Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: April 9, 2022
Date:

☒	☐	Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022
☒	☐	Maps 4B, 4C and 4D - Q2 Data and Research – March 30, 2022
☒	☐	Map 4A from Q2 Data and Research – March 26, 2022
☒	☐	Maps 3A and 3B from Q2 Data and Research – March 25, 2022
☒	☐	Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022
☒	☐	Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022
☒	☐	Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – April 4, 2022
☒	☐	Communications Received April 3 through 5, 2022

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

☐	☐	Communications Received March 28 through April 3, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received March 21 through 27, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received March 11 through 20, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021
☐	☐	Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021

Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** April 6, 2022
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-**Mapping Day 1** (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-**Mapping Day 2** (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-**Mapping Day 3** (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-**Mapping Day 4**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-**Mapping Day 5**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D; March 30, 2022

Maps 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 4 A, which was drawn in the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Map 4 A was posted on the Task Force's website and map viewer on 3/28/2022. The Task Force direction for these maps is as follows:

- Include Haight Ashbury with District 8
- Make the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in one district while maintaining the publicly submitted definition of Chinatown District 3
- Explore maintaining 16th Street west of the 280 and potentially add in Showplace Square as the northern line of District 10
- Explore including the Dogpatch with District 10
- Explore maintaining the Sacramento Street boundary of District 3, and potentially unifying the Financial District in District 3
- Explore including Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in District 10

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of each of these maps.

Because the Task Force must adhere to district population requirements as outlined in the Charter, it is not possible to accomplish all of the above direction in one map. Some of this direction was implemented in each map.


Map 4 B moves Haight Ashbury into district 8B; includes Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in district 10B; and maintains the district 3B boundary of Sacramento Street. These changes cause shifts to other districts, including but not limited to moving part of SOMA into district 5B, the boundary between 8B and 9B shifting west to balance population, and the boundary between 6B and 10B moving south to balance population.

Map 4 C moves Dogpatch into district 10C, adjusting the boundary between districts 10C and 6C to 16th Street per Task Force direction. Haight Ashbury is also included in 8C. As a result, the boundary between 6C and 3C is moved north to Pacific Avenue and the boundary between 8C and 7C is moved east, among other changes in the districts to balance population.

Map 4 D keeps the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in district 6D while maintaining the publicly-submitted definition of Chinatown district 3D. Dogpatch is included in district 10D, the district 10D boundary is moved to 16th Street, and the Financial District is whole in district 3D. A number of changes are reflected in some of the other districts to balance population to accommodate these shifts.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District 6B detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District 6C detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District 3D detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022

Map 4 A was created during the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Additional maps drawn at the Task Force's direction will be released soon.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District 6 A detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A and 3 B; March 25, 2022

Maps 3 A and 3 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 2 B:

- Keep Bernal Heights whole in D9, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for Bernal Heights
- Use flexibility at the boundary between D8 and D9, trying to keep Guerrero as the boundary at the north the districts
- Keep North Beach whole, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for North Beach
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach ad Mission Bay
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay


The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was accomplished in Map 3 A. Map 3 A was drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay in district 6A.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was also accomplished in Map 3 B. Map 3 B was drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay in district 6B. To implement this direction while adhering to goals outlined above, the Tenderloin is included in district 5B in this visualization, resulting in significant changes to the make-up of district 5B and surrounding districts to balance population.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




6A detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:

- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods


The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A




District 6A detail

This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

SAN FRANCISCO 2022

REDISTRICTING

Redistricting Criteria and Next Steps

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries to be compliant with all redistricting criteria.
- Redistricting happens every ten years after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting Criteria

- Compliance with the U.S. Constitution, CA Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Contiguity
- Compactness
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest (CoIs)
  - Communities of interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

- The 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population
- Population variations between districts are allowed to keep recognized communities intact:
  - ± 1% of the mean (± 795 people), if possible
  - ± 5% of the mean (± 3,977 people), if needed
- The district map must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting rights of racial and language minorities.

Current Situation

The Task Force's job is to get as close to the mean as possible to meet the U.S. Constitution's equal population requirements.

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District:

<u>79,545</u>

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

Contiguity and Compactness

- Districts should be contiguous.
  - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district.
  - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
  - One must be able to travel from any location to any other location without crossing another district.
  - Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous.
- Districts should be compact.
  - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations.

Next Steps

- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will create the official final supervisorial district map.
- The Task Force will exercise its legal responsibilities in creating its map knowing that areas must be changed in order to be legally compliant. Each move on the map will impact one or more other districts.
- The Task Force needs the community's collaboration to complete its assigned tasks. Please help the Task Force by bringing ideas and solutions to the table.
- The Task Force must adopt a final map by Thursday, April 14, 2022, at 11:59pm.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	RE: April 4 meeting
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:21 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: regina sneed <reginasneed@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: April 4 meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To taskforce members:

I attended this meeting remotely. I left to go to bed at 11:30 PM. You should have too. It's UNBELIEVABLE that you would go back on your vote to work with Map 4D and do so in the middle of the night. When I left the meeting, you were working on this map. I thought the meeting should have stopped due to the hour but I trusted that you were going in the right direction.

This is not transparency. The next meeting starts with mapping. It appears from the agenda that The public will not have a chance to voice it's response to this abrupt change in direction until you finish a mapping session.

I strongly disagree with your splitting District five up into three supervisor districts, You have heard all the testimony supporting the reasons why this District should be kept with the boundaries in map 4D. I will not repeat it here.

I strongly request that you start your meeting earlier in the day and limit the time to no later than 10 PM. That time is still too late for some but within reason under this short time frame.

I think the Supervisors should amend the ordinance to allow for more time to do tgis job correctly.

Regina Sneed
Resident of District 5

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Larry Roberts; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep District 5 together
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:13 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Larry Roberts <larrybob@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 9:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 5 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi -
I have lived in District 5 since district elections began 20 years ago. I used to live on Oak And Baker and now I live in Hayes Valley.

District 5 has been a coalition of neighborhoods, each with their own flavor, but with many similarities in their communities.

D5 did not require much change based on the census, but map 4B makes the slicing up of D5 with an excuse of evening out other districts. But as map 4D and the Unity map shows, this is not necessary.

Time is running out. Please listen to the voices of the voters who are asking you not to carve up District 5.

Larry Roberts
520 1/2 Linden St
SF CA 94102
415-378-5446

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:07 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Mike Paul
Email	mikeapaul@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map - that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:02 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Julie Paul
Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution's 14th Amendment.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work you have done to get us to the final stages of this process. I strongly support the Task Force moving forward with the 4B map, one that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. I urge you to follow the law and ultimately create a map that balances the population as equally as possible, nears the +/- 1% deviation in all Districts, and preserves communities of interest intact.

As the Task Force has mentioned many times the importance of keeping Communities of Interest together, I urge you to commit to keeping the following communities intact:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved as residents have strongly stated their community is with D1, while no residents of Sea Cliff and Lake Street associate themselves with D2. Additionally, this allows for a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs and desires of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

2. The Inner Sunset must remain intact as a community of interest. The Inner Sunset for months has been advocating for its desire to NOT be split up. While D4 and D5 want to tear the Inner Sunset apart, the only solution for the Inner Sunset to remain a community is to be united with Golden Gate Heights -

which both neighborhoods have expressed throughout the process that they want to remain together. Also, the only solution that will preserve a legal population balance is to move the Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights into D7. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map - that preserves the Inner Sunset as a neighborhood.

3. There has been a great deal of discussion focused on maintaining the cohesion of the vulnerable API community, particularly in D1 - meanwhile, the monolingual API community in Portola has been largely ignored. Portola residents, calling into public comment always in Chinese - without support of powerful CBOs - have been advocating to be united with Visitation Valley to preserve their underserved, low-income COI. We stand with marginalized residents of Portola in their fight to be reunited with their community in Visitacion Valley and Bayview.

I urge the Task Force to refrain from powerful political forces at work that intend to undermine the democratic process of the Redistricting process. Please ensure a legal and balanced map that will keep communities together, and ensure protection of the Federal Voting Rights Act and U.S. Constitution’s 14th Amendment.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ayhc69@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:29:00 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angie Yap <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 7:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Angie Yap
Email	ayhc69@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	carole glosenger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support for map 4B
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:53 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carole glosenger <carole.glosenger@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 7:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

We, the Cole Valley Improvement Association, strongly support the 4B version of the various redistricting maps. The map makes sense because it keeps communities of interest together. We want to keep the Upper Haight Ashbury, Cole Valley and Buena Vista Park neighborhoods together. We have membership in these three neighborhoods and we are a community of interest. We support the Cole Valley commercial district merchants and pay a crew to clean Cole Street twice daily. We support Grattan school with grants. Some of our members clean the Alvord Lake area at the entrance to Golden Gate Park once per month. CVIA supports businesses and activities on Haight Street. The lycee Francais French grammar school and Urban High Schools are within our boundaries and many of our members attend those schools.

It is vital that the Upper Haight starting at Oak Street and the Cole Valley and Buena Vista neighborhoods be together and incorporated into District 8. We have more in common with the Castro and Noe Valley and the 4B map allows these communities to join together. Please note CVIA was mistakenly added to the list of supporters of the Community Unity Map. We do not support that map.

Thank you, Task Force members, for working so hard on this very difficult task.

Sincerely,
Carole Glosenger, President
Cole Valley Improvement Association

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Larry Adelman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please go back to 4D!
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:48 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Larry Adelman <LA@newsreel.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please go back to 4D!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members:

I was shocked to learn of your 3:00 AM maneuver this morning reversing your Sunday vote which had endorsed draft Map 4D.

I have lived in San Francisco since 1971, residing at my current residence in Bernal just off of Cortland Street since 1985 where my wife Andrea Snow and I raised a family.

I watched online Sunday as residents from across the City argued in favor of draft 4D to keep communities of interest together and to preserve the voting power of Black, Brown, low-income and other historically disadvantaged communities. I couldn't agree more and was pleased when you listened to the public and voted 8-1 in favor of 4D.

I was also moved by Rev. Arnold Townsend's impassioned cry over the displacement African Americans from San Francisco over the decades.

But Map 4B will only further dilute the political power of Black communities and hasten the erasure of African Americans from San Francisco. Another reason I don't understand your vote.

What happened? Why would you ignore the input of the community and change course in the dead of night to adopt a map which will only increase the political power of the City's more affluent neighborhoods?

I am not a demographer. But having lived in the City for more than 50 years, it's clear to me that the Tenderloin and SOMA have a long history together and should remain intact; that slicing up the Haight (as 4B does, and which btw, has long been connected to the Fillmore as well as NOPA) politically decimates one of San Francisco's most historic neighborhoods; that placing Potrero Hill in a 4B's newly configured District Six will disenfranchise the residents of Potrero Terrace and Annex; that Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace, by virtue of their wealth, are connected to and share a community of interest with Presidio and Pacific Heights not the Richmond District.

I could go on. These are just a few of the many defects of Map 4B.

I urge you to return to draft Map 4D. If Russian Hill feels that 4D currently splits the neighborhood, it could be moved into District 2 (as our current map already does with much of it). Redistricting is not an easy process. You know that much better than I. But you finally had it within your grasp with Map 4D. Please return to map 4D.

