Agenda Item No. 2, Part 2

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: <u>April 9, 2022</u> Date: _____

| \square | Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022 |
|------------------------|--|
| \bowtie | Maps 4B, 4C and 4D - Q2 Data and Research – March 30, 2022 |
| \bowtie | Map 4A from Q2 Data and Research – March 26, 2022 |
| \boxtimes | Maps 3A and 3B from Q2 Data and Research – March 25, 2022 |
| \boxtimes | Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022 |
| \square | Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022 |
| \boxtimes | Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – April 4, 2022 |
| $\overline{\boxtimes}$ | Mapping Tool submissions - March 21 through April 5, 2022 |

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all mapping tool submissions received by the Task Force. All redistricting tool and COI submissions are available for review on our Airtable Chart: <u>https://airtable.com/shrSqWhuZRnaRrNnp</u>

| Prepared by: | John Carroll |
|--------------|--------------|
| Prepared by: | John Carroll |
| Prepared by: | John Carroll |

| Date: | April 6, 2022 |
|-------|---------------|
| Date: | - |
| Date: | |
| | |

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

• Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):

• TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-Mapping Day 4: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-Mapping Day 5: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

Map 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D; March 30, 2022

Maps 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 4 A, which was drawn in the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Map 4 A was posted on the Task Force's website and map viewer on 3/28/2022. The Task Force direction for these maps is as follows:

- Include Haight Ashbury with District 8
- Make the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in one district while maintaining the publicly submitted definition of Chinatown District 3
- Explore maintaining 16th Street west of the 280 and potentially add in Showplace Square as the northern line of District 10
- Explore including the Dogpatch with District 10
- Explore maintaining the Sacramento Street boundary of District 3, and potentially unifying the Financial District in District 3
- Explore including Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in District 10

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of each of these maps.


Because the Task Force must adhere to district population requirements as outlined in the Charter, it is not possible to accomplish all of the above direction in one map. Some of this direction was implemented in each map.

Map 4 B moves Haight Ashbury into district 8B; includes Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in district 10B; and maintains the district 3B boundary of Sacramento Street. These changes cause shifts to other districts, including but not limited to moving part of SOMA into district 5B, the boundary between 8B and 9B shifting west to balance population, and the boundary between 6B and 10B moving south to balance population.

Map 4 C moves Dogpatch into district 10C, adjusting the boundary between districts 10C and 6C to 16th Street per Task Force direction. Haight Ashbury is also included in 8C. As a result, the boundary between 6C and 3C is moved north to Pacific Avenue and the boundary between 8C and 7C is moved east, among other changes in the districts to balance population.

Map 4 D keeps the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in district 6D while maintaining the publicly-submitted definition of Chinatown district 3D. Dogpatch is included in district 10D, the district 10D boundary is moved to 16th Street, and the Financial District is whole in district 3D. A number of changes are reflected in some of the other districts to balance population to accommodate these shifts.


Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022

BALBOA ST GREAT HWY 4 25TH FULTON ST FULTON ST MARTIN LUTHER KING JR DR LINCOLN WAY LINCOLN WAY PF H AVE LA PLAYA ST 36TH 37TH UNSTON AVE 7TH AVE JUDAH ST AVE JUDAH ST AWTON ST WARREN DR LAGUNA HONDA BLUD 1B -3.56% -2,832 NORIEGA ST SUNSET BLVD 4B -4.46% -3,547 GREAT HWY 28TH AVI BLYD 46TH SANTIAGO ST AVE TARAVAL ST TARAVAL ST ULLOA ST 00 MIRALONIA PORTOLA SANTA CLARA AVE VICENTE ST YERBA BUENA AVE WAWONA ST 36TH 37TH SLOAT BLVD AV 7B 1.56% 1,243 MONTEREVELVD JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD OCEAN 02021 CALIPER; 02020 HERE


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022

2B -2.78% -2,213 3B 1.16% 921 5B 4.42% 3,515 1B -3.56% -2,832 6B -4.76% -3,790 8B 4.11% 3,269 280 9B 2.93% 2,332 4B -4.46% -3,547 7B 1.56% 1,243 10B 0.07% 54 11B 1.31% 1,046 @2021 CALIPER: @2020 HERE

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022


LAGUNA HONDA BLVD POOP CLARENONT BLUD 8B 4.11% 3,269 TARAVAL ST DIAMOND MIRALONA DR CORTLAND AVE VICENTE ST HEIGHTS BLVD TERESITA BLVD SANTA CLARA AVE CRES AVE YERBA BUENA AVE BOSWORTH ST MONTEREYBL 4B -4.46% -3,547 9B 2.93% 2,332 MONTEREXBLUD OCEAN AVE FOERSTER ST IL ST CONGDON ST SILVER AVE JUDSON AV JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD MIRAMAR 7B 1.56% 1,243 FRIDA KAH 11B 1.31% 1,046 CEAN AVE OLD AVE DLLOWAY AVE LYMOUTH AVE GENEVA AVE MANSELL ST NAPLES ST San Under 19TH PJE 10B 0.07% 54 RANDOLPH ST PRAGUEST HAHN ST BROAD ST MISSONST SAGAMORE ST SICKLESAVE (82) NTOS ST 01 VEVA AVE BELLEVUE AVE URNOYST SHILL JUNIPERO SER BRUNSWICK ST CARTER JOHN DALY BLVD IND CRO

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District 6C detail


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District 3D detail


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 A; March 26, 2022

Map 4 A was created during the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Additional maps drawn at the Task Force's direction will be released soon.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force


Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

Map 3 A and 3 B; March 25, 2022

Maps 3 A and 3 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 2 B:


- Keep Bernal Heights whole in D9, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for Bernal Heights
- Use flexibility at the boundary between D8 and D9, trying to keep Guerrero as the boundary at the north the districts
- Keep North Beach whole, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for North Beach
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach ad Mission Bay
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.


