exciting changes over the next decade to come :)

Thank You for your time

James Spinello
SOMA West Community Benefit District
board President & long time resident of SOMA West & local business owner of STUFF
745 Clementina Street, unit B
San Francisco, CA 94103
james@stuffsf.com
c 415-710-4288


Golden Gate
2
Marina District
Presidio of San Francisco
3
Chinatown
6
1
Richmond District
5
San Francisco
4
Mission District
Sunset District
8
9
Bayview District
7
10
11

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Harry S. Pariser; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: REQUESTING AGAIN: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:46:36 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Harry S. Pariser <editorial@savethemanatee.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2022 12:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: REQUESTING AGAIN: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force:

Both the Inner Sunset and the Haight belong in District 5.

Do not remove us!

Harry S. Pariser
Inner Sunset

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: gayshame@riseup.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Mandelman"s condo carve out?
Date: Monday, April 18, 2022 8:46:27 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: gayshame@riseup.net <gayshame@riseup.net>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 11:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Mandelman's condo carve out?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The current "healing" map rightful follows Guerrero, but then rather mysteriously cuts down 24th to Valencia then up to Chavez and back to Guerrero.

This was obviously done to gerrymander the condo Rafael Mandelman owns on Valencia between 24 and Chavez back into the Castro. (the only two blocks it touches Valencia)

We feel that district maps should not be drawn to support politicians real estate speculation practices and it should stay on Guerrero.

We look forward to you all correcting this oversight.

xoxo
Mary

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Andy Correa; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Question
Date:	Monday, April 18, 2022 8:44:34 AM

I'm forwarding your message to the RTF members on BCC, for response directly to you.

From: Andy Correa <acorrea@theguardsman.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2022 11:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Question

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Andy Correa and I'm a freelance journalist based in San Francisco. I'm currently working on a story for Redistricting supervisor Myrna Melgar district. My main focus is on her district and I'm wondering if you have any specific changes or official information.

Would it be possible to interview you for my article?I have a very tight deadline, so I will be looking forward to your response. Anything will help.

Thanks so much.

Respectfully,

Thank you,
--

Thank you,

Andy Damián - Correa
Staff Writer | Reporter
City College of San Francisco
M: 202-629-6741 O: 415-239-3446
50 Phelan Ave
Box V-67 | Bungalow 615
San Francisco, CA 94112
http://theguardsman.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jeffrey Phillips; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 3 problems
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:08:18 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeffrey Phillips <jphillips.gov@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 5:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3 problems

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Somehow in an effort to make the loudest voices happy, Russian Hill and the Polk corridor has been removed from District 3, and parts of SOMA have taken its place. Please put District 3 back to the way it was in the older map. Thank you.--

— Jeffrey Phillips
2022 Congressional Candidate
CA-11 district, San Francisco
@11thJeff on twitter; https://11thJeff.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Abdi Soltani
Cc:	Commission, Elections (REG); Bernholz, Lucy (REG); Delgadillo, Martha (REG)
Subject:	FW: Letter from ACLU NorCal E.D. to SF Elections Commission
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:08:17 PM
Attachments:	ACLU NorCal E.D. Letter to SF Election Commission 4.15.2022.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Abdi Soltani <asoltani@aclunc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 4:04 PM
To: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Bernholz, Lucy (REG) <lucy.bernholz@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter from ACLU NorCal E.D. to SF Elections Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Bernholz and Elections Commissioners,

Attached please find a letter from me to the Commission on behalf of the ACLU of Northern California. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Abdi Soltani
Executive Director
ACLU of Northern California

ACLU
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
Northern California

April 15, 2022

Sent via email to: elections.commission@sfgov.org; lucy.bernholz@sfgov.org
CC: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org and Martha Delgadillo, martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org

San Francisco Elections Commission
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President Bernholz and Elections Commissioners,

ACLU of Northern California has worked this year to remind local jurisdictions of their obligations under state and federal law in the redistricting process, and to provide technical assistance to community organizations engaging in the process. We encourage all jurisdictions to ensure that underrepresented communities and communities of interest can engage meaningfully in the redistricting process and have equitable representation in the districts once formed. The full scope of our redistricting work, and letters sent by ACLU of Northern California attorneys to cities and counties in California, are documented at www.aclunc.org/campaign/redistricting-community-empowerment.

In the fast-moving context of a difficult and contentious redistricting process, the April 6th letter that was sent to you went further than findings or positions ACLU of Northern California attorneys have reached in San Francisco or in other jurisdictions. While we call for accountability in government processes, it is also important to acknowledge and apologize for the confusion we have caused, which I do here on behalf of the organization. I also want to provide further clarification.

ACLU of Northern California strives to encourage civic engagement and volunteer activism. In San Francisco, the April 6 letter sent to you by a valued ACLU of Northern California San Francisco chapter leader was intended to reinforce that the process in the city be based on communities of interest being heard. The ACLU of Northern California does not suggest that there was any malfeasance, nor do we endorse the removal of Task Force members or the adoption of a specific map. The letter was intended to draw attention to the concerns of our long-term partners in this work in our region – the League of Women Voters and Advancing Justice Asian Law Caucus.

We also understand that there have been significant developments regarding the process and the proposed map in the last week. We continue to urge residents and community organizations to engage in the process, to express their views about the process and the maps, and for elected and appointed officials to carry out their duties in the remaining steps of the redistricting process. Please don't hesitate to reach out to me directly with any further questions.

Yours sincerely,

Abdi Soltani
Executive Director

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Leslie Gray; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:07:12 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Leslie Gray <hypothesize@sonic.net>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 3:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I reject the poorly chosen, gerrymandered redistricting map that the task force tried to foist upon San Franciscans in the middle of the night. I live in district 2 and do not live in district 5, but it looks like an attempt to break up their voting block.

Shameful for SF!

Dr. Leslie Gray

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Pash, Courtney; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Technical Change to the proposed district line around the Stonestown Mall
Date: Friday, April 15, 2022 7:07:08 PM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png
image005.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pash, Courtney <Courtney.Pash@brookfieldpropertiesdevelopment.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 3:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Technical Change to the proposed district line around the Stonestown Mall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:


I am writing on behalf of Brookfield Properties, owner of Stonestown Galleria. We are respectfully requesting a technical adjustment to the redistricting line to keep the Stonestown mall property cohesive in one district—District 7.

The redistricting map released on April 13, 2022 bisects the Stonestown Galleria shopping center and parking lot into two districts (District 4 and District 7, as shown on the attached graphic, with the proposed boundary in light blue, and the Stonestown Galleria parcel outlined in red). The proposed boundary would separate both the parking lot to the west of Buckingham Way and the building north of Buckingham Way and East of 20th Ave from the rest of Stonestown Galleria.

The weekly farmers market, clothing swap and other neighborhood activities frequently attended by District 7 residents occur on the parking lot west of Buckingham Way. Petfood Express, Citibank, and the YMCA Annex, located in the building north of Buckingham Way are operated and run as part of the Stonestown Galleria. As such, it is crucial that the entirety of the Stonestown Parcel remain in District 7 to ensure continuity of operations and programing, and because these events are directly connected with the larger District 7 community. Furthermore, Brookfield has proposed a long-range plan for Stonestown Galleria that would transform it into a unified mixed-use community with new plazas, parks, streets, connections, and homes, and these future uses and residents would be better served by being located in the same district.

Since there are no existing residents on these parcels, we are requesting the Redistricting Task Force make this technical change to the district boundary to keep the Stonestown Galleria shopping center operations in the same district.

Thank you for your consideration.



Courtney Pash

Sr. Director, Development | Mixed-use Development

Brookfield Properties
685 Market Street, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94105
T +1 415.593.4243
Courtney.Pash@brookfieldpropertiesdevelopment.com
brookfieldproperties.com

Brookfield
Properties

This message, including any attachments may contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and permanently delete the original transmission from the sender, including any attachments, without making a copy. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	trey_matkin@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Very disappointed you caved to political gerrymandering of BOS in redistricting
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:07:04 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: trey_matkin@yahoo.com <trey_matkin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 2:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Very disappointed you caved to political gerrymandering of BOS in redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You were supposed to be impartial and now you've caved to political pressure from the political figures that lead these districts - shame on you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: Communication - David Pilpel
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:06:59 PM
Attachments:	Letter to BOS RTF re Redistricting Map DP2 DP 220414.pdf image001.png image002.png Redistricting Map DP2 for BOS RTF DP 220414.pdf

---

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 1:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Communication - David Pilpel

Hi Jon,

Please see attached documents from David Pile to be included as part of the RDTF communications

John Tse
IT Administrator
Board of Supervisors
(415) 554-7726
John.Tse@sfgov.org

Heart of the City

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

Thank you for keeping San Francisco safe from cyberattacks. If you believe a cyber incident or information breach may have occurred within or outside a City office, tell department IT staff or report suspicious activity to the Cyber Defense Team.

David Pilpel
2151 27th Ave
San Francisco CA 94116-1730

Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend and Members
Redistricting Task Force
1 Carlton B Goodlett Pl Ste 244
San Francisco CA 94102-4689

April 14, 2022

Re: My New San Francisco Redistricting Map for 2022 (DP2)

Dear Chair Rev. Townsend and Members,

I prepared the attached proposed map (DP2) following your April 13, 2022 meeting. As I noted in my public comments during that meeting, I have taken elements of my November 29, 2021 map (DP1), modified it to keep the Portola in District 9 and the OMI in District 11, made other conforming changes in Districts 7 and 8, plus other changes based on public comments that I heard or read in the past few months that make sense to me, (such as considering elements of the Community Unity, William Walker, and other maps, including the Task Force's April 9, 2022 Final Draft Map). Further, as I said, I do not expect the Task Force to adopt my map, but I do ask you to seriously consider elements of it and the other single-district, multi-district, and Citywide maps submitted by the public, including the Community Unity Map, as well as the Task Force's April 9, 2022 Final Draft Map, at your next meeting.

I would also suggest that the following major areas have been, are, or might be in controversy at this time (and there may be others as well): Seacliff, Lake St, Golden Gate Park, Jordan Park / Laurel Heights, Anza Vista, Russian Hill, Inner Sunset, Western SoMa, Tenderloin, East Cut, Treasure Island / YBI, Mission Bay, Potrero Hill, Lakeshore Acres, Merced Manor, OMI, Sunnyside, and the Portola.

The general boundaries are (clockwise from the county line, northwest corner, or water's edge):

DP2 District 1: 33rd Ave, El Camino Del Mar, Lake, 28th Ave, Lake, Arguello, Geary, Divisadero, Fulton, Cole, Grove, Shrader, Hayes, Stanyan, JFK, Kezar, MLK, Lincoln

DP2 District 2: Leavenworth, Jefferson, Jones, Broadway, Van Ness, Bush, Divisadero, Sutter, Presidio, Geary, Arguello, Lake, 28th Ave, Lake, El Camino Del Mar, 33rd Ave

DP2 District 3: Bryant, Beale, Harrison, 3rd, Mission, 4th, Stockton, Geary, Van Ness, Broadway, Jones, Jefferson, Leavenworth

DP2 District 4: Lincoln, MLK, 9th, Irving, 17th, Kirkham, 19th, Eucalyptus, Middlefield, Lake Merced

DP2 District 5: Sutter, Divisadero, Bush, Van Ness, Market, Duboce, Castro, 14th, Roosevelt, 17th, Clayton, Twins Peaks Blvd, Clarendon, Johnstone, Medical Center Way, Parnassus, Willard, Carl, Arguello, Irving, 9th, MLK, Kezar, JFK, Stanyan, Hayes, Shrader, Grove, Cole, Fulton, Divisadero, Geary, Presidio

DP2 District 6: TI, YBI, 16th, Terry Francois, Mariposa, Pennsylvania, 17th, Mississippi, 7th, King, Division, 8th, Mission, 9th, Market, Van Ness, Geary, Stockton, 4th, Mission, 3rd, Harrison, Beale, Bryant

DP2 District 7: Lake Merced, Middlefield, Eucalyptus, 19th Ave, Kirkham, 17th Ave, Irving, Arguello, Carl, Willard, Parnassus, Medical Center Way, Johnstone, Clarendon, Twins Peaks Blvd, Portola, O'Shaughnessy, Bosworth, Lyell, I-280, Ocean, Ashton, Holloway, Orizaba, Alemany, I-280

DP2 District 8: 17th, Roosevelt, 14th, Castro, Duboce, Market, Valencia, Mission, I-280, Lyell, Bosworth, O'Shaughnessy, Portola, Twins Peaks Blvd, Clayton

DP2 District 9: Market, 9th, Mission, 8th, Division, Vermont, 17th, US-101, Cesar Chavez, Bayshore, Paul, San Bruno, Dwight, Goettingen, Silver, Mission, Valencia

DP2 District 10: Geneva, La Grande, Mansell, Hamilton, Delta, Harkness, Brussels, Wilde, San Bruno, Paul, Bayshore, Cesar Chavez, US-101, 17th, Vermont, Division, King, 7th, Mississippi, 17th, Pennsylvania, Mariposa, Terry Francois, 16th

DP2 District 11: I-280, Alemany, Orizaba, Holloway, Ashton, Ocean, I-280, Mission, Silver, Goettingen, Dwight, San Bruno, Wilde, Brussels, Harkness, Delta, Hamilton, Mansell, La Grande, Geneva


To summarize (Change is DP2 from 2020 Census and % Deviation is DP2 from Average):

District	2010 Population	2020 Census	DP Ideal	DP2	Change	% Deviation
1	69,703	72,848	81,120	80,710	7,862	1.46%
2	69,544	76,363	77,418	77,076	713	-3.10%
3	70,394	72,474	82,845	82,741	10,267	4.02%
4	72,498	72,784	81,171	81,109	8,325	1.97%
5	74,600	80,667	75,847	75,619	-5,048	-4.94%
6	73,909	103,564	79,783	79,845	-23,719	0.38%
7	72,737	75,436	78,685	79,911	4,475	0.46%
8	75,746	82,418	75,568	75,735	-6,683	-4.79%
9	76,720	75,829	80,281	79,715	3,886	0.21%
10	72,566	86,323	79,857	80,062	-6,261	0.65%
11	76,818	76,287	82,418	82,470	6,183	3.68%
Total	**805,235**	**874,993**	**874,993**	**874,993**	**0**	**0.00%**
Average	**73,203**	**79,545**	**79,545**	**79,545**		

I can briefly describe this proposal at a future Task Force meeting if you would like. Thank you, as always, for considering my comments on this matter and the attached proposed map (DP2).

Sincerely,

/s/
David Pilpel


DP2 District 6
DP2 District 2
DP2 District 1
DP2 District 6
DP2 District 8
DP2 District 4
DP2 District 10
DP2 District 11

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Francesco Favaro; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Supporting the move of NoPa under D2
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:06:50 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Francesco Favaro <arbiter@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 11:40 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Supporting the move of NoPa under D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning.

My wife, daughter and I live in NoPa at the corner of Central and McAllister. I have noticed that on the latest redistircting map, our neighborhood is being considered to move from D5 to D2. I could not be more excited about this change.

Our current supervisor, Dean Preston, is an ideologue who does not have any practical understanding of how society works. His positions on housing, homelessness and crime have all been proven wrong by historical facts. His continuous gaslighting and calling everyone who disagrees with him a "republican" is also reproachable.

Most importantly, he does not have the members of the NoPa community in mind. This is because the NoPa community has very different needs vs. the rest of D5.

During the pandemic I engaged with Mr Preston and his team to solve problems with basic cleanliness and public order we were facing in our neighborhood. His team told me that most funds were going to be deployed to buying properties to host homeless people, leaving little or nothing for the people of NoPa who pay a disproportionate amount of tax in our district (due to the higher value of our properties, relative to the rest of D5).

I have noticed that the neighborhoods under D2 are much cleaner and better managed. It would be great if you could bring the same to NoPa.

I know that the redistricting map hasn't been approved and that discussions will likely continue beyond today's deadline. If there is anything I can do to support the redistricting map that is currently being proposed, please let me know.

Best Regards
Francesco Favaro

MEng, MBA
email: arbiter@gmail.com
+1 415 928 9591

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: susan.mcandrews@pantheon.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Friday, April 15, 2022 7:06:44 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan McAndrews <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2022 7:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Susan McAndrews
Email	susan.mcandrews@pantheon.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support a legal and balanced Map that unites my Richmond neighbors and puts Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about

3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support advancing map 4B right now because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong and it moves us towards our goal of creating a legal and balanced map.

Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ken Craig; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Friday, April 15, 2022 7:06:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ken Craig <kencraigca@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 5:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

While I understand the complexities and political pressures of the task you face, I'm honestly very surprised that you have elected to extend your deliberations beyond your allotted and allowed timeframe, which will likely call the whole process into question and may well result in litigation and decisions you may make not standing anyway. A strange decision from my viewpoint.

I write however, once again, to ask that you NOT split Rincon Hill from South Beach and Mission Bay. As I've stated in each of my email communications on this subject before, these three neighborhoods are the fastest growing and developing of the entire city at the moment and for the foreseeable future. We have vested and intertwined interests in advocating and organizing as a whole, rather than being split between Supervisors and Districts.

I ask therefore that you amend your current map proposal to restore Rincon Hill to District 6.

Sincerely,

Ken Craig

Resident
333 Beale Street

From:	Carroll, John (BOS)
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: 6 Letters Regarding the Redistricting Task Force
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 3:02:01 PM
Attachments:	6 Letters Regarding the Redistricting Task Force.pdf

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 3:01 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: 6 Letters Regarding the Redistricting Task Force

Arthur Khoo
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction Form by clicking http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	andrew sullivan
To:	Commission, Elections (REG)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	Redistricting Task Force Member Removal - OPPOSE
Date:	Thursday, April 7, 2022 2:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners:

I am a resident of the Haight-Ashbury (District 5) and am writing to OPPOSE any attempt to remove any members from the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force.

The volunteer members of this Task Force have been working diligently for close to a year to develop fair maps representing both communities of interest and the real distribution of population in San Francisco, which has changed dramatically on the east side, particularly in D6. In order to ensure that all voters get fair representation and comply with the Fourteenth Amendment and the Voting Rights Act, San Francisco must draw districts of equal size.

Like many of my neighbors, I would strongly prefer that the Haight-Ashbury and North of Panhandle neighborhoods stay in District 5. HOWEVER, I do not support any attempt to remove members from the Task Force, particularly on the grounds of being "recent transplants" to San Francisco as if that has any meaning whatsoever concerning their right to vote or their judgment.

San Francisco and California voters have chosen nonpartisan redistricting commissions precisely to prevent this kind of interference. **Please let the Task Force**

do its job and take no action tomorrow.

And adjourn quickly, so your members and the public can watch Opening Day. Go Giants!

Thank you.

Andrew Sullivan

Haight-Ashbury

From:	Crowder, Dona
To:	Delgadillo, Martha (REG); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	Redistricting task force and Elections Commission- respect the independent process
Date:	Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:39:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep the task force in place as it has been since the start. Please preserve democracy and the integrity of the Elections Commission.

I am Donna Crowder, writing for myself and for members of my neighborhood Clarendon Heights and Twin Peaks Improvement Association. I have lived in San Francisco for 48 years. I have lived in district 7 in the Twin Peaks area for most of this time and have voted consistently in regular and special elections, participating in issues important to the residents of San Francisco in protecting and enhancing citizens ability to engage and vote.
The Elections Commission appointees have done their job together with all the members of the redistricting task force with long hours and significant outreach for public hearings in order to give a chance to everyone who would like to comment and seriously consider all aspects.
The deadline for mapping new district lines is imminent. If you remove the task force members now, they will surely be replaced by those who have not been vetted, have not served and listened to endless hours of testimony by neighbors from all over the city, people who have also spent their time and energy to give valuable input. This last minute intrusion on the previously agreed upon process is not warranted and may be illegal and could possibly be challenged.
District supervisors who may be affected are behind this move and this is contrary to the very purpose of redistricting. The district lines should not be drawn by elected officials and political parties with vested interests in the outcome.
Do not remove the redistricting task force members. They went through an open application process. They were vetted. They have worked independently for months. Let them do their jobs.

Dona Crowder
dona@donacrowder.com
Clarendon Heights TPIA

Wire Fraud is Real. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions. Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Crowder, Dona; Delgadillo, Martha (REG); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	RE: Redistricting task force and Elections Commission- respect the independent process
Date:	Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:04:29 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 8:39 PM
To: Delgadillo, Martha (REG) <martha.delgadillo@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting task force and Elections Commission- respect the independent process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep the task force in place as it has been since the start. Please preserve democracy and the integrity of the Elections Commission.

I am Donna Crowder, writing for myself and for members of my neighborhood Clarendon Heights and Twin Peaks Improvement Association. I have lived in San Francisco for 48 years. I have lived in district 7 in the Twin Peaks area for most of this time and have voted consistently in regular and special elections, participating in issues important to the residents of San Francisco in protecting and enhancing citizens ability to engage and vote.

The Elections Commission appointees have done their job together with all the members of the redistricting task force with long hours and significant outreach for public hearings in order to give a chance to everyone who would like to comment and seriously consider all aspects.

The deadline for mapping new district lines is imminent. If you remove the task force members now, they will surely be replaced by those who have not been vetted, have not served and listened to endless hours of testimony by neighbors from all over the city, people who have also spent their time and energy to give valuable input. This last minute intrusion on the previously agreed upon process is not warranted and may be illegal and could possibly be challenged.

District supervisors who may be affected are behind this move and this is contrary to the very purpose of redistricting. The district lines should not be drawn by elected officials and political parties with vested interests in the outcome.

Do not remove the redistricting task force members. They went through an open application process. They were vetted. They have worked independently for months. Let them do their jobs.

Dona Crowder
dona@donacrowder.com
Clarendon Heights TPIA

Wire Fraud is Real. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions. Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From:	North Market
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Preston, Dean (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	San Francisco Redistricting Committee
Date:	Saturday, April 9, 2022 2:23:48 PM
Attachments:	Map4BCDpdf.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

We are the natives of San Francisco that were here in 1977 for the first district election and then 2000, 2010 and 2020. We were pleased with the district process for the first 3 times. But this current redistricting committee has lost its way .

The only correct map the committee should adopt is 4D as in (dog). These maps are attached since they are the only logical map showing the best way the city has been for the last 22 years.

Sincerely,

Executive Committee of the North of Market

From:	Herald Tenants
To:	Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject:	Redistricting Final Draft Map
Date:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 10:35:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear City Officials

The Herald Unite represents very low-income tenants living at the Herald Hotel in the heart of the Tenderloin. 80% of our tenants are monolingual Putonghua (Mandarin) and Yue (Cantonese) dialects. We are disturbed to see the lack of full translation be offered at the redistricting meetings during the whole duration of each meeting held. When 183,812 individuals are of Chinese descent in San Francisco the city can do better.

Herald Unite feels many Chinese Community members and other Asians including Filipinos have been underrepresented during the process of redistricting. We believe there is a failure of equal access.

Therefore, we can not recognize any outcomes of the redistricting process as being fair during 2022.

We thank you for listening to our concerns.

Sincerely,

Herald Unite

308 Eddy Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

heraldunite@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; North Market
Cc:	Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Preston, Dean (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Breed, Mayor London (MYR)
Subject:	FW: San Francisco Redistricting Committee
Date:	Sunday, April 10, 2022 11:14:27 PM
Attachments:	Map4BCDpdf.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: North Market <northofmarket@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 9, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting Committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

We are the natives of San Francisco that were here in 1977 for the first district election and then 2000, 2010 and 2020. We were pleased with the district process for the first 3 times. But this current redistricting committee has lost its way .

The only correct map the committee should adopt is 4D as in (dog). These maps are attached since they are the only logical map showing the best way the city has been for the last 22 years.

Sincerely,

Executive Committee of the North of Market

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	carole glosenger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: NEW IDEA FOR REDISTRICTING
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:37:43 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carole glosenger <carole.glosenger@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: NEW IDEA FOR REDISTRICTING

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Since the Task Force is blowing the rules and regulations, how about suggesting they create a new district. Don't change the parts of the old map that people are NOT complaining about and create a D12 including the overpopulated parts of District 6 and add Treasure Island. These two areas are going to grow during the next few years as there are residential projects in the pipeline now. Treasure Island is slated for lots of residential construction and as it is now, they are an afterthought as far as representation.