Sincerely,

Larry Adelman
243 Bonview Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Quinn Todd; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE:
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:44 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Quinn Todd <quinnsky90@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening, my name is Quinn

I'm from the TL/SOMA community and have lived in SF for 4 years

We must move forward with map 4-D
We have to keep West SOMA, Central SOMA, and the Tenderloin whole and together
Please do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, or Oasis from the Powerhouse or Wicked Grounds
Keep the Western Boundary at Van Ness and Division
The Southern Boundary must be Townsend
We cannot split up our LGBTQ communities, cultural assets, and important nightlife corridors like on 11th St and Folsom Street
Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA splits up several communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API, Filipino, and LGBTQ
Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA also unnecessarily splits up renters and people living in affordable housing
If D6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in D3, putting the hub in D5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established
Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in D2 would also help solve many issues
Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years, violates the law
Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard
Keep the Tenderloin, West SOMA, and Central SOMA whole and together
Thank you

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Eli Kind; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Recent Redistricting
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:39 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eli Kind <eli.kind@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Recent Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening, my name is Jake Dontavion,

I'm from the TL/SOMA community and have lived in SF for 23 years. We must move forward with map 4-D.
We have to keep West SOMA, Central SOMA, and the Tenderloin whole and together.
Please do not carve up the SOMA LGBTQ cultural district and split up historical assets like The Eagle and Eagle Plaza from Mr. S Leather, or Oasis from the Powerhouse or Wicked Grounds!!!
Keep the Western Boundary at Van Ness and Division.
The Southern Boundary must be Townsend.
We cannot split up our LGBTQ communities, cultural assets, and important nightlife corridors like on 11th St and Folsom Street!!!
Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA splits up several communities of interest: Black, Latino, Arab, API, Filipino, and LGBTQ!!!
Splitting up the TL & West and Central SOMA also unnecessarily splits up renters and people living in affordable housing.
If D6 must be reduced further, consider keeping Treasure Island in D3, putting the hub in D5, or dividing up those communities that have yet to be established;
Also, Keeping Russian Hill whole and in D2 would also help solve many issues.
Pulling apart communities of interest and cutting up neighborhoods and communities that have existed for over 50 years, violates the law!!!
Please keep the most vulnerable communities whole, don't take away the little power they have in being heard!!!
Keep the Tenderloin, West SOMA, and Central SOMA whole and together
Thank you,
Jake S Dontavion

Simply More Love & Light

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	SchuT; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Supervisor Districts
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:34 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: SchuT <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Supervisor Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee,
What would be so terrible to keep the Districts as they are now?
That would solve your problem.
If it is not broken, please don't break it with the Map created in the wee small hours of April 5th when most people were asleep.
Thank you.
Georgia Schuttish

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: robin@draperrichards.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:29 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robin Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Robin Donohoe

Email	robin@draperrichards.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recent immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that makes it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Map 4 B Is an Embarrassment to Your Task Force"s Express Mission and Must Be Rejected
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:23 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John <serop2@alumni.nd.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4 B Is an Embarrassment to Your Task Force's Express Mission and Must Be Rejected

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

As a San Francisco (and District 5) resident of almost 20 years, I write to express my utter disappointment at your last-minute decision to reconsider Map 4 B, a map that seems to go out of its way to spread my neighborhood over as many different districts as possible.

I realize that you have a difficult job to accomplish, and that you must weigh the needs of many communities and groups. I also understand that no everyone will be happy with the final map. With these thoughts in mind, it's still baffling to me how you believe that Map 4 B could possibly even be considered a viable map. One of your goals is to keep neighborhoods as intact as possible, yet just around where I live, what used to be District 5 would be included in 5 different districts, including my own would-be district (District 2) that has almost nothing in common with my neighborhood in terms of diversity, median income, housing density, or even geographic location within the city. If District 5 has to move eastward, that's fine. I can accept no longer being in District 5. But there's no reason that I should be able to walk less than a mile in a straight line and cross 5 separate district boundaries.

As much as possible, you need to keep the Haight-Ashbury, the Panhandle, Alamo Square, Japantown, and the Western Addition together. Map B not only separates those neighborhoods; it has up to 4 or 5 districts in the very same tiny neighborhood. I would be in District 2, but when I cross Divisadero Street (my corner) to catch the bus, I would suddenly be in District 5. If I walked a half mile west from my door, I would be in District 1, and if I walked the 4 blocks south to Haight Street, I would be in District 8.

Please reconsider your awful decision to revert to Map 4 B and give us a map that maintains the integrity of our neighborhood. District 5 is known as one of the most progressive districts in the city, and there are more renters here than in many other neighboring districts. Your 3 a.m. decision to revert to an already rejected map makes me wonder just how nonpartisan your task force is. Are you intentionally trying to break up the political power of a centralized, very clearly defined district? I don't know for sure, but it I wouldn't bet against that notion. Please prove me wrong. Please choose a map that respects the neighborhoods in the current District 5. If we have to lose a neighborhood, that's fine, but it should be the entire neighborhood, not bits and pieces of it.

Sincerely,
John Simonian

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Zach Erbe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep District 5 Together
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:17 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zach Erbe <zesandler@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 5 Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm appalled by the proposed D5 map (map 4B) splitting the district in half and extending it to cover the tenderloin and SOMA. Preserve the current footprint of D5 and stop forcing unexplained and unjustified changes. We are a community that is vastly different than the tenderloin and SOMA and should remain separate. Preserve the old district boundary that represented common community.

This 4B map should be rejected immediately!

https://lwvsf.org/news-blog/f/task-force-reverses-decision-votes-for-map-4b-sidelines-4d

Thanks,
Zach Erbe

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lael Robertson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:11 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lael Robertson <laelrobertson5@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

oops.
That is from
Lael Robertson
94107

On Tue, Apr 5, 2022 at 5:14 PM Lael Robertson <laelrobertson5@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Redistricting Task Force:
>
> I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.
>
> Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.
>
> Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.
>
> I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.
>
> Sincerely,

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: John Cawley; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting of District 5
Date: Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:28:04 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Cawley <john@pacgourmet.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members,

I am extremely disturbed and puzzled why my district is being hacked into a new configuration that scarcely resembles itself. A 3 A.M.decision to abandon the more popular and representative choice of Map 4D seems either a mistake due to sleep deprivation or a cynical choice to satisfy the Mayor's political prerogatives over the will of the people. The wealthiest neighborhoods in the city hardly seem to suffer from under-representation at city hall. A deliberate attempt to oust the more progressive supervisors on the board seems the real intent and goal. Newt Gingrich would approve. Please consider the effect this will have on the neighborhoods who know and trust their current elected officials only to see them gerrymandered out by this plan.

Thank you,

John Cawley
975 Grove St.
San Francisco
94117
This message, including files attached to it, may contain confidential information that is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this information contained in this message, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and destroy any and all copies of the original message.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tkanaley@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:15 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thomas Kanaley <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Thomas Kanaley
Email	tkanaley@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Siobhan Dolan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Anything but 4B
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:10 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Siobhan Dolan <sdolan@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Anything but 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force-

Please, you cannot take the large block of public housing in the South East corner of Potrero Hill and use it as a balance against the wealth of the rest of PH and SOMA as is the case in the awful 4B map. Restore the representation for the south side of Potrero Hill by placing it back in D10.

I receive the impassioned emails from neighborhood orgs about how we must "keep PH together" by fighting for the inclusion of small slices of wealthy areas to the north while fully ignoring wonderful parks and public schools being sliced out to the south. I know the task force has a difficult job, and any map has pros and cons, however 4B represents, on the east side, a terrifying concentration of power for those who sue SFMTA when they don't like a new transit line or endlessly stall Rebuild Potrero. Please, please, please vote no to 4B. Please.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Dana S.; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force - Feedback - Use Map 4D
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:46:03 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dana S. <dsniezko@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force - Feedback - Use Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I expect better from this task force!

That six members of the committee made a 2:53am u-turn, selecting 4B instead of 4D is shameful and embarrassing. The task force should listen to community feedback and move forward with 4D as a starting point. We are back at square one, with the TL and much of Western SoMa chopped apart.

Redistricting is meant to be a fair and transparent process. What we have instead is gerrymandering in the dead of night, a clear attempt to concentrate even more power in this city with the powerful and special interests, rather than producing fair maps. The only reasonable option going forward is 4D.

Dana Sniezko
District 6 Resident

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:59 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mike Paul

Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recents immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that make it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:53 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 3:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I support Map 4B because it unites Richmond neighbors and keeps Sea Cliff and North of Lake in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

All residents of San Francisco and citizens of the United States are entitled to equal representation. It may be inconvenient for some that Sea Cliff is located where it is—abutting California Street and adjacent to the Richmond, but I live in Sea Cliff/North of Lake and it is undeniable that I and my neighbors shop, recreate, volunteer and worship in the Richmond and are a part of this community. We have no community affiliation and share no boundary with the Marina, Cow Hollow, Pacific Heights, or Presidio Heights.

This redistricting process should be about keeping people together, not focusing on keeping people out based on their race and socio-economic class. By including Sea Cliff in D1, in no way is the RDTF breaking up other Communities of Interest within the Richmond and has subsequently configured a District that meets the needs of all residents—from senior citizens to the Russian and Asian communities, from recents immigrants to multi-generational San Franciscans, from renters to homeowners. It is the diversity of the Richmond, and its adjacent neighborhoods and parks, that make it so special.

The Richmond is a unique community and we in Sea Cliff/North of Lake treasure its character, history and diversity. I care about ALL the residents of The Richmond because they are my neighbors and we need to work together to solve the important issues that affect us. If there are residents of The Richmond who are suffering and need help, I want to hear about this from my District Supervisor. I reject the notion that it is impossible for me to care about my neighbors and the common good simply because of the type of house I live in.

Finally, by law, the Taskforce is required to create compact and contiguous districts. Including Sea Cliff in D2 violates the standard of compactness. The inclusion of Sea Cliff in D1 represents only a tiny fraction of the overall current D1 population (about 3%), and any argument against including Sea Cliff in

D1 subverts the law and denies equal protection.

For all of these reasons, I support map 4B because it puts Sea Cliff/North of Lake in The Richmond where we belong.

Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	David Driver; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Voicing my support for map 4B
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:48 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Driver <davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 3:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Voicing my support for map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF,

I want to repeat my statement of support for Map 4B, for the reasons detailed below.

Thanks,
David Driver

On Wed, Mar 30, 2022, 1:08 PM David Driver <davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com> wrote:

> Hello Redistricting Commission,
>
> I would like to voice my support for maps 4B and 4C.
>
> I cannot support map 4D because all the west-side districts are underpopulated and all the east-side districts are overpopulated. Map 4D leaves no room for the population growth which is going to continue to be weighted to the city's east side.
>
> I understand that it is hard to move old boundaries. But we should new create districts that can survive long into the future. If we avoid rebalancing now, we'll just have to do it 10 years from now. And it will be even harder then. Let's "rip the bandaid off" now and get it done right.
>
> I also support combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin in D5.
>
> I'm a former Haight-Ashbury resident, and I saw how the political center of gravity in the current D5 is weighted towards the Haight, NoPa and Sunset neighborhoods. The Western Addition is largely ignored and taken for granted in current D5 politics. So combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin (and possibly Central Soma) will give those core city neighborhoods a much stronger voice.
>
> Additionally, the Haight is a wobbler neighborhood. It has connections to the surrounding neighborhoods north, west and south, and can easily integrate into those supervisorial districts if needed. Haight residents are adept at making themselves heard, and they will not suffer a decline in representation no matter which district gets that neighborhood.
>
> Finally, as a current Portola resident, I see the value in moving us to D10. While I am happy with our current situation in D9, there is a strong connection between the Portola, Vis Valley, and the Bayview. So I would support combining all those neighborhoods in D10 -- especially if it helps rebalance other areas of the map.
>
> Thank you for your work,
> David
> ---
> David Driver
> 193 Dartmouth Street
> San Francisco, CA 94134-1427

davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	lgpetty; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: NO NO NO Map 4B
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:40 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: lgpetty <lgpetty@juno.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: NO NO NO Map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members:

Please return to map 4D.

Without any public input, and totally against all principles of democracy and preserving Communities of Interest, last night you threw out the 4D map that was, in a previous meeting, overwhelmingly approved by the public and that you yourselves publicly approved in a vote of 8-1.

Last night you had no basis in fact, logic, or law to throw out that 4D map.

Please restore 4D and apply a scalpel for refinements; not a hatchet.

I am a District 5 low-income Fillmore senior and voter in San Francisco for over 50 years and have previously urged you to keep our currently unified D5 COI's together.
Map 4B would destroy our historical D5 unity of COI's and destroy our COI's individually by splintering them into ineffectual exile, or isolating them into oblivion.

This Task Force is failing the people, failing its mandated duties, and failing democracy.

Restore 4D and get back to the people's work.

Thank you.
Lorraine Petty

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: laurenpierik@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:35 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LAUREN PIERIK <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	LAUREN PIERIK
Email	laurenpierik@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: aislin.palladino@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 4:45:29 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aislin Palladino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 2:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Aislin Palladino
Email	aislin.palladino@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Roan Kattouw; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Minimizing district changes perpetuates inequities
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:56:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Roan Kattouw <roan.kattouw@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Minimizing district changes perpetuates inequities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

I was not able to call into last night's meeting, so I'm submitting a comment by email instead.

Many public commenters, and at least one of the alternative maps that has been circulated by advocacy groups, argue for not modifying districts that don't "need to be" modified because they're less than 5% away from the target population, or for minimizing the difference between the current map and the new map. However, I urge you not to see minimizing changes as a goal, or even as a good thing, because it results in inequitable outcomes, and perpetuates existing inequities.

In the current map, some districts are wildly underrepresented or overrepresented. For example, D6 (+30.35%) and D10 (+8.65%) are way too big, so its residents are underrepresented, while D1 (-8.31%), D3 (-8.78%), D4 (-8.39%) are way too small, and its residents are overrepresented. This is obviously inequitable, but that's what this redistricting process is for, to correct these inequities.

Some advocates have suggested, explicitly or implicitly, that all that needs to be done is make the smallest changes possible to get each of the districts within the +/- 5% variance that is allowed. That is not technically accurate (additional criteria need to be met, otherwise only +/- 1% is allowed), but more importantly such an approach would perpetuate the existing inequities. It would result in a map in which D6/D10 are just under 5% too big (say +4.9%), and D1/D3/D4 are just under 5% too small (say -4.9%). That's a huge difference in representation: a D6/D10 resident would be underrepresented by about 10% compared to a D1/D3/D4 resident, and the main reason those communities would be underrepresented is *because they were underrepresented before*.