Each of the first three goals outlined above was accomplished in Map 3 A. Map 3 A was drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay in district 6A.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was also accomplished in Map 3 B. Map 3 B was drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay in district 6B. To implement this direction while adhering to goals outlined above, the Tenderloin is included in district 5B in this visualization, resulting in significant changes to the make-up of district 5B and surrounding districts to balance population.


Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




Map 3 B; March 25, 2022







Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




FULTON ST CROSS OVER DR GREAT HW **TANYAN** KEZAR DR IS 1B 4.31% 3,425 EDERICK ST MARTIN LUTHER KING JR DR INCOLN WAY RNASSUS AVE TH AVE H AVE LAYA ST 36TH AVE UDAH ST JUDAH ST WTON ST 7TH AVE WARREN DR OAK PARK DR NORIEGA ST SUNSET BLVD Golden Gate Nat'l CLARENDON AVE **Recreation Area** GREAT HWY 28TH AVE WOODSIDE AVE 4B 2.7% 2,151 DEWEYBYD 46TH AVE SANTIAGO ST TARAVAL ST TARAVAL ST CLAREMONT BLVD ULLOA ST MIRALONA DR PORTUAOR VICENTE ST WAWONA ST TRAFFIC CIR SANTA CLARF YORBA ST JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD BUENA 7B 3.32% 2,641 MONTEREVEIVO SUNSET BLVD MERCED BIND OCEAN AVE 02021 CALIPER: 02020 HERE

BROADWAY TUNNEL STOCKTON ST 2B -4.87% -3,871 GOUGH ST PRESIDIO BLVD BROADWAY FILLMORE ST 3B -1.46% -1,164 HYDE ST JACKSON ST FRANKLIN PINE ST CALIFORNIA ST PRESIDIO AVE SUTTER ST BUSH ST S POST ST PINE ST GEARY EUCLID AVE BUSH ST 4TH ST DIVISADERO 5TH ST N OFARRELL ST UWORTH ST SEARY BLVD LARKIN ST STHST TURK ST San Francisco Medical Center GOLDEN GATE AVE OWARDST MCALLISTER S STHST GOUGH ST TURK TURK BLVD GOLDEN GATE AVE 5B -1.3% -1,036 #IT SIMSSON THIST HAYES ST N FRANKLIN HARRISONST FULTO OCTAVIA BLVD AYES ST FELL ST FOISONST 1B 4.31% 3,425 OAK ST 10TH ST OTISS OTH STANTS PAGE LAGUNA ST 6B -4.38% -3,486 CHANAN DUBOCE AVE HERMANN ST 8B -4.7% -3,740 PO 9B 3.81% 3,028 ASHBURY S SAN S VAN NESS AVE 14TH ST DOLORES ST UNO AVE MISSION ST CASTRO ST 16TH ST JOSE SARRIA CT @2021 CALIPER @2020 HE






Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




FELL ST OAK ST 1B 4.31% 3,425 NAROSI FULTON ST STA THEST (80 STHST 6B -4.38% -3,486 OTIS RICK ST STHST TRAFFIC CIR KEZAR DR HERMANN ST AV DUBOCE ASHBU Sutter Health CPMC-Davies Campus 14TH ST RUNO AVE ONT ST EREDERICK ST LINCOLN 16TH ST JOSE SARRIA CT S VAN NESS AVE HARTFORD ST EUREKA ST 17TH 17TH ST TH AVE MARKETST MISSION ST CLARENDON AVE CASTRO ST ERO AV 201 WARREN DR LAGUNA HONDA BLYD OAK PARK DR DOLORES ST HARRISON ST RD ST BRYANT ST 24TH ST CLIPPER ST CESAR CHAVEZ ST CPMC WOODSIDE AVE 8B -4.7% -3,740 DENERBYD 9B 3.81% 3,028 DIAMONO FOWLER D HEIGHTS BLID PORTOLADR AL, LAND AVE MIRALONA DR SAN JOSE AVI STRIAL ST 7B 3.32% 2,641 DATB VLIS3HJL FOERSTER ST 10B 0.96% 761 ELK ST PUTNA YERBA BUENA AVE BOSWORTH ST CRES AVE MONTEREY BIVD ALEMANY BLVD SILVER AVE MONTEREY BUYD 11B 1.62% 1,289 S ON 02021 CALIPER: 02020


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022





San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:


- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.




Map 2 A; March 18, 2022





District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.



Map 2 A; March 18, 2022







District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022






San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




Map 2 A; March 18, 2022





EN GATE AVE MCALLISTER ST GOUGH FILLMORE ST LL MISSIONST RK ST AYES ST IASONIC AVE 10TH ST FOLSOMST 5A -4.06% -3,228 THIS OAK ST (80 STHST MARKETST 14TH ST NC 16TH ST RHODE ISL IONT ST HARTFORD ST EUREKA ST 17TH 9A 4.25% 3,378 NNSYLVANIA AVI LAND ST 6A 4.71% 3,750 3RD ST 101 VAN NESS AVE DOLORES ST 8A -4.24% 23RD ST -3,370 24TH ST 280 CESAR CHAVEZ AVEZST HARRISON ST CLIPPER ST CESAR ARIN ST EVANSAVE DIAMOND HEIGHTSE DR KDALE AVE MIDDLE POINT RD THE SOL WES TRIALST RESITA BLVD ANN DUNNING PUTMAMST VTEREY BLVD PALOU AVE 15 NOI BLVD INGALLS ST SILVER AVE 10A 4.91% 3,903 LAVE 5 GILMAN AVE OCEAN AVE PERSIA AVE GENELAANE VAPLESST Candlestick Point 11A 4.73% 3,763 SUNNY SAN 82021 CHARNE POR HERE


District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.