Can we violate some out of date regulation and have 12 supervisorial districts? Or, better yet, go back to "at large" supervisors. That would stop this terrible polarization of communities.

John Carroll, thank you for the hard work you do on this task force. "Thank you for sharing your thoughts with the Task Force. May we have the next caller, please." You must be mumbling that line in your sleep.

Regards,
Carole Glosenger

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Michael Hankin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please resist the anti-democratic mob of gerrymanderers
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:37:35 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Hankin <meh2135@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please resist the anti-democratic mob of gerrymanderers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi redistricting task force,

My family and I live in and love SF, but we're deeply distressed by the news of a mob (encouraged by some of our supervisors) berating the legitimately appointed redistricting task force into withdrawing support for a reasonable new map. It is wildly undemocratic, and unfair.

It may seem that they are the majority, but in reality their violence and aggression has just scared off most reasonable SF residents from voicing their opinions in person. PLEASE do not give in to them and allow Preston, Peskin, and Ronin to gerrymander safe districts for themselves to continue screwing over the majority of the city. They've created this problem for themselves by preventing any new housing development, and now they're trying to gerrymander their way out of it.

Thanks,
Mike Hankin

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: L S; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Are you reading ALL emails from public?
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:37:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: L S <shinynow3@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 12:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Are you reading ALL emails from public?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is not clear to us if you are actually reading all of the submitted materials sent by email from the public.

Please respond and tell us: are reading all of the emails sent to the rdtf or not?

All submissions should be included as public input. More time should have been provided for public input before the deadline to ensure the rdtf could read everything.

Please let me know if all emailed letters, etc have been read.

Sincerely,

Landra Tankha
520 Buchanan St.
San Francisco, CA 94102

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Elain Sprague Stuebe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Potrero Hill is D10
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:37:13 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elain Sprague Stuebe <elainss@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 11:16 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill is D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,

Potrero Hill, which encompasses Dogpatch, along with Bayview and Hunters Point were all built and developed along the waterfront from this city's very beginning. Boatbuilding, ironworks, ropeworks, canneries, naval shipyards - to this day of chandleries, drydocks, marinas, startups, cafes, shops, dozens of other commercial industries attracting people from across the globe - all of the waterfront of the south east sector - is deeply and historically connected to these diverse neighborhoods.

The latest map cordones off Potrero not only from the waterfront and her sister neighborhoods, but keeps it isolated from the proposed inclusion of D9, which is bordered by Potrero Ave, 101, 280, Cesar Chavesz and Bayshore. Literally cut off them, with which Potrero doesn't identify anyway.

If there are blocks to consider encroaching into, think Showplace Square up to 16th on the northside, up to San Bruno on the westside, go down to 20th St and Indiana on the east side (because 20th is our walking bridge). With all the condos and apartments along those perimeters you'll have your added people to other districts without breaking up the identity, heart and soul of Potrero Hill.

Your vigilant, long-residing neighbor,
Elain

--
Elain Sprague Stuebe
415.412.5211 mobile

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	judy hamaguchi; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Mapping
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:36:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judy hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Judy Hamaguchi <judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>
Subject: Mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Members of the Task Force,

I listened in to the meeting for seven hours. Clearly, you have all put in much effort into an extremely difficult issue. Thank you for that. I feel compassion for each of you as you battled for our City, and for it's citizens. The hardest battle, it seems, was the battle for integrity, and to reconcile your decisions with your souls.

Stories and history plays an important part in understanding what communities need. I encourage you all to do more study on how this City was built. For Japanese Americans the story is concentration camps, "No Japs Allowed" signs, forced moves from one area to another until the 1906 Earthquake left a blighted section that was deemed just right for us, redevelopment, and further gentrification with the creation of a tourist destination in place of a neighborhood that was actually thriving. The City built the "projects" to house displaced people and took away our neighboring Black community, divided us with the Geary thoroughfare. If it becomes clear, what our communities mean to us, then mapping could be done in a way that respects and values the colors and cultures of our fellow San Franciscans.

Unfortunately, the best intentions devolved, and created a magnifying glass, exposing the ugly parts of politics, racism and ignorance.

I personally witnessed some courage, but not enough, and perhaps too late.

I wish you all the best in the future.

I WANT TO EXPRESS MY GREATEST THANKS TO YOUR FABULOUS MR. CLERK. HE WAS BRILLIANT, KIND, SOOTHING, AND WAS THE BEST THING EVER.

Sincerely,
Judy Hamaguchi

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Donna Soohoo; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Latest SF redistricting mapping
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:57:15 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donna Soohoo <donnasoohoo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Latest SF redistricting mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Wow. I had been supportive of the Task Force mapping process, but am extremely disappointed with how the final outcome was achieved. I understand some Task Force members walked out before the map was completed.

The final map doesn't seem to take into account any community input from the last open meeting.
It feels like a bait and switch. Judging from the press around the decision, it certainly appears there was intervention for political purposes that is just so wrong. I can't imagine any way the city can sugar coat or make this look like it was a fair process in the end.

Really unfortunate and doesn't bode well for the Mayor.

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Donna Soohoo <donnasoohoo@yahoo.com>
To: "rdtf@sfgov.org" <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>; Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>; Catherine Liddell <clliddell@me.com>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022, 08:44:20 PM PDT
Subject: Latest SF redistricting mapping

Hello,

I have been a resident of South Beach in District 6 since 2003.

I'm writing again to thank the members of the Task Force for their efforts in giving our new neighborhoods full and equitable consideration (especially recognizing the work done to fully support and incorporate our 30%+ of neighbors in affordable housing).

I am leaning towards the latest Map 5B, which keeps the Tenderloin with SOMA and Mission Bay. But with this map I still think you can iterate to increase population in D1, D2, D4, D5, and D8 while keeping certain Cultural and Community Benefit Districts intact.

With Map 5B tho, D6 is already over the average population goal you were seeking. I know this is a thankless job, and that you won't be able to make everyone happy. Given that this final map will have impacts over the next decade, we do need to make sure that our district has equal representation.

Thanks for your efforts,

Donna Soohoo

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: luilui@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:56:55 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Lui <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Catherine Lui

Email	luilui@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: gcollora10@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:56:44 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grace Collora <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 8:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Grace Collora

Email	gcollora10@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tliammccarthy@comcast.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:56:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thomas McCarthy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 8:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Thomas McCarthy

Email	tliammccarthy@comcast.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map. The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter. In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections. Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G. We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: marisa.e.mccarthy25@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:56:27 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marisa McCarthy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 8:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marisa McCarthy

Email	marisa.e.mccarthy25@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Natalie Jayo; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:55:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Natalie Jayo <nljayo@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Natalie Jayo, minority and SF native

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ajay Jayo; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:55:36 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Ajay Jayo <ajay14laiegrl@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Anna Jayo sf native

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: alexandra.brownc@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:55:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alexandra Brown <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Alexandra Brown
Email	alexandra.brownc@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; William Walker
Subject:	FW: William Walker Public Comment please display
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:55:20 PM
Attachments:	Walker Map 13APR2022 7pm.pptx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:14 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: William Walker Public Comment please display


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My slide deck for April 13, 2022 comments.

Walker Map Tour

William Walker

April 13, 2022



District 1 Deviation: -1.08

Bounds

North : California St

South: Fulton/Golden Gate Park

West: Great Highway

East: Presidio/Masonic-Anza-Arguell

RDTF Deviation: -4.28

District 2 Deviation: +1.17


Bounds

North :San Francisco Shoreline

South: California, Broadway, 16th St

West: Ocean Beach

East: San Francisco Piers, Bay



RDTF Deviation: -4.67

District 3 Deviation: +2.22


Bounds

North : Broadway, Polk, Chestnut

South: California, Van Ness Geary

West: Lyon

East: Sansome



RDTF Deviation:
-0.16

District 4 Deviation: 1.09


<u>Bounds</u>

North: Golden Gate Park, Fulton

South: Sloat or Stern Grove

West: Ocean Beach

East: 19th Ave, Kirkham, 7th, Irving



RDTF Deviation: -4.46

District 5: Deviation +0.06


Bounds

North : Fulton or California

South: Parnassus or Haight

West: Masonic or Stanyan/GG Pk

East: Van Ness Ave



RDTF Deviation: +4.9

District 6 Deviation: -0.91


Bounds

North : Geary and Market, 2nd St

South: 14th St or 16th St

West: Van Ness Ave or Valencia

East: I-280 Ext, King St, 2nd St



RDTF Deviation: +1.35

District 7 Deviation -2.19


Bounds

North: Sloat, 19th, Judah or Irving

South: SF/SM County Line, Ocean or Monterey

West: Ocean Beach

East: Twin Peaks, Diamond Heights, Glen Park boundaries; Interstate 280



District 8 Deviation: -4.52


Bounds

North : 16th St

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Potrero Ave, US 101, Mansell, McLaren Park

East: San Francisco Bay



RDTF Deviation: +4.65

District 9 Deviation: -0.64

Bounds


North : 16th St

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Potrero Ave, US 101, Mansell, McLaren Park

East: San Francisco Bay

RDTF Deviation: +1.52



District 10 Deviation: +2.81


Bounds

North : 16th St

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Potrero Ave, US 101, Mansell, McLaren Park

East: San Francisco Bay



RDTF Deviation: +0.2

District 11 Deviation TBD likely +1.31

Bounds


North : Holloway, Ocean Ave (incl CCSF), I-280

South: SF/San Mateo County Line

West: Ashton, Junipero Serra (excluding Merced Triangle)

East: San Francisco Bay

RDTF Deviation: +1.31


2
3
2
1
5
6
4
8
9
10
7

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bwalker@walkerwarner.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:55:00 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brooks Walker <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Brooks Walker
Email	bwalker@walkerwarner.com
I am a resident of	District 3
	I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map. As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact: 1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community. 2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness. 3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	PATRICIA JAYO; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: PATRICIA JAYO <pjjayo@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Juan Jayo. 530Utah St

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: leungmangregory@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:40 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gregory Leung <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 5:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Gregory Leung

Email	leungmangregory@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	T Flandrich; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Current "Final" map from April 9/10th with "technical edits" on 4/13/2022
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:32 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: T Flandrich <tflandrich@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 5:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Current "Final" map from April 9/10th with "technical edits" on 4/13/2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

As a San Franciscan who has attended D3 meetings with the task force and remotely attended all map drawing iterations to watch and give public comment, I believe this current map is indeed splitting communities, rather than keeping communities together.

I support a vote to NOT approve the current map and to continue working on a map that brings deviations more in line and does not split communities that have worked for decades to get the services they need in their neighborhoods, in their communities. I have heard hours upon hours of public testimony from those who will be harmed by the lines you have drawn, and I am grateful that they made the time to voice their concerns. I also know that many elders and family members have not called in, have not been able to attend the meetings for a variety of reasons, so you have not heard from many of the marginalized San Franciscans. Hopefully, for those who were able to attend, they were also speaking for those in absence.

Vote to disapprove the current map -I will not attempt to interpret the law, but a penalty for not having a "final map" by April 15th has little value when you compare it to the price of causing great harm to many San Franciscans for the next 10 yrs.
Vote no to the current map and please make a motion to continue working on creating a better map.

Thank you for listening, for your hours of discussion in this messy, but necessary, democratic process.

Most sincerely,
Theresa Flandrich

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Marion Gillen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Bayview Districts
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:24 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Marion Gillen <mariongillen@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Bayview Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I can't tell you how disheartened I am by this redistricting plans. They have been misguided in every division suggested, and there have been so many of them, it has been hard to understand the reasoning behind any of them. None of them make sense. It is antithesis of inclusiveness and acknowledgement of community input. The recent decision to separate BayView from Potrero and Dogpatch is the most egregious of all. Countless numbers of representatives from BayView have stated they wish to stay united with these other two neighborhoods.

At the beginning of this process, our neighborhood started simple messages: Keep our adjacent neighborhoods together. We have grown as a unit out of a common understanding. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that involves us all. We depend on one another in our communities of interest.

It's communities of interest that build a district. We know that there is a map that can keep us together that makes sense geographically, culturally, and historically,

Sincerely,

Marion Gillen
Resident of Potrero Hill since 1979, first as a renter and then as a homeowner

Sent from my iPad

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:13 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email	mikeapaul@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map. The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter. In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections. Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G. We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:54:05 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Linda.mathews@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:57 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Mathews <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Linda Mathews

Email	Linda.mathews@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

This is crazy - I didn't realize I lived in such a corrupt city. It is time to approve the final map. It's legally the right thing to do.

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors

publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cynthiahuie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:47 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cynthia Huie <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cynthia Huie

Email	cynthiahuie@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: beng8@me.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:38 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Benjamin Ng <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Benjamin Ng

Email	beng8@me.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: marg_os@pacbell.ne; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret O'Sullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret O'Sullivan

Email	marg_os@pacbell.ne
I am a resident of	District 7

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

Sincerely,
Margaret and Patrick O'Sullivan

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bayardpfong@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:17 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bayard P Fong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bayard P Fong

Email	bayardpfong@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 9

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: chris@cityoakcap.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:53:05 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Chang <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Chris Chang

Email	chris@cityoakcap.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; David Woo
Subject:	FW: Letter Signed by 20 Organizations Denouncing and Rejecting Draft Final Map
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:52:45 PM
Attachments:	Letter to Task Force Rejecting and Denouncing Draft Final Map_20 Organizations Signed.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Woo <david@somapilipinas.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>;

j.michjelle.pierce1@sfgov.org; Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter Signed by 20 Organizations Denouncing and Rejecting Draft Final Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 13, 2022

Redistricting Task Force Members,

The twenty undersigned organizations reject and denounce the draft final map produced by the Redistricting Task Force. The map is racist, anti-working class, and a blueprint for gentrification.

The Task Force has completely and utterly failed to incorporate feedback from low-income, working-class, immigrant, and people of color communities. Instead, a map has been produced that outright dismisses the overwhelming public input, and prioritizes the input of wealthy, disproportionately white, and newly created neighborhoods.

The draft final map directly attacks, dilutes, divides, and disempowers Black, Filipino, Arab, API, Latinx, and LGBTQ+ communities, as well as renters and established neighborhoods across the city. Specifically, the map attacks communities in D6, D10, D3, D9, D5, D1, D4, and D8 - nearly every single district.

The five Task Force members that have adopted this map deceived and disrespected the public, ignored historic communities of interest, and advanced behind-the-scenes changes that have never been publicly advocated for. First it was the move to sever the Tenderloin from the South of Market in District 6, going against nearly unanimous public testimony to do the opposite and keep them together. Then at the last minute, Potrero was moved out of D10 into D9 (without ever before having been discussed), and Portola was moved into D10, pitting Black and Asian communities against each other, and blatantly undermining Black representation in D10.

The number of breaches in public process, extremely questionable votes, and the erratic behaviors of this Task Force are too many to list. At this point, this map is completely illegitimate and clearly made in the likeness of real estate, corporate, and politically conservative interests that seek to diminish the voting power of minorities, low-income residents, and marginalized populations.

We denounce this entire process, and we denounce the undemocratic attempts to silence the voices of concerned members of the public. We categorically reject the legitimacy of the draft final map that shamefully marginalizes communities of color.

Signed,

Lara Kiswani, Executive Director - Arab Resource and Organizing Center
Luisa Antonio, Executive Director - Bayanihan Equity Center
Theresa Imperial, Executive Director - Bill Sorro Housing Program
Oliver Saria, Managing Director - Bindlestiff Studio
Marily Mondejar, CEO - Filipina Women's Network

Al Perez, President - Filipino American Arts Exposition

Gayle Romasanta, Executive Director - Filipino-American Development Foundation/BCC
Elaine Villasper, Associate Director - Filipino Community Center
Charm Consolacion, Site Supervisor - Filipino Education Center Galing Bata
Joe Wilson, Executive Director - Hospitality House
Jason Bayani, Artistic Director - Kearny Street Workshop
Alleluia Panis, Director - Kularts
Desi Danganan, Executive Director - Kultivate Labs
Robert Goldfarb, Executive Director - LEATHER & LGBTQ Cultural District
Caroline Cabading, Executive Director - Manilatown Heritage Foundation
Claire Amable, Senior Community Organizer - SF Bike Coalition
Angelica Cabande, Director - South of Market Community Action Network
Raquel Redondiez, Director - SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural Heritage District
David Elliott Lewis, Chair - Tenderloin People's Congress
Aria Sa'id, President and Chief Strategist - Transgender District
--
David Woo
Land Use Analyst
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District



April 13, 2022

Redistricting Task Force Members,

The twenty undersigned organizations reject and denounce the draft final map produced by the Redistricting Task Force. The map is racist, anti-working class, and a blueprint for gentrification.

The Task Force has completely and utterly failed to incorporate feedback from low-income, working-class, immigrant, and people of color communities. Instead, a map has been produced that outright dismisses the overwhelming public input, and prioritizes the input of wealthy, disproportionately white, and newly created neighborhoods.

The draft final map directly attacks, dilutes, divides, and disempowers Black, Filipino, Arab, API, Latinx, and LGBTQ+ communities, as well as renters and established neighborhoods across the city. Specifically, the map attacks communities in D6, D10, D3, D9, D5, D1, D4, and D8 - nearly every single district.

The five Task Force members that have adopted this map deceived and disrespected the public, ignored historic communities of interest, and advanced behind-the-scenes changes that have never been publicly advocated for. First it was the move to sever the Tenderloin from the South of Market in District 6, going against nearly unanimous public testimony to do the opposite and keep them together. Then at the last minute, Potrero was moved out of D10 into D9 (without ever before having been discussed), and Portola was moved into D10, pitting Black and Asian communities against each other, and blatantly undermining Black representation in D10.

The number of breaches in public process, extremely questionable votes, and the erratic behaviors of this Task Force are too many to list. At this point, this map is completely illegitimate and clearly made in the likeness of real estate, corporate, and politically conservative interests that seek to diminish the voting power of minorities, low-income residents, and marginalized populations.

We denounce this entire process, and we denounce the undemocratic attempts to silence the voices of concerned members of the public. We categorically reject the legitimacy of the draft final map that shamefully marginalizes communities of color.

Signed,

Lara Kiswani, Executive Director - Arab Resource and Organizing Center
Luisa Antonio, Executive Director - Bayanihan Equity Center
Theresa Imperial, Executive Director - Bill Sorro Housing Program
Oliver Saria, Managing Director - Bindlestiff Studio
Marily Mondejar, CEO - Filipina Women's Network
Al Perez, President - Filipino American Arts Exposition
Gayle Romasanta, Executive Director - Filipino-American Development Foundation/BCC
Elaine Villasper, Associate Director - Filipino Community Center
Charm Consolacion, Site Supervisor - Filipino Education Center Galing Bata
Joe Wilson, Executive Director - Hospitality House
Jason Bayani, Artistic Director - Kearny Street Workshop
Alleluia Panis, Director - Kularts
Desi Danganan, Executive Director - Kultivate Labs
Robert Goldfarb, Executive Director - LEATHER & LGBTQ Cultural District
Caroline Cabading, Executive Director - Manilatown Heritage Foundation
Claire Amable, Senior Community Organizer - SF Bike Coalition
Angelica Cabande, Director - South of Market Community Action Network
Raquel Redondiez, Director - SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural Heritage District
David Elliott Lewis, Chair - Tenderloin People's Congress
Aria Sa’id, President and Chief Strategist - Transgender District

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jackielyn21@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:58 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jackielyn Harris <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 2:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jackielyn Harris

Email	jackielyn21@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tkchemengr@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:54 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tawni Koutchesfahani <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Tawni Koutchesfahani

Email	tkchemengr@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: eclectic_designs@comcast.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:50 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa O'malley <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 2:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lisa O'malley

Email	eclectic_designs@comcast.net
I am a resident of	District 4
	I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map. The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter. In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections. Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G. We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: martha@ehmannconte.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:46 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehmann Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 2:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Martha Ehmann Conte

Email	martha@ehmannconte.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Christopher Faust; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: We approve the Draft Final Map
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:39 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Christopher Faust <faust@chrismary.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 1:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: We approve the Draft Final Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

We submit our approval of the Draft Final Map. Upper Noe Neighbors is satisfied that the boundaries for District 8 keep or community intact within this district.

Thank you for all of your hard work. Your diligence and consideration for the people of San Francisco is commendable.

Sincerely,

Christopher Faust
President, Upper Noe Neighbors
235 30th Street
San Francisco, CA 94131
415 205-5855
https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://uppernoeneighbors.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpjN2MxNDQ0MGQyNzBmYWRmM2Q5MmNiOTM4MTVjOTQyYzo2OjJlYjg6Yzc5OWI2ZWM5ZTgxZjIzZWUzNmIyNDE1ZGMzYjFmNDM2YWJlZjk4OWI3N2RkNDIyMWUxYzk1ODUyOWUwYzAwMzpwOk4

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: petercrawford68@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Peter Crawford

Email	petercrawford68@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dodiescrawford@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dodie Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dodie Crawford

Email	dodiescrawford@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Angela; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public Comments for Redistricting Task Force in SF
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:26 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angela <aryjenkins@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comments for Redistricting Task Force in SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 13, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am using President Lyndon B. Johnson's, 1965 Voting Rights Act speech to open my comments:

> *The real **hero** of this struggle is the American Negro. His actions and protests, his courage to risk safety and even to risk his life, have awakened the conscience of this Nation. His demonstrations have been designed to call attention to injustice, designed to provoke change, designed to stir reform.*
>
> *He has called upon us to make good the promise of America. And who among us can say that we would have made the same progress were it not for his **persistent bravery**, and his faith in American democracy.* - President Lyndon B Johnson March 15, 1965

When I spoke in person on Saturday, April 9, 2022 at the Redistricting Task Force meeting, I emphasized these same dynamics, that members of this body sit on the Task Force because of the sacrifices of my African Americans ancestors who bled and died for voting rights in this country.

Therefore, when members reach a stalemate or an impasse as has happened in these proceeding, follow the words of President Johnson. Know that the Voting Rights Act formed this decision-making body you sit on. Let the voice of African American awaken your conscience. Hear the Black voices and heed Black San Franciscans' demands to keep our communities whole.

Rescind the vote for the current map and keep Potrero Hill in District 10, keep the Tenderloin in District 6.

Sincerely,

Angela R. Jenkins

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa M. Swan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Opposing Redistricting Map that Splits Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa M. Swan <lisa.swan@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposing Redistricting Map that Splits Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redricting Task Force,

I live in the flats of Potrero Hill at 999 16th Street. Your current redestricting map splits my community and will harm us. Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. You have the chance to undo this damage and draw a map that includes my entire community.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us. Your actions will prevent effective representation for my community from everything from bike lanes to schools. Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Sincerely,
Dr. Lisa Swan

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cfitzgerald1059@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:10 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Constance Fitzgerald <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Constance Fitzgerald

Email	cfitzgerald1059@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: acxavier@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:05 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Xavier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Christopher Xavier

Email	acxavier@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: acxavier@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:51:01 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Xavier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 12:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alice Xavier

Email	acxavier@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rene Rodriguez; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Changing District 5 map
Date:	Thursday, April 14, 2022 1:50:57 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rene Rodriguez <runrenerun@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Changing District 5 map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,
I've been a resident of Western Addition for over 20 years. I do most of my activities in D5 and identify with D5. I'm a proud progressive voter with rent control. We have worked hard to elect progressives that represent our ideals on the board of supervisors. Now I am looking at the prospect of being lumped in with a more conservative district that I don't identify with. I watched my neighborhood slowly become gentrified, and small family businesses on Fillmore being shoved out by high end boutiques that don't serve our community. This is not acceptable. I feel this is a push to gentrify our neighborhood even further and silence our voice. Please reconsider.

Thank you,
--
Rene Rodriguez
2521

"If you wish to make an apple pie from scratch, you must first invent the universe".
- Carl Sagan

From: Adam Pavlacka
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: 2010-2022 Image for public comment - 4/13 Redistricting Task Force
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:04:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John,

Is it possible for you to save the attached image and display it during public comment? I'll ask for it when I connect via WebEx.

It is an animated GIF file. It should display correctly in any image viewer, but can also be displayed in a web browser if needed.