Arguments that districts that are less than 5% away from the target size don't need to change at all are similarly flawed. For example, D9 is at -4.56% with its current borders, meaning it "doesn't need to change" in order to stay within the +/- 5% variance. But that would overrepresent D9 residents relative to residents of other districts, and not for any good reason, only because D9 residents were overrepresented before.

Keeping underrepresented districts underrepresented, and overrepresented ones overrepresented, is not equitable. It makes the existing inequities a little less bad, but also perpetuates them for the next decade. The redistricting process should fix these inequities, not perpetuate them with minimal improvements. If this task force were to take such a minimal change approach, and future task forces did the same, districts like D6 and D10 would be underrepresented *in perpetuity*, for decades to come, unless and until population growth patterns change dramatically. That's a deeply inequitable outcome that should be rejected.

D6 and D10 can reasonably be expected to experience greater population growth than the other districts over the next decade, if for no other reason than that that's what happened this past decade. This makes things even worse, because it means that these districts will become more underrepresented over time. Members Ho and Castillon pointed this out at last night's meeting. Member Pierce countered that the task force should focus on the present, rather than the future. If

the task force chooses to focus on the present, it should not be distracted by the past, and it should be concerned that a map where D6 and D10 are around +4.5% or more while D1 and D4 are around -4.5% would be deeply inequitable, not just in the future, but *today*. It would create a situation where the starting point is that the east side districts are underrepresented by 9%+ compared to the west side districts, even before any population growth is considered.

The task force should avoid such an outcome, and should pursue a map with lower variances, especially for districts that have been dramatically over/underrepresented for the past several years.

Roan Kattouw

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Rick Girling; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject: RE: Shenanigans at the RDTF!
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:33 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Shenanigans at the RDTF!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,
I am appalled to learn that at 2:30 last night, you reversed your endorsement of map 4D to embrace 4B which has minimal community support.

What mysterious phone call made you change your mind"?
Which SF billionaires convinced you that the majority of San Fransicans who are dissatisfied with your map proposals, such as the extreme left League of Women Voters, are misguided?
In whose interest do you work for?

As someone who has lived in San Francisco for 47 years and taught in SF public high schools McAteer, Galileo and Lowell and has lived in the Richmond, the Mission, Noe Valley, the Excelsior, Glen Park and Bernal Heights, and raised 2 sons that graduated from SF public schools, I see my city being taken over by extreme wealth that is indifferent to community needs.

I beg you to look deeply at your actions in this redistricting and assure the public that this is non-partisan and not playing to the special interests of the wealthy.

Rather than refusing to even consider the Community Unity Map, you need to embrace the calls from those who are most active in addressing the many pressing economic, social and environmental needs of our city.

Sincerely,
Rick Girling
182 Banks St
SF CA 94110

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sigrun Graeff; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:31 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sigrun Graeff <sigrungraeff@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear all,

Please find herewith my wholehearted support for the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan.

Living in the area since nearly a decade I feel it is crucial for the District to keep the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast).
This proposal/D3 does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter.

The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.

The D3 United Neighborhoods Plan would be reflecting communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.

Thank you for supporting the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan.

Kind regards,
Sigrun Graeff

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Joycelin Craig; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Request from OAA for one District
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joycelin Craig <jcraig@astrosociety.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request from OAA for one District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Joycelin Craig, Board of Director of the Ocean Avenue Association and 30+ year resident in District 7, Westwood Park. I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District, also known as Ocean Avenue Association, in one single district - District 7. In other words, **precinct 9749 should remain in District 7.**

Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the district in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280 to better the area in terms of cleanliness, beautification and marketing. This represents a business corridor located between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7 and we have full commitment from our supervisor. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco and we need the full commitment of our elected officials.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, D7 on the North side and D11 on the South side of the Avenue. **We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor. We wish to remain in District 7, 100% as is.**

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both sides of Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district as all businesses share a unified vision for this corridor. **We recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI.**

Thank you for considering this appeal for unity on Ocean Avenue.

Joycelin Craig

Board Member, OAA

Business Information:

Joycelin Craig
she/her/hers/earthling
Director, Membership & Communications
w. 415.715.1417 www.astrosociety.org
390 Ashton ave. San Francisco, Ca 94112
Occupying the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rachel Noto; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Unify the Inner Sunset in District 7
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:18 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rachel Noto <rachnoto@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Unify the Inner Sunset in District 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My name is Rachel Noto. I am an educator with the public schools in San Francisco. I live with my two young children in the Inner Sunset near Lawton Street.

I support Map 4D to unify the Inner Sunset in District 7. Our neighborhood groups (GGHNA, SHARP, Inner Sunset Park Neighbors and the Inner Sunset Merchants Association) have all expressed a strong desire to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map, with District 7 bounded by the six-lane 19th Avenue on the west and Golden Gate Park to the north.

In addition, we hope you will include a portion of Golden Gate Park in our district, as my girls and I spend a lot of time in the Park and those issues impact District 7 residents.

Thank you,

Rachel Noto

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Shirley Lima; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Ocean Avenue Corridor in One Single District
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:12 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shirley Lima <hello@shirleylima.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Ocean Avenue Corridor in One Single District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Via Email

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Shirley Lima, Board Chair of the Ocean Avenue Association. I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District, also known as Ocean Avenue Association, in one single district.

Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the district in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280 to better the area in term of cleanliness, beautification and marketing. This represents a business corridor located between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7 and we have full commitment from our supervisor. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco and we need the full commitment of our elected officials.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, D7 on the North side and D11 on the South side of the Avenue. We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts because this will put a huge burden on our tiny staff of 2 people to manage funding and expectations from multiple supervisors.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both sides of Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district as all businesses share a unified vision for this corridor. We recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI.

Thank you for considering this appeal for unity on Ocean Avenue,

Shirley Lima

Board Chair

Ocean Avenue Association

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Stacie Morris; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:52:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stacie Morris <ddlslm@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from me and many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Please keep District 10 in Map 4D, or adopt the proposed District 10 Unity Map.

Thanks, Stacie

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ben Holfeld; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: SF - request to not include Tenderloin in Rincon Hill District
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ben Holfeld <ben@holfeld.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF - request to not include Tenderloin in Rincon Hill District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

During the SF re-districting effort, as a resident of Rincon Hill / SOMA - I request not to include Tenderloin in the Rincon Hill district. Thanks!

--

Ben Holfeld

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kendra Stitt Robins; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Love map 4B
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:53 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kendra Stitt Robins <kendrasrobins@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Love map 4B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks!

Kendra Robins
(current D5 resident / Haight Ashbury)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: chelseawaite@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:47 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chelsea Waite <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Chelsea Waite
Email	chelseawaite@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: karoline; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: What the [expletive] happened last night???
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:33 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: What the [expletive] happened last night???

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Firstly, I do not envy your positions. This would be a hard situation for anyone to tackle.

That said, what happened last night???? 4B please absolutely NO ONE. And certainly not me, and at nearly 3 in the MORNING?? THis is complete baloney and I disagree strenuously with this decision and all the ways you went about making it. You should be ashamed of yourselves.

Go back to 4D. That is not perfect, but is a better place to start on almost ALL districts.

Very sincerely,
Karoline Hatch-Berens
94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Christy Lai
Cc:	David Dubinsky
Subject:	FW: Letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:51:19 PM
Attachments:	Letter to Redistricting Task Force - 2022.04.05.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christy Lai <clai@prrcsf.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: David Dubinsky <ddubinsky@prrcsf.org>
Subject: Letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Please find attached a letter from the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center's CEO, David Dubinsky, urging your support to keep our facility within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced. We are concurrently sending the hard copy of this letter by post.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Best,

Christy

--

Christy Lai
Grants & Contracts Manager, Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center
clai@prrcsf.org
http://www.prrcsf.org
207 Skyline Blvd, San Francisco, CA 94132

207 Skyline Blvd.
San Francisco CA, 94132
Website: www.prrcsf.org

Note: The information contained in this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and intended only for the addressee(s). If you are not an addressee, you may not copy or disclose the information, or act upon it, and you should delete it entirely from your email system. Please also notify the sender that you received this e-mail in error.

Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center
inspiring abilities

Board of Directors
Officers
Ken Jones
Chair
Wendy Suhr
Vice-Chair
Chenxing Ferensen
Treasurer
Deborah Frederick, M.D.
Secretary

John Bruno
Terry Dollard
Beth Gregg
Mark Hazelwood
Claudia Jung
Matthew Miller
Scott E. Miller
Sean O'Neill
Tanya Peterson
Phillip Shamlian
Steve Sirianni

Directors Emeritus
Hon. Raymond J. Arata, Jr.
David F. Elgart

Chief Executive Officer
David Dubinsky

Accredited
Employment
Services

Contributions are tax deductible,
Federal ID#94-1394025.
The Center is a
Non-profit organization
chartered by the
State of California.

April 5, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94122
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing as the CEO of the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center to urge your support to keep our facility within District 7, along with the San Francisco Zoo and Lake Merced. We were founded in 1952 by Janet Pomeroy at a time when there were no other recreation centers dedicated for people with disabilities. We are nationally and internationally renowned for our unique programs serving people with developmental disabilities through our leadership in vocational rehabilitation, education, respite care, and other community-based programs. For many years, we have maintained a close relationship with the leadership in District 7 and we currently work closely with them as our programs evolve. As there are no residents living at the Pomeroy Center, maintaining our site in District 7 would have no impact on voting results within the city. I am sure the leadership of the San Francisco Zoo feels the same way about this redistricting project.

We are proud to serve thousands of residents across San Francisco and the region. The Pomeroy Center rents out our facilities to community and private uses because of its close proximity to Lake Merced. We also have a close partnership with our neighbor, the San Francisco Zoo, offering animal visits for our program participants.

We recognize the challenge before the Redistricting Task Force in balancing every Supervisorial District by population while attempting to keep communities of concern intact. The area surrounding the Pomeroy Center and the Zoo is not residential, but serves as a significant cultural and recreational community adjoining the nearby Lake Merced. We believe our facilities

should be represented within one district for us to sustain the level of continuity and consistency we need at the Board of Supervisors and maintaining our site in District 7 would help accomplish that goal. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,



David Dubinsky
CEO

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Shilpa Agrawal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Comments on proposed redistricting - D6
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:55 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shilpa Agrawal <shilpa.sam21@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments on proposed redistricting - D6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I have reviewed the maps and I would like to advocate for the version of the D6 map that separates D6 from the Tenderloin.

The economic and residential characteristics of the two areas are very different. A lot of the Rincon Hill/South Beach/Mission Rock area is newer construction, higher density housing, with relatively little retail.

The TL area is primarily low-density housing with different challenges.

In the current environment, the supervisor is focused on the challenges of the TL. I feel that South Beach areas get very little input and representation as a result.

To adequately represent our needs, the Tenderloin should be moved out of D6.

Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: awickman87@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:50 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Miller <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:02 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Andrea Miller
Email	awickman87@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sftonyfox@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:45 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anthony Fox <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Anthony Fox
Email	sftonyfox@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 6

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. The Tenderloin has no connection to SoMa.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ted kramer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: REGARDING RUSSIAN HILL BOUNDARIES FOR REDISTRICTING - D2/D3
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:41 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Theodore Kramer <theodore.kramer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: REGARDING RUSSIAN HILL BOUNDARIES FOR REDISTRICTING - D2/D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force Commissioners,

My name is Teddy Kramer and I am a resident of Russian Hill and a small business owner here in the City. I am on the board of Russian Hill Neighbors and its local business chair, I am on the board of NEXT Village, and am a member of North Beach Neighbors and the Telegraph Hill Dwellers. I have also been involved in the conversations of D3 United.

I am writing this note in my personal capacity as a resident of San Francisco. The comments below are my own and are not the official positions of any of the organizations listed above.

I'd like to advocate for you to implement map 4D for the following reasons listed below:

An appropriate split of City services across both districts

By splitting Russian Hill down Larkin you are properly dividing the following City services across two Districts:

District 2: Polk Street NCD, major SFTA bus lines (Van Ness and Polk Street), alignment with Central station police station lines.

District 3: Russian Hill parks/tourism (Russian Hill Park, George Sterling Park, Lombard Street, Hyde Street cable car, aquatic park), historic resources, and the majority of protected residential zoning.

It is also important that no schools will be impacted by map 4D. Galileo High stays in District 2 and local elementary and middle schools stay in D3 (Yick Wo and New School SF).

This only benefits Russian Hill and prevents some of the finger pointing that happened across both districts when it came to certain matters (See: Van Ness BRT). It makes each supervisor's responsibilities to Russian Hill clear and clean.