District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.









District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 B; March 18, 2022






District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.




District 6B Detail


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.








Map 1 A




Map 1 A










Map 1 A







District 6A detail








Map 1 A







Redistricting Criteria and Next Steps

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

- > San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries to be compliant with all redistricting criteria.
- Redistricting happens every ten years after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.



Redistricting Criteria

- Compliance with the U.S. Constitution, CA Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Contiguity
- Compactness
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest (Cols)
 - Communities of interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

- The 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population
- Population variations between districts are allowed to keep recognized communities intact:

 $> \pm 1\%$ of the mean (± 795 people), if possible

 $> \pm 5\%$ of the mean ($\pm 3,977$ people), if needed

The district map must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting rights of racial and language minorities.



3

Current Situation

The Task Force's job is to get as close to the mean as possible to meet the U.S. Constitution's equal population requirements.

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District:

<u>79,545</u>

| | | Percent |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| District | Population | Deviation |
| 1 | 72,848 | -8.31% |
| 2 | 76,363 | -3.89% |
| 3 | 72,474 | -8.78% |
| 4 | 72,784 | -8.39% |
| 5 | 80,667 | 1.53% |
| 6 | 103,564 | +30.35% |
| 7 | 75,436 | -5.05% |
| 8 | 82,418 | +3.73% |
| 9 | 75,829 | -4.56% |
| 10 | 86,323 | +8.65% |
| 11 | 76,287 | -3.98% |



Contiguity and Compactness

> Districts should be <u>contiguous</u>.

- > All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district.
- Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
- One must be able to travel from any location to any other location without crossing another district.
- > Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous.
- > Districts should be <u>compact</u>.
 - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations.



5

Next Steps

- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will create the official final supervisorial district map.
- The Task Force will exercise its legal responsibilities in creating its map knowing that areas must be changed in order to be legally compliant. Each move on the map will impact one or more other districts.
- The Task Force needs the community's collaboration to complete its assigned tasks. Please help the Task Force by bringing ideas and solutions to the table.
- > The Task Force must adopt a final map by Thursday, April 14, 2022, at 11:59pm.



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040520222371_New Districts

Plan name: New Districts

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: This map contains clean lines with excellent neighborhood cohesiveness. It also: - Limits all deviations to below 3.25% - Adds Ingleside to D11, as well as the Excelsior and Outer Mission - Expands D4 southward instead of eastward to include the more similar Lakeshore neighborhood, as well as moving the Lakewood apartments from D7 to D4 - Places all of the Inner Sunset in D7, which had previously been split among three districts - Splits Golden Gate Park between D1, D4, D5, and D7 - Keeps all of the Portola and Bernal Heights in D9, as well as the Inner Mission - D6 would mainly be made up of the Tenderloin and West SOMA. - D3 would expand its footprint to include newer development areas in Eastern SOMA/South Beach/Mission Rock as well as Treasure Island. - The Haight, Cole Valley, Western Addition, and Japantown would remain in D5. - D10 would continue to include Visitacion Valley, the Bayview, Potrero Hill, and the Dogpatch

Submitted by: Matt

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 3 | -1.67 | |
| 6 | -2.68 | |
| 1 | 1.06 | |
| 7 | -1.61 | |
| 2 | 2.82 | |
| 4 | -2.52 | |
| 5 | 3.17 | |
| 8 | -2.42 | |
| 9 | 0.24 | |
| 11 | 1.31 | |
| 10 | 2.29 | |




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 10

% Deviation : 2.29


Comments :



District name : 7

% Deviation : -1.61


Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : 1.06

Comments :



District name : 2

% Deviation : 2.82

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, GC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 6

% Deviation : -2.68

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -2.52

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -1.67

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :



District name : 8

% Deviation : -2.42

Comments :



District name : 5

% Deviation : 3.17


Comments :



District name : 11

% Deviation : 1.31

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040420222359_Plan 4 response

Plan name: Plan 4 response

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Builds on plan 4 to create diverse districts that keep communities intact. While I share concerns among LGBTQ activists about splitting Tenderloin and SoMa. The Tenderloin and Western Addition/Fillmore also have important commonalities, including significant Black populations, historic housing stock, and substantial subsidized affordable housing and would strengthen and complement each other's representation.

Submitted by:


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| D 10 | -0.57 | |
| D 6 | -0.04 | |
| D 4 | 1.0 | |
| D 1 | -0.79 | |
| D 5 | -0.38 | |
| D 2 | -0.5 | |
| D 3 | 0.64 | |
| D 9 | 0.4 | |
| D 11 | -0.58 | |
| D 8 | -0.19 | |



District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.04


Comments :



District name : D 5

% Deviation : -0.38

Comments :



District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.4


Comments :



District name : D 10

% Deviation : -0.57

Comments :



District name : D 3

% Deviation : 0.64


Comments :



District name : D 4

% Deviation : 1.0


Comments :



District name : D 1

% Deviation : -0.79

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 11

% Deviation : -0.58

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -0.19


Comments :



District name : D 2

% Deviation : -0.5

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040220222324_Updated Mid-Market COI

Plan name: Updated Mid-Market COI

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Hello, members of the Redistricting Task Force, This is our updated Mid-Market COI Map. We elected to make these changes after continuing our community process and engaging in dialogue with representatives of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association. The Hub Northwest of Market Street is a part of Hayes Valley. Thanks!