Thanks,

-a



SF Realtors Neighborhood Unification Map from 2010

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Richard Goodwin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Fix the Dogpatch redistricting fiasco
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:52 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Richard Goodwin <reg@regcodesign.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 10:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fix the Dogpatch redistricting fiasco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Richard Goodwin
27 year resident of Dogpatch

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Walter Caplan
Cc:	Walter Caplan
Subject:	FW: Redistricting - Final Map Deviations
Date:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:44 AM
Attachments:	Redistricting - final map deviations.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Subject: Redistricting - Final Map Deviations

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FOREST KNOLLS NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATION

FINAL MAP

DEVIATIONS

District 1	**- 4.28 %**	**- 3407**
District 2	**- 4.67 %**	**- 3715**
District 3	**- 0.16 %**	**- 131**
District 4	**- 4.46 %**	**- 3547**
District 5	**4.90 %**	**3900**
District 6	**1.35 %**	**1075**
District 7	**- 0.36 %**	**- 290**
District 8	**4.65 %**	**3702**
District 9	**1.52 %**	**1208**
District 10	**0.20 %**	**157**
District 11	**1.31 %**	**1046**

Lone Mountain and USF University of San Francisco cut in half

Lakeshore Acres and Stonestown divided

NOPA dissected

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dearan.m.roche@tcu.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:24 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dearan Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dearan Roche

Email	dearan.m.roche@tcu.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dblbirdy@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:21 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email	dblbirdy@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slatehouse@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:17 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email	slatehouse@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slatehouse@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:11 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email	slatehouse@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: irishslate@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:08 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:49 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret Osullivan

Email	irishslate@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map. The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter. In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections. Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G. We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: etroche@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:04 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eamon Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:48 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eamon Roche

Email	etroche@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cullen.roche1992@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:25:01 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cullen Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cullen Roche

Email	cullen.roche1992@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cullen.roche1992@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:57 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cullen Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cullen Roche

Email	cullen.roche1992@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: aislin.palladino@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aislin Palladino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Aislin Palladino

Email	aislin.palladino@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mosroche@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:50 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannora Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Hannora Roche

Email	mosroche@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: krochemusic@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:46 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kevin Roche

Email	krochemusic@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: osullroche@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:43 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email	osullroche@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dirkprobstel@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:40 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dirk Probstel

Email	dirkprobstel@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:38 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: zhengren yan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:31 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent zhengren yan

Email	jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: daphne@cal.berkeley.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:33 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Lloyd <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:22 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Daphne Lloyd

Email	daphne@cal.berkeley.edu
I am a resident of	District 8

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I strongly support this Final Draft Map and your legal and open process that created it. I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: paulhm.sf@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:30 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Morrison <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 9:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paul Morrison

Email	paulhm.sf@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 11

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: raksf@agrawals.org; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:23 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rakesh Agrawal <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Rakesh Agrawal

Email	raksf@agrawals.org
I am a resident of	District 6
	I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	Thank you for all of your hard work over the many months. The proposed final map reflects the legal requirements and is a fair representation of the city's changed demographic since the last sentence. Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	judyminton@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:18 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I am sending this again because I understand from the chronicle that the final vote is today. Please do not split Potrero Hill from Dog patch and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods have similar issues and therefore should be represented by the same supervisor. See my letter below.

Judy Minton

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

Begin forwarded message:

On Friday, April 8, 2022, 2:16 PM, judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com> wrote:

> Dear Redistricting Task Force:
>
> At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.
>
> As a resident of Potrero Hill for 30 years, I implore you to adopt District 10 Map 4D.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Judy Minton
> 415 Connecticut St.
> San Francisco CA 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: leslie@podell.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:14 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Boin Podell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 7:12 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Leslie Boin Podell

Email	leslie@podell.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bjacksonsf@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:10 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill Jackson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 6:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bill Jackson

Email	bjacksonsf@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Walter Caplan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: The Draft Final Map will transfer 128,000 San Franciscans (plus or minus 500 people) into new districts or 14.6% of the City"s population
Date:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:06 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: The Draft Final Map will transfer 128,000 San Franciscans (plus or minus 500 people) into new districts or 14.6% of the City's population

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Date: April 11, 2022 at 3:09:58 PM PDT

Subject: The Draft Final Map will transfer 128,000 San Franciscans (plus or minus 500 people) into new districts or 14.6% of the City's population
Reply-To: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>

Colleagues

I ran the stats last night, and the proposed plan will transfer 53,000-54,000 more San Franciscans compared to our plan, and 50% than were transferred in 2002 and 2012 combined. We transferred 74,000+ change or 8.5% of the City's population.

Sincerely,

ChristopherL. Bowman

Detailed memo to follow tonight.

On Monday, April 11, 2022, 01:14:46 PM PDT, Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

Colleagues::

The Draft Final Map is much improved over what it was before the mapping session Saturday night started, particularly for Districts 1 and 2. The two exceptions are that they cut half of Lone Mountain and half of the USF campus in D1 north of Turk and transferred it into D2, and D1 still has

the rest of west NOPA that is currently in D5 west Masonic, which really should remain in D5.

Not certain they can cure those two related problems without doing a grand rotation where roughly 4,000 people from each district are transferred in a counter-clockwise fashion including D1, D5, D8, D9, D6, D3,and D2. Not certain they are allowed to make such major changes at this time or have the technical ability or will to do so at this late stage. They seem to be suffering from Battle Shock.

Likewise we still have the problem of Merced Manor and Lakeside Acres, versus the western portion of the INNER Sunset. Technically,it's a 1 for 1 tradeoff which would require that D4 return those two neighborhoods, for D4 going east of 19th north of Kirkham to 12th as under the CSFN/WTPCC plan, but again I'm not certain they can make a large change now due to notification time constraints. We can ask, however.

It should also be pointed out that they divide two neighborhoods, Lakeshore and Stonestown according to their errant mapping layer which may require both districts to come within 1% +/- of the mean population for a district to be legally compliant. As proposed, D4 is 4.46% below the mean.

Those are the big picture issues affecting D1, D2,

D4, and D7.

Minor tweaks that they can do to keep neighborhoods whole either as they define them or as our neighborhood associations define them are as follows:

Move 402 residents currently in D8 between Twin Peaks Blvd. and Burnet, south of Crestline to D7 as per our plan. Google maps and their mapping layer defines this thee census block area as part of Midtown Terrace, because they are zoned RH-1 and were constructed at the same time.

Move the block between Congo, Baden, Mangels, and Joost from D8 to D7. It has 138 residents and is part of Sunnyside according to the association's map, Indeed, the mapping layer has Sunnyside going two blocks farther east, so it certainly falls within both maps boundaries. See attached map from the association's website. Given that D is 4.65% above the mean, both transfers would put D8 into legal compliance by making neighborhoods whole.

According to the mapping layer, the 189 residents in the homes on the west side of Cambridge between I-280 and Silver are part of the University Mound neighborhood, which is in D10 under the draft final map but they draw the district line at Cambridge. We should propose that they include the census block west of Cambridge to D10 from D11.

According to their mapping tool Bernal Heights goes west to San Jose, yet they retained the block east of San Jose between Duncan, 29th, and Tiffany with 292 residents in D8. That census block should be transferred from D8 to D9. Again, without making Bernal Heights whole because D8 is near the 105% max, it would be out of legal compliance without making the change.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Walter Caplan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Someone liked your comment on SF Chronicle (Asian American disenfranchisem...) >>> Chris Bowman
Date:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:24:01 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 4:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Someone liked your comment on SF Chronicle (Asian American disenfranchisem...) >>> Chris Bowman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Date: April 12, 2022

Colleagues:

Here's my response to David Lee's op-ed in today's Chronicle.

I would have said more but they had word limits.

The beauty of the CSFN/WTPCC ("Bowman") plan was that by using traditional, good-government redistricting principles which created incremental and minimal change thus maintaining the character of all current districts, we reduced the percentage of Asian CVAP slightly in D1 by just 1.05%, by 0.91% in D7, and by 0.81% in D4, but increased Asian CVAP slightly in D11 by 0.48%, in D10 by 0,65%, by 0.81% in D2. and by 3.97% in D3. making it an Asian CVAP plurality District versus a 49.4% to 38.1% White CVAP plurality district.

We also avoided the third-rail controversy of transferring the Portola for Potrero Hill and Dog Patch in D10 which would increase Asian CVAP in D10 by 7.73%, Hispanic CVAP by 2.29%, and Black CVAP by 0.06%, while reducing Whites from 22.06% to 11.64%.

Generally, our numbers for Asian CVAP are also

slightly better than those in the Community Unity map.

As the opponents of the draft final map are touting the Community Unity map, we need to anew promote the CSFN/WTPCC plan as a reasonable compromise between the two approaches.

And remember, we transfer less than 75,000 San Franciscans under our plan versus roughly 128,000 City residents under the draft final map.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 cut and paste link / as stated

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: SF Chronicle <no-reply@openweb.com>
To: "chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net" <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022, 12:19:39 PM PDT
Subject: Someone liked your comment on SF Chronicle (Asian American disenfranchisem...)

SunsetHouse liked your comment on **Asian American disenfranchisement in the Bay Area was already bad. S.F. redistricting will make it worse**.

Posted 4/12/2022, 18:35

David is right as far as his stats are concerned regarding Districts 1, 3, and 7, but diagnoses the wrong reasons. In District 1, Asian CVAP drops precipitously because the western part of NOPA from D5 which is predominantly white progressive and only 12% Asian is added to the district (Sea Cliff is 28% Asian Citizens Voting Age Population -- CVAP), in

D3, the Community Unity people oppose splitting up the Filipino cultural district (which is predominantly Chinese) at 5th Street in D6, and not add it to D3, and in D7, the inclusion of all of the Inner Sunset and beyond Arguello from D5 to D7 which is again predominantly white progressive, while removing the 54% Asian CVAP neighborhoods of Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor out of D7 and transferring them to D4, where their inclusion is not needed to elect Asian Supervisors has caused the problem. I must remind David that ten years ago he advocated moving Sea Cliff into D1. And this doesn't even address the third rail of what to deal with the majority Asian CVAP Portola District which was originally 72% in D10 when the lines were first drawn in 1995, then 50% was transferred to D9 in 2002, and the other 50% was removed from D10 in 2012 where their votes were diluted in the most progressive non-Asian district in the City because the White affluent progressives on Potrero Hill wanted to increase their influence in D10, by decreasing Asian CVAP percentages in D10 which are currently, notwithstanding their efforts is at 42%.

View Conversation

If you don't want to get similar emails in the future, you can opt out here.

SF Chronicle comments are powered by

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Walter Caplan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Biggest local debacle in years the Headlines tell it all
Date:	Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:23:56 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 3:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Biggest local debacle in years the Headlines tell it all

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Date: April 12, 2022 at 7:15:38 PM PDT
To: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Cc: zRants WP <woho04qobe@post.wordpress.com>, zrants <zrants@gmail.com>, Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>, Kristine Zaback <kzaback@earthlink.net>, Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>, gswooding@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Biggest local debacle in years the Headlines tell it all

Here's my overall take on this goat roping fiasco.
Our ire, anger and vitriol should not be directed at the RDTF. This is totally misplaced and allows the accountable ones to skate away.
The RDTF from its inception was doomed. The responsible individuals/groups for this catastrophe is The Mayor, the BOS and the Elections Commission.
They selected the members who almost universally were neither prepared for or knowledgeable about the tremendous complexity of the task. Added to this there was no semblance of leadership or control which possibly was intended.
This was going to be hard; comparing it to previous redistrictings is fantasmal. The huge East to west imbalance was going to require threading the needle to keep vulnerabke groups and COIs together. Added to this is the growing power, and tragically overdue, of minority groups over the past decade spurred only social technologies unheard of in the past.
Had there been 9 competent, knowledgeable, San Francisco savvy persons, one of whom was a capable leader, nominated to the RDTF it would still have had disagreement, drama and intrigue but at a manageable level.
I disagreed vehemently with some of the RDTF's decisions but the vitriol and hate needs to be directed to where it belongs.
Hopefully sanity will prevail and a Grand Compromise reached. I'm voting for Chris's plan. If some tweaks are needed that's OK.
A sad day all round.
Dick

Sent from my iPad

> On Apr 12, 2022, at 3:02 PM, Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks Mari:
>
> Perhaps the CSFN/WTPCC plan will

emerge as a grand compromise.

Sincerely,

Christopher I. Bowman

On Tuesday, April 12, 2022, 02:26:45 PM PDT, zrants <zrants@gmail.com> wrote:

> *These headlines from the last couple of days are taken from Mission Local, but they all say the same thing. The system is rigged, and lawsuits are probably going to be filed regardless of the outcome and the final map produced by this redistricting task force.*

Protesters demand new map, threaten to sue Redistricting Task Force, April 11, 2022

Redistricting Task Force members said colleagues were 'bought and paid for,' 'sellouts,' and called the process a 'sham', April 11, 2022

Redistricting has been a debacle and a disgrace — and we aren't nearly done, April 12, 2022

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: lilly@safersfwithoutboudin.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:23:50 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lilly Rapson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lilly Rapson

Email	lilly@safersfwithoutboudin.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: martha@ehmannconte.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:23:46 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehmann Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Martha Ehmann Conte

Email	martha@ehmannconte.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kielygomes@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:23:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Schwartz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Karen Schwartz

Email	kielygomes@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: nancy.tung@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!
Date: Wednesday, April 13, 2022 11:23:39 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nancy Tung <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Nancy Tung

Email	nancy.tung@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 9

I urge you to adhere to the April 15th deadline!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to urge the Redistricting Task Force to meet the April 14th 11:59pm deadline and move to a final vote on the map.

The conclusion to this lengthy, non-partisan, independent, and legal process should happen on time, as outlined in the San Francisco City Charter.

In 2001, the Board of Supervisors voted to put a Charter Amendment on the Ballot to create this April 15th deadline for the Redistricting Task Force, because without a deadline the redistricting process could be subject to uncertainty and manipulation. In November 2001, the voters passed this amendment as Proposition G. The Department of Elections and candidates running for office require certainty and not finalizing the map would have damaging and far-reaching impacts on the upcoming elections.

Extending the Redistricting process beyond the deadline is unnecessary given that the 2022 Task Force has conducted a public input process more democratic than any other in the history of San Francisco, despite the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic. It is well-documented that you have prioritized public input at every opportunity. A difference of opinion on boundaries is not illegal, just an unfortunate reality when there are many voices to be heard and impossible decisions to be made. Groups and individuals with clear political ties should not be able to hold up the process of approving a legal map, that is why the voters passed Prop G.

We are seeing the importance of the April 15th deadline play out today. As mapping started, a political group created and organized around one particular map, and the rhetoric around this map has been unrelenting and uncompromising for weeks. This led to hours of painstaking effort trying to make a seriously flawed map request work. When the unworkable map was voted down, sitting Supervisors publicly pressured the Elections Commission which led to a hearing to explore removing their Task Force appointees.

The role of the independent Task Force is to create district maps that comply with The Federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the CA

Constitution.

The Final Draft Map is legal and meets the requirements:

1. More Communities of Interest, CBDs, and Cultural Districts remain whole versus any other version of the map.
2. Districts are compact and contiguous, to the extent possible given COI's
3. Districts are balanced in population to remain true to the 14th Amendment of the Constitution for equal voting protection
4. Does not favor any political organization

You did your job with integrity and transparency and the whole city deserves to have certainty and a path forward.

Please call a FINAL VOTE on the Final Draft Map by 11:59 pm on April 14. The stability of our democracy requires it.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Walter Caplan
Cc:	Walter Caplan
Subject:	FW: Materials for Meeting of April 13, 2022
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:44:45 PM
Attachments:	3 neighborhood tweaks.pdf FOREST KNOLLS - Neighborhoods D7.pdf Parnassus Heights.pdf Midtown Terrace.pdf Sunnyside Neighborhood Association"s map of Sunnyside neighborhood.pdf WTPCC- Merced Manor - Lakeshore Acres Maps.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 8:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Walter Caplan <whcaplan@comcast.net>
Subject: Materials for Meeting of April 13, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

the Neighborhoods of
District 7



Arden Woods
Balboa Terrace
Clarendon Heights
Edgehill
Forest Hill
Forest Hill Extension
Forest Knolls
Galewood Circle
Golden Gate Heights
The Grove
Ingleside
Ingleside Terraces
Inner Sunset
Kirkham Heights
Laguna Honda
Lakeshore Acres
Lakeside
Lakewood Apartments
Lake Merced Hill
Merced Extension Triangle
Merced Manor
Midtown Terrace
Miraloma Park
Monterey Heights
Mount Davidson Manor
Mount Sutro Woods
Ninth Avenue Neighbors
Parkmerced
Parkside
Rockaway
Saint Francis Wood
Sherwood Forest
Summit 800
Sunnyside
Sunset Heights
Twin Peaks
West Portal
Westwood Highlands
Westwood Park
Windsor Terrace

The Woods

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

Make three Neighborhoods Whole in Districts 7 and 8

1) Make Parnassus Heights whole again.

Transfer just one census block south of Farnsworth Lane and on the westside of Edgewood Avenue from D7 to D8. The census block has 86 people.

2) Make Midtown Terrace whole since the return of District Elections.

According to the SF Find Neighborhoods mapping layer used by the Task Force's mapping tool and also according to Google Maps, Midtown Terrace straddles Twin Peaks Blvd. north of Portola Drive, with the eastern portion in D8, and most of the rest of the neighborhood which is west of Twin Peaks Blvd. in D7.

The proposal is for the eastern portion of Midtown Terrace to be transferred from D8 to D7. The three census blocks in question have 407 residents and the housing is zoned as RH1 similar to the rest of Midtown Terrace, but quite dissimilar to the multi-family apartment dwellings to the east of Burnett and north of Crestline in D8. The area includes Glenview Drive, Dawnview Way, Sunview Drive, Portola Drive, 850 Burnett to 996 Burnett, and 1 and 11 Crestline Drive.

3) Make the Sunnyside neighborhood whole again.

When the original district lines were drawn in 1995, all of the Sunnyside neighborhood was contained in D7. As a result of the 2002 and 2012 redistricting, the southern border of D8 moved to the southwest and divided the Sunnyside neighborhood.


The SF Find Neighborhoods map layer and the map of Sunnyside by the Sunnyside Neighborhood Association (please see attached) don't agree on what the eastern boundary of Sunnyside is, but both agree that it is east of the current D7/D8 border. Since the neighborhood boundary in the mapping layer splits census blocks we are asking that only one census block currently in D8 be transferred to D7 to make Sunnyside whole again according to the Sunnyside Neighborhood Association's map. The block is bordered by Mangels on the north Baden to the east, Joost on the south, and Congo on the west. The block has 138 residents.

The cumulative effect of transferring one block from D7 to D8 in Parnassus Heights, three blocks from D8 to D7 in Midtown Terrace, and one block from D8 to D7 in Sunnyside, is a net increase of 459 residents in D7 to 79,714 (100.21%) and an equal reduction of 459 residents in D8, bringing D8's population down to 82,788 (104.08%). and by making three neighborhoods whole, D8, even though it is over the 101% standard threshold population for a district, becomes within the legally permissible population limits of +/- 5% for a district.

Walter Caplan and Kristine Zaback
for the Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization

1) Make Parnassus Heights whole again.


Transfer just one census block south of Farnsworth Lane and on the westside of Edgewood Avenue from D7 to D8. The census block has 86 people.



2) Make Midtown Terrace whole since the return of District Elections.

According to the SF Find Neighborhoods mapping layer used by the Task Force's mapping tool and also according to Google Maps, Midtown Terrace straddles Twin Peaks Blvd. north of Portola Drive, with the eastern portion in D8, and most of the rest of the neighborhood which is west of Twin Peaks Blvd. in D7.


The proposal is for the eastern portion of Midtown Terrace to be transferred from D8 to D7. The three census blocks in question have 407 residents and the housing is zoned as RH1 similar to the rest of Midtown Terrace, but quite dissimilar to the multi-family apartment dwellings to the east of Burnett and north of Crestline in D8. The area includes Glenview Drive, Dawnview Way, Sunview Drive, Portola Drive, 850 Burnett to 996 Burnett, and 1 and 11 Crestline Drive.



3) Make the Sunnyside neighborhood whole again.

Neighborhood Features

Sunnyside is a medium-sized neighborhood that is bordered by Interstate 280 on the south-east, Glen Park on the east, Miraloma Park to the north, and Westwood Park/Highlands to the west.



Boundaries of Sunnyside neighborhood.


Source: Sunnyside Neighborhood Association (www.sunnysideassociation.org) website.

"About", "The Sunnyside Neighborhood"

West of Twin Peaks Central Council

Map of member Neighborhood Association Boundaries

Lakeshore Acres Association Merced Manor Association



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	DPH-alec.bash; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: SF Chron Progressive Voter Index Analysis - Existing vs. Final Draft Map District
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:42:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alec Bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 9:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Chron Progressive Voter Index Analysis - Existing vs. Final Draft Map District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RTF Members,

In the unlikely event that you haven't seen this article, maybe this will provide a little perspective:
https://www.sfchronicle.com/sf/article/sf-redistricting-map-17076075.php

Alec Bash

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Steven Farr; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:41:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steven Farr <film.steev@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 8:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Tenderloin & SoMa Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Steven Farr. I'm from the TL/SoMa community and have lived in San Francisco for 18 years. As a resident of SF, I am concerned with the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force's proposed district map 4-B, which divides the historic SoMa and Tenderloin neighborhoods.

A vote to move forward with map 4-B divides West and Central SoMa and the Tenderloin, negatively impacting several voting communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API/Filipino, and LGBTQIA+. It also carves up the SoMa LGBTQ cultural district, placing historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza, Mr. S Leather, Oasis nightclub, the Powerhouse bar, and Wicked Grounds into different districts. Splitting up these two neighborhoods diminishes their voices in our local elections, leaving them disempowered and putting them and their needs in danger of de-prioritization and displacement.

I believe we should not split up our LGBTQIA+ and BIPOC communities and their cultural assets, and important LGBTQIA+ nightlife corridors like 11th St & Folsom St. Instead, I urge you to adopt and move forward with map 4-D, which keeps West SoMa, Central SoMa, and the Tenderloin whole and together. I ask that you keep the western boundary at Van Ness and Division and the southern boundary at Townsend St. If District 6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in District 3, putting the hub in District 5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established. Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in District 2 would help solve many issues.
Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years violates the law and does not reflect the values we San Franciscans treasure. Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard. Keep the Tenderloin, West SoMa, and Central SoMa whole and together.

Thank you,

Steven Farr
Film.steev@gmail.com
415.373.8877

--
Steven Farr
film.steev@gmail.com
619.917.9665

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Barbara Early, HVNA; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task force - Community input and comment
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:41:53 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Early, HVNA <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task force - Community input and comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

I wanted to give public comment at the last few meetings, but seem to have ongoing issues with the Webex and think I'm in the queue but then am never "called on" - also I hate the idea of making the evening EVEN LONGER for everyone — so thought I would put my comments in an email.

I live on the border of the current D6 and D5, and am a board member of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association. I am speaking here as an individual though, not for the board as a whole.

I understand the extreme pressures that come to bear around real estate and politics in San Francisco, but have never seen them on display so blatantly as in this process.

Please do not vote for this map that there is so much controversy over still. Reverend Townsend said last night that he felt the work was unfinished. Member Pierce called the map "class warfare." They are both right This map should not go forward.

I urge you to insist that the City Attorney ANSWER your questions about what would happen if the map final vote is a rejection. Other municipalities and even states have missed redistricting deadlines. There should be some judicial remedy or other that would be sought in that case. As Supervisor John Avalos stated in his comments just before 4 am, the Task force has lost its way, and the City Attorney has failed in their duty to provide answers to legal questions. I heard at least two of your members ask the City attorney what would happen if the map didn't pass - and he only reiterated the deadline date. He did NOT answer your question. Demand an answer.

I relate to and empathize with the struggles that have been expressed here and I want to add my voice to those who are calling on you to stop this train, get off, and look at the map again, because you're heading in the wrong direction.

Like many other who spoke, I expect the social safety net to stay in place, and it's shocking to see how easily it can be cut away. Although it is under attack across the nation, in San Francisco we should be lighting the way to keep it intact. Instead, this map shows how easily it can begin to be dismantled as vulnerable communities who have built up their political power are being broken apart in this map.

Several others who gave comments spoke to the very real impacts changing district lines has to most vulnerable people, such as food resources and housing resources that are district based, and they will be cut off from those resources. That's at a more basic level than even voting and organizing. When I learned this, I was deeply saddened.

As you're more than aware, vulnerable communities are being hit hard. What's happening in D5 & D6 in particular is disappointing, and I am here to support the communities of interest and communities of color to maintain their political power, as the Redistricting process is supposed to do.