The Polk CBD and Discover Polk CBD are more like Union & Chestnut than Columbus & Grant

Over the coming week you will hear people oppose you putting the Polk CBD into D2. **What you have done is correct!** The Polk CBD has matured in the last decade and a simple eye test will show that it operates and is regulated much more like the Union Street and Chestnut Street commercial corridors than Columbus Avenue in North Beach and Grant Street in Chinatown.

Therefore, it should be in a supervisorial district that understands those challenges and can offer similar solutions rather than one that either ignores it or allows it to self-regulate, which is not what we need during these tough times for small business.

It is also a bit discouraging that at the 25th hour that many folks are starting to speak up for the commercial district, when it was never one of their initial talking points. They are simply trying to

use the Polk CBD as a bargaining chip which is not in the best interests of our merchants who are going through the immenses challenges caused by COVID-19.

The Van Ness border is artificial and ultimately everything will be okay

Finally, and most importantly, any opposition to the lines in Map 4D and a push to make Russian Hill's western border Van Ness is simply **based on a fear of change and an unwillingness to make small sacrifices for the betterment of all of San Francisco.**

It is disappointing that many in this community are placing their stakes in the ground that Van Ness MUST be our western border. Their reasoning of it being about "historic preservation" is an ineffective talking point and ultimately irresponsible.

The task at hand for D3 has been to absorb some of D6's growth from the past 10 years. Ultimately, the East Cut makes the most sense. Therefore, we need to give something up in order for other united and bonded communities of interest in D6 to stay together. It is our duty as San Franciscans to make small sacrifices so that larger issues can be properly resolved.

In conclusion, should you advance map 4D as is, or with some minor modifications **EVERYTHING WILL BE OKAY.** We will still be able to shop at the same shops, we will have a much clearer understanding of which supervisor to go to for specific issues/problems, and hopefully, ten years from now we will have seen all of the great work you have done has allowed for our community to thrive.

Thank you for your consideration of these points.

Good luck!

-Teddy Kramer

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: eddysapiro@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:36 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eddy Sapiro <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Eddy Sapiro
Email	eddysapiro@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: scoutca66@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:32 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christina Pappas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Christina Pappas
Email	scoutca66@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Peter Burjanec; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Rincon hill redistricting opposition
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:24 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Peter Burjanec <p.burjanec@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Rincon hill redistricting opposition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a homeowner and long term resident of Rincon Hill in the East Cut. I have been made aware that a redistricting motion is going on to bundle the Tenderloin into Rincon Hill. I strongly oppose this decision as our area will not get the adequate amount of representation should this proposal go through.

Cheers,
Peter

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: leslie@podell.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:18 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Boin Podell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:26 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Leslie Boin Podell
Email	leslie@podell.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Joel Bean; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:12 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joel Bean <jdbean46@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 10:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

I also understand that changes were made last night that reverted back to Map 4B. This reversion is a step backwards. Please revert to Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Joel D. Bean

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Claudia Stillwell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please keep Inner Sunset in D5
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:05 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Claudia Stillwell <claudiastillwell@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:56 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Inner Sunset in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a former renter in D5 and now own a home in D7! I think the character and type of rental resident makeup of inner sunset up to Funston, should continue to stay in D5 with the Haight residents! Otherwise their interests will be diluted with the conservative-leaning small homeowners that dominate so many other districts.

Thank you for your consideration.

Claudia
1659 Funston Ave.
94122

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Maulik Shah; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support the District 10 Unity Map
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:58:02 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maulik Shah <maulikgshah@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I write in support of the District 10 Unity Map, which preserves integrally linked communities in Dogpatch, Potrero, Showplace Square, and Bayview.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Next best, I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Maulik Shah
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mischama13@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:56 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jane Ma <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 8:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Jane Ma
Email	mischama13@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mvrazzo@sonic.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maryanne Razzo <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 6:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Maryanne Razzo
Email	mvrazzo@sonic.net
I am a resident of	District10

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: peterboothlee@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:57:48 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 5:07 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Peter Lee
Email	peterboothlee@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; James Meiners
Subject:	FW: Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:22 AM
Attachments:	MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040220222323_all.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Meiners <meinersjames@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi task force,

I was going to call in but there have been like 125+ calls into the hotline and I don't want to waste more time.

I think Presidio Heights, Presidio Terrace, and Laurel Heights should stay together in one district and Lone Mountain and Anza Vista should stay in the same district.

I think I've heard more people call in about keeping Seacliff and Lake Street in District 2 vs moving it to District 1, so I put it in District 2 in my map.

It also sounds like a lot of people want to move the remainder of the inner sunset (the eastern portion) to district 5. I didn't make that change but it would make sense.


Here is a map which reflects public comments from April 2 about the issues with Map 4D:

Thanks!

James Meiners

_____
James Meiners
314.757.5143

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: brunellojones@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:01 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Brian Jones
Email	brunellojones@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:57 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Whitney Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Whitney Jones
Email	wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ashleydalzell@mindspring.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ashley Dalzell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Ashley Dalzell
Email	ashleydalzell@mindspring.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	tesw@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Comments to Task Force
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:50 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments to Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks so much for working on SF's redistricting! I appreciate it, and know others do, too.

Some thoughts and questions:

1. **Census blocks vs. census tracts vs. streets.**
I've been told by two members that you will be using census blocks to redistrict.
However, we've all been drawing maps with street names, and we're told to use streets to define COIs.
I don't understand this apparent contradiction, and think others also will appreciate some clarity on this matter.

2. I urge you to **give more weight to people who live in or near a commercial district**. For example, I live two blocks from a commercial district. There are more issues such as more noise, litter, traffic, tourists, and parking. On the other hand, I have a library, two grocers, a post office, clubs, shops,and other services a few blocks away, and I enjoy the mix of housing and services.

People who chose to live in a strictly single family residential neighborhood, then visit a commercial area to shop, dine, etc.then go home, should have less vote than those who live 24/7 in these areas. I also point out that the strictly single family residential neighborhoods are often occupied by more homeowners. Many commercial districts have mixed single family and small apartment buildings, and more renters.

3. **Lowell High School.** Lowell high school students come from all over the city. I hope it won't be used to draw new district lines.

Thanks, Tes Welborn, D5

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: llederman@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:46 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luda Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Luda Lederman
Email	llederman@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: llederman@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:41 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sem Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Sem Lederman
Email	llederman@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Richard Cardello
Subject:	FW: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:28 AM
Attachments:	CARDELLO -- REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 PUBLIC COMMENT.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Cardello <richard@cardellodesign.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE

Attached my intended public testimony regarding Russian Hill and D3, during tonight's Task Force meeting.

Please advance a map that includes all of the Russian Hill neighborhood in District 3.
This will facilitate the continued collaboration with other D3 organizations, businesses and residents to best serve all.

Thank you for your hard work on this difficult issue.

Richard Cardello
999 Green Street Apt 903
San Francisco CA 94133

415.948.6030 Mobile
richard@cardellodesign.com

RICHARD CARDELLO 999 GREEN STREET, APT 903, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94133

REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE

rdtf@sfgov.org
MEETING MONDAY 04-04-2022
PUBLIC COMMENT (2 MINUTES)
SFGTV 78

CALL IN: 415.655.0001 ID 2482 064 9460#/# PRESS: *3

THANK YOU TO THE TASK FORCE FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS VERY DIFFICULT ISSUE.

MY NAME IS RICHARD CARDELLO.

SINCE MOVING TO SAN FRANCISCO IN 1982 I HAVE ONLY LIVED IN DISTRICT 3, FIRST ON TELEGRAPH HILL AND THEN ON RUSSIAN HILL, WHERE I RESIDE TO THIS DAY.

MUCH OF MY LIFE IS CENTERED AROUND THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE CITY I CALL HOME.

I WALKED TO AND FROM MY FIRST JOB IN JACKSON SQUARE, STOPPING AT LOCAL SHOPS, RESTAURANTS, DELIS, BAKERIES, ETC. ALONG THE WAY.

ALTHOUGH COVID HAS LIMITED MY ACTIVITIES, IT HAS REALLY HIGHLIGHTED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD-SERVING BUSINESSES AND SERVICES I PATRONIZE IN NORTH BEACH AND ALONG POLK STREET -- SUCH AS: THE NORTH BEACH LIBRARY, THE 94133 POST OFFICE, WASHINGTON SQUARE PARK, MOLINARI, COLE HARDWARE, WALGREENS, SWENSON'S, AS WELL AS DELICIOUS RESTAURANTS AND TEMPTING PASTRY SHOPS, ETC.

ALTHOUGH I AM SPEAKING PERSONALLY, I AM A MEMBER OF BOTH RUSSIAN HILL NEIGHBORS AND NORTH BEACH NEIGHBORS; I ECHO THEIR POSITION THAT WE SHARE A COMMON INTEREST AND OUR VOICES ARE MORE IMPACTFUL WHEN UNITED FOR THE COMMON GOOD.

INDEED THE 21 ORGANIZATIONS WHO ALL WISH TO REMAIN IN DISTRICT 3 REPRESENT A STRONG COMMUNITY OF INTEREST, WHICH WILL BEST SERVE OUR RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES.

VAN NESS IS THE LOGICAL WESTERN BOUNDARY OF D3.

PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT RUSSIAN HILL INTO TWO DISTRICTS NOR SEPARATE IT FROM OTHER LIKE-MINDED ORGANIZATIONS IN D3.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mcorleywing@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:11 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michelle Wing <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Michelle Wing
Email	mcorleywing@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Andrea Laudate; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Map 4 D is best for our City
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4 D is best for our City

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

andrea laudate

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dkuyeda@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:04 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	David Uyeda
Email	dkuyeda@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Teresita Naval; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc: Teresita Naval
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:00 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Teresita Naval <tita.tetet@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Teresita Naval <teresita@somapilipinas.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Magandang Gabi / Good evening Chair Townsend Task Force members

My name is Teresita Naval and I live in district 5 and work in district 6. I am an afterschool teacher serving bessie Carmichael which is a microcosm of District 6. Bessie has one of the most unhoused students in the school district and has a very diverse population comprised of Filipinos, blacks, latinos, and arabs students. District 6 will also have the most affordable housing units open up in the next few years and with neighborhood preference we hope that our families which live mostly in soma and the tenderloin will have a chance to get stable housing.

Thank you for adopting map 4D because it keeps all of our black and brown communities who have been the heart of district 6 all together. We have been here 50 to over 100 years and I hope you will prioritize our communities over the more affluent newer neighbors.

In order to keep our communities together and shave population in district 6, We would like to suggest moving the hub to district 8, and parts of soma east of 2nd street and south of Harrison to district 3.

We are in solidarity in preserving other established neighborhoods across the city, especially those who are working class, immigrant and renter communities of interest in the city.

As a district 5 resident I would like to ask you to change 4D to keep the inner sunset in district 5 and district 4 with the line at function and pls don't add south of sloat to d4 and keep sea cliff in district 2.

Thank you!

Salamat,

Tet Naval
Community Outreach Coordinator
SOMA Pilipinas

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: yuenuyeda@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:55 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Norah Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Norah Uyeda

Email	yuenuyeda@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Jennifer Laska
Cc:	"Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board
Subject:	FW: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:51 AM
Attachments:	2022.3.3 HVNA Redistricting Support letter.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; revtword@hotmail.com
Cc: 'Jennifer Laska' via HVNA Board <board@hayesvalleysf.org>
Subject: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5 is attached. Thank you!

Jennifer Laska
HVNA President
310-709-2230

The HAYES VALLEY Neighborhood Association | HVNA

April 4, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org
Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair revtword@hotmail.com

Re: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

HVNA appreciates that the latest redistricting map "4D" one of our prior requests to add the corridor east of Van ness to D5. This letter is in broad support of map 4D with the following modifications.

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 1, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 2 and 2A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector.

HVNA was also deeply engaged with the development at 55 Laguna/ Alchemy, working for years for the senior housing, and establishing a community benefits package.

We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor. In addition to this letter, several of our members Gail Baugh, Paul Olsen and Jason Henderson submitted maps reflecting this COI.

We also support keeping a portion of Golden Gate Park in D5, as this is a community of interest for all of our neighborhood.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Laska, President
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary
HVNA Board

Attachments below




Figure 1: HVNA boundaries




Figure 2: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)



Figure 2A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna area

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mayumikamon@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:28 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Bonham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Brian Bonham
Email	mayumikamon@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Denis Mosgofian
Subject:	FW: Comments to the RD Task Force
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:22 AM
Attachments:	Comments to the RD Task Force.msg image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Denis Mosgofian <denismosgofian@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments to the RD Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

4/4/22 Comments to the RDTF

I waited on the phone but could not tell if I was connected after I followed the instructions precisely, so I hung up and am sending in my comments.