Submitted by: Chuck Obermeyer

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Updated Mid-Market COI | -71.17 | |



District name : Updated Mid-Market COI

% Deviation : -71.17

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040220222323_4

Plan name: 4

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Modified version of 4D to take into account public comments and task force comments from the April 2 Redistricting Task Force Meeting. Treasure Island is restored with d6. Lower Polk is restored to d3. Sea Cliff is restored with d2. Russian Hill stays whole in the same district. More of Inner Sunset is restored with d4.


Submitted by: James Meiners

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 3 | -3.81 | |
| 4 | 3.88 | |
| 11 | -4.72 | |
| 2 | -4.28 | |
| 7 | 3.82 | |
| 10 | 3.2 | |
| 1 | -0.56 | |
| 6 | 4.77 | |
| 5 | -0.4 | |
| 9 | 0.06 | |
| 8 | -1.96 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : 3.2


Comments :



District name : 7

% Deviation : 3.82

Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : -0.56

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC_BY-3.0---Map data (G) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 2

% Deviation : -4.28


Comments :



District name : 6

% Deviation : 4.77

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : 3.88

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -3.81

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : 0.06

Comments :



District name : 8

% Deviation : -1.96


Comments :



District name : 5

% Deviation : -0.4

Comments :



District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.72

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040420222318_D1 Richmond district

Plan name: D1 Richmond district

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Any plan that adds any portion of the high income, predominately white District 2 into District 1 will dilute the voices of the Asian Pacific Islander population and the low to moderate income majority renters. This map submission expands the district mostly to the east where the demographics are more similar to the existing Richmond District and maintains current representation of our communities of interest.

Submitted by: Don Misumi


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 4 | -8.5 | |
| 3 | -8.89 | |
| 10 | 8.36 | |
| 7 | -5.46 | |
| 6 | 30.03 | |
| 1 | -4.99 | |
| 2 | -7.43 | |
| 9 | -4.6 | |
| 8 | 4.05 | |
| 5 | 1.49 | |
| 11 | -4.05 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3:0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46

Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : -4.99


Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 2

% Deviation : -7.43



District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6



District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 5

% Deviation : 1.49

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040120222314_Stop Playin

Plan name: Stop Playin

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: The communities of D5 such as the Fillmore, Japantown, the Western Addition, the Haight, NOPA -- we are a COMMUNITY OF INTEREST. We in D5, in the Western Addition are not the Marina District. We should really have Duboce included with us, too. Stop trying to chop us up.

Submitted by:

Compliance check:


- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 7 | -5.46 | |
| 6 | 30.03 | |
| 4 | -8.5 | |
| 3 | -8.89 | |
| 10 | 8.36 | |
| 5 | 32.6 | |
| 2 | -5.76 | |
| 1 | -19.42 | |
| 9 | -4.6 | |
| 11 | -4.05 | |
| 8 | -14.31 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3:0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46


Comments :



District name : 1


% Deviation : -19.42

Comments :



District name : 2

% Deviation : -5.76



District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6




District name : 8

% Deviation : -14.31



District name : 5


% Deviation : 32.6



District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040420222318_D1 Richmond district

Plan name: D1 Richmond district

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Any plan that adds any portion of the high income, predominately white District 2 into District 1 will dilute the voices of the Asian Pacific Islander population and the low to moderate income majority renters. This map submission expands the district mostly to the east where the demographics are more similar to the existing Richmond District and maintains current representation of our communities of interest.

Submitted by: Don Misumi


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 4 | -8.5 | |
| 3 | -8.89 | |
| 10 | 8.36 | |
| 7 | -5.46 | |
| 6 | 30.03 | |
| 1 | -4.99 | |
| 2 | -7.43 | |
| 9 | -4.6 | |
| 8 | 4.05 | |
| 5 | 1.49 | |
| 11 | -4.05 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3:0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46

Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : -4.99


Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 2

% Deviation : -7.43



District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6



District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 5

% Deviation : 1.49

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033120222298_SF Map

Plan name: SF Map

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Beginning by adding Inner Sunset to D4, which many people associate with the Outer Sunset anyway. Due to the changes, adding some of D11 into D7, toward the south side by Ingleside. With that change, extending D11 around McLaren Park. Not much comments on existing D6, but would want Tenderloin to stay in D6, due to demographics and geography. It's a disservice to the TL community to move it to D5, where there are many more affluent communities. You'll essentially pit the Fillmore community against the TL in a fight for their supervisors attention/focus.