While Hayes Valley would welcome the Tenderloin into D5 if that is what happens, it is more important that the Tenderloin residents and community get to have their strong wish to remain in D6 with their political, cultural and neighborhood alliances intact. These alliances take time to develop and they are very important, more so for the most marginalized communities. Again, while we would welcome these communities as part of D5, it seems unnecessary and against the very spirt and letter of the law on this Redistricting to break up that community of interest in such a way that people may actually die as a result.

Unlike some misguided statements that have been made to the contrary, you are required to work keep communities of interest and communities of color together. We must stand in solidarity with the

communities of interest who have asked to stay together,

Please, stop this train, and do not move forward with this misguided map that fails to take all of the community input equally in to consideration across the map.

Barbara E

From:	Carroll, John (BOS)
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	FW: letter from USPS mail
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:10:56 PM
Attachments:	rdtf comment.pdf

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Bullock, John (BOS) <john.bullock@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 4:11 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
Subject: letter from USPS mail

Hello,

Attached is a letter for all the members of the RDTF.

Regards,

John Bullock
Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7706

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2022 APR 12 PM 4:02
BY

April 10, 2022

Beth Gregg
Pomeroy Center Board Member
718B Liggett Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94129

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94122
415-554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing as a Member of the Board of Directors for the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center (PRRC) to ask for your support to keep PRRC within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced.

The Center was founded in 1952 by Janel Pomeroy at a time when there were no other recreation centers dedicated for people with disabilities, and now, seventy years later, the PRRC is nationally and internationally renowned for our unique programs serving people with developmental disabilities through our leadership in vocational rehabilitation, education, respite care, and other community-based programs. The positive impact Pomeroy has made for all these years is partly due to the close relationship PRRC has maintained with the leadership in District 7 and our close District 7 neighbors, The San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced.

As the PRRC has no residents living at the Center, maintaining PRRC in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city. Similarly, the leadership of the San Francisco Zoo must feel the same way about this redistricting project and potential outcomes.

The Pomeroy Center proudly and adeptly serves thousands of residents across San Francisco and the Bay Area. Additionally, the PRRC rents out its facilities for community and private uses due to its close proximity to Lake Merced. Further, the Center has a close relationship with its close neighbor, the San Francisco Zoo, in which Pomeroy program participants enjoy animal visits.

We recognize the challenge the Redistricting Task Force faces to balance every Supervisorial District by population while keeping the community concerns intact. Because the area around the PRRC and Zoo serves as a significant cultural and recreations community adjoining the nearby Lake Merced, we believe our facilities should be represented within one district for us to

sustain the level of continuity and consistency we need at the Board of Supervisors and maintaining our position in District 7 would help us accomplish this.

In summary, we urge your support keeping the Pomeroy Center in District 7 for two reasons: First, because Pomeroy has no residents living at the Center, maintaining PRRC in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city, and second, because our facility relies on the connection with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced for collaborative events and activities as well as rental revenue due to our proximity and connection to Lake Merced, so having us split into separate Districts would negatively impact the Pomeroy Center's ability to provide the service and community support that we provide to our recipients and community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Gregg
Board Member
415-595-6766



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	joldfield@boldsf.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: "final" map
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:43:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: joldfield@boldsf.com <joldfield@boldsf.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 5:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: "final" map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The current “final” map is a travesty. Here’s how it should be fixed:

- Potrero Hill and Dogpatch should not be separated
- Potrero Hill has more in common with Dogpatch than it every has with the Mission
- Potrero Hill’s western boundary should be either highway 101 or Potrero Ave.

John Oldfield
739 Vermont St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	grazianocerchiai@mac.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:56 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Graziano Cerchiai <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 4:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Graziano Cerchiai
Email	grazianocerchiai@mac.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Magick Altman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please listen to the people!
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:52 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Magick Altman <magicktarot11@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 3:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please listen to the people!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This cannot stand! Does it have to end up in the courts? You vote even when almost half of the people walk out?
When the public speaks and you don’t listen?
This will not stand!
Yours in Truth,
Magick Altman

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Denise Zietlow; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting: Districts 1 and 5
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:46 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Denise Zietlow <dmzietlow@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 3:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting: Districts 1 and 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 12, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Task Force:

I realize you may be past comments with your map, but on the off-chance you decide to make further changes to the redistricting map, I would like to give you my concerns, concerns I have offered in the past but want to stress once more. I would have called into last night's meeting (Monday, April 11) but the concerns of the SF citizens that were offering commentary were more important to be heard at that time.

I live in western NOPA, the area between Masonic and Stanyan and Fell and Fulton. You have put my small neighborhood in District 1. Yet, this neighborhood is barely contiguous with District 1 despite what it looks like on the map. We are an island in your current map as we are bounded by Golden Gate Park on the west and USF on the north. Yes, those areas may belong to District 1 but it's hardly a neighborhood community. For the most part the students at USF are not concerned with neighborhood issues and surely the squirrels and other wildlife in the park could care less. Yet, these are West NOPA's neighbors to the North and West, not the citizens of District 1. Our true community lies to the south (the Haight Ashbury) and east (the rest of NOPA).

Because of this isolation, I question how much the Supervisor of District 1 (no matter who it is) will concern themselves with our little area. Will they be willing to fight to keep our bus line, the 21, from being put on the chopping block by the SFMTA at some point as the line only runs five blocks into District 1? Will they pay attention to the Panhandle part of Golden Gate Park or any other issues/problems that impact our small neighborhood?

This is why we belong where we traditionally have been — with our neighbors in the Haight Ashbury and the rest of NOPA. With these neighbors we have tackled issues and problems to the area as well as shopped, dined and got on with our lives. We belong with them in District 5. I urge you to tweak the final map and move this small area back to District 5.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Denise Zietlow

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Judith Daddio; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:42 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Judith Daddio <daddios@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 2:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. I firmly agree with the letter above.

Sincerely,
Judith Daddio
Vermont Street Resident
For 27 years

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Maulik Shah; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:36 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maulik Shah <maulikgshah@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 1:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Sincerely,

Maulik Shah
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: katie@trainaproductions.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katie Traina <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 12:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Katie Traina
Email	katie@trainaproductions.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map. As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact: 1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community. 2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness. 3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Request for posting of information to taskforce website and request about the report
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:24 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: regina sneed <reginasneed@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for posting of information to taskforce website and request about the report

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce:

In you deliberations you were requested/instructed to submit your proposed final map technical revisions to the City Attorneys office by 3 PM on Tuesday April 13, 2022 for review to ensure the proposals would be legally sufficient.

Please post these proposed corrections to the final map on your website immediately after they are submitted to the City Attorney to allow the public to review them. We need more transparency to this process. The public deserves an opportunity to prepare their response to these changes before they are voted on and become part of the final map.

Please limit public comment to one minute at this final meeting and limit it to the changes in the map being voted on. We need to have an actual record of what the public said about the changes but then later what each taskforce member says about the changes.

Please before you take public comment on the report let us hear from the taskforce members on why they voted for this map and how this information that explains the map decision will be described in the report.

There must be a draft outline for the report's contents. Please post any documents about the report so that the public can provide public comment that would actually be useful and informative to its preparation.

Thank you for considering my request.

Regina Sneed
District Five resident

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Brittney Doyle; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:17 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brittney Doyle <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

-Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.

-Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.

-Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.

-Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.

-Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.

-Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/

Thank you for consideration.

Brittney Doyle
brittney@wise-health.org
301 Rhode Island St. #B10
San Francisco, California 94103

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ania Moniuszko; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: District map feedback
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:13 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Ania Moniuszko <aniasf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District map feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I am a resident of the Castro District and wanted to comment that in the future, it may be better to have more districts so that each unique neighborhood could have representation that is closer to the issues facing that neighborhood.

For example, some of the neighborhoods that are combined do not make sense because of how different they are. For example, the Castro and Glen Park, or The Mission and Potrero, or Treasure Island and the Tenderloin.

For neighborhoods that have denser populations with issues specific to that neighborhood, it may be best to have dedicated representatives. I think people would have more conversations with their representative and the Representative would have more focus on solving issues facing that area. Please consider adding districts or overlapping ones that make more sense vs. just using geographical co-location.

Ania

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Michael G. Pangilinan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Map
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:42:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael G. Pangilinan <michael.pangilinan@mgpangilinan.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

In light of all that occurred so far, I encourage all of you to keep the Filipino Ancestry 2019 ACS Map of SOMA and TL (part of the original Unity Map) intact.

It is very important that the Filipino Community interests stay within one district as much as possible.

Thank you,

Michael Gumban Pangilinan

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Vincent Pietromartire; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: new electoral maps
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:43:06 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vincent Pietromartire <vpietromartire@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 6:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: new electoral maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the 9 members of the redistricting task force . My name is Vince Pietromartire and I'm a long time resident of district 5 . I'm very upset and disgusted with the decision to go with Map 4B (with modifications) and not the 4D that was initially voted 8 to 1 in favor of.
.I strongly identify with being in D 5 , and I attended two rallies as well as the first meeting, but could not stay to comment . I left the first meeting with the feeling that the committee was listening to our voices and the 8 to 1 vote reflected that . The reversal that same weekend both surprised and angered me.

Everyone knew exactly where all of the new housing has been built in the last 10 years . It was quite obvious that district 6 was growing much faster than any other district and for that reason, we should have started this process much earlier on . I commend the 4 members that walked out on Saturday night's meeting. They realized what a shame this process had become. To the 5 members that voted for this , you all have lost any confidence the public had in you , that's for certain and I don't suggest that you run for any office in the near future.

I strongly urge you to push the deadline back another two weeks to give the residents that are now changing districts (Potrero Hill , The Tenderloin , parts of Haight and the Inner

Sunset and others as well) additional time to speak about and have their voices heard

Thank you

Vincent Pietromartire
837 Central Ave

Vincent Pietromartire
vpietromartire@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:42:22 AM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: noreply@airtable.com <noreply@airtable.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Shiu, Billy (BOS) <billy.shiu@sfgov.org>
Subject: John Tse shared a record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Airtable

Here's the latest version of this record from the "Redistricting Plans Table" table:

Plan Name
By the Bay and Sea

Submitted By
Brian Stokle

Submission ID
MyBoardSupervisors_SD_041220222507_By the Bay and Sea

General Comment

Treasure. Island moves to D3. The rest is an attempt to keep nearly the same boundaries.

PDF Map of all districts

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 7

% Deviation : 1.74

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 6

% Deviation : -3.83

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 1

% Deviation : 2.82

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 4

% Deviation : 7.23

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 2

% Deviation : 2.16

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 8

% Deviation : -0.82

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.67

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 3

% Deviation : 1.42

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 5

% Deviation : -0.77

Comments :




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 11

% Deviation : -3.56

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 10

% Deviation : -1.73

Comments :



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

First page PDF

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_041220222507_By the Bay and Sea

Plan name: By the Bay and Sea

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Treasure. Island moves to D3. The rest is an attempt to keep nearly the same boundaries.

Submitted by: Brian Stokle

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Comments text file

Attachments

Date Submitted
4/11/2022

View in Airtable

©2022 Airtable
Visit our help center

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliacbrunner19@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:58 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julia Brunner <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Julia Brunner
Email	juliacbrunner19@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7
	I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map. As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact: 1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community. 2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness. 3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Linda James; Patrick Hoctel
Cc:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Dogpatch Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:54 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda James <lindajames51@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Patrick Hoctel <hoctel@uncanny.net>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Dogpatch Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Oh Patrick this is fine fine descriptive writing. (Complementing my similar version yesterday, less polished though.)
Thank you so.
Ever grateful.

LJ

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 12, 2022, at 10:30 AM, Patrick Hoctel <hoctel@uncanny.net> wrote:

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

I have been a resident of Dogpatch for 37 years. I was surprised and dismayed by your latest redistricting map that places Dogpatch in a far-flung District 6.

Dogpatch has never been considered part of SOMA or Downtown San Francisco. Instead, we and our interests have always been closely aligned with our neighbors, Potrero Hill and the Bayview. In fact, when I moved to Dogpatch in 1985, it was often referred to as Lower Potrero Hill or Potrero Flats.

To split us like this makes no sense and flies in the face of historical precedent. At best, it seems random and arbitrary; at worst, it appears to be a power grab. Please do not make us the victims of this ill-considered action. Allow us to remain together with those with whom we have common interests and have created many valuable partnerships over the years.

The current map is a farce and needs to be revised with an eye to what makes sense both culturally and historically. Please do your duty and take into account the interests of all San Franciscans, not just a privileged few.

Regards,

Patrick Hoctel

805 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
patrick.hoctel@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Last Chance | Critical Components of Successful Public Engagement. An example of the kind of training the taskforce could have used.
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:51 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: regina sneed <reginasneed@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Last Chance | Critical Components of Successful Public Engagement. An example of the kind of training the taskforce could have used.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce members:

When you do an evaluation of your process, I want to suggest that you include an study of how the hybrid public input (in person and remote) could have been handled better.

This particular webinar offering is but one example of resources available from members of the National Coalition of Dialogue and Deliberation. This organization has many public servant members and it's website has many public participation tools that could have helped this process. Please pass this resource onto the appropriate people.

Thank you

Regina Sneed
District Five resident

From: Dave Biggs <dave.biggs@metroquest.com>
Date: April 12, 2022 at 5:55:42 AM PDT

Subject: Last Chance | Critical Components of Successful Public Engagement.
Reply-To: dave.biggs@metroquest.com

MetroQuest

Hi Regina – Building public support for your urban or transportation planning projects is critical to their success. Will you **join me tomorrow** to learn from effective public engagement case studies from across the US?

I will team up with community engagement expert **Norma Hogan** to uncover how leading teams engage their community to gather public input and report back to stakeholders. Drawing on our experience with hundreds of high-performing successful case studies, we'll show you the critical components of successful engagement campaigns!

Optimizing Virtual Community Engagement
to Build Public Support

Wednesday, April 13th
11 am Pacific | 2 pm Eastern (1 hour)
AICP CM credit: 1.0

Register Now

In this webinar, we will explore:

- Gathering informed input to gain public support
- Strategies for effective outreach that help your study take off
- Tools and techniques for reaching diverse audiences
- Great survey design that converts visitors to participants
- Successful examples of DOT, MPO and RPO surveys

Thank you to our sponsors! An AICP CM credit will be available from the APA.

Please feel free to share this invitation with your friends and colleagues. I hope to see you there!



Dave Biggs

Chief Engagement Officer

604-317-6200

MetroQuest

Stay engaged with MetroQuest

510 – 1111 Melville Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6E 3V6
© 2022 Copyright

Send to a Friend · Update Subscription

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Patrick Hoctel; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Dogpatch Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:47 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patrick Hoctel <hoctel@uncanny.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:30 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Dogpatch Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

I have been a resident of Dogpatch for 37 years. I was surprised and dismayed by your latest redistricting map that places Dogpatch in a far-flung District 6.

Dogpatch has never been considered part of SOMA or Downtown San Francisco. Instead, we and our interests have always been closely aligned with our neighbors, Potrero Hill and the Bayview. In fact, when I moved to Dogpatch in 1985, it was often referred to as Lower Potrero Hill or Potrero Flats.

To split us like this makes no sense and flies in the face of historical precedent. At best, it seems random and arbitrary; at worst, it appears to be a power grab. Please do not make us the victims of this ill-considered action. Allow us to remain together with those with whom we have common interests and have created many valuable partnerships over the years.

The current map is a farce and needs to be revised with an eye to what makes sense both culturally and historically. Please do your duty and take into account the interests of all San Franciscans, not just a privileged few.

Regards,

Patrick Hoctel

805 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
patrick.hoctel@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Jeff Kubis; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Map
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:43 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeff Kubis <jjkubis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Your latest redistricting map splits and harms my community.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. We are diverse and connected, working together to make improvements to our neighborhood.

District lines should not separate a community. Your draft map splits our community. This separation addresses no redistricting need.

Please have the courage to reverse your split of our community.

Thank you,

Jeffrey John Kubis
Dogpatch Resident
jjkubis@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: stephen.j.dodson@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:39 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Dodson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Stephen Dodson

Email	stephen.j.dodson@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I wanted to thank the Redistricting Task Force for their hard work over the last few months. No map is perfect, but I have confidence that the RTF listened to the community and made many appropriate changes on the feedback. I support this final version. Thanks you, Stephen Dodson

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Alice Rogers; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Revise final draft map to reconfigure D10, and fix the meeting process for the future
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:37 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Revise final draft map to reconfigure D10, and fix the meeting process for the future

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

Though I have supported you at the Elections Commission and praised the quality of your deliberations throughout the mapping process, you lost my confidence in the 4/9 meeting, both on the map itself and on the structure of your essentially final mapping session.

On the structure: while facing severe deadlines, it was inappropriate to plan your meeting so that this essentially final session take place during the late night/early morning hours. It is far beyond the transparency this meeting needed in this most demanding of redistricting processes. I understand that honoring public comment brought you into the night hours, but that was fully anticipated given the previous sessions…and was, given a member's comment about bringing sleeping bags, acknowledged by you.

That various members had planned in advance to do some bold mapping moves during this session made it absolutely critical that he moves and the deliberations happen when there was a reasonable chance for residents to view the discussion in real time. One example of this premeditation was member Pierce's comment Friday that she would hold off on her moves until the Saturday session.

On the map: In an effort to send this note timely, I have not yet listened to the deliberations around moving the Portola into D10 and disrupting the longtime Potrero/Dogpatch/Bayview neighborhood alliance. While I agree there were many requests from Chinese speakers to do this, I question elevating the ascendant Chinese majority minority voice over the voices trying to support the declining Black minority in this district. (I reference recent Chronicle articles on the data relating to demographic shifts.)

PLEASE REUNITE POTRERO/DOGPATCH/BAYVIEW in D10.

While I would have supported a map reversal putting the TL back in D6 per strong, well-organized voices, I have begun to question the true efficacy of increasing focus and resources on this long-time disadvantaged area. Unifying under one supervisor is a seemingly failed model. For at least the last 3 D6 supervisor's terms (20 years), the predominant or exclusive focus has been on helping this community, yet conditions have not improved. Indeed, Supervisor Haney wondered aloud during the recently proclaimed humanitarian crisis about the lack of response over all the years he has been trying to address this on-going emergency. Perhaps it is now appropriate that TWO supervisors carry the torch on this for increased visibility and action.

IN THE END I WANT WHAT IS BEST FOR THIS BESIEGED TL/SOMA POPULATION, but the current single-district model has not been working. Ideally, if the current D6/D5 boundaries stand, I pray that a new door of opportunity is being opened for this important community bloc.
Respectfully,
Alice Rogers
D6

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Brian Lowery; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:32 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Lowery <bslowery@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to do it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Kat Corzati; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:27 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kat Corzati <katcorzati@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My wife and I reside in Potrero Hill at 241 Missouri.

My wife is a San Francisco and Protrero Hill native, who is fortunate enough to reside in the neighborhood she was raised in.

I feel Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us – especially by combining District 9 between The Mission and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Our interests east of 101 are most aligned with those of the shoreline, and our communities as a Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square alliance work to celebrate and affirm our neighborhood identities.

Your current draft map does no reflect the true community alliances and identities of these neighborhoods, and seem arbitrary at best. The lines drafted run through us, and do not celebrate our real community made of multigenerational residents and our future neighbors. Please reconsider and revise the artificial split of our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kat Corzati

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Hannah Bascom; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE:
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:24 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannah Bascom <hannah.bascom@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Josh Schechtel; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: comments- new district map
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:19 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Josh Schechtel <josh@picton.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: comments- new district map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Task Force,
I have no idea why you had to wait until 3 AM to vote on this matter, but that is water under the bridge. Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are culturally a single community. We share community services, MUNI lines, street fairs and other events that create community. The draft map that you have created, and which none of us requested, has divided our community in a needless manner. Please reverse this decision and do not split up our community.
Thank you,
Josh Schechtel

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: John Offenhartz; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 11:41:12 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Offenhartz <john@offenhartz.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage.

In short: Your 4B map was perfect. This one is a disaster.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
John Offenhartz

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Emma Shlaes; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:21:12 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emma Shlaes <emmashlaes@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 9:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I know last week was super long and honestly I don't think the task force should be permitted to meet after 9 or 10 pm so that everyone can go home and rest!

However, I'm asking you to undo the 11th hour decision to split Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square and Bayview. These neighborhoods belong in District 10 with the Bayview. As you heard from many public comments, the Potrero Annex belongs with Bayview. You are dividing communities and neighbors and watering down black and brown votes.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It’s communities of interest that build out a district, and you’ve heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Emma Shlaes
1300 22nd Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Douglas L Comstock; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject:	RE: District 5
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:21:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 8:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members:

I have lived in the same building in the West NOPA since 1979. I'm old enough to be your grandfather (with the possible exception of Arnold Townsend). I fell asleep last night and was unable to speak to you.

Please do not sever our relationship with our community and diminish our representation.

While I know that the Mayor hates Dean Preston, in fact refuses to even speak to him, that is a failing she needs to work on.

It should not be the purview of the Redistricting Task Force to give the appearance of political motivation by attempting to make him unelectable. While I am confident that he will survive I am worried about someone who has to follow him.

I am also worried that, as an outer edge area of the new District 1, one that is separated from the Richmond by our history and by natural borders, (GG Park and Lone Mountain) that our West Panhandle area's problems will not be on the front burner for our new Supervisor.


When I moved here (from North Beach) I did so because there was a new coffeehouse we heard about in the Haight Ashbury that we heard about. The Blue Unicorn at 1927 Hayes St. (between Ashbury and Clayton) was the first of what would newly be called a "hippy" coffeehouse in San Francisco. It was a gathering place for artists, writers, poets, musicians and political activists. This gathering would become too large for West NOPA, and it spread to the greater Haight Street.

We worked together through the anti-war demonstrations, through Governor Reagan's invasion of the Haight using the National Guard to "restore peace." We worked with our Western Addition neighbors to stop redevelopment and the freeway through the Panhandle. We have continued to work on transportation, housing, and tourism issues.

Our historic attachment with the Haight Ashbury has not been broken until this Task Force moved, without any public testimony requesting it, to broke us from our partners. There was, in fact, much community testimony asking to keep us whole.

Please do the right thing, rescind your divisive map and adopt one that works for everyone - I suggest the Community Unity map has great merit

Thank You'



……

Doug Comstock, Editor
415 845-5778
Westside Observer
www.westsideobserver.com

A merry heart doeth good like a medicine.

Proverbs 17:22

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Arnie Zuckman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:21:04 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Arnie Zuckman <azuckman4@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 7:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore the District 10 of Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods.

I was surprised to see the the changes being considered for our neighborhood. District, 10 Boundaries you set in your map 4D.

Potrero Hill, Showplace and Dogpatch share common cause with our neighbors to the south. We have closed polluting power plants together and are slowly reclaiming industrial land. We carry the burdens of polluting freeways and diesel-powered trains. We host intergenerational public housing communities and fight together to ensure we get the civic infrastructure the rest of the City takes for granted.

On Saturday, April 2, you heard from over 180 residents from our district and across the City supporting Map 4D. You voted to move forward with the map. And then, on Tuesday at 3:00 am, while we were all sleeping, you rescinded that vote. There is a reason why our transparency laws are called Sunshine ordinances.

Keep Showplace, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, India Basin, Hunters Point, Bayview, Silver Terrace, Bayview Hill, Candlestick Point, Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley together. Keep the communities of Potrero Annex and Terrace, Alice Griffith, Hunters View, Hunters Point and Sunnydale together. Keep our diverse district together.

Please Restore and maintain the District 10 boundaries of Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	cassie.hoeprich@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:57 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cassie Hoeprich <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 6:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

-Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.

-Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.

-Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.

-Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.

-Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.

-Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/

Thank you for consideration.

Cassie Hoeprich
cassie.hoeprich@gmail.com
761 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: lanier_coles@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:52 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lanier Coles <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 5:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lanier Coles

Email	lanier_coles@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. The RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, with RTF meetings that took 8+ hours, it is factually impossible that "everyone" had the time and resources to call in and express support for that map. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Thank you. Lanier Coles Zip code 94118

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Josephine Zhao; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Correct translation time stamped 2:35am 4/12/2022, Redistricting Taskforce Public comments
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:48 AM
Attachments: image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Josephine Zhao <josephine_zhao@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 2:33 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Correct translation time stamped 2:35am 4/12/2022, Redistricting Taskforce Public comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Translator said “elect better supervisor”. The script for Mr. Tan said “elect good leaders who will fight for people”.