- My name is Denis Mosgofian, a 49 years resident in the Inner Sunset.
-
- I want to tell you why the Inner Sunset does not belong in D7 and does belong in D5, which should be west to Funston. There is no historic community of interest between the D5 Inner Sunset and District 7.
-
- Your focus must be on the people, their needs, their connections, common bonds, and history. What they do, and things they work on together with other like-minded folks.
-
- Inner Sunset & Haight D5 folks have a long history of joint efforts to improve housing equity and protect the public domain from privatizataion. D5 residents' history is worlds apart from the residents of the detached homes and mansions that preside over the wealthier D7 district.
-
- The Inner Sunset is a mix of 2/3rds renters and 1/3rd homeowners. Inner Sunset residents fought, 1973-1980, to save its residents' mix of older homes and apartments from demolition by developers, and to preserve its working class population from displacement.
-
- I know of no D7 leadership or participation in the anti-displacement and affordable housing campaigns of the 1970's and 1980's here, or with the Inner Sunset Haight Ashbury-Polytechnic Coalition to build the Park View Commons 114 units of affordable ownership housing, or limiting UCSF's expansion, or in converting the Polytechnic gyms for community use, or in keeping the new Kezar Stadium open to community, or to keeping the Arboretum free to San Franciscans, and free to all before 9AM daily.
-
- These campaigns were waged by Haight residents, and Inner Sunset residents residing in both the flatlands of Irving Street corridor and along the northern edge of D7. There is a strong Community of Interest with Inner Sunset and Haight in D5.
-
- Inner Sunset belongs in D5 with the Haight, not the hills.
- I also strongly support D4 growing to Funston, Lincoln to Kirkham.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Emily Murase
Cc:	Sandy Mori; Glynis Nakahara; Jeremy Chan; DPH-josaki; Grace Horikiri; Susie Kagami
Subject:	FW: [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:07 AM
Attachments:	22.4.4 D5 JCHESS Japantown Map Plus.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcyc.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>

Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

Thank you for honoring the Japantown boundaries and our need to remain together with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially our Black neighbors, given our shared history of discrimination, exclusion, and extraordinary resilience.

Thank you for hearing our community to include the Japanese Community Youth Council and the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5.

District 5 in Map 4D includes all of our identified cultural and neighborhood assets except for one, the Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce (Electoral Precinct 9501) which is within the existing boundaries of District 5.

This preschool, serving children ages 2-5, is a thriving program of the Japanese Community Youth Council and has a sister program operating out of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, both of which are in District 5. The focus of the program is to serve the families of the Western Addition and Japantown.

Please RESTORE the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5. There is a clear connection between this preschool and the Western Addition. I have attached a map of the requested boundary change with suggestions on how to limit the impact of this change on District 2.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.
Emily

Emily M. Murase, PhD
ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.

1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94115
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)


Map

Draft boundary in Map 4D (as of 4/1/22)

Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS) Boundaries

Existing D5 Boundary (strongly preferred)

No known Japantown interest



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kpachla@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:18 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Koryn Pachla <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Koryn Pachla
Email	kpachla@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sdrcrm@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:14 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan McDonough <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Susan McDonough
Email	sdrcrm@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sasha Gala; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support for Map 4D
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:09 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sasha Gala <sasha.bodala@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sasha Gala
243 Texas St

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dave@artichokelabs.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:04 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Young <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	David Young
Email	dave@artichokelabs.com
I am a resident of	District 6
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Vincent Lee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:59 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vincent Lee <vinitlee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF

I am a resident of San Francisco and have become extremely alarmed to find out that all four proposed redistricting maps group Sea Cliff in D1 with the Richmond. Please do not do this. It will lead to erasure of the people that make up the soul of the Richmond.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisidero, and west along Geary.

Vincent Lee

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mhurabie@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:56 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marie Hurabiell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Marie Hurabiell
Email	mhurabie@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Marie Hurabiell

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Pierre Smit; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Ocean Avenue Association
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:52 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pierre Smit <pierres@oaacbd.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>
Subject: Ocean Avenue Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Pierre Smit, Executive Director of the Ocean Avenue Association.
Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the District in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280. This is a business corridor between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, one on each side of the street. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco.
We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor.
This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both side of the Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district.

Thank you for the work you do.

Pierre

--
Pierre Smit
Executive Director
Ocean Avenue Association
OceanAvenueAssociation.org

Something is always happening on Ocean Avenue!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kaaren25@att.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:48 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: kaaren alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	kaaren alvarado
Email	kaaren25@att.net
I am a resident of	District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mssamanda1@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:44 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amanda Michael <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Amanda Michael
Email	mssamanda1@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Christen Alqueza; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Public comment on Today"s Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:40 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christen Alqueza <christen.alqueza@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on Today's Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF,

I live in D1, the Richmond District. I strongly oppose adding Sea Cliff to Presidio Terrace to my district. Those communities were literally redlined to keep low-income & people of color out, so adding them to D1 would disempower low-income workers, renters, and Asian Americans like myself.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisidero, and west along Geary.

-Christen Alqueza

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jean Barish; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public Comment
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:26 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Below is a comment regarding the Redistricting of District 1. Please add this comment to your record. Thank you.

I'm Jean Barish, a long-time D1 resident.

A former Richmond Neighborhood Center board member and a current Planning Association for the Richmond board member. I'm speaking on my own behalf.

Thank you for supporting map 4D. We still need some changes. Please keep the Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace and West Clay Park in D2.

This is a battle for the heart and soul of the Richmond. We must protect the low-income residents, renters, and Asian Pacific Islanders who live here, including the many essential workers who put their lives on the line during the pandemic, tenants who need eviction protection, and seniors relying on food banks.

Moving high income neighborhoods into D1 is the "new gentrification." It's a power grab by some of the wealthiest people in the City who will drown out the voices of the voiceless.

Most Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park residents are wealthy homeowners with interests at odds with my D1 neighbors. They earn more money, more of them own their own, very expensive, homes, and many of their children attend private schools. In many ways they don't share the values of D1 residents. They're not a part of our community of interest, and which should determine district boundaries.

Including Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park in D1 will diminish the impact and silence the voices of thousands of hard working people who desperately need representation in City Hall.

Please, do the right thing. Stop this power grab and "new gentrification." Preserve this diverse, working-class/middle-income community of renters, essential workers, and seniors. Keep the D1 line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue; east into Anza Vista; and east of USF along Turk to Divisadero. And keep Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park

Jean

Jean B Barish
jeanbbarish@hotmail.com
415-752-0185

Stay safe and be well

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Larry Dorsey; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Re district
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:22 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Larry Dorsey <ldorseys@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am opposed to combining the two districts especially since the area is going to be up and coming. I think each district has its own set of opportunities and needs. Thank you

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: rebecca.randall@sonic.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:14 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rebecca Randall <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Rebecca Randall

Email	rebecca.randall@sonic.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: martha@ehmannconte.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:11 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Martha Conte
Email	martha@ehmannconte.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rowan Oake; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public comment on redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rowan Oake <roake@smith.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Rowan Oake and I'm a resident of the Richmond District and part of the Unity Map Coalition. Thank you for listening to the public and for moving forward with map 4D. It was mentioned yesterday during public comment, but there are still some changes that need to be made in map 4D.

If map 4D proceeds as-is, adding in wealthier neighborhoods in District 1 would disenfranchise Asian voters and voters of color, tenants, and low-income residents.

The Richmond District is a diverse community

- The Richmond District community is home to 60% of tenants and 40% Chinese-speaking residents.
- The Richmond District is home to many Chinese and Russian immigrants, renters, and SROs who house low-income tenants.
- Many District 1 residents, especially seniors, currently depend on its robust public transit, community based organizations, and free meals and groceries.
- By adding areas like Sea Cliff with the highest incomes into District 1, the least-resourced community members our nonprofits serve would have their needs competing with some of the most-resourced residents in the city.

Keep the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace in District 2

- The Richmond District was settled by large immigrant populations, and the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace were formed as caucasian-only communities to exclude these populations.
- Since their creation, the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace have always been among the highest income levels in the city, an exclusive, wealthy part of the city with mansions. By adding the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace into the district, we will take away voices of more marginalized communities, who are dependent on social services.
- The median listing home price in the Sea Cliff is $8.3 million, which is nearly 5 times the median listing home price in the Richmond.

Specifically name streets as boundaries for expanding District 1

- Adding more into the Inner Richmond:
    - Please keep the district line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue.
- Expand east into Anza Vista:
    - Expand east of USF along Turk Boulevard to Divisadero, up Divisadero corridor and west along Geary.
- Currently, District 2 includes 27th Ave between Lake and California. This small block is the Richmond, not Sea Cliff. Please include this block in District 1.

Please keep District 1 a united district of working class neighborhoods that empowers low- and middle-income workers, renters, and the Asian American community.

Thank you,

--

Rowan Oake
MSW Class of 2022
A'22 ARPG Representative
Unlearning Racism Co-Facilitator
Smith College School for Social Work
Pronouns: they/she
Location: Ramaytush Ohlone Territory

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Greg Lowry; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:03 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Lowry <glowry1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not remove the Inner Sunset from District 5. I believe that it should remain in District 5 up to Funston Ave.

The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park should remain in District 5 because of the many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar stadium, the Conservatory of Flowers, and the De Young museum. These attractions affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

Thank you,

Greg Lowry

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: katesa1@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:56 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kate Anderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Kate Anderson
Email	katesa1@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Lotusman@pacbell.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:52 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Hurabiell, Sr. <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	John Hurabiell, Sr.
Email	Lotusman@pacbell.net
I am a resident of	District 1
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Furthermore, I abhor District elections--they are an abomination and fertile ground for corruption. I demand that we return to At Large Elections which served San Francisco well for more than a century. In those days Supervisors were concerned with San Francisco not their petty fiefdoms.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ben Mok; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: SF Redistrcting Maps
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:49 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ben Mok <benm@cycsf.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistrcting Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

After reviewing the proposed redistricting maps after this past Saturday's Redistricting Task Force meeting, the Community Youth Center of SF would like to give feedback in regards to a few districts.

District 1: We urge the TF to expand District 1 boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, as draft map 2B did, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood. We are concerned that D1 boundaries reflected in maps 4A-4D significantly dilute the Asian and Pacific Islander vote. Including the Seacliff neighborhood in D1 will compromise voices of the API working class families and tenants living in the Richmond district. Household median income in the Seacliff is $60,000 higher when compared to median income in the Richmond district; these neighborhoods have very different priorities and we want to ensure API tenants and families are given ample opportunity to elect a representative that will advocate for their interests.

District 3: We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. Maps 4A-D reflect attacks by the Task Force on the interests of low-income API residents, including seniors, by lumping high-income neighborhoods with D3. The neighborhoods of South Beach, Russian Hill, Rincon Hill, and East-Cut have up to six-and-a-half times the household median income compared to Chinatown residents. The API community living in Chinatown is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. We oppose expanding D3 at the precise expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and the aging population.

We urge the TF to avoid expanding D3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest, and limit expanding D3 into the Transbay area to 2nd street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

District 5: We appreciate the TF's decision to include Japantown in D5 and further call on the TF to amend northern D5 boundaries to include the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St. The Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street, is an important early childhood education center serving Japantown and Western Addition families residing within those blocks and needs to be incorporated back into District 5.

District 6: We stand with the Filipino community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District. We strongly urge the Task Force to prioritize the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color who have demanded that the Tenderloin and West SOMA remain intact in District 6; members of these historical and established cultural neighborhoods have repeatedly asked the TF to remain united as wealthier neighborhoods along the waterfront have emerged. We call on the TF to refer to map 4D for a D6 configuration we want to see on the final map.

Regards,

Ben Mok

Community Relations Manager
benm@cycsf.org

Community Youth Center of San Francisco (CYC)
Main Office & Computer Clubhouse
1038 Post Street
San Francisco, California 94109
Tel: 415.775.2636 Ext. 242
Fax: 415.775.1345
www.cycsf.org

Youth are the center of our community.

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify CYC immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by CYC (Community Youth Center) or one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. CYCSF.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Halog@sonic.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:43 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Constance E Halog <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Constance E Halog
Email	Halog@sonic.net
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ninasteinman@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:37 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nina Steinman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Nina Steinman
Email	ninasteinman@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jlyonsaef@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:35 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennie Lyons <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Jennie Lyons
Email	jlyonsaef@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Casey Goldman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:30 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:25 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosario Cervantes <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Rosario Cervantes
Email	jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 11

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Art Persyko; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:20 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Art Persyko <artpersyko@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force:

Redistricting Task Force, 1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244, San Francisco, Ca 94102
(415) 554-4445, rdtf@sfgov.org

Please do everything in your power to draw SF redistricting lines fairly i.e. please draw district border lines that do NOT divide constituents from their neighbors who have common interests and concerns that would be diminished and result in a lack of fair representation at the SF Board of Supervisors, as a result.