Submitted by:


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 1 | -8.42 | |
| 2 | -4.0 | |
| 6 | 30.03 | |
| 3 | -8.89 | |
| 4 | -8.5 | |
| 10 | -2.42 | |
| 7 | -4.01 | |
| 5 | -5.05 | |
| 9 | -4.6 | |
| 8 | 4.05 | |
| 11 | -8.93 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : -2.42



Map tiles by Stamen-Design, CC-BY-3:0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : -4.01


Comments :



District name : 1


% Deviation : -8.42

Comments :



District name : 2

% Deviation : -4.0



District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03


Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6



District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 5

% Deviation : -5.05

Comments :



District name : 11

% Deviation : -8.93



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033120221732_D4

Plan name: D4

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: I started creating this map for D4 but then continued working on it for all districts in the City. This map contains clean lines with excellent neighborhood cohesiveness. It also: - Limits all deviations to below 3% - Splits Golden Gate Park between D1 & D4 - Expands the geography of D4 southward instead of eastward to include the similar Lakeshore neighborhood, as well as moving the Lakewood apartments from D7 to D4 - Places all of the Inner Sunset in D7, which had previously been split among three districts - Includes all of the OMI in D11, as well as the Excelsior and Outer Mission - Keeps all of the Portola and Bernal Heights in D9, as well as the Inner Mission - Moves the Tenderloin from D6 to D5 while maintaining the core of the Haight, Japantown, and Western Addition in D5 - D6 adds the Dogpatch, and it continues to include SOMA and Treasure Island - D10 still contains Potrero Hill, the Bayview, and Visitacion Valley - D8 continues to include the Castro, Duboce Triangle, Noe Valley, Glen Park, and Diamond Heights - D3 includes all neighborhoods north of Market and east of Van Ness, except for the Tenderloin - D1 continues to mainly be made up of the Richmond and adjacent neighborhoods, including NOPA


Submitted by: Matt

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 6 | -2.73 | |
| 5 | 2.88 | |
| 1 | -1.73 | |
| 4 | 0.68 | |
| 10 | -2.68 | |
| 7 | -1.7 | |
| 2 | 0.13 | |
| 3 | 1.76 | |
| 9 | -0.75 | |
| 11 | 2.37 | |
| 8 | 1.76 | |



District name : 2


% Deviation : 0.13



District name : 10

% Deviation : -2.68

Comments :



District name : 7

% Deviation : -1.7

Comments :



District name : 6

% Deviation : -2.73

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : 1.76


Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : -1.73

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : 0.68


Comments :



District name : 11

% Deviation : 2.37

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 9

% Deviation : -0.75




District name : 8

% Deviation : 1.76



District name : 5

% Deviation : 2.88



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033020222288_2022 Redistricting

Plan name: 2022 Redistricting

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan attempts to: - keep the districts as compact as possible - use natural borders (hills, freeways, water) wherever possible - roughly preserve existing district (District 5 "falls victim" to keeping other districts roughly similar) - protect share of racial (Mission, Bayview, Sunset) and sexual (Haight-Castro-Noe district) minorities in total voting population - group neighborhoods of similar socioeconomic status in one district (Tenderloin-Fillmore) for future supervisor to be best focused on problems facing their constituency


Submitted by: Szymon Pifczyk

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| % Deviation 0.87 | Comments |
|---------------------|---|
| 0.87 | |
| | |
| -0.66 | |
| 1.91 | |
| -0.14 | |
| -0.07 | |
| -1.02 | |
| 2.87 | |
| 1.09 | |
| 2.21 | |
| -9.7 | |
| -0.83 | |
| 1 | 0.66 1.91 0.14 0.07 1.02 2.87 1.09 2.21 9.7 |



District name : Richmond

% Deviation : 0.87



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors-

District name : Tenderloin-Fillmore-Alamo Sq

% Deviation : -9.7



District name : Marina-Pac Heights

% Deviation : -0.66


Comments :



District name : Bayview-Portola-Visitation Valley

% Deviation : 2.87

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : Mission-Bernal

% Deviation : -0.83

Comments :



District name : Soma-Potrero Hill-Dogpatch

% Deviation : 1.91


Comments :



District name : Stonestown-Ingleside-West Portal

% Deviation : -0.14


Comments :



District name : Sunset

% Deviation : 1.09

Comments :



District name : Haight-Castro-Noe

% Deviation : 2.21

Comments :



District name : North Beach-Nob Hill-FiDi

% Deviation : -0.07


Comments :



District name : Balboa Park-Excelsior-Glen Park

% Deviation : -1.02

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032820222272_Representation Matters

Plan name: Representation Matters

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Builds on current option 3B by maximizing representation of people of color within districts.

Submitted by:


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| D 6 | -0.94 | |
| D 2 | -0.81 | |
| D 1 | 0.92 | |
| D 4 | 1.0 | |
| D 7 | -0.74 | |
| D 10 | -0.75 | |
| D 5 | 0.94 | |
| D 9 | 0.96 | |
| D 8 | -0.12 | |
| D 11 | 0.43 | |



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.94


Comments :



District name : D 2

% Deviation : -0.81

Comments :



District name : D 5

% Deviation : 0.94

Comments :



District name : D 1

% Deviation : 0.92

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 1.0

Comments :



District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.96

Comments :



District name : D 7

% Deviation : -0.74

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 8

% Deviation : -0.12

Comments :



District name : D 10

% Deviation : -0.75


Comments :



District name : D 11

% Deviation : 0.43

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032820222270_From The Heart Ohlone Black Map