Please correct your record.

大家好，我是 aiming 天住诗谷区 人大代表，今晚我很荣幸有机会再次站在这个台发表讲话，首先，感谢RDT下委员会成员的辛勤工作，感谢市政府职机构，听到我们的心声，重新合并东谷区，宝多丽区，湾景区，成为全新的第十区，我们成功了，你们辛苦了，感恩感谢你们的智慧和付出。二十多年来争取，得到完美结果，我们赢得胜利，而欢欣鼓舞，我们要把这个喜讯分享给第十区的街坊知道，使这个区都能团结在一起。我们这个区来自不同族裔多元化家庭，都能尊重彼此的学习优点，特别非华裔有很多优秀人才参与市政府各个部门改政治政，为我们社区争取更多权益，使我们的社区更加有活力，拥护和热爱这个社区，造就为民行事的领袖，来带领我们把这个边缘化东南社区，保持社区完整和平的增加凝聚力，综合发展能力，美化社区，达到一加一大于二的实质效果，同时希望能规划建设更加多商品房，可附担的私低收入的房屋，使到市民感受到改善生活，安居乐业。

最后，我们还要把千田大道以西加入第四区，谢谢！

王丁军

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sietse Goffard; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Julia Marks
Subject:	RE: Comment from Asian Law Caucus
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:42 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sietse Goffard <sietseg@advancingjustice-alc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 12:09 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Julia Marks <juliam@advancingjustice-alc.org>
Subject: Comment from Asian Law Caucus

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

First, thank you all for your service on this task force. We recognize that this process has been extremely challenging for everyone involved, and we appreciate your continued dedication.

The Asian Law Caucus would like to, respectfully, bring up serious concerns we have had over the mapping process recently. The very significant, last-minute map changes at 2 AM on Sunday are particularly alarming. It's inappropriate and unfair to make such major shifts without the opportunity for real public review and input. Making these seismic changes to the map—with no time for adjustments and on the day the draft is finalized—effectively removes the public from this process.

We also reiterate the importance of basing maps on public comment. It's not just the right thing to do – it's a legal requirement spelled out in the San Francisco Charter (Sec. 13.110) that the task force "shall make … adjustments as appropriate based on public input at public hearings". The Charter also says that maps "shall reflect communities of interest within the city and county". Communities of interest and existing neighborhoods are named in the Charter as required criteria and should be prioritized above other criteria that are not named in the Charter, like compactness or following natural and artificial boundaries. Indeed, the state requirements for redistricting in many cities and counties rank communities of interest high, above these other criteria.

Before voting on a map, please ask yourselves honestly: "Is this map truly based on what we have heard from the public? Have we listened to and respected the hundreds of testimonies shared over the past months? Are we respecting communities of interest, especially marginalized communities?" There are many drafts, both from the Task Force and submitted by the public, which offer alternatives and reflect public input more accurately.

Thank you for your service again. We are counting on you to make the right choice tonight and truly listen to all the community input you have received.

Sietse Goffard

--
Sietse Goffard
Senior Voting Rights Coordinator
Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus
+1 (857) 500-2437 | sietseg@advancingjustice-alc.org

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Brooke; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch in the same district
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:38 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brooke <brooke_stephanie@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch in the same district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I live on Potrero Hill and never walk over the 101 to the Mission, but I do walk down the hill to the Dogpatch neighborhood many times a week.

While I appreciate all of San Francisco, it is the Dogpatch neighborhood and the design district which seamlessly connect with Potrero and which share the same interests and concerns.

Please do not separate us - this is not in our neighborhood's best interests.

Thank you,

Brooke Geffs
983 Wisconsin St.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ramon Iglesias; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Thank you for your work!
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:34 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ramon Iglesias <ramon.d.iglesias@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Thank you for your work!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi RDTF members,

Considered the circumstances, I opted not to give public comment today, I'm sure you don't need more torturing.

I wanted to express my gratitude for your work. I applied to be part of the force last year, and I cannot imagine handling the amount of stress that you are.

This city needs to improve its governance, unlimited public comment combined with partisan vitriol is a terrible way to run a city.

I hope you understand that the majority of San Francisco will not think ill of you, and that there are plenty of us who are grateful for your service.

Thank you for your hard work.

Ramon Iglesias

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	amy beinart; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting - April 11 2022
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:29 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: amy beinart <amybeinart@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - April 11 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Amy Beinart. I have lived in San Francisco for 43 years and have been living in Bernal for 33 years.

I am currently President of the Bernal Heights Democratic Club, which voted in March to endorse the Community Unity Map. I know that you refused to consider that map, but, honestly, I've never understood why. You could have. It worked. It was an even redistribution of population, and it met legal requirements for population variance. What's more, it represented the input from close to 100 groups who knew that their ability to have a voice in local government depends on their voting power.

I've spoken before you several times during this process. Today, I'm writing in solidarity and allyship with BIPOC and LGBTQ community leaders who've spoken so brilliantly and so passionately at today's hearing.

For months, the community has been coming to these meetings to answer your call to provide the info you said you wanted: to inform you about communities of interest and cultural districts so you could keep them whole and maintain their integrity. You've given lip service to prioritizing the unity of communities of color. What was the point? Was that a trick? Was that a trap? You heard hours and hours of testimony and then turned around with a shady reversal in the wee hours. The map that five members of the Task Force accepted early Sunday morning is a direct rejection of community input. I am grateful to the four Task Force members who removed themselves that early morning from a process that was clearly unethical. Their actions took courage and morality.

Under Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act, a change affecting voting, such as a redistricting plan, may not be used unless that jurisdiction can show that the change has neither a discriminatory purpose nor will have a discriminatory effect. The draft map you've accepted clearly has a discriminatory purpose. That is evidenced both by the lines you've drawn and the veiled path you took to move it forward. It is a brazen and blatant attempt to override voting rights by splitting communities of interest. If allowed to stand - and honestly I can’t imagine that it will be upheld when brought before a court of law - it would have a discriminatory effect on the very communities you should be protecting.

Please don't pit communities against each other. The people who testified today represent a rainbow coalition united in the cry to keep vulnerable communities intact. Do not disenfranchise communities that have struggled so long to remain in San Francisco. We would rather have a late map than a bad map. Please rescind this damaging map and listen to the community that is imploring you to keep Potrero in D10 and Portola in D9. Put the TL back in District 6. Do not pretend it can’t be done. That spin is a lie. Thank you.

<<<<<>>>>>
Amy Beinart
415 297-7752

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	artmechano; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:25 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: artmechano <artmechano@netscape.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Hello again, well thank you for putting me back with some of my neighborhood. But now this map still splits our neighborhood and it is starting to confuse.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

David Adams
Long time member of this community that I love!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Resend The Final Draft Map
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:19 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott <drcrscott71@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Resend The Final Draft Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Redistricting Task Force;

Hope deferred makes the heart sick but when desires are fulfilled it leads to a tree of life. Proverb 13:12 -
I am talking about lives:

My Name is Rev. Dr. Carolyn Scott, I serve as SF Clergy, Faith Based Leader, member of NAACP / SF Commissioner, all for building better lives and communities, giving hope today for a brighter tomorrow.
I am 75 years plus, a native born & raised in San Francisco, My heart is broken, I am appalled.
I am not proud of my city. I am shocked and in dismay.

After listening to the Saturday meeting into early Sunday morning the words "dishonesty, deceit, corruption, deception" certainly fit what I heard, as a Voting Process, inappropriately done as never before. It proves
you are not listening to God's people, shame on you for saying,"you were basing your decisions on the
people's needs and wants."

Dr. King said, "The Arc of the Moral Universe is long, but it bends towards Justice." I am devastated but
not defeated. We will use Justice to the Utmost. You are taking from people who culturally and racially stand
to lose much, this is not just about racial issues. You are giving to a culture we love and care about who will
not lose much at all. Your decisions are wrong. Take the time and do what's right there is no penalty.

I ask that you keep us whole,"Resend the Map." I implore you to reconcile yourselves by doing what is right and just. Do not sit and dismantle our community, our districts and our leaders which are making unplanned decisions based upon your wrong doings to leave positions where they are vitally needed. Reconcile the dismantling wrongs. Resend the Map and keep us whole. We will not go away, we will do everything the right way to bring forth justice and live peaceably with our neighbors. Please reconcile the devastating travesty of justice. Please do the right thing and resend the Map

Respectfully submitted,
Rev. Dr. Carolyn Scott

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Eric Hu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:15 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eric Hu <ehu405@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. Sincerely,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sue Vaughan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Our nation was founded on the concept of equality ...
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:12 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sue Vaughan <selizabethvaughan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Our nation was founded on the concept of equality ...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

I have lived in San Francisco's Richmond District since 1990. I have never made much money. In the past three years, I actually started to make more than $40,000 annually. I have been able to afford to live here because I have a rent-stabilized apartment and affordable public transit. My district supervisors -- Jake McGoldrick, Eric Mar, Sandra Fewer, and Connie Chan -- have been like me: working class. They have worked to protect the ability of low-income people to live and work in San Francisco. The shape of District One during the last 20 years has helped us elect working class supervisors.

Your current proposal for District 1 undermines my community of interest -- working class San Franciscans. Your current proposal puts Jack Dorsey, Tom Steyer, and other obscenely wealthy people who live in Sea Cliff and vote conservatively in District 1. Our nation was founded on the concept of equality, but it's laughable to think that I am the political equal of such wealthy people. Please put Sea Cliff back in District 2. Please restore the Rossi Park neighborhood east to Masonic to District 1.

It also looks like Mr. William Walker has some good ideas about adjusting the map.

Thank you for your work on the Task Force, but please do consider working class people in this city. We don't have the money the developers, realtors, and financiers have so we depend on you to draw district lines that do not disempower us.

Sue Vaughan
District 1

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Yvonne Dong; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: 4/11 Redistricting Task Force Public Comment
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:09 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yvonne Dong <yvedong@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4/11 Redistricting Task Force Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm Yvonne Dong. I'm a student and resident of Visitacion Valley which is in district 10, where many residents are low income, working class immigrants and people of color.

I am speaking today because redistricting affects MY future and representation as a future voter in SF.

We celebrate the overwhelming sentiment that community members want to be in relationship and solidarity with the Black community. At the same time, if we want to build honest and long lasting communities, we have to honor and respect others' needs. All the Black voices we have heard from D10 overwhelmingly talk about how keeping core communities intact is crucial to their survival. The map last night did the exact opposite.

Our power is not at the expense of another community's power, in fact we can only be powerful together, and that's why we are standing together as communities of color to fight for fair representation for ALL under-resourced communities.

Specifically, putting seacliff in district 1, and moving district 4 south, weakens working families' power over renter protections and affordable housing.

The final map prioritizes developers' interest and the most privileged in SF while dismissing and dividing the most vulnerable communities, including renters, working-class home-owners, immigrant working families, communities of color, the queer and transgender community.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lian Mar; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: 4/11 Redistricting Task Force Public Comment
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:06 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lian Mar <lianmar88@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4/11 Redistricting Task Force Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Task Force, my name is Lian, and I am a youth leader at the Chinese Progressive Association.

I am here to express my deep disappointment for how this task force has disregarded working class communities of color and queer/trans communities in the redistricting process. This map is a product of a deeply flawed and undemocratic process. It's supposed to be transparent and aim for fair representation, it has been a very compressed process, with inadequate language access, and Task force members cherry-picking public input, misrepresenting community views and ignoring the voices of working-class tenants and seniors.

The final map is a Disaster that priorities the interests of developers and most privileged in SF while dismissing and dividing the most vulnerable communities. I live in the Sunset district, D4. and moving the line south is not only strange, because the community south of sloat is widely different than north.. It will more importantly weaken the power and ability for working families to win key issues like renter protections and affordable housing, both of which we desperately need more of in SF.

As many have repeated, I'm here to say: We'd rather have a late map than a bad map. You have the power to add more meetings to get a new vote on a map, it's time to make the right decision - stand with san Francisco working people to keep our communities together. Thank you so much.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: William Walker; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Adkins, Joe (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject: RE: Updated Slide. Please share this.
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:20:04 AM
Attachments: image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.


Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.


The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Updated Slide. Please share this.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.





District name	% Deviation	Comments
4	-1.09	
7	-2.19	
5	0.06	
3	2.22	
6	-0.91	
7	-2.19	
4	-1.09	
2	1.17	
10	2.81	
1	-1.08	
9	-0.64	
8	-4.52	

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: yannbd@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:59 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yann Benetreau <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Yann Benetreau

Email	yannbd@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Karen Thompson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:55 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Thompson <karenthompson1@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing once again. I understand that this is not an easy task but it seems that the rooted rational thinking about connected neighborhoods need to be considered

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it. My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors. Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us. Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Thank you for your reconsideration
Karen Thompson

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; William Walker
Subject:	FW: Map 4am 10APR
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:50 AM
Attachments:	Map 4am 10APR.pptx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:32 PM
To: Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Map 4am 10APR

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Good evening clerks,

Please screen share this for my comments tonight. Thanks for your hard work.

William

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **William Walker** <wiyum@wiyum.org>
Date: Sun, Apr 10, 2022 at 3:49 AM
Subject: Map 4am 10APR
To: <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>, John Carroll <john.carroll@sfgov.org>, <rdtf@sfgov.org>, <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Sent from my iPhone



District name	% Deviation	Comments
4	-1.09	
7	-2.19	
5	0.06	
3	2.22	
6	-0.91	
7	-2.19	
4	-1.09	
2	1.17	
10	2.81	
1	-1.08	
9	-0.64	
8	-4.52	

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Tai Mamoe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE:
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:36 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tai Mamoe <taimamoecampaigns4u@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is disgusting the length Mayor Breed will go concerning the redistricting of the supervisorial lines to protect fat cat billionaire developers of which are the Mayors only concern. The guilty in her administration of hand picked homies continues to astound City residents as most recently her lover Mohammed Nuru we'll go down for almost a decade in federal penitentiary for selling City contracts and lining his pocket and that of the Mayor Breed.

Tualatai Mamoe

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Priscilla Scala; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:32 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Priscilla Scala <priscilla.scala@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. Sincerely,

Priscilla scala

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Dana Bolstad (DBOLSTAD); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please undo the division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:29 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dana Bolstad (DBOLSTAD) <bolstad.dana@gene.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please undo the division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

My neighbors and I have tried to make it clear that we want to keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together in the same district. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage that we feel is unique to this city and binds us together. Our schools and parks, our grocery stores and restaurants, our artists and galleries, our recreation centers and playgrounds, our transportation corridors, and our Green Benefit District, all of these areas depend on one another in a community that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities that make this district what it is, and you've heard from many of us about how we feel. We believe that there is a district map that can keep us together. Please reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Dana Bolstad
993 Tennessee St, San Francisco, CA 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jean bogiages; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:26 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jean bogiages <jeanmbogiages@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. We need our brothers and sisters in Bayview also.

Sincerely,
Jean Bogiages

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; ARASH ANOSHIRAVANI
Subject:	FW: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:21 AM
Attachments:	Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community.msg image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: ARASH ANOSHIRAVANI <aanoshir@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:	ARASH ANOSHIRAVANI
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 9:04:01 PM

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Arash Anoshiravani, MD
Rhode Island St
SF

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Pedro Hernandez
Subject:	FW: Letter re: 2022 Redistricting Process
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:19:05 AM
Attachments:	2022 04 11 Letter Re 2020 SF RDTF.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pedro Hernandez <phernandez@commoncause.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter re: 2022 Redistricting Process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached see our letter regarding San Francisco's 2022 redistricting process.

Pedro Hernandez *(he/him)*
Legal and Policy Director, California Common Cause

phone: 415-613-2363
web: commoncause.org/ca

April 11, 2022

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org

CC: San Francisco Elections Commission elections.commission@sfgov.org
San Francisco Board of Supervisors Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Mayor of San Francisco MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org

Transmitted via electronic mail

Re: 2022 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Processes

Dear Honorable Commissioners and Staff,

California Common Cause is a nonpartisan, grassroots organization dedicated to upholding the core values of American democracy. We have played a key role in bringing fair redistricting to California communities by passing both statewide and local reforms to make redistricting more transparent, independent, inclusive, and accessible.

We have been monitoring the events that have transpired since the April 4th Redistricting Task Force ("RDTF") meeting when after receiving significant community input, the RDTF voted 6-3 at 2:53am to reverse its previous decision to advance an earlier map that was passed by an 8-1 vote. The manner and time of these decisions alarmed us – as Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus, and the League of Women Voters of San Francisco noted in their April 6th letter to the Elections Commission, "[m]ajor decisions that affect San Franciscans for the next ten years should not be made in the early hours of the morning without public oversight and transparency." It should not be surprising that late night decisions undermined the public trust because the earlier 8-1 vote to advance Map 4D signaled that the RDTF had decided to move in a particular direction. Similarly, at the April 10th meeting the RDTF took a 30-minute break and made a sudden 3am reversal of a previous map decision. We are troubled by the lack of notice to reversal of major decisions.

We had hoped that the RDTF would extend its greatest efforts to ensure a transparent, accountable, open, and equitable process where the results reflect the weight of public input of historically and systematically marginalized, vulnerable, and disadvantaged communities.

Further, the San Francisco Charter lacks clarity on the order of priority for redistricting criteria, which creates opportunities where community testimony and input is not central to the process.

This absence of clarity in the San Francisco Charter must be examined and addressed.[1] State law has created a direct avenue for a community-driven process that prioritizes the needs of constituents and not those of politicians. The FAIR MAPS Act (Bonta, 2019), which California Common Cause sponsored, created new standards for city and county redistricting that are designed to enable communities of interest to better engage in the process, creating transparency and trust between local government and community members. Among its provisions, the FAIR MAPS Act established a set of substantive redistricting criteria for cities to follow, in ranked order, when drawing new district lines. Central to this order of principles is communities of interest preservation.[2]

We appreciate your attention to these matters. Should you have any questions, I can be reached at phernandez@commoncause.org

Sincerely,



Pedro Hernandez
Legal and Policy Director
California Common Cause

[1] We are encouraged by the Election's Commission's intent to create a series of recommendations to inform the next redistricting task force, and our organization will be available as a resource for those deliberations.
[2] CA Election Code, sections 21500, 21601, and 21621.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carolyn Lucas; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Message re: Splitting Up Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:29 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carolyn Lucas <chgolugan@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Message re: Splitting Up Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners:

Why did you split Potrero Hill off from Dogpatch and Showplace Square!? We are all part of the same community in so many ways. We have common interests: stores, churches, businesses, parks, schools that we all share. I live in Potrero Hill but I spend a great deal of time at Esprit Park in Dogpatch. One of my favorite restaurants - Just For You Diner - is in Dogpatch. There is a real sense of community here that you don't find in some other parts of the city.

What are you commissioners doing?! Whom are you serving with these changes?! I am absolutely suspicious that some of you have some corrupt political reasons for doing what you have done. You have not served on this commission with real concern for the citizens of our beloved city. Time will reveal your true agendas and the public will be watching.

Carolyn Lucas
32 year resident of Potrero Hil
45 year resident of San Francisco

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dkuyeda@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:25 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Uyeda

Email	dkuyeda@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: HAPPENING TONIGHT! - Redistricting Task Force Meeting!
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:21 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: HAPPENING TONIGHT! - Redistricting Task Force Meeting!

Hello john,

Can you distribute the below?

Thank you!

Eileen

From: Ocean Avenue CBD <info.oacbd@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:04 PM
To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: HAPPENING TONIGHT! - Redistricting Task Force Meeting!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

District 11 takes most of the air contamination from the 280 freeway. This contamination has never been addressed and it should be. Dan
Daniel Weaver
Ocean Avenue Association
t: 650-273-6223
e: info.oacbd@gmail.com

On Mon, Apr 11, 2022 at 5:20 PM Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org> wrote:

> Dear Community Partner,
> The Redistricting Task Force has approved its Draft Final Map for your review!
> Draft Final Map can be found here.
> Public comment period is open until consideration of the Final Draft Map on April 13, 2022.
> April 11, 2022 @ 5:30 p.m. - Agenda Link
> April 13, 2022 @ 3:00 p.m. - Agenda Link
>
> Thank you,
>
> Eileen McHugh
> Executive Assistant
> Office of the Clerk of the Board
> Board of Supervisors
> 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
> San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
> Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
> eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jbentdel@comcast.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:16 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Delman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Delman

Email	jbentdel@comcast.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: alextaylor1001@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:13 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alex Taylor <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alex Taylor

Email	alextaylor1001@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cindysf2@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:10 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sydney Samucha <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sydney Samucha

Email	cindysf2@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.
Sydney Samucha

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: H. Smith; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:06 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: H. Smith <cl78910@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely, Carolyn Lucas - 32 yr resident of Potrero Hill

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cynthia Joseph; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: MAP
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:18:01 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cynthia Joseph <reverendcynt59@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: MAP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

resend the map you know that you can extend the deadline.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ernest Gomes; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:57 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ernest Gomes <egomes@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 8:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** Karen <kielygomes@yahoo.com>
> **Date:** April 11, 2022 at 5:48:40 PM PDT
> **To:** Ernest Gomes <egomes@berkeley.edu>
> **Subject: Fw: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act**

> Begin forwarded message:
>
> On Monday, April 11, 2022, 2:36 PM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:
>
> > Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Schwartz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Karen Schwartz
Email	kielygomes@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made

are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sophia Andary [she/her]; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force- Comments on final Draft
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:51 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sophia Andary [she/her] <sandary@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 7:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force- Comments on final Draft

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Sophia Andary, I am a queer middle eastern woman, a commissioner and a community activist.

I have lived in the same apartment building in D1 (California & 25th Ave) for the last 13 years. I wouldn't have been able to live in SF in my own space had it not been for rent control and affordable rent that I have in Richmond.

Sea Cliff is the opposite of what you see in D1/ Richmond District and to include this area in D1 would truly have dire consequences. Sea cliff area is a very high income area (in my lifetime I will never be able to live in sea cliff); adding this to the median income will make it more difficult to receive the services our community needs.
We also need more diversity and Sea Cliff which is 72% white and filthy rich does not help communities of interest.

This has become Political with self interest over community internet. I couldn't be considered for this Redistricting Task Force since I ran for office in the last 5 years, I hope no members will running for any elected office for the next 5 years. I'll be keeping a very close eye on who will be running for district supervisors in the upcoming elections.

Rescind this map and extend this process.

You asked for community voices and have only listened to the white, conservative, & rich communities that did not come in as allies; they used reverse racism & a BS "can't we all get along" when they truly want to silence the voices of the marginalized.

Listen to the most marginalized, to the Black and Brown and LGBT+ communities.

Stop muting us. Stop separating us. Stop taking away our voice.

Thank you,
Sophia Andary (She/Her/Hers)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: laurenpierik@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:46 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LAUREN PIERIK <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 7:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent LAUREN PIERIK

Email	laurenpierik@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: pzmpzm@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Michael <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 7:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Peter Michael

Email	pzmpzm@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Caroline Palmer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:36 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	larry@saintrubidium.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:28 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: larry@saintrubidium.com <larry@saintrubidium.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am very strongly opposed to the redistricting map that was passed at 2:00 AM with four panel members absent. Restore the previously agreed upon map. Listen to the voters!!!
Do not separate communities.
Larry Ackerman
932 Page St
San Francisco 94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Bonnie Baron; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:24 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bonnie Baron <bbaron1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. Sincerely,
Bonnie Baron
731 Rhode Island

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mc LaserBroiler; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force draft map
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:20 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mc LaserBroiler <laserbroiler@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force draft map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I have lived in District 5 since 1988.
The current District 5 district map is a great representation of the community and neighborhood that I am proud to be a resident.
I have been very disappointed to witness the district map redrawing in the recent iterations of the Redistricting Task Force draft map.

It makes no sense to chop our district down to a such a drastic reduction as in the draft map. District 5's diversity and community is an integral representation of San Francisco.

Please do not drastically remove our neighborhoods.

I vote to maintain the District 5 boundaries as they are currently.

Sincerely,

Carla Laser
655 Haight Street, Apt.B

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jessie Carr; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please repair the artificial split of our community of Potrero Hill and do not separate Potrero Hill from Dogpatch
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:17:05 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jessie Carr <jessie.s.carr@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please repair the artificial split of our community of Potrero Hill and do not separate Potrero Hill from Dogpatch

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting TaskForce:

I am writing to urge your task force to not split up Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square as currently proposed in the draft redistricting map. The current proposal doesn't make sense and splits up an area that is a logical community with common interests.