As the Center for American Progress says: "…When voters cast a ballot, they expect their votes to matter in choosing representatives who are responsive, reflective, and accountable to the communities they represent…" (https://www.americanprogress.org/article/redistricting-and-representation/)

So, I hope you will pay attention and act upon the recommendations below that will meet such a standard of drawing lines that maintain a genuine relationship of accountability between communities of interest in San Francisco and their elected representatives*

Thank you very much!

-Art Persyko, Board member of the SF Gray Panthers and a resident of D-2, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109

***Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:**
-Please see that the Inner Sunset remains in D5 up to Funston
- Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in

D5.

Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:

- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.
- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Nathan Mee; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:16 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee
Potrero Hill

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: scoopfoggy@prodigy.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:12 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shirley Fogarino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Shirley Fogarino
Email	scoopfoggy@prodigy.net
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Caroline Palmer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts. Potrero Hill needs representation within the city of San Francisco, so keeping the community intact is a big plus.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer (longtime renter & resident of Potrero Hill)

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Rick Girling; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting proposals
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:04 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting proposals

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My Name is Richard Girling. I have lived in SF for 47 years and been active in city politics since then. Since first moving here I have lived in a number of SF communities each with its own characteristics.
I applaud the Redistricting Task Force decision to settle around Map 4D.
I urge the Task Force to take one more step toward fairer representation and adopt the Community Unity Map developed by a large coalition to the many community groups that make up San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Richard Girling
182 Banks St, San Francisco, CA 94110

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Laura ODonovan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to "Unity Map")
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:59 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura ODonovan <lodsf@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to 'Unity Map')

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTkxNTc5NTBjZjVkMDIxMmY3MWYxYTU0MTBhMWUzZjo2OjJmMTI6MTUyZDU3N2NiZGQ4YTJkMjJlOTliYmY3Mjg5ODU2YTA0NWUxMDY3YzdkYzE1MTliMTQ3OTVmYTFmMWVhN2M5Nzp0Ok4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Laura ODonovan
1421 Rhode Island St, SF, CA 94107
home: 415-648-4254
cell/text: 415-515-3378

Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Rodney Minott; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:55 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminott@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NzJmNGQwN2Q2ZTY5YmM5ZTQwZTU2MjJiMjI0NTQ3NTo2OmFmYzQ6ZjY4NTU2MjdlYjI3OTkyYWU2YTMzMTRlM2JmYjgyOWU0YjE3MDdiNjE1ZTI4ZDNiMTIyZjM1OWRlYTU5MzdjNTpwOk4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sivakitty@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:50 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Syler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Greg Syler
Email	sivakitty@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Leela Gill; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Martin Almaraz
Subject:	RE: Please keep NOPNA in D5
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:47 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leela Gill <leelagill1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Martin Almaraz <martin.almaraz@gmail.com>
Subject: Please keep NOPNA in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing as a long-time D5 resident, previous North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA) president, and current NOPNA board member.

NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.

I want to strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood association and keep it all in District 5.

Our neighborhood association has served our community for 31 years by creating traditions like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, organizing safety initiatives, and sponsoring greening improvements (tree planting, art work, GG Park Panhandle improvements, etc). We also helped establish the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years.

We are a tightly recognized community within the greater San Francisco area and splitting our neighborhood across districts would dilute our voice and make continuing our traditions extremely difficult.

Please keep the neighborhood between Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak in District 5.

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done.

Sincerely,

Leela Gill
30+ year D5 Resident and
North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association Board Member

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Pride Commons; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:43 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pride Commons <jessica@jperla.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: shanehiller@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:39 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shane Hiller <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Shane Hiller
Email	shanehiller@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John deCastro; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:34 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmNDRiOTQ2ZjU3MmUxNmQ5ODkxMjdmYTFlYzQ4ZDcyZDo2OjVkZjY6MGEzMzgyNzVlNTQzZjcxZjEzZWNjYWU4MGZjNDY3ODM4NTI4MDM3ZmU5YWU2NTlkMTlhN2YxZWI0NDM0MTBhNDpwOk4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

John deCastro
2jbdecastro@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Edward Rudolph; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:21 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Edward Rudolph <eddierudolph@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMGM1Y2I1MGM0MmJhMDZmYTAzZDdhNmVjMzY5MTZmZDo2OjMyMzk6YTJmNTk0Nzc0MmFkMWU0M2ViODU1Y2ZiMjEzOTJjMjZlNzgwNGVmYzc3MWI5YTQzZjk0NDYxYmFkZTIzYjNkMDpwOk4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Edward Rudolph
398 Texas St.
SF CA 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kaaren25@att.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:15 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kaaren Alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Kaaren Alvarado
Email	kaaren25@att.net
I am a resident of	District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: cnke888@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:10 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Curtis Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Curtis Lee
Email	cnke888@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ortizbrett@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:05 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Brett Ortiz
Email	ortizbrett@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: barbarajheffernan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:03 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Heffernan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Barbara Heffernan
Email	barbarajheffernan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Harry S. Pariser; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:02 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Harry S. Pariser <editorial@savethemanatee.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force:

As a long-term resident of the Inner Sunset, I can testify that our area is best served by being in D5.

Please do not make us be part of another, even more conservative district!

Keep us in D5!

Sincerely,

Harry S. Pariser
Inner Sunset

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mbeis@hotmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:38:53 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Michael Eisler
Email	mbeis@hotmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cheryl Meeker; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting for San Francisco
Date:	Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:02:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.***From:** Cheryl Meeker <cherylmeeker@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting for San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
I would like to make sure that our D5 district is kept intact -- to keep the progressive nature of D5 intact. Specifically, I want to make sure my address is included in District 5: 1296 Haight Street.

It looks like map 4D is the map that keeps District 5 most intact. Please make sure that our district stays as intact as possible.

Thanks,
Cheryl

--
Cheryl Meeker
415 255 0668
http://cherylmeeker.blogspot.com

"To love. To be loved. To never forget your own insignificance. To never get used to the unspeakable violence and the vulgar disparity of life around you. To seek joy in the saddest places. To pursue beauty to its lair. To never simplify what is complicated or complicate what is simple. To respect strength, never power. Above all, to watch. To try and understand. To never look away. And never, never, to forget. ..."


- Arundhati Roy

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04:31 PM

I received the from the Chair just as the meeting began.

Forwarding to RTF on BCC at his request.

JEC



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cari Jaye Sokoloff; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:35 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cari Jaye Sokoloff <carijsokoloff@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep our very connected neighborhoods together and intact. Choose Map D4 for district 10. Thank you!

Best regards,

Cari Jaye Sokoloff
M 415.793.0385

www.sokostudio.com
www.poshbellyskitchen.com
www.jayedsgn.com

Insta
@poshbellyskitchen
@forkmybelly
@asliceofpeteypie
@sokobyjayedesign

Sent from my iPhone. Please forgive the tupis…grrrrr...typos.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: meredithcdunn@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:31 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Meredith Dunn <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Meredith Dunn
Email	meredithcdunn@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: tracihollander1@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:28 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Traci Hollander <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Traci Hollander
Email	tracihollander1@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: bacisf@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:25 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marianne Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Marianne Schier
Email	bacisf@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: paul.pmec@outlook.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Molloy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Paul Molloy
Email	paul.pmec@outlook.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Paul Selmants; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:20 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Selmants <selmants@hey.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://bit.ly/374YEAg), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Paul Selmants

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: dirkprobstel@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:17 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Dirk Probstel
Email	dirkprobstel@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:14 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Yan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Jennifer Yan
Email	jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: stacielyn_99@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stacie Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Stacie Johnson
Email	stacielyn_99@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: wrb100@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Billy Brandreth
Email	wrb100@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:03 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Mike Paul
Email	mikeapaul@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:00 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Adraktas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Stephanie Adraktas
Email	stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:57 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Julie Paul
Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Stephen Gomez; REG - Redistricting Taskforce; arron.peskin@sfgov.org; Haney, Matt (BOS)
Subject:	RE: District 3
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:54 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Stephen Gomez <skg@stephenkgomez.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; arron.peskin@sfgov.org; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco

We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan. We much prefer including a wider Russian Hill boundary.

The current neighborhoods are more aligned for small business, hotels and tourism, all of which have been hit hard by the pandemic. We also encompass the vital financial district which is another important component to revitalizing our neighborhoods.

The greatest concern for our tourist industry is the level of crime and the drug abuse on our streets. Our streets are unsafe and our City by the Bay has become an uncivilized cesspool.

We, as hard working inhabitants of our neighborhood, want to combat these perceptions.
We report crime and we pick up the trash.

We already have a high density rate, perhaps the highest in the City. To make the situation untenable would be the possibility of more residents in an already overcrowded area. This is a poor master plan for the entire wellbeing of San Francisco.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you!

Stephen K. Gomez

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: jsantos1788@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:49 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jill Santos <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Jill Santos
Email	jsantos1788@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kvercellino@sbcglobal.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:46 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelly Vercellino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Kelly Vercellino
Email	kvercellino@sbcglobal.net
I am a resident of	District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Annika Lindner; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Maps for SF
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:43 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Annika Lindner <annika.lindner@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Maps for SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a resident in SOMA and I support maps 4A and 4C. Hoping to see one of these make it as the final draft.

Thank you,

Annika Lindner

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: prm5@georgetown.edu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:38 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Mohun <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paul Mohun

Email	prm5@georgetown.edu
I am a resident of	District 2
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: heather.luongo@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:34 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Heather Luongo <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent	Heather Luongo
Email	heather.luongo@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 7

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:
1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	~susan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Issues of Great Concern
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:55 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ~susan <sdlatham@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Issues of Great Concern

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am greatly disappointed in the draft maps this Redistricting Task Force have presented. I do not see any of the fair and good work of the Community Unity map, which represented 72 community organizations. The people have been disregarded in the TF map's presentation, as well as the many who spoke in support of all COIs therein. It is an ill informed embarrassment to the task force.

TAKE NOTE:

Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.

- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:
- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.
- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

I am in solidarity with D1 residents in saying keep SeaCliff out of D1. And that Anza Vista is a better fit with D1.

Susan D Latham
1965 Page Street #301
San Francisco, CA 94117
D5 Resident for over 55 years

~susan

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Norman Degelman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Map
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Norman Degelman <norkydeg@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the task force members - Thank you for not cutting out the Haight and voting for Map 4D. Here are some more points I'd like you to consider:

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

Sincerely,

Norman Degelman
422 Carl St

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Carol Mitchell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep District 6 together
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:44 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Mitchell <carol_lee_mitch@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 6 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Carol Mitchell and my husband and I have lived at 1 Federal St. in South Beach for 19 years. It has come to my attention that our district is in jeopardy of being split up.

I am in favor of keeping the district together South of Market, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island. There has been much accomplished in this district over the last two decades to create community including providing housing, schools, jobs, and transportation and planning for sea level rise, among other projects. The district is cohesive and there is much still to do.

Please keep the district together so that the groundwork that has been laid over the last couple of decades can thrive and continue to be realized in the future.

Sincerely,
Carol Mitchell
1 Federal St., Unit 14, SFCA 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: spinnerchick@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:41 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Constance Stamas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Constance Stamas

Email	spinnerchick@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; kasey asberry
Subject:	FW: Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:34 PM
Attachments:	COI _Env_Justice_SoMa-TL_Green Mosaic.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kasey Rios Asberry <kasberry@humanorigins.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force

Please find attached our Community of Interest letter.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Bloom Where You Are Planted
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Demonstration Gardens
Living Waters Env Justice Center @ Federal Plaza
Kasey Rios Asberry
415-283-8570

DEMONSTRATION
GARDENS

To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force


April 4, 2022

Green Mosaic in Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa Community of Interest

This letter documents a Community of Interest established in the downtown neighborhoods of the Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa based in collective Environmental Justice work towards a Green Mosaic that pools resources to interconnect a network of Cool Islands to counteract the Urban Heat Island Effect, generational inequities with regard to air quality, access to Nature and large density of vulnerable populations (see attached SF Planning Environmental Justice Communities 2021 map), all accumulating to reduce life expectancy of our residents by as much as 15 years as compared to greener more equitable neighborhoods. The SF Planning Environmental Justice Framework emphasizes recognition of resident leadership in determining municipal and state policy. We invoke that recognized local agency in this statement and urge the Task Force to respect the municipal policy and the resilience indicators associated with ruling in alignment with clearly expressed resident will.