Plan name: From The Heart Ohlone Black Map

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: My name is William Walker and as a volunteer, I have agreed to help with the transcription of Community of Interest Statements because I fear that many San Franciscans have not had an opportunity to provide a statement to the Redistricting Task Force. What follows below is a statement from District 10 resident Maika Pinkston who lives on Commer Court in Bayview Hunters Point. Her ZIP code is 94124. Please accept her statement below. I. Maika Pinkston, submit this community of interest map and statement on behalf of myself, the From the Heart Collective and members of the Muwekma Ohlone Black Historic Community District. We, hereby, submit the Muwekma Ohlone Black Hunters Point Community of Interest Map and Statement. It is roughly bounded by Palou Avenue on the south, Mendell Street on the West, India Basin on the north and the San Francisco Bay on the east. My community of interest is the entirety of Bayview Hunters Point. According to the data provided by the mapping tool, our Ohlone Black District is 56 percent Black. This should be recognized as an official Community Cultural District. My grandparents, Mr. and Mrs. Edward and Mildred Pinkston moved to the Bayview in 1967. My grandmother Mrs. Mildred Pinkston was a registered nurse, a group home administrator, an Eastern Star, and First Lady of Paradise Church. She was also the head of the Nurses Guild at Paradise Church. My grandfather, Mr. Edward Pinkston was a Mason and a longshoreman. They owned a restaurant in Dogpatch on 22nd Street near Tennessee in 1967. They also operated a group home for orphaned Black youth without families. It was located on Harbor Road. They then opened other branches located in the Western Addition on Eddy Street, and in the St. Francis Wood-Mt. Davidson area. My father, Mr. Michael Pinkston, was born and raised in San Francisco. He attended Sunnyside Elementary, Aptos Jr. High and Balboa High School and graduated in 1979. He attended Santa Rosa Junior College, followed by joining the construction trades, and obtained a general contractor license. He then began what has now been a 31 year career at the City and County of San Francisco, first as a general laborer, followed by 15 years as supervisor and over twenty years as an inspector for the Bureau of Street Use and Mapping. He also supplemented his income through real estate and stock market investments. He first lived in the Western Addition, near Eddy and Divisadero. His other homes were on Kiska Road in the Hunters Point Area and Los Palmos Drive in Mount Davidson Manor. His extended communities of interest include Bayview, Hunters Point, Visitacion Valley, Potrero Hill, Western Addition-Fillmore, Lakeview-Ingleside-OMI and Double Rock. These communities comprise the North, East, South and West of San Francisco. Ms. Stephanie McGee was born in San Francisco. Her roots originate from the Fillmore-Western Western Addition. In 1973, she moved to Hunters Point with her parents Winfred McGee Jr and Ruth McGee. Mr. Winfred McGee, my grandfather, was a transit operator for San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni), and was murdered while driving the 15 Third bus at what was then Phelan Loop (now Unity Plaza) in 1980. My grandmother, Ms. Ruth McGee, worked at the United States Postal Service General Mail Facility located on Evans Avenue in Bayview in the parcel room for more than 35 years. Ms. Stephanie McGee graduated from Balboa High School in 1979 and attended Hilltop Beauty School. She graduated and became a licensed beautician and cosmetologist. She provided beauty services on Third Street for the greater Bayview Hunters Point and citywide Black community. She passed away in 2010. I, Maika, was born at the former Childrens Hospital that was in Presidio Heights in November of 1979 to Mr. and Mrs. Michael Pinkston and Stephanie McGee. My first home was in Visitacion Valley on Peabody Street. We resided there until 1992. We then moved to the Northridge Cooperative on Ardath Court in Hunters Point. In 2001, I moved from my parents house to Brookdale Avenue in Sunnydale. In 2008, I moved to Commer Court in Hunters Point, which is where I currently reside. I attended Visitacion Valley Elementary, Visitacion Valley Middle School, and graduated from Raoul Wallenberg Traditional High School in 1997. In 1998, I attended Bryman College and graduated with a medical office management certificate in 2000. I then began working at UCSF Parnassus Heights in 2002 with Drs. Robert Jaffe and Victor Fujimoto in In-Vitro Fertilization for six years. I then worked at California Pacific Medical Center with Dr. Robert Gish

for 17 years as a licensed vocational nurse. I obtained my nursing certification at City College of San Francisco Southeast Campus on Oakdale Avenue. My aunt, Yolanda Walker Jones, opened up Yolanda's Construction and Traffic Control (YCAT) in Bayview. I worked for my Aunt Yolanda, while obtaining my construction management license at City College of San Francisco Southeast Campus, and was able to partner with her to bid on and successfully win the San Francisco ReBuild Project. I then worked for Providence Foundation, based in Bayview, and I was the Coordinator for Summer Day Camps and Youth Afterschool Programs. In 2008, while living on Commer Court, we experienced high rates of community violence in the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood. My nursing skills were put to a test. I helped with providing critical medical treatments to local residents because of the extended response times from emergency medical services that often ignored our community and a community fear of being racially profiled for seeking medical care. This inspired me to become even more active in my community. We held community rallies and actions to demand more housing, to inform the community of their housing rights, and to fight back against the displacement that occurred in the late 2000s after the opening of the T-Third Light Rail Line and the many developments along Third Street that were pushing out the Black Community from the only home they have known. For the past 14 years, I have developed strong bonds with members of our community. We all have one common goal: to retain our right to our land as was given to us by the Muwekma Ohlone people. We need to retain our right to have our own housing under the auspices of the Black community and not under the control of nonprofit or for profit management companies acting on behalf of the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, formerly known as the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. For the past 70 years, the goal of the redevelopment was to gentrify, and displace Black residents from their historically Black neighborhoods. Redevelopment has made our environments hostile through deferring maintenance to our homes, ignoring safety hazards, and continuing the systemic racism against Blacks that stems from white supremacy. My family is comprised of contractors, nurses, inspectors, and transit operators -- all pillars of the Black community that helped to build San Francisco as we currently know it and enjoy it today. I ask that you ensure that the Ohlone Black Community is not divided when redrawing lines for the Redistricting efforts you have undertaken this year. This also includes the following four properties: 1. Bayview Apartments 2. La Salle Apartments 3. Shore View Apartments 4. All Hallows Apartments, currently managed by Related Management Thank you for your time. From The Heart, Maika Pinkston