To reiterate points I'm sure your task force has seen already:

Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community, and re-unite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square as these neighborhoods all have common interests together.

Sincerely,
-Jessie Carr

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ayhc69@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:16:55 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angie Yap <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Angie Yap

Email	ayhc69@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sheffield; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Comments
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:16:52 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*


From: Sheffield <sheffieldhale@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.


Hi, my name is Sheffield Hale and if anyone out there is concerned about the integrity of our democracy, please get in touch - I'm interested in getting involved in some non-partisan advocacy to make our City more representative and democratic.

Districts 1, 2, and 4 are among the very wealthiest, most well-resourced and slowest-growth areas of the City all get the greatest power per person possible (nearly all are at -5).



Well-resourced neighborhoods. March 2022 Housing Element Update. SF Planning Department. https://www.sfhousingelement.org/housing-element-2022-update-highlights.

The only districts that should have a "-5" are the ones that will actually see significant growth (like Districts 6 and 10) or are in our state-designated disadvantaged communities whose lack of resources has undermined their voice and could desperately use the extra political power to advocate for their priorities (Districts 5, 6 and 10).



Map of SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (Updated July 2018). https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535.

In a state whose lack of representation in the senate has dire consequences for our national democracy, how can we just turn around and create a similarly non-representative legislative body?

My name is Sheffield Hale and I live in District 8 or 5 depending on the week, and please let me know if anyone listening wants to collaborate on non-partisan activism improving San Francisco's democracy.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jonathanbuenemann@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:16:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jonathan Buenemann <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jonathan Buenemann

Email	jonathanbuenemann@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: pmathews@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:16:10 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pete Mathews <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Pete Mathews

Email	pmathews@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Sheldon Trimble; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: PLEASE PLEASE - Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch & Showplace Square a Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:16:03 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sheldon Trimble <sheldonkt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: PLEASE PLEASE - Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch & Showplace Square a Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force -

PLEASE PLEASE - keep our neighborhood together.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square have been a community that has been working together for years on various project to help our community and make it a better place to live.

As an area of the city where 101 and 280 cut though our neighborhood, we have always thought of ourselves as one community who have common causes that keep us together.

We keep seeing maps that keep breaking us up. With each map I feel sick thinking that with all that we have worked on and are working on we would now be needing to work with two or three different supervisors to help us with each project.

PLEASE PLEASE keep us together. I am sure that there is a possible solution.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Trimble

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Todd; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:54 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Todd <todd.clark.snyder@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a stakeholder and resident of District 5, I am disgusted by the despicable way that the Redistricting Task Force literally ignored the will of the people and decided **in the middle of the night** to betray democracy. By collaborating with Mayor Breed's office and corporations to suddenly reject a map the community could accept in favor of a radical new version that destroys our neighborhoods is unacceptable. Since 4 of your own members walked out in protest, it's abundantly clear that this commission lacks any authority and must be disbanded.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kathy Howard; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Comments - please give some attention to the publics" comments
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:49 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Comments - please give some attention to the publics' comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

It is beyond comprehension that you are ignoring the comments and excellent input from the various communities on interest in San Francisco. PLEASE listen to everyone!

I currently live in the Sunset district and have also lived for many years in the Richmond District as well as other districts in San Francisco. I am also a member of D4ward, a Sunset District neighborhood organization.

I suggest you refer to the Community Unity map in your decision making. Otherwise, among the recent maps you published, the 4D map is the most reasonable - but it needs a lot of work:

For District 4:

- If you move the District 4 boundary south of Sloat Boulevard as you have planned, you will be depriving the people in that area of their community of interest with District 7. So instead *please keep the current southern D4 boundary at Sloat Boulevard.*
    - *Move the boundary for District 4 east along the edge of Golden Gate* Park - along Lincoln, Irving, Judah and Kirkham to meet the western boundary from District 5. Keep the Inner Sunset in District 5 and District 4.
- Keep the south-eastern and eastern edge of Golden Gate Park in District 5.
- For District 1 – *Keep the current northern boundary of District 1*. Do not move into Sea Cliff, which is not a community of interest with the rest of the Richmond District and would better relate to District 2.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katherine Howard

District 4

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: phuongvu88@sbcglobal.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:46 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hoaiphuong Vu <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Hoaiphuong Vu

Email	phuongvu88@sbcglobal.net
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ttalarico003@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:42 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tony Talarico <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:47 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Tony Talarico

Email	ttalarico003@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Joyce Lavey; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:38 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joyce Lavey <joyce.lavey@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: marg_os@pacbell.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:35 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret OSullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret OSullivan

Email	marg_os@pacbell.net
I am a resident of	District 7
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: johnleemk@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:31 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ming Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ming Lee

Email	johnleemk@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ccanepa50@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:28 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Canepa <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charles Canepa

Email	ccanepa50@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I have reviewed the latest map related to district 5, with Cole Valley where I live being split off and joined to district 8. I support this change as district 5 can better represent its constituents without Cole Valley. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Christina Pavloff; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE:
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:19 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christina Pavloff <pavloff@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Please keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Bayview together as part of District 10!!!

Sincerely,
Christina Pavloff
D10 Bayview resident/Potrero Hill native

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: acxavier@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:14 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Xavier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Christopher Xavier

Email	acxavier@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: acxavier@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:09 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Cavier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alice Cavier

Email	acxavier@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Dolly Ramos; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 11, 2022 - Agenda Item #2: Final Draft Map
Date:	Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:06 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dolly Ramos <dolly.ramos@sfbec.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 11, 2022 - Agenda Item #2: Final Draft Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

April 11, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco CA 94102

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

My name is Dolly Ramos and I am with the Bayanihan Equity Center. BEC is a non-profit providing direct services to seniors and adults with disabilities in SF. I have been a worker in D6 for 6 years and a resident for 3 years.

I am writing yet again another letter to you hoping that you read this, along with hundreds of other community members' letters urging you to LISTEN to us in regards to this process. We have been expressing our inputs through letters and doing public comments in person and by phones on the maps that you keep on pushing for but you continue to ignore hundreds of community voices for political favors. It is clear on the way you conduct the last redistricting meetings that there are political influences overpowering this process as you continue to disregard the public's opinions. The charter requires you to be an independent body that protects the communities' rights to fair representations, preserves shared histories and cultures, and takes communities of interests into consideration, yet you continue to act based on agendas that seem to influence your decisions after your breaks.

Stop pitting our communities against each other. I am against any attempt that makes it seem that it's Asians v.s. Blacks or other races conflict over a map. It never was and will never be. Conflicts over a map are from you trying to give the privileged communities more power over people of color, tenants, working class and low income residents. We are seeing communities being divided in this process and we will stand united against any of your attempts to do so. If you only listen to us, we already did the work for you. We have provided you information about our communities and communities of interest. We provided you suggestions on boundaries that different communities are aligned with. All you have to do is hear us.

Keep the Tenderloin together with SOMA and the Cultural Districts in D6 which you heard overwhelming support for. Prioritize the more vulnerable communities over the privileged communities.

Sincerely,
Dolly Marie Ramos
Community Service Worker (BEC) & SoMa Resident

--

Dolly Marie Ramos | Community Service Worker & Data Systems

Specialist
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers (What's this?)
Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103
Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org
Facebook | Instagram | Twitter

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: zlatt@sfsu.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:15:02 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zay Latt <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Zay Latt

Email	zlatt@sfsu.edu
I am a resident of	District 7
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: rincon.ao@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:14:59 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Omar Rincon <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Omar Rincon

Email	rincon.ao@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Heidi Williams; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:14:55 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Heidi Williams <heidivt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest.

We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Heidi Williams
Potrero Hill resident for 23 years

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: daphne@cal.berkeley.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 10:14:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Lloyd <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Daphne Lloyd

Email	daphne@cal.berkeley.edu
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	mildred anne; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Reverse your artificial split of our community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:39 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: mildred anne <obliomadler@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reverse your artificial split of our community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: roodad@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Mahoney <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Mahoney

Email	roodad@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bilques.smith@sothebyshomes.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:33 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bilques smith <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bilques smith

Email	bilques.smith@sothebyshomes.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: idomeneo@mindspring.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:29 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Art Lamb <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Art Lamb

Email	idomeneo@mindspring.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: greenleaf07@mindspring.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:26 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: COLEY POGOSIAN <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent COLEY POGOSIAN

Email	greenleaf07@mindspring.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: patkelly@mindspring.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pat Kelly <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Pat Kelly

Email	patkelly@mindspring.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.
pat kelly

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: joedimento@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:19 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joe DiMento <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Joe DiMento

Email	joedimento@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Donovan Lacy; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep D10 Together
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:16 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donovan Lacy <donovanlacysf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep D10 Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force

I am saddened and I am frustrated. Although huge numbers of residents have expressed the clear message that Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community, you have all but ignored those voices. Your current map splits our community in Northern D10 into two districts D6 and D9, neither of which makes sense or has been requested by the communities you are splitting or consolidating. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.

My neighbors, from throughout D10 have detailed how we have worked together to make our neighbors a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us. Please reunite Dogpatch, Potrero, Showplace and Potrero Annex.

Thank you,

Donovan Lacy
Vice President - Dogpatch Neighborhood Association
Co-Chair, Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Associations joint Livable Streets Committee
206-910-9503

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cindysf2@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:11 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sydney Samucha <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sydney Samucha

Email	cindysf2@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lol Halsey; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill redistricting proposal
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lol Halsey <lol@nekostudios.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill redistricting proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Sir / Madam,

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.
Sincerely
Lol Halsey (Potrero Hill resident)

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Sincerely
Lol Halsey (Potrero Hill resident)

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Pankaj Agarwal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I advocate for Map 4B
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:40:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pankaj Agarwal <pa@pankaj.ca>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I advocate for Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I’m a Resident of Potrero Hill, and advocate for Map 4B.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. South Beach also has similar concerns to ours.

Your draft map splits us and harms us. Please keep us with Mission Bay which is a similar community.

This was done best in Map 4B.

Pankaj Agarwal
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: amydawson2010@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:56 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Dawson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Amy Dawson
Email	amydawson2010@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: linglee2004@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:53 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sylvia Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sylvia Lee

Email	linglee2004@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Stacey Myers; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: NO - Dogpatch and Potrero Hill redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stacey Myers <staceymyers55@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO - Dogpatch and Potrero Hill redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

And that is it.

--

Stacey Myers
415-940-8035

808 Minnesota #353 SF, CA 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Hugo Kobayashi; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: The fix is in.
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hugo Kobayashi <sffishhead@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: The fix is in.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Hugo Kobayashi and I've been a resident of the Inner Sunset and District Five for 29 years.

It is now 1:00pm, 4-11-22. There is no reason for me to ask for changes to the map because it's obvious that the fix is in. Six of the nine task force members were most likely appointed to create a gerrymandered map friendly to real estate interests. It's obvious to me that these six task force members were instructed NOT to listen to the public. They were most likely instructed to make a map friendly to the real estate industry.

I want to commend the three task force members who actually listened to the people who gave public comment and tried to follow their wishes: **Jeremy Lee, Jose Maria (Chema) Hernandez Gil** and **J. Michelle Pierce.** It's hard to imagine that the other members are not taking orders from Mayor London Breed and the real estate industry.

I am especially disgusted with task members Ditka Reiner and Reverend Arnold Thompson.

Ms. Reiner's last second change to move Potrero Hill into District Nine was extremely transparent. It's obvious that she got a last-minute call from one of her bosses instructing her to split the historically black neighborhoods of Portrero Hill and the Bayview into different districts. Her excuse was that she made a mistake. That excuse is almost impossible to believe. It is more than obvious that she is lying.

I listened to Reverend Townsend talk a lot about how the Black community in SF is being decimated. So why did he vote to move Potrero Hill into District Nine? This move further weakens the Black community. If Reverend Townsend cares about the black community so much, why would he vote to move Potrero Hill into District Nine?

In the recording of the Saturday night meeting, I listened to Ms. Reiner blaming the community for being uneducated about the redistricting process. It's not that complicated! Is the process so complicated that the only way to update the maps is to weaken and dilute the voting power of the tenant, poor and ethnic neighborhoods? Is the process so complicated that the only way to update the district map is to make it easier for the real estate industry to build luxury condos all over this city?

Why was the Tenderloin incorporated into District Five? I've given public comment three times by phone and I heard a lot of public comment. I didn't hear one person from the Tenderloin say that they wanted to be in District Five. I heard no one from District Five say that they wanted the Tenderloin to be in District Five. Almost all the people I heard talk from the Tenderloin said that they wanted to stay in District Six. I heard many people from District Six say that they wanted the Tenderloin to stay in District Six.

I heard that task force member, Reverend Arnold Townsend wanted to create a "black" district by inserting the Tenderloin into District Five. I didn't hear anyone support this move during public comment. I don't support it either. How does one person get to make this change?

Why was the Community Unity Map been abandoned? It was endorsed by multiple community groups and fulfilled all the changes that needed to be made. All 11 districts had roughly equal populations in this map. This map fulfilled all the necessary changes. I guess that the real estate industry didn't approve of the Community Unity Map.

Thank you,

Hugo Kobayashi

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cath Wayland; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Cath Wayland <cathwayl@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Cath Wayland
Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kyle Borland; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Reunite District 10: Bayview-Hunters Point, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:37 PM
Attachments:	image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kyle Borland <kgborland23@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reunite District 10: Bayview-Hunters Point, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Bayview-Hunters Point, Dogpatch, Potrero Hill, and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods organize together on everything from opposing Amazon to supporting each other's business corridors to marching together as the only district represented as a whole in the SF Pride Parade. Not only have these communities demonstrated time-and-again our interconnectedness and dedication to one another, but we have done so while being one of the most diverse districts across the board.

In the specific case of Bayview-Hunters Point and Potrero Hill/Dogpatch – it is unconscionable to divide the Black community's voting power into *two or three* separate districts. In no way should a map that dismembers this community be passed by the task force or allowed to be enacted by our City. Similarly, there are no grounds for adding Portola to District 10 and the communities of Portola have made that abundantly clear if the task force would prioritize the voices of the actual residents rather than powerful and loud forces speaking on their behalf without consent.


If a compromise must be made on the East Side because the western, richer parts of the City have not done their fair share of housing construction, then it should be to split Mission Bay from the Embarcadero and place it within D10. The overwhelmingly wealthy, white people of what now currently constitutes southern District 6 should not be prioritized over the communities of the southeast that have a common cultural, economic, and historical fabric.

District 10 represents the past, present, and a vibrant future of San Francisco if it's allowed to be kept whole and realize the potential of the work we've done these last 10 years. The current map that divides the heart of D10 from itself makes that impossible and San Francisco will be the worse for it.

Reunite Bayview-Hunters Point, Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square.

Thank you,
Kyle Borland
94124

PS – I prefer this map proposed online by Bruce Halperin (even though it does not align 100% with what I stated above) because I believe it better represents District 10 as it operates daily.



--

Kyle Borland
kgborland.com | @kgborland | LinkedIn | 478.213.8784
Founder | Ounce Strategy | #ShareTheWeight

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cathy@viehweg.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:34 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Catherine Viehweg <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Catherine Viehweg

Email	cathy@viehweg.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mark Leuthold; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Mark Leuthold <markrex@me.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Mark Leuthold
701 Minnesota St. 153
Dogpatch

May Peace Prevail

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dearan.m.roche@tcu.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dearan Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dearan Roche

Email	dearan.m.roche@tcu.edu
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mosroche@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:19 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannora Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Hannora Roche

Email	mosroche@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dblbirdy@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:16 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James osullivan

Email	dblbirdy@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: aislin.palladino@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:11 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aislin Palladino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Aislin Palladino

Email	aislin.palladino@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: etroche@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:08 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eamon Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eamon Roche

Email	etroche@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Shireen Irvine Perry; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:39:04 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Shireen Irvine Perry <sirvineperry@att.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Shireen
Resident of Dogpatch

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: krochemusic@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:59 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kevin Roche

Email	krochemusic@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cullen.roche1992@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:55 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cullen Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cullen Roche

Email	cullen.roche1992@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slatehouse@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:52 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James O'Sullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James O'Sullivan

Email	slatehouse@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: irishslate@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:50 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret Osullivan

Email	irishslate@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: osullroche@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:45 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email	osullroche@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	heather Villaseñor; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: RDTF Please Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: heather Villaseñor <heather@415clothing.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: RDTF Please Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:
I truly do not understand how you can separate Potrero Hill and the Dogpatch, we work together on so many issues, we have voted together many years ago, to pay increased property tax, to support the Green Benefit District, that has improved so many areas of District 10. Our 2 neighborhood groups, The Boosters and The DNA, we personally have belonged to both organizations for years. How can you divide our GBD, how will funds be separated and allocated, from our property tax dollars that we voted to increase, for the Green Benefit District?? Now it will cost more to have multiple boards for this organization. This is ridiculous!!

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.
Sincerely
Heather & Raul Villasenor
2347-19th st.
Potrero Hill 60+ year residents and property owners

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: laurenpierik@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:38 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LAUREN PIERIK <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent LAUREN PIERIK

Email	laurenpierik@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: arikanter@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:35 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ari Kanter <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ari Kanter

Email	arikanter@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: klips007@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ken Lips <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ken Lips

Email	klips007@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: buzbev@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bev Spector <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bev Spector

Email	buzbev@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: carenfunke@icloud.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: carenfunke@icloud.com <carenfunke@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Caren Funke
965 Wisconsin Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Daniel Murphy; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:18 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daniel Murphy <danielmurphy@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a property owner on Potrero Hill, I was literally shocked to see the draft map place Potrero Hill with The Mission??? Candidly, I simply don't see any rationale for that pairing whatsoever.

Potrero Hill should be in the same district as Dogpatch and ideally Showplace Square.

My two cents

Daniel Murphy
danielmurphy@sbcglobal.net
650-642-0750

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	paul kos; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: paul kos <paulkos.art@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square and Utah Street together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square and Utah Street.

Sincerely,
Paul Kos

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Elizabeth Sullivan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Sullivan <lizsg@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sullivan
1537-A 18th St.
SF

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Isabelle Sorrell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Isabelle Sorrell <isorrell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together, including Utah street. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, including Utah street Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Isabelle Sorrell

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: yuenuyeda@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:38:01 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Norah Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Norah Uyeda

Email	yuenuyeda@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Carl Hangee-Bauer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting Potrero Hill
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:57 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Carl Hangee-Bauer <carl.hb@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and undoes years of working together.

You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

As a member of the Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association, I have seen these diverse areas of our neighbor hood work together for the common good of our community and San Francisco.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Please reverse your artificial split of our community and keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square in the same district.

Carl Hangee-Bauer
610 Wisconsin Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	dennis montalto; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Map
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:50 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: dennis montalto <montyd285@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task force,

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

Sincerely,

Dennis Montalto

1504 25th street

Sent from Mail for Windows

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Barb Fritz; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:47 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barb Fritz <barb_fritz@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single, strong community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. Please take the opportunity and power you have to correct this.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors. We want this.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Please draw district lines that make sense to us.

Barb Fritz
1280 Minnesota #305
San Francisco

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cathryn Blum; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cathryn Blum <catbirdsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 1:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cathryn Blum <catbirdsf@gmail.com>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: **Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together.** These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, **these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.**

Communities of interest build out a district. You've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. Neither we, nor a greater district, will work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through and divide us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map needlessly and randomly splits and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it by reuniting Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Thank you for your sincere and important consideration of this request,

Cathryn Blum
Steering Committee

Carolina Green Space - Potrero Hill
An SF Parks Alliance Partner
carolinagreenspace.org

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sally.sharrock@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:40 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sally Sharrock <sally.sharrock@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,
Thank you for your time and efforts.
I am writing as a resident of Dogpatch.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sally Sharrock,
Dogpatch Resident

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: alinnenbach@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:37 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: asheley linnenbach <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent asheley linnenbach

Email	alinnenbach@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Johnmburns48@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:34 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John and Usha Burns <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John and Usha Burns

Email	Johnmburns48@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Madison Cario; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please Consider Undoing the Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:37:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Madison Cario <Madison@minnesotastreetproject.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Consider Undoing the Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Madison

Madison Cario (they/them) | Chief Executive Officer
Minnesota Street Project
Main: 415-243-0825
Cell: 415-287-0960
Fax: 415-946-6088
madison@minnesotastreetproject.com
www.minnesotastreetproject.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: stepahanieadraktas@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:33 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Adraktas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Stephanie Adraktas

Email	stepahanieadraktas@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Jennifer Betti; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:29 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Betti <jennifer.betti@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:47 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force- Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Jennifer Betti
Proud Resident of Potrero Hill (since 2002)

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Paul Sears; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public Comment on SF District Map April 11, 2022
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Sears <paul.r.sears@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment on SF District Map April 11, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello - I am writing to provide public comment on the draft district map. I have been a resident of Mission Bay and Dogpatch since 2014, approximately 4 years in each community.

I greatly appreciate you separating Dogpatch from District 10, because I feel strongly that the Dogpatch (present day and future trajectory) is a lot more like Mission Bay, than area south of Cesar Chavez. I personally feel that one supervisor for Dogpatch Mission Bay and parts of SOMA would be better equipped to represent our shared interests in Dogpatch as a rapidly changing residential/ commercial/ light industrial neighborhood. There is more common ground to work from in Mission Bay, SOMA and Dogpatch, and I would feel better that our supervisor would be better equipped to advocate for the interests of residents, workers and businesses in these neighborhoods.

Dogpatch has historically been lumped in with heavy-industrial areas such as Bayview/Hunters Point, which are wonderfully diverse communities, but which are zoned completely different from present-day Dogpatch. Dogpatch is experiencing a rapid degree of urban infill and adaptive reuse (very similar to what Mission Bay and SOMA have gone through), and we should all be represented by a single supervisor who understands this landscape and can better shepherd the potential and power of this trajectory.

I do however question the decision to split Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. I can see the similarities between Potrero Hill and the Mission District in terms of zoning and neighborhood goals. But Dogpatch and Potrero Hill are intrinsically, historically and geographically linked - many of us who live here view them as one community. My recommendation would be to take the vertical/north-south dividing line between 6 and 9, and shift it west to run along the 101 or Potrero Ave - the boundary between Potrero Hill and the Mission District. While keeping the east-west line between 6-9 and 10, as currently drawn (following Cesar Chavez for the most part).

Thank you,
Paul Sears

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Katherine Doumani; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Dogpatch Potrero Hill and Showplace together!
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine Doumani <kdoumani@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Dogpatch Potrero Hill and Showplace together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF RTF Members,
This is my fourth letter to you and I am begging you to listen. When I moved to Dogpatch over 20 years ago there were fewer than 900 residents. Today there are almost 6000. We along with our community in Potrero and Showplace have welcomed growth and are both historic and emerging neighborhoods all at once–as any healthy city should be. Do not punish us for welcoming density.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community–please stop using us as a sacrificial non-entity you can divide and reassign at will. The current map ignores our long history and the reality of our shared issues of freeway pollution, reuse of industrial lands with massive upzoning and growth all while lacking community support and necessary neighborhood amenities. Dogpatch and Showplace are sub-neighborhoods of Potrero, this whole area is THE Potrero–making up parts of the entire DeHaro family land grant from over a century ago. Neither Dogpatch nor Showplace have public schools, libraries, rec-centers nor community facilities–these are all in Potrero Hill. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.


The Communities of Dogpatch and Mission Bay in D6 are divided by three Huge Barriers: UCSF Hospital, the Mission Bay Office Park and Chase Arena. Dogpatch is an Historic District and has been in existence since Mission Bay was a swamp.

My neighbors–families with whom our daughter attended school live throughout Potrero, Dogpatch and Showplace, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to the live-work lofts and new condominiums in Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace. They have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Katherine Doumani
Tennessee Street–Dogpatch, D10
415-713-4561



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Alex Goldsmith; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alex Goldsmith <aggoldsmith@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Alex

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sdrcrm@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:07 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan McDonough <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Susan McDonough

Email	sdrcrm@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: holly.peterson@me.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:04 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Holly Peterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Holly Peterson

Email	holly.peterson@me.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Dunseth; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: thank you--Final Draft Map of April 9th
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:36:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Dunseth <dunsethl@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: thank you--Final Draft Map of April 9th

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,
Congratulations to those of you who voted on the Final Draft Map of April 9th. Thank you for staying the course and voting to prioritize the interests of the people who currently live in this city as reflected in the 2020 census.