Demonstration Gardens || 245 Hyde St San Francisco CA 94102 || kasberry@demonstration-gardens.org


DEMONSTRATION
GARDENS



In consultation with the Association of the Ramaytush Ohlone, the living people whose unceded, ancestral homeland in which San Francisco and our neighborhoods lie, the Demonstration Gardens and our partners the Tenderloin Peoples Congress, the Mid-Market Neighbors Association, the Mid Market Coalition, SomaWest Community Benefits District,Faithful Fools Street Ministry, Hyde Street Studios, St Anthony's Foundation, The Living waters Environmental Justice Center at Federal Plaza and the SF Environmental Justice Community Working Group we want to underline the importance of working holistically to correct environmental injustice, requiring a collective impact approach as depicted in the Environmental Justice medicine wheel for community healing, attached.

Demonstration Gardens || 245 Hyde St San Francisco CA 94102 || kasberry@demonstration-gardens.org

DEMONSTRATION
GARDENS



We work together across Filipino, LGBTQ+, Latino, Black, Arabic-speaking communities and economic milieus and indeed this syncretic coalition is an essential characteristic of our District 6 co-alignments of neighborhood cultures.

DEMONSTRATION
GARDENS

The Green Mosaic COI area is encompassed roughly by:

-Tenderloin: between Geary (North), Masonic (East - North of Market), Van Ness (West)

-Mid Market: 6th Street to 12th Street

-SoMa: Freeway or Van Ness (West and South), Treasure Island (East)

The most recent SF Task Force map that most closely approximates our COI is 4D.

We also recognize the agency of other neighborhoods towards self-determination and therefore endorse the SF Unity Map.https://www.sfrising.org/

Redistricting that respects these processes and boundaries is respectful; it is also practical because we have developed coalitions and budgets related to District 6 relationships. Disruption of this would be inefficient and costly to the agreements we have established and plans for the next twenty - fifty years, on the scale of the now discredited and illegal economic redlining and freeway building of earlier generations.

Resident-lead work is always plagued by scarce resources; disrupting our collaborations would cost valuable benefit to our residents in quality of life, ill-health and even lives lost as we must deal with climate instability - fires, extreme heat and flooding now through reforestation, air improvement and neighborhood-based organizing.

Demonstration Gardens || 245 Hyde St San Francisco CA 94102 || kasberry@demonstration-gardens.org

DEMONSTRATION
GARDENS

Sincerely,

Kasey Rios Asberry

Executive Director, Demonstration Gardens

Co-Chair, SF Env Justice Framework Community Working Group

Green Mosaic Coalition, founding member

Demonstration Gardens || 245 Hyde St San Francisco CA 94102 || kasberry@demonstration-gardens.org

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sjadalla@pacbell.net; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:21 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sandra Jadallah <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sandra Jadallah

Email	sjadalla@pacbell.net
I am a resident of	District 7
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: obriant@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:15 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeremy OBriant <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jeremy OBriant

Email	obriant@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 5
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face

the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mariela Cedeno; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:11 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mariela Cedeno <mariela.e.cedeno@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am an SF resident and extremely disappointed with this proposed redistricting. At its best, it's sloppy, at its worst it seems like a deliberate effort to undo decades of organizing work of LGBTQ residents and break up marginalized communities that already have little reach into the political system.

Thank you,

Mariela Cedeño
District 8

On Thu, Mar 31, 2022 at 1:47 PM Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <clerksoffice@sfgov.org> wrote:

> Web Version
>
> **SAN FRANCISCO'S OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD**

















Board of Supervisors, Office of the Clerk of the Board

You are receiving this newsletter as part of your rights as a San Francisco citizen to have access to government information that helps make your neighborhood better. You may have previously signed up to receive information from the Clerk of the Board, the Board of Supervisors or a non-profit with which we have partnered with to improve the community.

This email was sent by: City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place, San Francisco, California, 94102 United States

Privacy Policy

Update Profile **Manage Subscriptions** **Unsubscribe**

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Daphne Magnawa; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep our D10 community together!
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:05 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Magnawa <dmagnawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep our D10 community together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Here's why I support the District 10 Unity Map:

- For 17 years, I have lived on the 300 block of Utah St. **in D10**.
- I helped raise thousands of dollars for the Potrero Branch library i**n D10**.
- My daughter was born and raised **in D10**.
- When my daughter was only 3 months old, Daniel Webster Elementary was slated to close and I was one of the neighborhood parents that helped to keep it OPEN. She attended and graduated from Webster Elementary **in D10**.
- I helped build and open 2 preschools **in D10**.
- I am on the board of the Green Benefits District **in D10**.
- I have served on the board of the Potrero Boosters **in D10**.
- I have created lifelong friendships that began at Jackson Park **in D10**.

My community is NOT D6. My community is D10. Keep our community whole and build the boundaries according to the District 10 Unity Map.

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa
300 Block Utah St. **in D10**

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	tesw@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force, please clarify the Unit of Measure for Q2 maps: are you using Census Blocks? Census Tracts? Something else?

Thanks, Tes Welborn

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: irishslate@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:51 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret O'SULLIVAN <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Margaret O'SULLIVAN

Email	irishslate@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: krochemusic@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:48 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kevin Roche

Email	krochemusic@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: slatehouse@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn"t keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:43 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email	slatehouse@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 4
	I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause. In particular: 1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia. 2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map. 3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community. 4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.
I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	james@stuffsf.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 2:09:32 PM
Attachments:	rtf040422_agenda_EN.pdf

There is a meeting tonight at 5:30.

On Saturday the Task Force moved forward with Map 4D, and tonight they will be making adjustments to the 4D map.

Here is an agenda for the meeting tonight, attached.

Thanks so,
John Carroll

From: james@stuffsf.com <james@stuffsf.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John,

I noticed there are new MAPS 4 for redistricting and I want to make sure I let those interesting in keeping SOMA together to write new letters in support of MAP 4A

When is the next upcoming voting on MAP 4

Thanks

James Spinllo
SWCBD
San Francisco, CA 94103
james@stuffsf.com
c 415-710-4288

REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
AGENDA

IN-PERSON MEETING
City Hall, Room 408
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

April 4, 2022 - 5:30 PM

Regular Meeting

REMOTE ACCESS VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
WATCH San Francisco Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 ***(depending on your provider)***
WATCH www.sfgovtv.org
WATCH: https://bit.ly/35LpDAC
PASSWORD: comment

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN
1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2482 064 9460 # #
(Press *3 to enter the speaker line)

Audio Interpretation in Cantonese – Available Until 11 PM
1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2494 604 3639 # #
WATCH: https://bit.ly/3wS6g49
PASSWORD: comment

Audio Interpretation in Spanish – Available Until 11 PM
1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID 2492 544 7274 # #
WATCH: https://bit.ly/36X1LtP
PASSWORD: comment

1. **Call to Order and Roll Call**

Seat 1	Jeremy Lee
Seat 2	Jose Maria (Chema) Hernández Gil
Seat 3	J. Michelle Pierce
Seat 4	Matthew Castillon
Seat 5	Rev. Arnold Townsend
Seat 6	Lily Ho
Seat 7	Raynell Cooper
Seat 8	Chasel Lee
Seat 9	Ditka Reiner

2. **Mapping**
   Members of the Task Force shall discuss visualizations of maps developed and submitted by Q2 Data and Research at Task Force's direction and may give them further instructions. By agreement the Task Force may also move to line drawing at any time if they so decide. Discussion and possible action.
   (Public Comment)

3. **Q2 Redistricting Consultants Update**
   (Redistricting Consultants, Q2 Data and Research)
   Discussion and possible action.
   (Public Comment)

4. **Task Force Member Reports and City Department Representative/Public Official Reports**
   Members of the Task Force report on their respective discussions with members of the public and/or public officials concerning current or future work within the jurisdiction of the Task Force City Department representatives and/or public officials report on matters within the jurisdiction of the Task Force.
   Discussion.
   (Public Comment)

5. **Approval of Minutes from the Special Meetings of March 23 and 25, 2022**
   Discussion and possible action.
   (Public Comment)

6. **General Public Comment:** Members of the public may address the Redistricting Task Force on matters that are within their jurisdiction and not on today's agenda.

7. **Future Agenda Items**
   Discussion and possible action.
   (Public Comment)

8. **Adjournment**

Agenda Item Information

Each item on the agenda may include: 1) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report; 2) Public correspondence; 3) Other explanatory documents. For more information concerning agendas, minutes, and meeting information, such as these documents, please contact:

Redistricting Task Force Clerk
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Meeting Procedures

Public Comment will be taken before or during the Task Force's consideration of each agenda item. Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the Chair at the beginning of each item, except public speakers using translation assistance will be allotted twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk (john.carroll@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting. The public is encouraged to testify at Task Force meetings and to write letters to its members: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102.

AGENDA PACKET: Available on the internet at: https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS: Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino at all regular and special Board and Committee meetings if made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services: Contact Wilson Ng or Arthur Khoo at (415) 554-5184.

傳譯服務: 所有常規及特別市參事會會議和常務委員會會議將提供西班牙文, 中文以及菲律賓文的傳譯服務, 但必須在會議前最少48小時作出請求, 以確保能獲取到傳譯服務. 將因應請求提供交替傳譯服務, 以便公眾向有關政府機構發表意見. 如需更多資訊或請求有關服務, 請致電（415）554-5184 聯絡我們.

AVISO EN ESPAÑOL: Los servicios de idiomas están disponibles en español, chino, y filipino en todas las reuniones regulares y reuniones especiales de la Junta, de los Comités, si se solicita por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión para ayudar a garantizar su disponibilidad. Para más información o solicitar servicios, por favor contactar a (415) 554-5184.

PAUNAWA: Mayroong serbisyong pang-wika sa Espanyol, Tsino at Pilipino para sa lahat ng mga regular at espesyal na pagpupulong ng Board, at Komite ng Board. Sa kasalukuyan, mayroong serbisyo sa wikang Pilipino na maaaring hilingin, 48 oras (o mas maaga) bago ng pagpupulong upang matiyak na matutugunan ang inyong kahilingan. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon o para humiling ng serbisyo pang-wika, tawagan lamang ang (415) 554-5184.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different types of disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have an equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.

Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the public of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly to such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to people with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train staff to respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and that requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical assistance to City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable modifications.

The Redistricting Task Force supports the Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where all people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal discrimination under disability rights laws.

To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact Wilson Ng at (415) 554-5184 (wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org).

Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free company of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine.

Ethics Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; website http://www.sfgov.org/ethics**.** Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit www.sfethics.org.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Thornton, Cheryl (DPH)
Subject:	FW: Voting Rights Act
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 11:33:03 AM
Attachments:	redistrictin.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thornton, Cheryl (DPH) <cheryl.thornton@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Voting Rights Act

Hi Redistricting Taskforce,

Can you add my letter to the agenda for today under public comment?

Thank you

Cheryl Denson-Thornton
Hospital Eligibility Supervisor
Primary Care
Pronouns: She/ Her/ Hers
Phone: 415-374-5100
30 Van Ness, Suite 2300, San Francisco,
CA 94102

1501610198219_PastedImage



This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Submitted by Cheryl Thornton D10 Resident

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 an important federal redistricting requirement that ensures our representatives reflect America's racial and ethnic diversity. "The Voting Rights of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

Community of Interest: HOPE SF Public Housing Sites which include the Sunnydale public housing located in Visitation Valley, Alice Griffith located in Double Rock, Hunters View public housing located in Bayview Hunters Point and the Potrero Hill Annex and Terrance located in Potrero Hill.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 "The Voting Rights of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

District 10 must shrink not grow in population. Cutting Potrero Hill out of D10 and adding the Portola neighborhood into D10 will dilute the strength of the Black voting strength. Furthermore, Potrero Hill has always been in District 10. Whereas Portola has been in District 9 for ten years. Adding Portola and removing Potrero Hill would weaken the Black voting strength in District 10. This would violate the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

District 10 was created in the year 2000. For every election in District 10 a Black Represent was elected to represent District 10 which included two presidents of the Board of Supervisors ((Malia Cohen and Shamman Walton). District 10 is the only District to elect a Black supervisor in every election. District 10 is the only district to elect a Black supervisor in every election since the creation of district elections in 2000.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Adkins, Joe (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Public Comment from Tim Nolan
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:39 AM
Attachments:	Tim Nolan PC.pdf

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment from Tim Nolan

Hello,

Please see attached public comment received in the mail from Tim Nolan.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

TIMOTHY JAMES NOLAN
2600 VAN NESS AVENUE Nº 503
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
94109

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2022 APR -4 AM 10: 33
BY

March 25, 2022

Members of the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force,

I am a 31+ year resident of San Francisco. I have lived in the Inner Sunset, the Marina, Lone Mountain and Russian Hill neighborhoods, the last being my home for 26 years. My current address falls into the boundary of District 2. This email represents my voice for inclusion in public comments regarding the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force efforts.

I strongly support the position letter submitted by the Russian Hill Neighborhood association (RHN) of keeping the Russian Hill neighborhood intact, in District 3 (D3) as well as extending the western boundary to Van Ness Avenue. Below is a link to the 02/11/2022 preliminary input from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force:

https://rhnsf.org/2022/02/11/redistricting-task-force-letter/

Enclosed you should find a copy of the 03/11/2022 letter from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force. This letter makes detailed and logical arguments for the future D3 boundaries, including the request to **reunify Russian Hill by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3, with Van Ness Avenue as its western boundary**.

In summary I respectfully urge you to consider these positions when drawing the final Supervisor Districts we will live under for the next decade.

Sincerely,

Tim Nolan

PRESIDENT
Carol Ann Rogers
VICE PRESIDENTS
Gregg Carr
Pamela Meyers
SECRETARY
Suzee Barrabee
TREASURER
Joyce Kucharvy

PAST PRESIDENT
Tina Moylan

DIRECTORS
Richard Cardello
Peter Kindel
Pamela Meyers
Laurie Petipas
Alice Alioto
Robert Bluhm
Lauren Bohlin
Greg Polchow

COMMITTEE CHAIRS
Design, Zoning, and Land Use
Robert Bluhm
History
Al Greening
Communications
Susan Ford
Safety
Jennifer Parkes
Social
Pamela Meyers
Families
Moe Jamil
Annie Yang
Parks
Susan Karasoff
Membership
Open
Transportation
Steve Taber
Neighborhood Enhancement
Phoebe Douglass
Local Businesses
Teddy Kramer
Neighborhood Organizations
Matthew Mansfield

ADVISORS
Lucretia Rauh, Chair
Tim Covington
Helen Doyle
Judy Junghans
Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221
San Francisco CA 94109
415.993.1808
www.rhnsf.org

Russian Hill Neighbors
www.rhnsf.org

March 17, 2022

TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force

RE: Why does Russian Hill belong in the Northeast Quadrant of San Francisco (D3)?

In April 1906, the entire northeast quadrant of San Francisco, including Downtown, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Nob Hill, North Beach and Russian Hill were flattened by the earthquake and subsequent fires. The fire was stopped at Van Ness Avenue with only a few structures surviving intact. Many of these survivors are now official landmarks recognized by the City or the National Register of Historic Places thanks to the efforts of local residents and organizations.

Throughout the 20th century, these neighborhoods east of Van Ness Avenue rebuilt into the iconic and densely populated urbanscape that continues to characterize them today, beloved by residents, small businesses and tourists alike.

The neighborhoods east of Van Ness have been historically characterized by a diverse group of immigrants whose marks are still be seen and celebrated:

- Italian language mass is celebrated weekly at Saints Peter and Paul Church, Italian cuisine predominates and the Italian Athletic Club still sponsors its 100 year old foot race. Families with roots in Little Italy established homes on the slopes of Russian Hill where they have lived for multiple generations.
- The Mexican-American community flourished until it was displaced by the building of the Broadway tunnel. Its shrine to Our Lady of Guadalupe remains as a City landmark.
- Mid-20th century, the Chinese community began to settle in Russian Hill. These multi-generational Russian Hill property owners and residents have strong connections to Chinatown and are part of a greater Chinatown community, as are many parts of Nob Hill.

In the 1960s and 1970s, the northeastern residents east of Van Ness pushed back on high-rise development by advocating for height limits both in the neighborhoods and the northern waterfront. Although the individual neighborhoods have different names and boundaries, they share common experiences and frequent

collaborations which blur the lines separating us on official maps. For example, Columbus Avenue is not a boundary between neighborhoods but a common and vital corridor shared by the residents of the slopes that lead to it from both east and west.

In the 1980s, *Russian Hill Neighbors* led a successful effort to nominate three historic districts in its boundaries to the National Register of Historic Places, giving special protection to these treasured areas. Similarly, *RHN* and its peer organizations and residents have been devoted to preserving public open spaces such as Ina Coolbrith and Sterling Parks, Helen Wills Playground and most recently the soon-to-open Francisco Park which provide unique spaces for all of the dense northeastern residents to enjoy.

Also in the 1980s, Russian Hill joined with its northeastern neighbors to establish the first preferential parking zone in the City identified by the red "A" sticker. The boundaries today for the "A" sticker are still set at Van Ness Avenue and extend east to the Bay. These collective experiences of rebuilding, protection, preservation and resilience are part of the DNA of the D3 neighborhood groups, businesses and residents who support the United D3 Map submitted to the Redistricting Task Force.

With this common heritage, the northeastern neighborhoods of D3 remain an essential force to meet the challenges of the next ten years together demonstrated by much recent and ongoing collaboration:

- maintaining healthy and vibrant commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses;
- addressing the high cost of both ownership and rental properties that threatens residents from being able to continue to afford to live in San Francisco;
- finding solutions to quality of life issues such as clean streets, safety and security;
- advocating for the services that allow families to continue to reside in our neighborhoods, including good schools, open space, child care and support for seniors such as those provided by Next Village, Telegraph Hill Neighborhood Center and other nonprofits supported by us with both funds and volunteers;
- co-sponsoring the North Beach Neighbors Farmers Market;
- organizing volunteer street, sidewalk and green space clean-up days;
- working with the Central Station Police Station by serving on the Captain's Community Police Advisory Board (CPAB);
- offering NERT training and disaster preparedness education to residents.

The diverse mix of neighborhoods that comprise the northeast quadrant do not always agree on strategies and solutions, but we have built a sense of trust and cooperation that serve us well and encourage positive and productive approaches.

In 1906, Van Ness Avenue was the boundary that created the common experience we share as northeastern neighbors in D3. Through the decades since, Van Ness has been recognized as the logical and natural boundary between the densely populated eastern neighborhoods and the western part of the City by residents, city agencies and local tradition. It remains the appropriate and practical boundary that defines our shared relationships today.

In our original submission to the RTF, *Russian Hill Neighbors* asked that Russian Hill be unified by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3. Many other neighborhood organizations support this request, including *D3 United Neighborhoods* and the *Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council*. Russian Hill should be unified and, by history, geography, and logic, it belongs in the northeast quadrant with its D3 neighbors and Van Ness as its western boundary.

Thank you for listening to the many voices participating in this important endeavor, for your service and for your consideration of our request.

Very truly yours,
Carol Ann Rogers, President
president@rhnsf.org; 415-902-3980

Note: The boundaries of Russian Hill Neighbors as stated in our COI statement filed with the RTF on 2.11.22 are:

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Martin Almaraz
Cc:	PrestonStaff (BOS)
Subject:	FW: NOPNA"s position on D5 Redistricting
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:20 AM
Attachments:	2022 SF Redistricting Letter.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martin Almaraz <martin@nopna.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:37 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: NOPNA's position on D5 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi all,

I am reaching out to voice the concerns and position of the North Of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association.
Attached is our letter.

- Martin Almaraz,
NOPNA President

North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

As the president of the North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA), I am writing on behalf of the NOPNA board and our community. NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.
We appreciate the work that has been done by the Task Force and understand the gravity and importance of the Task Force's role in San Francisco's 2022 redistricting process. As such, we want to ensure our collective voice is heard.

We strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood.

NOPNA has developed neighborhood events and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years. We have created neighborhood traditions, like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, and organized safety, tree planting and GG Park Panhandle improvements, and helped established the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market – all of which are accomplishments that are beloved by generations of families and residents who call this area their home.

We stand in solidarity with our nearby neighbors. We ask that you please sustain the Japantown Cultural District to the Western Addition along with other points of community interest to the Japantown community. We also appreciate and support the inclusion of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5. BTWCSC is a historical and integral part of District 5, which has served the Western Addition/Fillmore and larger SF African American community for over 100 years.

We have reached out to the Task Force as a registered Community Of Interest, and we are worried that our request is not reflected on any recent district draft proposals. We also strongly urge the Task Force to maintain the inclusion of the NOPA neighborhood in District 5 along with the aforementioned communities: Alamo Square, Booker T., and JCYC.

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done. We hope our voice is heard and reflected in the final District 5 map.

Sincerely,

Martin Almaraz
President | North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association
www.nopna.org / Email: Board@nopna.org

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	LegacyBusiness (ECN); La Mediterranee Noe; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); Tina Aguirre; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]
Subject:	RE: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:52 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:58 AM
To: La Mediterranee Noe <information@lamednoe.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>; Tina Aguirre

<taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Alicia,

Thank you for your letter in support of keeping Duboce Triangle in D8. I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force at rdtf@sfgov.org. Their website is https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force.

Please correct me if I am wrong, but it appears that all four options for District 8 being presented at today's redistricting meeting (https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-04/2022.04.04_RTF_Packet_Agenda_Item_No.%202_2.pdf) propose to keep Duboce Triangle with D8.

Sincerely,
Rick

Richard Kurylo
Legacy Business Program
Office of Small Business
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-6680
LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org

From: La Mediterranee Noe <information@lamednoe.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:41 PM
To: MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; tom.temprano@sfgov.org; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>; Tina Aguirre <taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>
Subject: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Hello Supervisor Mandelman, Mayor Breed, Castro Merchants Association, Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, and SF Legacy Business Office,

We at La Mediterranee located at 288 Noe Street, SF, Ca 94114, are writing to formally oppose the city's decision to move our business and the other businesses located in the 200 block of Noe Street out of the historic Castro District and into a new district.

We have been a Castro business for more than 40 years and are very much attached to our community. Never has this connection to our community been more important than through the past two years of the pandemic. Our Supervisor, our Castro Merchants Association, the LGBTQ Cultural District, our neighbors at the Lookout, Jeffreys, Cafe Flor, and the laundry have all banded together to fight our way, together, through the second-most challenging period in La Meds history. The 1st was the 1980's AIDS Crisis. We at La Mediterranee are deeply

connected to our neighbors and organizations that support our community. We worked together, for example, to create the Castro Noe Art Mart and other critically engaging community events to help our district and our district businesses, artists, and community members to weather this storm of the pandemic together. And NOW, the city wants to divide us from our historically placed and historically connected community? We are very much opposed.

The Castro Farmers Market and Noe Art Mart (created and supported by our Noe Street business owners with the Merchants association) are located on the very block that is being re-drawn out of the Castro. We are an official SF Legacy Business, recognized as such for our deep connection and long term contributions to our neighborhood, the Castro.

This is not the wishes of our staff, (50% of whom identify as LGBTQ) nor myself as the owner,(who also identifies as LGBTQ), nor our customers (who are majority Castro residents!). We oppose this decision and hope that we can be heard. I cannot attend tomorrow's meeting at City Hall, so I wanted to write this message to share my appeal.

Most sincerely,
Alicia Vanden Heuvel
(She/Her)
Owner
La Mediterranee
288 Noe Street
San Franciso, CA 94114
415-734-7977

SF Legacy Business
California Green Business
Founded 1981
Winner Best Mediterranean Restaurant SF Weekly 2021

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	chris.schulman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:46 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Schulman <chris.schulman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Task Force Members,

Thank you for your continued support and consideration of the Lower Polk Community. I will be as brief as possible in my written testimony today. As mentioned I am a 20 year resident of Lower Polk and a neighborhood non profit director but speaking on behalf of myself.

I have provided public comment at several meetings and wanted to follow up on my most recent comments on SFCTA Equity Priority Communities- of which the majority of the Lower Polk neighborhood is one.

San Francisco's Equity Priority Communities include a diverse cross-section of populations and communities that could be considered disadvantaged or vulnerable now and in the future. Equity Priority Communities can have high levels of households with minority or low-income status, seniors, people who have limited English proficiency, people who have disabilities, and more.

https://epc-map.sfcta.org

Please consider taking a moment to click on this linked map and the various filters (separating the above mentioned characteristics) and you will see that District 3 is comprised of a number of Equity Priority Communities, including the Lower Polk neighborhood. District 2 has only a sliver of one priority community. We share a common bond with Chinatown, North Beach, greater Union Square and other areas of District 3 that is truly quantifiable. By this Equity Priority Community metric, which I believe is compelling on a social and economic basis, there is significant justification for Lower Polk to remain in District 3.

Thank you for your consideration,

Chris Schulman

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Julie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date:	Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:40 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Julie <mookie520@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowZDYyZWUyZTM3NGJjZGI3ZmViMjVjOTJmN2VjOTMxZjo2OjJlYzU6NjRjMjE2NWU5YWRiNjUwOWJiZGMxM2MxNjY4NmYzODYxN2U2N2Q0NTUxOGFhNjdiZDQxNTUzOGFiMWE5ZTk4NDpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Julie V

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Julie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:34 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Julie <mookie520@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNTczNWIxYzg3ZTRjM2VjNmM2NWEwMjM2ODI4ZjAwMzo2OjhiMWE6ODQ4OTk4Y2ZlYTBlMmQ3MGJkNDhkODlhMTE5MTc3NDYxOGE5Nzc2ZmQzNjFkOWUwOThlNTYwZmZiYjc4M2U5OTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Julie V