Submitted by: Maika Pinkston, From The Heart Collective


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| Ohlone Black | -87.79 | |



District name : Ohlone Black

% Deviation : -87.79

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_03262022887_JG Redistricting Plan 1

Plan name: JG Redistricting Plan 1

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Summary of Approach Citywide: - Incrementally adjust existing BOS boundaries to meet District population targets - Attempt to keep established communities together, and unify neighborhoods previously separated by freeways, redistricting, and/or redevelopment - Limit radical boundary changes when possible - Ensure shared (BOS) ownership of sites of Citywide importance (Golden Gate Park, McClaren Park, Cruise Terminal and waterfront, and Market Street), so multiple Supervisors can represent user constituencies in their advocacy and work to advance issues specific to Citywide assets The following bullets include a high-level summary of changes to each BOS District. It does not describe detailed, block by block changes to each BOS District. District 1 Boundary Changes: - Addition of Legion of Honor and Lincoln Park (D2 to D1) - Addition of Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, and Anza Vista (D2 to D1) - Addition of UCSF Laurel Heights Campus and SFMTA's Presidio Campus (D2 to D1) - Removal of the westside of Golden Gate Park (D1 to D4) - Removal of the Museum Concourse (D1 to D8) District 2 Boundary Changes: - Addition and unification of Russian Hill (D3 to D2) - Addition of Fisherman's Wharf (D3 to D2) - Addition of half of SF Cruise Terminal (D3 to D2), to ensure two Supervisors can advocate for tourism-based industries on the waterfront - Addition of Middle Polk (D3 to D2) - Removal of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Removal of Legion of Honor and Lincoln Park (D2 to D1) -Removal of Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, and Anza Vista (D2 to D1) - Removal of UCSF Laurel Heights Campus and SFMTA's Presidio Campus (D2 to D1) District 3 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the East Cut, Transbay, and Rincon Hill (D6 to D3) - Addition of some blocks of South Beach (D6 to D3) - Unification of both sides of Market Street, east of 2nd Street, to ensure one Supervisor can represent unified interests of Market Street stakeholders from 2nd Street east to the Embarcadero (D6 to D3) - Removal of remnant portions of Russian Hill (D3 to D2) - Removal of Fisherman's Wharf (D3 to D2) - Removal of half of SF Cruise Terminal (D3 to D2) - Removal of Middle Polk (D3 to D2) District 4 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the westside of Golden Gate Park, so D4 park users can work with their District Supervisor on park issues (D1 to D4) - Addition of the western edge of the Inner Sunset (D5 to D4) - Addition of Merced Manor, including east and west sides of Sunset Blvd (D7 to D4) District 5 Boundary Changes: - Addition of portions of Polk Gulch (D6 to D5) - Addition of Civic Center, to unify civic institutions with existing cultural institutions in Hayes Valley (D6 to D5) - Addition of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Addition and unification of "the Hub" around Van Ness and Market Street, to ensure one Supervisor can represent unified interests of Market Street stakeholders from Octavia Blvd through Central Market (D6 to D5) - Addition of portions of western SoMa (D6 to D5) -Removal of the western edge of the Inner Sunset (D5 to D4) - Removal of the SF Botanical Garden, Big Rec, RPD Operations Yard, and Tennis Complex (D5 to D8) - Removal of the Inner Sunset merchant corridor and Cole Valley (D5 to D8) District 6 Boundary Changes: - Addition of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D9 to D6) - Addition of Showplace Square (D10 to D6) - Removal of the East Cut, Transbay, and Rincon Hill (D6 to D3) - Removal of portions of South Beach (D6 to D3) - Removal of portions of Polk Gulch (D6 to D5) -Removal of Civic Center, to unify civic institutions with existing cultural institutions in Hayes Valley (D6 to D5) - Removal of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Removal of "the Hub" area around Van Ness and Market Street (D6 to D5) - Removal of portions of western SoMa (D6 to D5) District 7 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the eastern side of Glen Park and Glen Park BART Station, to unify both sides of Glen Park into one BOS District (D8 to D7) - Addition of Diamond Heights (D8 to D7) - Removal of UCSF Parnassus Campus (D7 to D8) District 8 Boundary Changes: -Addition of the Inner Sunset and Cole Valley (D5 to D8) - Addition of the SF Botanical Garden, Big Rec, RPD Operations Yard, and Tennis Complex to serve Inner Sunset residents and users (D5 to D8) - Addition of UCSF Parnassus Campus (D7 to D8) - Removal of the eastern side of Glen Park and Glen Park BART Station (D8 to D7) - Removal of Diamond Heights (D8 to D7) - Removal of College Terrace (D8 to D11) District 9

Boundary Changes: - Addition of SF General Hospital, blocks adjacent the 24th Street Latino Cultural District, and Potrero Del Sol Park (D10 to D9) - Removal of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D9 to D6) District 10 Boundary Changes: - Removal of Showplace Square (D10 to D6) - Removal of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D10 to D6) - Removal of SF General Hospital, blocks adjacent the 24th Street Latino Cultural District, and Potrero Del Sol Park (D10 to D9) District 11 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the College Terrace (D8 to D11)

Submitted by: J.Goldberg

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| District 4 | 0.53 | |
| District 5 | -0.59 | |
| District 6 | 0.33 | |
| District 2 | -0.51 | |
| District 7 | -0.24 | |
| District 1 | -0.16 | |
| District 10 | -0.52 | |
| District 3 | -0.43 | |
| District 9 | 0.47 | |
| District 11 | 0.42 | |
| District 8 | 0.68 | |