You have been given an extremely difficult task made nearly impossible by the City's enthusiasm for designating historic or cultural districts.

It is unfortunate that serving on this committee has become such an onerous burden. I applaud your dedication to public service and your fortitude as you face this difficult and politicized assignment. Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,
Lisa Dunseth
District 11

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: michaelhsamson@comcast.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:57 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael H Samson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Michael H Samson

Email	michaelhsamson@comcast.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: carrie_mainelli@comcast.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:54 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carrie Mainelli <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Carrie Mainelli

Email	carrie_mainelli@comcast.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	David Aufhauser; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Dogpatch Neighborhood Assoc.
Subject:	RE: Redistricting of Dogpatch
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Aufhauser <daufhauser@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Dogpatch Neighborhood Assoc. <president@dogpatchna.org>
Subject: Redistricting of Dogpatch

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.

My neighbors - from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch; from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace - have laid forth our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete ***community***. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Please reverse your artificial split of our community.

Sincerely,

Condo Owner, 993 Tennessee St.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slehman21@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:47 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Lehman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Stephanie Lehman

Email	slehman21@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.
Stephanie Lehman

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Brett Marinoff; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:44 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brett Marinoff <brett.marinoff@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Brett Marinoff

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kielygomes@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:41 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Schwartz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Karen Schwartz

Email	kielygomes@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mbeis@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:38 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Michael Eisler

Email	mbeis@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	ruth; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Reverse your artificial split of our community.
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:35 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ruth <whist@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reverse your artificial split of our community.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have lived in Dogpatch for 23 years and feel bonded to my neighborhood and its neighbors Potrero Hill and Showplace Square. Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Sincerely,
Ruth
907 Minnesota St.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susan Ford; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: April 9 map
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:32 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Ford <susan.ford103@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: April 9 map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to say THANK YOU! for all the work you have had to do to come up with some reasonable population allocation by district.

I am especially grateful that you found a way to keep the Russian Hill Neighborhood together as a strong community of Interest and with its allied groups in the North East corner of the city.

I am hoping that these boundaries are accepted in today's meeting without the rancor and upsetting comments of prior participants.

You deserve a loud applause for sticking to the task. I wish for the best meeting today to support all of your hard work.
Sincerely,
Susan Ford

ord

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dirkprobstel@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:29 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dirk Probstel

Email	dirkprobstel@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: derekjlee27@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:26 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Derek Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Derek Lee

Email	derekjlee27@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 3

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Valerie Bianquis; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:22 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Valerie Bianquis <vbianquis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Valerie Bianquis
1721 20th Street 94107
Potrero hill résident since 2000

Sent from my iPhone

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:17 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Yan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jennifer Yan

Email	jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Marion Parr; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please repair the artificial split of our community of Potrero Hill
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marion Parr <marion@parrcarr.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please repair the artificial split of our community of Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting TaskForce:

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. **You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to take it.**

My neighbors, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community, and re-unite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Square as these neighborhoods all have common interests together.

Sincerely,
Marion Parr

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Andrea Laudate; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace TOGETHER
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:09 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace TOGETHER

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

andrea laudate mamalaudate@yahoo.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Victoria Carradero; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:35:05 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Victoria Carradero <vrcarradero@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: Please stop the madness. The latest map literally rips Potrero Hill apart district 10 and with it, all of the hard work over the years by residents to cultivate a solid community that proudly embodies diversity in all its forms.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Victoria Carradero

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John deCastro; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:57 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

John deCastro
2jbdecastro@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lindsay Ames; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill Redistricting Plan - Splits Community in Half
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lindsay Ames <lindsay.t.ames@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill Redistricting Plan - Splits Community in Half

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please consider all the notes you have received from the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch communities.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Thanks,

Lindsay Ames (531 Vermont Street)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mhurabie@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:37 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marie Hurabiell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marie Hurabiell

Email	mhurabie@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Kudos to the Redistricting Task Force on conducting a non-partisan, legal process over the last few months, resulting in legal maps that reverse the extreme gerrymandering that has occurred over the past 20 years.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the “Community Unity Map”:
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that “everyone” supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and

followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

Marie Hurabiell

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Lisa Ma; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:34 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Ma <lisama72@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 12:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistricting Task Force Members:

I'd like to begin by thanking you for the immense time and effort that all of you have put into the redistricting process and appreciate your response in understanding the urgency of keeping Japantown and other Communities of Interest intact. This is no easy feat.

As the redistricting process winds down and the April 15th deadline for finalizing the map nears, I would like to take one last opportunity to let you know how imperative it is to keep the Japanese Community Youth Council (JCYC - 2012 Pine Street) within District 5. I thankfully acknowledge that it is included in most iterations of the current maps. JCYC is one of the oldest organizations in Japantown and it would be devastating to not be included with the rest of Japantown as well as with the Fillmore, as we have a shared history of struggle and rebuilding that dates back to before World War II.

The Japanese have a phrase we use...*Gaman*, which means enduring the seemingly unbearable with patience and dignity. I appreciate your *gaman* on the redistricting task force and hope that you will remember the importance of keeping JCYC within D5 and preserving the Community of Interest with both Japantown and the Black community in the Western Addition as you vote on the final redistricting map.

Thank you,
Lisa - Japantown Community Member

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: 0926Madelyn@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Madelyn Mcmillian <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

-Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.

-Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.

-Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.

-Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.

-Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.

-Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/

Thank you for consideration.

Madelyn Mcmillian
0926Madelyn@gmail.com
240 Saint Josephs Ave Apt 110
San Francisco, California 94115

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Michele Garside; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:27 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Michele Garside <mgarside@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

What are you trying to accomplish by splitting up District 10? So many of us in the District have requested that the Potrero Boosters proposal be used. At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Please do NOT be divisive!

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Michele Garside, resident on Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Jude Deckenbach; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Hill & Dogpatch together
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:21 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeck@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero Hill & Dogpatch together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to change it.

My neighbors, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community. Please keep ALL of Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and the Bayview together.

Thank you,
Jude Deckenbach

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jude Deckenbach; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch & the Bayview together!
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:18 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeckenbach@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch & the Bayview together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to change it.

My neighbors, from their flats on the northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community. Please keep ALL of Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and the Bayview together.

On behalf of the 385 Friends of Jackson Park,
Jude Deckenbach

Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cynwang@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:13 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cynthia Wang <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cynthia Wang

Email	cynwang@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Joni; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Split-up of Potrero Hill from Dogpatch and Bayview
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:09 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joni <jonieisen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:50 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Split-up of Potrero Hill from Dogpatch and Bayview

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have been a single community ever since we moved to the Hill decades ago. Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and the Bayview share proximity to the Bay, and Black populations that are stronger together. Having Potrero Hill and the Portola change places was an underhanded move and makes no sense at all. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage to do it.

My neighbors in Potrero Hill and next to us on the east (Dogpatch) and south (Bayview) have detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Bayview in District 10.

Sincerely,

Joni Eisen & Chuck Bennett
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Lael Robertson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:34:05 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lael Robertson <laelrobertson5@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am sending this AGAIN!! That is how important this is to me and my family and local friends.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Lael Robertson 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: laulemlee@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:59 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laurance Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:36 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Laurance Lee

Email	laulemlee@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jamie Putnam; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:55 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jamie Putnam <jamieputnamsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Jamie Putnam

President, The Lost Tree Club

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Analytical Labs kist; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:52 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Analytical Labs kist <alsfok@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I was shocked at your decision. It is as if you suckered people into sending heartfelt emails about community and neighborhood common interests and purposefully created artificial divisions to weaken the neighborhoods. To divide our neighborhood from Dogpatch and Potrero Hill seems like insanity. We actively work together and our unity couldn't have been demonstrated than by our accomplishments which principle people have pointed out in their email. There is nothing more compelling than the fact that we (the community from Potrero Avenue east including Vermont and San Bruno Streets of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch created the first San Francisco Benefits District with volunteers.

We actually got property owners to voluntarily pay an extra fee on their property taxes and vote annually on a board of directors that represent both Dogpatch and this Hilly part of Potrero Hill close to and west of the freeway. Our green benefits work includes the Hilly parts that surround the 17th street corridor, under the overpass and surrounding the freeway bridge hillsides and the small parks East and West of the footbridge near Mariposa and Utah streets. We want to continue our important work. There is a lot of projects in the works. We are organized and leaders from so-called Potrero Annex (East of Potrero Avenue) worked so hard to make it happen to benefit the city of San Francisco. Now you have pushed us into District 9 disregarding though we are small numbers the part we played and the fact that we are a cohesive group. Perhaps you have something against cohesive and active groups it seems by dividing us.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. And I personally have an affinity for Bayview and we have people of color in our neighorhood as property owners. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It’s communities of interest that build out a district, and you’ve heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides.

Neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

To create a map the Sunday before the final vote that no one saw coming is an insult to the whole process. It makes all our efforts to explain Community Common Interests a waste of time. It seems that San Francisco city government departments goes through pretending that they want citizen input but then totally ignore that input for some prior determined agenda. I

have witnessed many meetings but this one has been a sham.

Sincerely,

Olga Kist
467 Potrero Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94110
415-552-4595

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	J B; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Joyce Book
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: J B <book.joyce@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:26 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Joyce Book <joyce@mediabook.com>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Joyce Book
415-265-0584

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Deborah Lardie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting in SF
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Deborah Lardie <deborah@lardiecompany.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting in SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Shame on the committee for dividing neighborhoods! Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community.

Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

Best Regards,
Deborah Lardie
1796 18th St SF

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: gcollora10@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:41 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grace Collora <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Grace Collora
Email	gcollora10@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tlmccarthy2024@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thomas McCarthy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Thomas McCarthy

Email	tlmccarthy2024@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cheninator@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:30 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Chen <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Michael Chen
Email	cheninator@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

The role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. They have taken pains to listen to the public.

I trust that the decisions of the Task Force followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Frank Kingman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:22 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Frank Kingman <frankdkingman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:04 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Frank & Rhonda Kingman
701 Minnesota Street #226
SF, CA, 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rodney Minott; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:18 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminott@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Rodney Minott
Potrero Hill

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jani Mussetter; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Stop what you are doing to our district!!!!! Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:11 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Jani Mussetter <janimusse@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:59 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Stop what you are doing to our district!!!!! Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

WHY DO WE ALWAYS HAVE TO FIGHT YOU PEOPLE?!!!!!! I DO NOT WANT TO BE IN DISTRICT 9….EVER!!!!!!! DO NOT MAKE ME CALL MY LAWYER!!!!! I WANT TO STAY WITH MY SUPERVISOR WALTON!!! DO NOT CHANGE THIS!!!!

I am a property tax payer and have lived here on Potrero hill for 28 years!! Do not change our districts!!!!

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Jani Mussetter

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Shunya Anding; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Shunya Anding <ncanding@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

This Last map makes no sense ! Dogpatch, the Design center, and Potrero share many issues and criss cross functions as they have developed as one neighborhood. Don't destroy our community!

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Shunya Anding
168 Missouri St

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Peter Belanger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:57 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Peter Belanger <peter@peterbelanger.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Peter Belanger

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Amy Hansen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Hansen <amyhansensf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Amy Hansen

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John Schwenger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:47 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Schwenger <john.schwenger@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:42 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

this is the most ridiculous map I have ever seen. I am totally against what map was chosen and want the committee to reconsider it's decision.

Sincerely,

John Schwenger

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sapna Mahwal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please Revert to Map 4B
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:42 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Revert to Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. Please keep us combined with Mission Bay which is a similar community as was done in Map 4B.

Sapna - Resident of Potrero Hill.

> On Apr 7, 2022, at 7:07 PM, Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Dear Redistricting Task Force:
>
> I am a resident of the Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods and I fully support the current map 4B!
>
> Sincerely, Sapna

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ed Rudolph; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:39 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed Rudolph <eddierudolph@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

The exhausting meeting that led to a walkout by a part of the commission has led to a map that does not serve the interests of Potrero Hill. The development of the Dogpatch and Mission Bay Area seriously and directly affects Potrero Hill and thus I feel it's necessary for Dogpatch and Potrero Hill to be in the same district. For further comments on the current state of Redistricting, I quote the words of people who have been closely involved in the process since it began.

———

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Edward Rudolph
398 Texas Street
SF 94107

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Nathan Mee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:33 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: hosain@signalfire.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:25 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hosain Rahman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Hosain Rahman

Email	hosain@signalfire.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Janet Carpinelli; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	kdoumani@gmail.com; Eppler JR
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:21 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janet Carpinelli <jc@jcarpinelli.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: kdoumani@gmail.com; Eppler JR <jreppler1@gmail.com>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it.

My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors.

Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us.

Reverse your artificial split of our community.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Janet Carpinelli
Minnesota St.
Dogpatch
415-640-5888

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: karinlflood@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:17 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karin Eklund <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Karin Eklund
Email	Karinlflood@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support a legal and balanced map that unites The Portola and Visitacion Valley, keeps COIs together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support MOST OF the Task Force's current map.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to submit a final map that keeps the following communities intact:

1. Unite the Portola with Visitacion Valley. 10 years ago, the Portola was cut off from its community of Visitation Valley during the Redistricting process in order to intentionally dilute the API votes in those neighborhoods. As a result, the Portola is one of the city's most vulnerable communities. The community lacks services from CBOs and non-profits, and suffered tremendously during the pandemic. While other neighborhoods had food security and health care resources, this community was entirely disenfranchised. Portola is unorganized and monolingual. They are voiceless. Geographically, the Portola is physically separated from its current district by a freeway and this doesn't seem legal. I'm concerned that there will be a legal challenge to any map that doesn't unite Portola and Visitacion Valley. More importantly, it is the right thing to do to support this marginalized community.

2. All of SOMA should be kept whole. That means a northern boundary along Market Street from the Financial District down. Also, we need to keep Civic Center in D6. The northern boundary of Civic Center is McAllister Street, and the Western Boundary is Van Ness.

3. The East Cut, South Beach, Rincon Hill, and Mission Bay. These neighborhoods are socially and economically diverse and are united around building a strong community with equitable public schools and transportation.

Also, the geographical compactness of Districts 1, 4 and 7 is so important for legal compliance, please maintain that. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as we've heard from many residents who have strongly stated their community is with the Richmond in D1. I think we should have as many geographically compact and contiguous as possible.

I support a final map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact. I urge the Task Force to tune out powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of Redistricting. Please deliver a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure the protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: amy gregg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:12 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: amy gregg <a1amy@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

I have lived and worked in Dogpatch and Potrero Hill for 30 years. These areas belong together. Many of us consider them the same neighborhood.

It is communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. **We know that there is a map that can keep us together.**

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.
Frankly, the process of the task force is deeply concerning and exemplifies many of the things that have gone wrong in San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Amy Gregg

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Michele Hangee-Bauer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michele Hangee-Bauer <michele@sfnatmed.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Carl & Michele Hangee-Bauer
610 Wisconsin Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
415-285-0251

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: alicia.engstrom@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alicia Engstrom <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alicia Engstrom

Email	alicia.engstrom@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Shannon Vanley; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Restore Map 4B
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:32:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shannon Vanley <shannon.vanley@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restore Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support map 4B and alternatively map 4D - the current map tears apart our community

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Shannon Vanley

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carol Sundell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Carol Sundell <casundell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Gyalden Yuthok

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carol Sundell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:52 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Carol Sundell <casundell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Carol Sundell

Sent from my iPad

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: nick@podell.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:47 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nick Podell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Nick Podell

Email	nick@podell.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: martha@ehmannconte.con; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:42 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehmann Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 10:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Martha Ehmann Conte

Email	martha@ehmannconte.con
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Linda James; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting D10
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:39 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda James <lindajames51@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force -

Please, please do not do this to our precious community — DOGPATCH.

Several years ago our quiet Dogpatch community began bracing ourselves for the doubling of population as USC and Silicon Valley techie began building and populating our hood. We worked tirelessly with the GBD, UC, SFMTA, Friends of Esprit Park, etc., to build community — for all, those of us who'd been here for decades and newbies as well. COVID brought us together in ways no one could have anticipated.

We went from bracing to embracing one another.

We are human beings, with children, pets and our homes both north and south of 22nd Street.

As our planet, governments are splitting do not pull our beloved neighborhood apart too.

Sincerely,

Linda James
Tennessee Street
Dogpatch

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: nancy.tung@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:34 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nancy Tung <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Nancy Tung

Email	nancy.tung@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 9
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: garrytan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Garry Tan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:37 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Garry Tan

Email	garrytan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "community unity" map: Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10: This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:27 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email	mikeapaul@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "community unity" map:
Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs.

On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10:
This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:31:25 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 9:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "community unity" map:
Hundreds of maps were submitted by groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 500,000 voters, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs.

On the decision to move Portola vs Potrero into D10:
This was arguably the task force's toughest and most fraught decision. For decades the Asian community has been split between districts 9 and 10. After 8 months of deliberation and comment, moving the Portola to D10 keeps a Community of Interest together while also meeting the compactness criteria.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process. Some people who are impacted by the RTF decisions are understandably very upset (and I sincerely hope that the Supervisors will pick up where the RTF left off and will fight for the rights of all marginalized communities and new citizens in their districts). This does not mean, however, that the Redistricting process wasn't conducted with adequate public input and did not adhere to the Federal Voting Rights act.

It was and it did.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: milotrauss@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:55 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Milo Trauss <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Milo Trauss

Email	milotrauss@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cjurczynski@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:52 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Jurczynski <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charles Jurczynski

Email	cjurczynski@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you,
Charles Jurczynski

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: megan.getten@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:49 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Megan Getten <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Megan Getten

Email	megan.getten@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 9
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ted.getten@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:45 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ted Getten <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ted Getten

Email	Ted.getten@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 9

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; joy.ng@sfbec.org
Subject:	FW: Agenda Item #2: Final Draft Map
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:40 PM
Attachments:	RDTF REFinal Draft 04_11_2022.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joy Ng <joy.ng@sfbec.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Agenda Item #2: Final Draft Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

My name is Joy Ng and I am both a worker and resident in D6. Please see the attached file for my letter expressing concerns for the final draft map. Please stop ignoring our communities.

Respectfully,
Joy Ng

Joy Ng | Housing Caseworker
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers (What's this?)
Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103
Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org
Facebook | Instagram | Twitter

April 11, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 224
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Final Draft Map

Dear Members of the SF Redistricting Task Force,

Despite our communities REPEATEDLY informing the Task Force of our communities' needs throughout this entire process— via map recommendations, public comment, and rallies— the task force has continuously ignored us. The Task Force has not only ignored the Tenderloin, Transgender, Black, Asian, senior, and vulnerable low-income communities of color, you have blatantly displayed personal and racial bias against our communities while prioritizing the wealthy and affluent. Our communities have only been able to survive in San Francisco because we choose to stick together, and your map is a disgrace to the culture of solidarity and unity of our City. Your map DIVIDES communities that have fought for marginalized San Franciscans. It is more apparent than ever that the Task Force has prioritized your own personal agendas and numbers, over the NEEDS of The People. The People have done the work to identify what it takes for our communities to thrive, be well-resourced, and for our communities to thrive in unity. We have provided those recommendations to you. And yet the final draft map is a complete disaster and nightmare. **As both a worker and resident of D6**, I am extremely disappointed and offended. I ask—AGAIN— the Redistricting Task Force members to reconsider this map and consider the needs of our communities. This is not just a matter of numbers. This is our livelihoods at stake.
KEEP TENDERLOIN IN D6. DO NOT DIVIDE THE TRANSGENDER DISTRICT. DO NOT DIVIDE THE SOMA PILIPINAS FILIPINO CULTURAL HERITAGE DISTRICT.

Respectfully,



Joy Ng

Housing Caseworker, Bayanihan Equity Center 1010 Mission St. STE C San Francisco, CA 94103	Resident Address: 500 Folsom St. #816 San Francisco, CA 94105

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: carolinesrubin@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Rubin <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Caroline Rubin

Email	carolinesrubin@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Mary Roque
Subject:	FW: Letter for Redistricting Task Force (Item #2, 4/11/22)
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:17 PM
Attachments:	Ltr for Redistricting Task Force Meeting Apr 11, 2022.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Roque <mary.roque@sfbec.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter for Redistricting Task Force (Item #2, 4/11/22)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Clerk Carroll,

Attached below is my letter in response to item #2 for tonight's (4/11) SF Redistricting Task Force agenda.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Mary Nichole Roque
--

Mary Roque | Administrative Assistant & Data Collection Specialist
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers (What's this?)
Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103
Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org
Facebook | Instagram | Twitter

Mary Nichole Roque
179 Paris Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

April 11, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Final Draft Map (Item #2, Redistricting Task Force Agenda for April 11, 2022)

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

I am writing to express my extreme disappointment with the final draft map, for it brazenly serves the interest of wealthy enclaves, and the dilutes voices of vulnerable BIPOC, LGBTQ, and working-class communities.

From the very beginning of this process, the community has made conscientious efforts to identify communities of interest, and outline boundaries that can ensure that these communities retain power and representation within their district. Despite countless hours of public comments, and writing letters to express what is best for the community, we remain unheard.

As we approach the final days of the redistricting process, I urge you to keep SOMA and the Tenderloin together in District 6, keep Potrero Hill in District 10, and move Seacliff out of District 1. I remain hopeful that you remember that we are not standard deviations; we are living and breathing people that are counting on you to do what is right.

Sincerely,



Mary Nichole Roque
District 11 Resident

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: stephen.lambe@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Lambe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Stephen Lambe

Email	stephen.lambe@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. Furthermore, I would like to thank each member of the RTF for your (volunteer!) service to this city.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and

followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Katherine Scott; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting Process
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:03:03 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine Scott <katherineascott@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Task Force,

I am a voter in District 4. I have been following the redistricting process via the news and via Twitter and I believe that the process has been deeply flawed and biased towards partisan politics, particularly conservative / moderate Democratic party politics.

Adopting the current map, after four members walked out of the redistricting meeting, is highly suspicious. I have seen credible accusations that members of the redistricting task force were intent on splitting the most ethnically diverse and progressive districts in order to weaken the progressive vote. RTF member Chema Gil has made similar accusations. Furthermore, I have heard multiple experts claim that the current map does an electoral dis-service to vulnerable communities. The RDTF has not operated transparently, as five of the nine redistricting task force members have refused to respond to public records requests.

The fact that the current map is about to be accepted is undemocratic gerrymandering and does a disservice to San Francisco voters. This has not been a fair and non-partisan process and could lead to future litigation.

I would urge the RDTF to scrap the current map and restart the redistricting process with a new task force, perhaps with federal or state oversight.

-Katherine Scott

--

Katherine A. Scott
(734) 358-0175

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: eemmichael@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:52 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eileen Michael <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eileen Michael

Email	eemmichael@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Jack Alber; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:48 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jack Alber <jackalber@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am sending a second email on behalf of my mother who is 66 and technically challenged. You can look her up, her name is Maureen McVerry, she lives at 359 Texas Street, her email is verrymcverry@gmail.com. Doubtless she has emailed you before, but currently her phone is broken and her laptop is at her uncles. She very strongly endorses the following message:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Maureen McVerry
(Dictated to her son John Alber)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mssamanda1@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:46 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amanda Michael <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Amanda Michael

Email	mssamanda1@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.
Amanda

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Jack Alber; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:42 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jack Alber <jackalber@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I grew up on Potrero Hill and it means everything to me. Please respect the boundaries of the previous districting.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

John Alber

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jessica@jperla.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:39 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jessica Perla <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jessica Perla

Email	jessica@jperla.com
I am a resident of	District10

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Karen Larsen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:35 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Karen Larsen <karen@larsenassc.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Karen

Karen Larsen
Larsen Associates
360 Ritch Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
karen@larsenassc.com
Office - 415-957-1205
Cell - 415-298-4164

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jordonwing2@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:29 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jordon Wing <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jordon Wing

Email	jordonwing2@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

I have in fact commented several times at this hearing, and am well aware of the diligent effort the task force has made to hear and respect community input.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: j.e.c.webb@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:25 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Webb <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Webb

Email	j.e.c.webb@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jbuendia829@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:22 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julio Buendia <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julio Buendia

Email	jbuendia829@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 3

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:19 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sarah Boudreau <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sarah Boudreau

Email	boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tjhsfbay@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:14 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tom Hubbard <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Tom Hubbard

Email	tjhsfbay@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. I also wish to thank the Task Force for their hard work, and for their service to the people of San Francisco. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I do wish the final map would move the 3 or 4 blocks by Haight and Stanyan from D5 into D8, but I

understand the redistricting process requires changes to be successful.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: gthruns@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:02:11 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Garrett Hayashida <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Garrett Hayashida

Email	gthruns@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bjacksonsf@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:48 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill Jackson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Bill Jackson

Email	bjacksonsf@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: linda.mathews@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:44 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Mathews <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Linda Mathews

Email	linda.mathews@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mhesse@pacbell.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:41 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marianne Hesse <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marianne Hesse

Email	mhesse@pacbell.net
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Libby; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:37 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Libby <libbax@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
I am completely opposed to the prospective redistricting map. I believe it is unfair to citizens in each district. I believe it is a power grab by London Breed. Please disband this task force and leave the districts as they were prior to the 2020 census since this task force is corrupt.
Thank you,
E. A. Baxter
526 Ashbury Street, #3
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jlinden@monkey.org; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:35 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeremy Linden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeremy Linden

Email	jlinden@monkey.org
I am a resident of	District 6
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: whitfield.cw@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Whitfield <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charles Whitfield

Email	whitfield.cw@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: david.broockman@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Broockman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:24 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Broockman

Email	david.broockman@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Inga Larsen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:01:24 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Inga Larsen <ingasadrane89@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,
Inga Larsen

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carol Bettencourt; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I am writing to oppose approval of the draft redistricting map
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:00:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Bettencourt <evictiondefense@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I am writing to oppose approval of the draft redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing to oppose approval of the draft redistricting map.

I am a long-time San Francisco voter who currently lives in District 3.

This map is a step backwards! It is shameful that our City would redistrict to make it less likely that District 10, which has traditionally elected a Black person to the Board of Supervisors, would continue to do so! Especially in this day and age, we all should be working to give more rights and representation to minorities, not less! The fact that this map was approved well after midnight on a weekend speak volumes about it!

Please listen to the public and do not approve this map!

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Sincerely,

Carol Jane Bettencourt

1137 Hyde Street, Apt G
San Francisco, CA 94109
415-440-2795

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Jenny Leung
Subject:	FW: 4.11.22 Redistricting meet
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 6:00:05 PM
Attachments:	Redistricting Letter_4.11.22.pdf Redistricting Letter_4.11.22.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jenny Leung <jenny@cccsf.us>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor)

<matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4.11.22 Redistricting meet

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Members,

Please see letter for the upcoming Redistricting meet at 5:30pm.

Best,

Jenny

--
Jenny Leung 梁凱欣
she/her
Executive Director

Tel: 415-986-1822 ext. 023

_____

Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco
舊 金 山 中 華 文 化 中 心
750 Kearny St., 3rd Floor,
San Francisco, CA 94108

Elevate the Underserved. Give Voice to Equality.
Website | **Facebook** | **Instagram**
Uplift and Support AAPI artists and arts as a voice for social justice

舊金山中華文化基金會
Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco
Dedicated to elevating underserved communities
and giving voice to equality through education and contemporary art.

Chair
Tatwina Chinn Lee

Vice Chair
Jessica Chin Foo
Wai Ling Eng
Alfred Tom

Secretary
Cynthia Tongson

Treasurer
George Mak

Board of Directors
Richard B. Evans
Aseem Giri
Gin Y. Ho
Kara Lee
Rebecca Lee
Laurene McClain, Esq.
Michael Moon
Jon Moscone
Sophia Xiao

Executive Director
Jenny Leung

Advisory Council
Manni Liu
Norman Yee

XianRui Artists
Dora Hsiung
Summer Lee
Beili Liu
Cathy Lu
Adrian Wong
Stella Zhang
Chongbin Zheng

Senior Advisor
Mabel S. Teng

April 11, 2022

Emailed to: rdtf@sfgov.org
Cc: arnold.townsend@sfgov.org,
ditka.reiner@sfgov.org,
lily.ho@sfgov.org,
matthew.castillon@sfgov.org,
raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org,
chasel.lee@sfgov.org,
jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org,
j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org,
chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Redistricting Task Force members:


I am writing as the Executive Director of the Chinese Culture Center and Foundation (CCC) of San Francisco, located in District 3.

As a community-based organization, we stand firmly opposed to the Task Force's final draft map and call on the Task Force to consider the following items that respect our communities of interest:

- District 5: We urge the Task Force to add the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St back into D5, and reincorporate the Chibi Chan Early Childhood Center back into D5. This Center is crucial in serving families residing in Japantown and Western Addition.
- District 6: It is crucial to put on record that the Task Force has ignored several weeks of public comment from members of the Filipino, Southeast Asian and LGBTQ communities, along with other low-income communities of color, calling for the Task Force to keep the Tenderloin and West SOMA areas intact in D6.
- District 3: Current D3 boundaries disenfranchise monolingual seniors, tenants, and low income residents of Chinatown and those living in the greater Chinatown area for the next decade. We want to reiterate that there was no unanimous consensus among the Chinatown community to unite Russian Hill and Chinatown.
- District 10: We stand in solidarity with our African-American allies in maintaining Potrero Hill in D10; and ask Task Force members to go on record with a full explanation as to why Potrero Hill has been moved to D9.

Finally, we would like to convey how deeply disappointed we are as community leaders in witnessing and participating in the public process and community input that harm and disenfranchise our San Francisco communities: 1) language access has been inconsistently offered or not offered at all during Task Force meetings; 2) significant map changes have been made in rushed fashion without offering any opportunity for public input, such as the last-minute decision made to shift Potrero Hill into D9 at 3am in the morning; 3) release of draft maps timed just before the start of community hearings and same-day Task Force votes, again making it impossible for the public to contribute input.

Sincerely,



Jenny Leung
Executive Director

750 Kearny Street 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94108
T (415) 986-1822 | F (415) 986-2835 | W www.cccsf.us | E info@cccsf.us

舊金山中華文化基金會
Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco
Dedicated to elevating underserved communities
and giving voice to equality through education and contemporary art.

Chair
Tatwina Chinn Lee

Vice Chair
Jessica Chin Foo
Wai Ling Eng
Alfred Tom

Secretary
Cynthia Tongson

Treasurer
George Mak

Board of Directors
Richard B. Evans
Aseem Giri
Gin Y. Ho
Kara Lee
Rebecca Lee
Laurene McClain, Esq.
Michael Moon
Jon Moscone
Sophia Xiao

Executive Director
Jenny Leung

Advisory Council
Manni Liu
Norman Yee

XianRui Artists
Dora Hsiung
Summer Lee
Beili Liu
Cathy Lu
Adrian Wong
Stella Zhang
Chongbin Zheng

Senior Advisor
Mabel S. Teng

April 11, 2022

Emailed to: rdtf@sfgov.org
Cc: arnold.townsend@sfgov.org,
ditka.reiner@sfgov.org,
lily.ho@sfgov.org,
matthew.castillon@sfgov.org,
raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org,
chasel.lee@sfgov.org,
jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org,
j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org,
chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Redistricting Task Force members:


I am writing as the Executive Director of the Chinese Culture Center and Foundation (CCC) of San Francisco, located in District 3.

As a community-based organization, we stand firmly opposed to the Task Force's final draft map and call on the Task Force to consider the following items that respect our communities of interest:

- District 5: We urge the Task Force to add the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St back into D5, and reincorporate the Chibi Chan Early Childhood Center back into D5. This Center is crucial in serving families residing in Japantown and Western Addition.
- District 6: It is crucial to put on record that the Task Force has ignored several weeks of public comment from members of the Filipino, Southeast Asian and LGBTQ communities, along with other low-income communities of color, calling for the Task Force to keep the Tenderloin and West SOMA areas intact in D6.
- District 3: Current D3 boundaries disenfranchise monolingual seniors, tenants, and low income residents of Chinatown and those living in the greater Chinatown area for the next decade. We want to reiterate that there was no unanimous consensus among the Chinatown community to unite Russian Hill and Chinatown.
- District 10: We stand in solidarity with our African-American allies in maintaining Potrero Hill in D10; and ask Task Force members to go on record with a full explanation as to why Potrero Hill has been moved to D9.

Finally, we would like to convey how deeply disappointed we are as community leaders in witnessing and participating in the public process and community input that harm and disenfranchise our San Francisco communities: 1) language access has been inconsistently offered or not offered at all during Task Force meetings; 2) significant map changes have been made in rushed fashion without offering any opportunity for public input, such as the last-minute decision made to shift Potrero Hill into D9 at 3am in the morning; 3) release of draft maps timed just before the start of community hearings and same-day Task Force votes, again making it impossible for the public to contribute input.

Sincerely,



Jenny Leung
Executive Director

750 Kearny Street 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94108
T (415) 986-1822 | F (415) 986-2835 | W www.cccsf.us | E info@cccsf.us

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: wrb100@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:40 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Billy Brandreth

Email	wrb100@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: plfayer@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:35 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paulina Fayer <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paulina Fayer

Email	plfayer@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dblbirdy@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email	dblbirdy@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: aislin.palladino@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aislin Palladino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Aislin Palladino

Email	aislin.palladino@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dearan.m.roche@tcu.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:25 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dearan Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dearan Roche

Email	dearan.m.roche@tcu.edu
I am a resident of	District 4
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Tenderloin Tenants; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Final Draft Map
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:22 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tenderloin Tenants <tenderlointenants@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Final Draft Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce Members and Elections Commission:

When chain letters are signed by constituents living in other areas other than the Tenderloin and adjunct neighborhoods get more attention than the actual Tenderloin residents to actually speak under public comment there is something wrong.

The task force has heard various voices from within our Tenderloin. We have always been the melting pot of diversity.

The following issues are not being addressed in the proposed final draft map:

-The Tenderloin Comunity Benefit District their boundaries are now not within one Supervisorial District.

-The Transgender District (a cultural district) boundaries are now not within one Supervisorial District.

-Certain agencies and programs that serve the Tenderloin population are not within on Supervisorial District.

-Now various monolingual people are not disconnected but Supervisorial District changes.

-Transportation Services are removed from Supervisorial District.

-And many other communities of interest have been severed by the proposed final map.

We ask the Redistricting Taskforce to provide specific reasons why all these communities of interest have been ignored.

Tenderloin Tenants would like to know how the City and County of San Francisco will mitigate all the harm being done in the Tenderloin and other neighborhoods

Sincerely,

Wilma Gurwork

Tenderloin Tenants

PS. We are writing this letter to policymakers on behalf of our all-volunteer group.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: etroche@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:19 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: eamon Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent eamon Roche

Email	etroche@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cullen.roche1992@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:17 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cullen Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Cullen Roche

Email	cullen.roche1992@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: lmuhfeld@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:13 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: louise patterson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent louise patterson

Email	lmuhfeld@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: krochemusic@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kevin Roche

Email	krochemusic@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mary Katherine; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:04 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Katherine <bayvuer@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay. It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together. Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square. Sincerely, Mary Katherine Law, 759 Wisconsin Street, San Francisco, 94107

I am an 82 year old resident at the appover address and can see no reason for splitting Potrero Hill from Dog Patch and Showplace Square

Most of us have very little intersection with the Mission District residents or businesses. PLEASE reconsider this plan and leave us together with Dog Patch and Showplace Square

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: osullroche@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:59:00 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent James Osullivan

Email	osullroche@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: johnyenwong@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:57 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Wong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John Wong

Email	johnyenwong@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slatehouse@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:52 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret osullivan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret osullivan

Email	slatehouse@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: irishslate@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:48 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email	irishslate@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Peter Tannen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support for Redistricting Map Version 6 (April 8) regarding District 8
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Tannen <ptannen9@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Redistricting Map Version 6 (April 8) regarding District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I've been a District 8 resident for almost 45 years and I support the Redistricting Map Version 6 (April 8) regarding District 8 .

I have read that the proposed versions of District 8 have changed greatly from version 1 to version 6, some of which have broken up some neighborhoods into different districts, resulting in residents' vastly reduced ability to advocate for themselves and would cause a major shift in SF's Board of Supervisors balance of power.

The latest version 6 (April 8), does not have this problem for District 8 and the only substantial change appears to be the addition of Cole Valley and Ashbury Heights to District 8, which I can agree with.

I also approve of the fact that it keeps the residence of current District 8 Supervisor, Rafael Mandelman, in District 8.

Please do not make any further substantial changes to District 8 past version 6.

Thank you.

Peter S. Tannen
577 14th St

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: petercrawford68@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:39 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Peter Crawford

Email	petercrawford68@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Wynn; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:36 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Wynn <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

-Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.

-Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.

-Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.

-Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.

-Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.

-Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/

Thank you for consideration.

Lisa Wynn
lisawynn@me.com
75 Hudson
San Francisco , California 94124

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dodiescrawford@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:32 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dodie Crawford <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Dodie Crawford

Email	dodiescrawford@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Wynn; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:58:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Wynn <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Build Black Power In SF: 2022 Redistricting Ask

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member Redistricting Task Force,

Dear Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The time is now to protect and preserve the Black/African American community in the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting process. We understand the hard task you have at hand, but the future of Black San Francisco is in your hands. It's time to think of the greater good.

We want the following from the SF Redistricting Task Force:

-Unify Black tracts and neighborhoods from the new 2020 Census.

-Keep the highest percentage possible of Black population in Supervisorial Districts with currently largest % of Black people - Districts 5, 6, 10, and 11. Do not dilute us.

-Keep pathways open for Black leadership and representation CITYWIDE, not just in 1-2 Districts.

-Preserve existing Black businesses, institutions, community organizations, places of worship, housing, recreation/parks, and arts/culture/media.

-Repair the harm of years of segregation, redlining, redevelopment and gentrification.

-Prevent our Black community from a steady population decline over the next 10 years.

Without Black people, you can't have Black votes or representation. Without Black resources and funding, you lose jobs, businesses, homes, art/culture, media, recreation, institutions and places of worship. PLEASE PROTECT OUR COMMUNITY AND SAVE BLACK SAN FRANCISCO.

We respectfully ask that the SF Redistricting Taskforce consider the Black community principles and the Solidarity Maps found here: https://sf-redistrict-proposal.webflow.io/

Thank you for consideration.

Lisa Wynn
lisawynn@me.com
75 Hudson
San Francisco , California 94124

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ralph Migdal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ralph Migdal <rmigdal@myastound.net>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I realize the redistricting effort has demanded many, many hours of each of you. And you are probably most likely hearing from people who are NOT happy with the drafts presented.

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

Ralph Migdal
727 San Bruno Avenue
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Yan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jennifer Yan

Email	jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Redistricting map
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: regina sneed <reginasneed@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear taskforce members:

I was not going to tune in tonight as I was wondering what would be the point. I can't affect any change. But then I got an email from my Supervisor reminding me that the process was not over and perhaps my attention does matter.

So I write to request that the Taskforce review their rationale for this map before you take any more public testimony. It looks like you have overpopulated the districts on the west side of the city at 4+ %. as compared to those on the east who are in a minus % usually under 1%.

Please explain you rationale for the disparities between the district under law.

Please review the votes that made this map as most of the public was once again asleep while this occurred.

Then make your technical changes as allowed under the law to this final map.

Once you have made your technical changes, give the public a half hour to digest this final map to prepare comments for the public record. This might provide the only useful record to support or stave off a legal challenge under the Voting Rights act.

I also want to thank the Clerk's office for their outstanding professional and critically supportive role in helping these Taskforce members conduct the public's business. I also want to extend my appreciation to the Taskforce members for their service.

Regina Sneed
District 5 resident

Sent from my iPad

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: carole.glosenger@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:08 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carole glosenger <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent carole glosenger

Email	carole.glosenger@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Roz Hague-Foster; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Kansas Safe Association
Subject:	RE: Redistricting of Potrero Hill
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Roz Hague-Foster <rozhgfs@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kansas Safe Association <Kansas.safeassociation@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting of Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To The Redistrict Team:
Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. Your draft map splits us and harms us. You have the chance to undo this damage. Please have the courage take it. My neighbors, from their flats on northwest corner of the Hill to their live-works in the southeast corner of Dogpatch, from the new mixed-use condos in Showplace to the public housing at Potrero Annex and Terrace, have laid bare our history. They've detailed the work being done to tie our community together. And they've pointed towards the future of a diverse, integrated and complete community. A community that works for both our multigenerational residents and our future neighbors. Your draft map takes actions no one has asked for, and that few even saw until Sunday morning when we checked the news. As any diverse community, we've had different ideas about how district lines should fall around us. But my neighbors and I have been clear that those lines should not run through us. Reverse your artificial split of our community.

Sincerely,
Roz Hague-Foster

Roz Hague-Foster
Vocal Coach and Piano Instruction
Entertainment Services
Healthcare Advocate

(415) 254-6494
Rozhgfs@gmail.com

"play/sing like you have no hope, like the weight of the world is on your shoulders,like nobody will ever know your struggle. Play/sing like you don't have a care in the world, like there is nothing you can't do, like freedom is the only word you know. Welcome to my world"....*Walter Beasley*

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rick Girling; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; MelgarStaff (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS)
Subject:	RE: More Shenanigans at the Redistricting Task Force
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:51:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>;

Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>
Subject: More Shenanigans at the Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,
Once again I am amazed by the horrifying process taking place. When 4 of the Task Force members walk out at 2 am because they object to the process, something is terribly wrong! This after another suspicious 3 AM decision only days earlier. At this time, I have no doubt in my mind that the current maneuvers of the RDTF are suspect and the RDTF lacks legitimacy.

In what is supposed to be a non-partisan practice (and has been for the previous 20 years) you have made it patently clear that political pressures from outside sources are influencing your decisions. The only way to rectify this situation is to agree that a consensus has to be found among the TF members fully taking into account the public participation over the course of the last few weeks.

There is no need to put up deadlines as an excuse for completing a faulty process. Better that you be late and do it right, than you rush in a haphazard result that will result in lawsuits, acrimony and sow distrust in governmental institutions.

Sincerely,
Richard Girling
182 Banks St
SF, CA 94110

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susan Chastain; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:43 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Chastain <susan@susanchastain.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Why are you trying to change something that's NOT broken? I have lived on the Hill for 30 years, and you are not listening to what we are saying! We are one community!

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely, Susan Lind Chastain

1546 19th St. and 373 Arkansas St- 94107!!!!!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ali.moss13@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ali Moss <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ali Moss

Email	ali.moss13@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: emmeryl@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:33 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lena Emmery <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Lena Emmery

Email	emmeryl@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Audrey Cole; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:29 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Audrey Cole <Audrey@audreycole.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square are one. Keep them together.

Off on a new adventure!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: krlist@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:26 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kenneth Russell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kenneth Russell

Email	krlist@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:50:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Undo Your Division of the Potrero Hill-Dogpatch-Showplace Square Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

At the beginning of this process, my neighbors and I started with a simple message: Keep Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace Square together. These neighborhoods grew (and continue to grow) out of a common heritage. From schools to churches, grocery stores to restaurants, makers to artists, recreation centers to playgrounds, neighborhood plans to transportation corridors, and a Benefit District that brackets our boundaries, these areas depend on one another in a community of interest that stretches from Potrero Avenue east to the Bay.

It's communities of interest that build out a district, and you've heard from many of us where we feel our district-sized community resides. But neither we nor a greater district work if you divide our neighborhood-level communities of interest. We know that there is a map that can keep us together.

Reunite Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square.

Sincerely,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kim Quinones; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Opposition to SF redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:37:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Kim Quinones <kimq30@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to SF redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, I am a 20 resident of San Francisco and have lived in District 5 the entire time. I am opposed to the redistricting of District 5 and I do not have faith in the Redistricting Task Force working in the best interests of the residents of San Francisco. Please include my dissent of this redistricting map in the public comments.

Thank you,

Kim Quinones
285 Divisadero, Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Judith Beck; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Chema Hernández Gil
Subject:	RE: Angry!
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:37:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judith Beck <judy.beck@juno.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Chema Hernández Gil <chema@seiu1021.org>
Subject: Angry!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

I am a very angry resident of this City today. Since you pulled out the stops by totally disregarding the voices of the near-total majority of public commenters; overturning the community maps after you voted for them; and voting, minus 4 of your members who walked out in protest, to accept a map that was thrown in last-minute, I am going to pull out my stops by calling the redistricting process a corrupt and evil farce that throws us back to the days before district voting, when conservative *minorities* could dominate the outcomes of supervisor elections. The map you cynically chose seems to me to concentrate the progressive influence into a single district (mine, D5) or perhaps two (D9 also) - while diluting the voices of the ordinary working people; longtime residents in extreme unfortunate circumstances; and African American, Filipino, and other minority residents in the rest. It completely disempowers the sole eastside African American voting bloc, D10, by removing Potrero Hill to D9, separating it from the Bayview. It also severs a different, though in some ways overlapping, community of interest by taking the Tenderloin out of D6, where it had merged electoral power with its demographically near-identical mid-Market SOMA neighbor. I have no problem accepting the TL into my district, but I do have a problem with leaving the related 6th - 8th St. corridor an island alone in a sea of gentrification, unsupported by its TL allies. I also have a serious problem with the rest of what happened in my district, D5: the removal of the Lower Haight and part of NOPA in order to water down their progressive power by placing them in majority-more-conservative spots. Taking part of the Sunset out of its district to let yet another more conservative sector, Sloat/Lakeview replace it, is further dilution of the progressive voice on the Board of Supervisors. Finally, perhaps most insulting of all, is diluting the Richmond's working people's interest on the Board by allowing into D1 a far, far removed social stratum represented by ultra-rich, isolationist Seacliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park; those neighborhoods - let's call them strongholds - were designated by segregationist covenants as all-white when they were formed, and remain almost completely so today.

We of San Francisco will be living with your injustice for a decade. I hope there is some way to throw out your sellout process.

An angry San Franciscan,

Judy Beck - D5

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Garth Spiller; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I advocate for Map 4B
Date:	Monday, April 11, 2022 5:36:55 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Garth Spiller <garthspiller@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I advocate for Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I’m a Resident of Potrero Hill, and advocate for Map 4B.

Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace Square are a single community. South Beach also has similar concerns to ours.

Your draft map splits us and harms us. Please keep us with Mission Bay which is a similar community.

This was done best in Map 4B.

Garth Spiller
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: iradkaplan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:36:51 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ira Kaplan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ira Kaplan

Email	iradkaplan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 3
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: e_kimch@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:36:48 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erika Kim <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Erika Kim

Email	e_kimch@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months. As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity. On the "Community Unity Map": Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain. On the decision to move Portola into D10: The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together. I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

Erika

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: selinasun91@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:36:45 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Selina Sun <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 3:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Selina Sun

Email	selinasun91@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jeannie@gantproperties.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 11, 2022 5:36:42 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeannie Gant <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeannie Gant

Email	jeannie@gantproperties.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I support the legal and balanced Final Draft Map that keeps COIs together and upholds the Federal Voting Rights Act

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

I'm writing to express my support for the Redistricting Task Force and the non-partisan, legal process they have conducted over the last few months.

As you well know, the role of the RTF is to listen to public input and draw the maps in accordance with the law. Over the last few months, this is exactly what they have done. Since September, the RTF has held 40 meetings, all with multiple opportunities for public comment. It is well documented that they have elevated and prioritized public input at every opportunity.

On the "Community Unity Map":
Hundreds of maps were submitted by individuals and groups all over the city. It would have been neither fair nor equitable to give preference to one over the others. The niche political group that supported this map repeatedly claimed over the last few weeks that "everyone" supported the Unity Map. In a city of over 800,000 citizens, this is factually impossible. The only truly fair thing to do was incorporate all the feedback and draw a new map that reflected all of those varying needs. Additionally, this map has the worst deviations that show a deliberate underweighting of the Eastside to overweigh the West. D1, D4, and D7 are close to 5% UNDER deviation, and D6, D9, D10, and D11 are almost 5% OVER deviation. The 5% spread is an ALLOWANCE to keep whole neighborhoods intact, not something to be used for political gain.

On the decision to move Portola into D10:
The Limited English speaking API community has been split between D9 and D10, depriving them of resources and access to social services. After 7 months of deliberation and public input, moving the Portola to D10 was the only way to legally meet the contiguity and compactness requirements, while keeping a Community of Interest together.

I trust that the decisions the Task Force has made are not about politics, they are about population and followed a fair and legal process.

Thank you.