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : District 1

% Deviation : -0.16

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY 3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 5

% Deviation : -0.59

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 3

% Deviation : -0.43


Comments :



District name : District 6

% Deviation : 0.33


Comments :



District name : District 2

% Deviation : -0.51


Comments :



District name : District 11

% Deviation : 0.42

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 9

% Deviation : 0.47

Comments :



District name : District 10

% Deviation : -0.52

Comments :



District name : District 4

% Deviation : 0.53


Comments :



District name : District 8

% Deviation : 0.68

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : District 7

% Deviation : -0.24

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222257_Diversity, Equity, Community Cohesion

Plan name: Diversity, Equity, Community Cohesion

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: this plan build on 3 B to ensure community cohesion while also increasing equity and diversity of districts by ensuring only two districts have majority white citizen, voting age populations

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| D 6 | -0.71 | |
| D 10 | 0.2 | |
| D 3 | -0.91 | |
| D 7 | 0.75 | |
| D 4 | -0.98 | |
| D 1 | -0.21 | |
| D 2 | -0.45 | |
| D 9 | 0.76 | |
| D 5 | 0.67 | |
| D 8 | 0.64 | |



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : D 6

% Deviation : -0.71


Comments :



District name : D 10

% Deviation : 0.2

Comments :



District name : D 3

% Deviation : -0.91

Comments :



District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.76


Comments :



District name : D 7

% Deviation : 0.75

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 2

% Deviation : -0.45

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors,

District name : D 5

% Deviation : 0.67

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, ICC BY 3.0, Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : D 8

% Deviation : 0.64


Comments :



District name : D 4

% Deviation : -0.98

Comments :



District name : D 1

% Deviation : -0.21

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222248_Western Addition

Plan name: Western Addition

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This Western Addition map is based on the redlining maps from the Federal Home Owners Loan Corporation published in 1937 that decimated the first African American and Japanese American communities in San Francisco. City Center at 2675 Geary Boulevard has been added as a community resource for jobs for the underemployed communities within the Western Addition.


Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y


| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Western Addition (Black COI) | -9.23 | |



District name : Western Addition (Black COI)

% Deviation : -9.23

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222247_portola to 10

Plan name: portola to 10

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This map retains Portola, Bayview, Visitacion Valley, and the Hope SF Potrero and Sunnydale districts as one district.

Submitted by:


Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 10 | -0.37 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : -0.37

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222241_Draft Map 1

Plan name: Draft Map 1

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Highlights of this map: District 10 with Portola, Bayview, Hunter's Point, Visitacion Valley and Hope SF developments in Sunnydale, Potrero, Hunters Pt District 5 uniting Black populations in Bayview and Tenderloin District 9 includes Mission, Bernal, St Mary's Park, Holly Park District 10 has a 19.9 percent Black population District 5 has a 12.7 percent Black population

Submitted by: William Walker

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 4 | -2.61 | |
| 11 | 3.87 | |
| 10 | 2.39 | |
| 7 | 5.7 | |
| 5 | 3.45 | |
| 2 | -2.93 | |
| 1 | 1.94 | |
| 3 | -4.04 | |
| 9 | -3.38 | |
| 6 | -4.53 | |
| 8 | -0.12 | |



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name : 10

% Deviation : 2.39

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3.0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : 5.7

Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : 1.94

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 2

% Deviation : -2.93


Comments :



District name : 6

% Deviation : -4.53

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -2.61

Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : -4.04

Comments :



District name : 9

% Deviation : -3.38


Comments :



District name : 8

% Deviation : -0.12

Comments :



District name : 5

% Deviation : 3.45


Comments :



District name : 11

% Deviation : 3.87

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032520222245_Central SoMa Draft

Plan name: Central SoMa Draft

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Hi, Submitting a map of interest for West and Central South of Market.

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| Central SoMa | -79.49 | |
| West SoMa | -80.1 | |



District name : Central SoMa

% Deviation : -79.49


Comments :



District name : West SoMa

% Deviation : -80.1

Comments :



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032520222235_D3 Together

Plan name: D3 Together

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft


General comments: This map extends the current boundaries of District 3 to bring it to a 1.49% deviation. It keeps the COI containing North Beach and Chinatown intact and contiguous. It joins Aquatic Park with Fisherman's Wharf and the Embarcadero, eliminating an artificial split between these highly interconnected areas. This map also unifies all of Russian Hill in District 2.

Submitted by: Al Fontes, President of Telegraph Hill Dwellers

- Compliance check:
- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---------------|-------------|---|
| 10 | 8.36 | |
| 7 | -5.46 | |
| 1 | -8.42 | |
| 4 | -8.5 | |
| 6 | 15.69 | |
| 2 - Updated | 1.27 | Keep all of Russian Hill together. |
| 3 - Updated | 0.18 | Keep Chinatown, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill unified and fully intact. |
| 9 | -4.6 | |
| 8 | 4.05 | |
| 5 | 1.49 | |
| 11 | -4.05 | |



District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3:0 --- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46


Comments :



District name : 1

% Deviation : -8.42


Comments :



District name : 2 - Updated

% Deviation : 1.27

Comments : Keep all of Russian Hill together.



District name : 6

% Deviation : 15.69

Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



District name : 3 - Updated

% Deviation : 0.18

Comments : Keep Chinatown, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill unified and fully intact.



District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6

Comments :



District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 5

% Deviation : 1.49

Comments :




Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors