

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: April 8, 2022

Date: _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Maps 4B, 4C and 4D - Q2 Data and Research – March 30, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Map 4A from Q2 Data and Research – March 26, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Maps 3A and 3B from Q2 Data and Research – March 25, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – April 4, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received April 3 and 4, 2022</u>

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received March 28 through April 3, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received March 21 through 27, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received March 11 through 20, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021</u>

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: April 5, 2022

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-Mapping Day 4: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-Mapping Day 5: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D; March 30, 2022

Maps 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 4 A, which was drawn in the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Map 4 A was posted on the Task Force's website and map viewer on 3/28/2022. The Task Force direction for these maps is as follows:

- Include Haight Ashbury with District 8
- Make the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in one district while maintaining the publicly submitted definition of Chinatown District 3
- Explore maintaining 16th Street west of the 280 and potentially add in Showplace Square as the northern line of District 10
- Explore including the Dogpatch with District 10
- Explore maintaining the Sacramento Street boundary of District 3, and potentially unifying the Financial District in District 3
- Explore including Portola with Sunnyside, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in District 10

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of each of these maps.


Because the Task Force must adhere to district population requirements as outlined in the Charter, it is not possible to accomplish all of the above direction in one map. Some of this direction was implemented in each map.

Map 4 B moves Haight Ashbury into district 8B; includes Portola with Sunnyside, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in district 10B; and maintains the district 3B boundary of Sacramento Street. These changes cause shifts to other districts, including but not limited to moving part of SOMA into district 5B, the boundary between 8B and 9B shifting west to balance population, and the boundary between 6B and 10B moving south to balance population.

Map 4 C moves Dogpatch into district 10C, adjusting the boundary between districts 10C and 6C to 16th Street per Task Force direction. Haight Ashbury is also included in 8C. As a result, the boundary between 6C and 3C is moved north to Pacific Avenue and the boundary between 8C and 7C is moved east, among other changes in the districts to balance population.

Map 4 D keeps the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in district 6D while maintaining the publicly-submitted definition of Chinatown district 3D. Dogpatch is included in district 10D, the district 10D boundary is moved to 16th Street, and the Financial District is whole in district 3D. A number of changes are reflected in some of the other districts to balance population to accommodate these shifts.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District 6B detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District 6C detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
 Board of Supervisors Redistricting
 Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District 3D detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022

Map 4 A was created during the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Additional maps drawn at the Task Force's direction will be released soon.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District 6 A detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting**
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A and 3 B; March 25, 2022

Maps 3 A and 3 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 2 B:


- Keep Bernal Heights whole in D9, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for Bernal Heights
- Use flexibility at the boundary between D8 and D9, trying to keep Guerrero as the boundary at the north the districts
- Keep North Beach whole, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for North Beach
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was accomplished in Map 3 A. Map 3 A was drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay in district 6A.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was also accomplished in Map 3 B. Map 3 B was drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay in district 6B. To implement this direction while adhering to goals outlined above, the Tenderloin is included in district 5B in this visualization, resulting in significant changes to the make-up of district 5B and surrounding districts to balance population.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



6A detail


District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:


- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.


Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting**
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
 Board of Supervisors Redistricting
 Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisory districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



District 6A detail


This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



SAN FRANCISCO 2022 REDISTRICTING

Redistricting Criteria and Next Steps

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries to be compliant with all redistricting criteria.
- Redistricting happens every ten years after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.



Redistricting Criteria

2

- Compliance with the U.S. Constitution, CA Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Contiguity
- Compactness
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest (Cols)
 - Communities of interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

3

- The 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population
- Population variations between districts are allowed to keep recognized communities intact:
 - $\pm 1\%$ of the mean (± 795 people), if possible
 - $\pm 5\%$ of the mean ($\pm 3,977$ people), if needed
- The district map must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting rights of racial and language minorities.



Current Situation

The Task Force's job is to get as close to the mean as possible to meet the U.S. Constitution's equal population requirements.

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District:

79,545

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%



Contiguity and Compactness

5

- Districts should be contiguous.
 - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district.
 - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.
 - One must be able to travel from any location to any other location without crossing another district.
 - Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous.
- Districts should be compact.
 - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations.



Next Steps

6

- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will create the official final supervisorial district map.
- The Task Force will exercise its legal responsibilities in creating its map knowing that areas must be changed in order to be legally compliant. Each move on the map will impact one or more other districts.
- The Task Force needs the community's collaboration to complete its assigned tasks. Please help the Task Force by bringing ideas and solutions to the table.
- The Task Force must adopt a final map by Thursday, April 14, 2022, at 11:59pm.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [James Meiners](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:22 AM
Attachments: [MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040220222323_all.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Meiners <meinersjames@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi task force,

I was going to call in but there have been like 125+ calls into the hotline and I don't want to waste more time.

I think Presidio Heights, Presidio Terrace, and Laurel Heights should stay together in one district and Lone Mountain and Anza Vista should stay in the same district.

I think I've heard more people call in about keeping Seacliff and Lake Street in District 2 vs moving it to District 1, so I put it in District 2 in my map.

It also sounds like a lot of people want to move the remainder of the inner sunset (the eastern portion) to district 5. I didn't make that change but it would make sense.


Here is a map which reflects public comments from April 2 about the issues with Map 4D:

Thanks!

James Meiners

James Meiners
314.757.5143

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: brunellojones@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:45:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brian Jones

Email

brunellojones@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Whitney Jones <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Whitney Jones

Email

wfbrown_2000@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ashleydalzell@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ashley Dalzell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Ashley Dalzell

Email ashleydalzell@mindspring.com

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Comments to Task Force
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments to Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks so much for working on SF's redistricting! I appreciate it, and know others do, too.

Some thoughts and questions:

1. Census blocks vs. census tracts vs. streets.

I've been told by two members that you will be using census blocks to redistrict.

However, we've all been drawing maps with street names, and we're told to use streets to define COIs.

I don't understand this apparent contradiction, and think others also will appreciate some clarity on this matter.

2. I urge you to give more weight to people who live in or near a commercial district. For example, I live two blocks from a commercial district. There are more issues such as more noise, litter, traffic, tourists, and parking. On the other hand, I have a library, two grocers, a post office, clubs, shops, and other services a few blocks away, and I enjoy the mix of housing and services.

People who chose to live in a strictly single family residential neighborhood, then visit a commercial area to shop, dine, etc. then go home, should have less vote than those who live 24/7 in these areas. I also point out that the strictly single family residential neighborhoods are often occupied by more homeowners. Many commercial districts have mixed single family and small apartment buildings, and more renters.

3. Lowell High School. Lowell high school students come from all over the city. I hope it won't be used to draw new district lines.

Thanks, Tes Welborn, D5

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lederman@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luda Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Luda Lederman

Email

llederman@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lederman@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sem Lederman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Sem Lederman

Email

ylederman@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Richard Cardello](#)
Subject: FW: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:28 AM
Attachments: [CARDELLO -- REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 PUBLIC COMMENT.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Cardello <richard@cardelldesign.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE MTG MON 2022-04-04 -- PUBLIC COMMENT / D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE

Attached my intended public testimony regarding Russian Hill and D3, during tonight's Task Force meeting.

Please advance a map that includes all of the Russian Hill neighborhood in District 3.

This will facilitate the continued collaboration with other D3 organizations, businesses and residents to best serve all.

Thank you for your hard work on this difficult issue.

Richard Cardello
999 Green Street Apt 903
San Francisco CA 94133

415.948.6030 Mobile
richard@cardellodesign.com

RICHARD CARDELLO 999 GREEN STREET, APT 903, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94133

REDISTRICTING TASKFORCE

rdtf@sfgov.org

MEETING MONDAY 04-04-2022

PUBLIC COMMENT (2 MINUTES)

SFGTV 78

CALL IN: 415.655.0001 ID 2482 064 9460#/# PRESS: *3

THANK YOU TO THE TASK FORCE FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS VERY DIFFICULT ISSUE.

MY NAME IS RICHARD CARDELLO.

SINCE MOVING TO SAN FRANCISCO IN 1982 I HAVE ONLY LIVED IN DISTRICT 3, FIRST ON TELEGRAPH HILL AND THEN ON RUSSIAN HILL, WHERE I RESIDE TO THIS DAY.

MUCH OF MY LIFE IS CENTERED AROUND THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE CITY I CALL HOME.

I WALKED TO AND FROM MY FIRST JOB IN JACKSON SQUARE, STOPPING AT LOCAL SHOPS, RESTAURANTS, DELIS, BAKERIES, ETC. ALONG THE WAY.

ALTHOUGH COVID HAS LIMITED MY ACTIVITIES, IT HAS REALLY HIGHLIGHTED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD-SERVING BUSINESSES AND SERVICES I PATRONIZE IN NORTH BEACH AND ALONG POLK STREET -- SUCH AS: THE NORTH BEACH LIBRARY, THE 94133 POST OFFICE, WASHINGTON SQUARE PARK, MOLINARI, COLE HARDWARE, WALGREENS, SWENSON'S, AS WELL AS DELICIOUS RESTAURANTS AND TEMPTING PASTRY SHOPS, ETC.

ALTHOUGH I AM SPEAKING PERSONALLY, I AM A MEMBER OF BOTH RUSSIAN HILL NEIGHBORS AND NORTH BEACH NEIGHBORS; I ECHO THEIR POSITION THAT WE SHARE A COMMON INTEREST AND OUR VOICES ARE MORE IMPACTFUL WHEN UNITED FOR THE COMMON GOOD.

INDEED THE 21 ORGANIZATIONS WHO ALL WISH TO REMAIN IN DISTRICT 3 REPRESENT A STRONG COMMUNITY OF INTEREST, WHICH WILL BEST SERVE OUR RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES.

VAN NESS IS THE LOGICAL WESTERN BOUNDARY OF D3.

PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT RUSSIAN HILL INTO TWO DISTRICTS NOR SEPARATE IT FROM OTHER LIKE-MINDED ORGANIZATIONS IN D3.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mcorleywing@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michelle Wing <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michelle Wing

Email

mcorleywing@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Andrea Laudate](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4 D is best for our City
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4 D is best for our City

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

andrea laudate

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dkuyeda@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Uyeda

Email

dkuyeda@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Teresita Naval](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Teresita Naval](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:44:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Teresita Naval <tita.tetet@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Teresita Naval <teresita@somapilipinas.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Magandang Gabi / Good evening Chair Townsend Task Force members

My name is Teresita Naval and I live in district 5 and work in district 6. I am an afterschool teacher serving Bessie Carmichael which is a microcosm of District 6. Bessie has one of the most unhoused students in the school district and has a very diverse population comprised of Filipinos, blacks, latinos, and arabs students. District 6 will also have the most affordable housing units open up in the next few years and with neighborhood preference we hope that our families which live mostly in Soma and the Tenderloin will have a chance to get stable housing.

Thank you for adopting map 4D because it keeps all of our black and brown communities who have been the heart of district 6 all together. We have been here 50 to over 100 years and I hope you will prioritize our communities over the more affluent newer neighbors.

In order to keep our communities together and shave population in district 6, We would like to suggest moving the hub to district 8, and parts of Soma east of 2nd street and south of Harrison to district 3.

We are in solidarity in preserving other established neighborhoods across the city, especially those who are working class, immigrant and renter communities of interest in the city.

As a district 5 resident I would like to ask you to change 4D to keep the inner sunset in district 5 and district 4 with the line at function and pls don't add south of Sloat to d4 and keep sea cliff in district 2.

Thank you!

Salamat,

Tet Naval
Community Outreach Coordinator
SOMA Pilipinas

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: yenuyeda@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Norah Uyeda <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:41 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Norah Uyeda

Email

yuenuyeda@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce: Jennifer Laska](#)
Cc: ["Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board](#)
Subject: FW: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:51 AM
Attachments: [2022.3.3 HVNA Redistricting Support letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; revtword@hotmail.com
Cc: 'Jennifer Laska' via HVNA Board <board@hayesvalleysf.org>
Subject: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5 is attached. Thank you!

Jennifer Laska
HVNA President
310-709-2230

April 4, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org
Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair revtword@hotmail.com

Re: Updated HVNA position on Redistricting D5

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

HVNA appreciates that the latest redistricting map “4D” one of our prior requests to add the corridor east of Van ness to D5. This letter is in broad support of map 4D with the following modifications.

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 1, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 2 and 2A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector.

HVNA was also deeply engaged with the development at 55 Laguna/ Alchemy, working for years for the senior housing, and establishing a community benefits package.

We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor. In addition to this letter, several of our members Gail Baugh, Paul Olsen and Jason Henderson submitted maps reflecting this COI.

We also support keeping a portion of Golden Gate Park in D5, as this is a community of interest for all of our neighborhood.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Laska, President
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary
HVNA Board

Attachments below




Figure 1: HVNA boundaries



Figure 2: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)



Figure 2A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna area

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mayumikamon@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:28 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Bonham <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brian Bonham

Email

mayumikamon@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Denis Mosgofian](#)
Subject: FW: Comments to the RD Task Force
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:22 AM
Attachments: [Comments to the RD Task Force.msg](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Denis Mosgofian <denismosgofian@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments to the RD Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

4/4/22 Comments to the RDTF

I waited on the phone but could not tell if I was connected after I followed the instructions precisely, so I hung up and am sending in my comments.

- My name is Denis Mosgofian, a 49 years resident in the Inner Sunset.
-
- I want to tell you why the Inner Sunset does not belong in D7 and does belong in D5, which should be west to Funston. There is no historic community of interest between the D5 Inner Sunset and District 7.
-
- Your focus must be on the people, their needs, their connections, common bonds, and history. What they do, and things they work on together with other like-minded folks.
-
- Inner Sunset & Haight D5 folks have a long history of joint efforts to improve housing equity and protect the public domain from privatization. D5 residents' history is worlds apart from the residents of the detached homes and mansions that preside over the wealthier D7 district.
-
- The Inner Sunset is a mix of 2/3rds renters and 1/3rd homeowners. Inner Sunset residents fought, 1973-1980, to save its residents' mix of older homes and apartments from demolition by developers, and to preserve its working class population from displacement.
-
- I know of no D7 leadership or participation in the anti-displacement and affordable housing campaigns of the 1970's and 1980's here, or with the Inner Sunset Haight Ashbury-Polytechnic Coalition to build the Park View Commons 114 units of affordable ownership housing, or limiting UCSF's expansion, or in converting the Polytechnic gyms for community use, or in keeping the new Kezar Stadium open to community, or to keeping the Arboretum free to San Franciscans, and free to all before 9AM daily.
-
- These campaigns were waged by Haight residents, and Inner Sunset residents residing in both the flatlands of Irving Street corridor and along the northern edge of D7. There is a strong Community of Interest with Inner Sunset and Haight in D5.
-
- Inner Sunset belongs in D5 with the Haight, not the hills.
- I also strongly support D4 growing to Funston, Lincoln to Kirkham.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Emily Murase](#)
Cc: [Sandy Mori](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Grace Horikiri](#); [Susie Kagami](#)
Subject: FW: [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:43:07 AM
Attachments: [22.4.4 D5 JCHESS Japantown Map Plus.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:35 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jccyc.org>; Grace Horikiri <grace@jtowncbd.org>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>

Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Proposed northern boundaries of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Chair Townsend, Vice Chair RAINER, Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Dr. Emily Murase, Executive Director of the Japantown Task Force and a member of the San Francisco Japanese American Citizens League.

Thank you for honoring the Japantown boundaries and our need to remain together with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially our Black neighbors, given our shared history of discrimination, exclusion, and extraordinary resilience.

Thank you for hearing our community to include the Japanese Community Youth Council and the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5.

District 5 in Map 4D includes all of our identified cultural and neighborhood assets except for one, the Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce (Electoral Precinct 9501) which is within the existing boundaries of District 5.

This preschool, serving children ages 2-5, is a thriving program of the Japanese Community Youth Council and has a sister program operating out of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, both of which are in District 5. The focus of the program is to serve the families of the Western Addition and Japantown.

Please RESTORE the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool to District 5. There is a clear connection between this preschool and the Western Addition. I have attached a map of the requested boundary change with suggestions on how to limit the impact of this change on District 2.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.

Emily


Emily M. Murase, PhD
ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.

[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)

- Draft boundary in Map 4D (as of 4/1/22)
- Japantown Cultural Heritage & Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHES) Boundaries
- Existing D5 Boundary (strongly preferred)
- No known Japantown interest

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHES/Japantown Cultural District.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kpachla@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Koryn Pachla <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Koryn Pachla

Email

kpachla@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sdrcrm@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan McDonough <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Susan McDonough

Email

sdrcrm@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sasha Gala](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 4D
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sasha Gala <sasha.bodala@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sasha Gala
243 Texas St

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dave@artichokelabs.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:42:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Young <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

David Young

Email

dave@artichokelabs.com

I am a resident of

District 6

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Vincent Lee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Vincent Lee <vinitlee@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 7:02 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please DO NOT add Sea Cliff & Presidio Terrace to District 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF

I am a resident of San Francisco and have become extremely alarmed to find out that all four proposed redistricting maps group Sea Cliff in D1 with the Richmond. Please do not do this. It will lead to erasure of the people that make up the soul of the Richmond.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisadero, and west along Geary.

Vincent Lee

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mhurabie@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marie Hurabiell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marie Hurabiell

Email

mhurabie@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Marie Hurabiell

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pierre Smit](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Ocean Avenue Association
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pierre Smit <pierres@oaacbd.org>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:52 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tse, John (BOS) <john.tse@sfgov.org>; Seth Neill <seth@q2dataresearch.com>

Subject: Ocean Avenue Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

My Name is Pierre Smit, Executive Director of the Ocean Avenue Association. Ocean Avenue Association works closely with the supervisor of the District in the area between Manor Drive and FWY 280. This is a business corridor between Geneva and Manor Drive. This is at this time entirely in District 7.

Proposals have been made to split this section of Ocean Avenue into 2 separate districts, one on each side of the street. Ocean Avenue is an important business corridor in the southern part of San Francisco.

We do not want to split our important business corridor in 2 separate districts. This will simply dilute our strength as a growing business corridor.

This is a business district and businesses need to remain together on both side of the Ocean Avenue. We urge you to keep Ocean Avenue in one single district.

Thank you for the work you do.

Pierre

--

Pierre Smit

Executive Director

Ocean Avenue Association

OceanAvenueAssociation.org

Something is always happening on Ocean Avenue!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kaaren25@att.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: kaaren alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent kaaren alvarado

Email kaaren25@att.net

I am a resident of District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mssamanda1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amanda Michael <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Amanda Michael

Email

mssamanda1@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christen Alqueza](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public comment on Today's Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christen Alqueza <christen.alqueza@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on Today's Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello RDTF,

I live in D1, the Richmond District. I strongly oppose adding Sea Cliff to Presidio Terrace to my district. Those communities were literally redlined to keep low-income & people of color out, so adding them to D1 would disempower low-income workers, renters, and Asian Americans like myself.

If you must expand D1, please keep the district lines at Lake & California, then add Lake up to 3rd Ave. Also consider expanding east of USF along Turk to Divisadero, up Divisadero, and west along Geary.

-Christen Alqueza

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Barish](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Below is a comment regarding the Redistricting of District 1. Please add this comment to your record. Thank you.

I'm Jean Barish, a long-time D1 resident.

A former Richmond Neighborhood Center board member and a current Planning Association for the Richmond board member. I'm speaking on my own behalf.

Thank you for supporting map 4D. We still need some changes. Please keep the Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace and West Clay Park in D2.

This is a battle for the heart and soul of the Richmond. We must protect the low-income residents, renters, and Asian Pacific Islanders who live here, including the many essential workers who put their lives on the line during the pandemic, tenants who need eviction protection, and seniors relying on food banks.

Moving high income neighborhoods into D1 is the "new gentrification." It's a power grab by some of the wealthiest people in the City who will drown out the voices of the voiceless.

Most Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park residents are wealthy homeowners with interests at odds with my D1 neighbors. They earn more money, more of them own their own, very expensive, homes, and many of their children attend private schools. In many ways they don't share the values of D1 residents. They're not a part of our community of interest, and which should determine district boundaries.

Including Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park in D1 will diminish the impact and silence the voices of thousands of hard working people who desperately need representation in City Hall.

Please, do the right thing. Stop this power grab and "new gentrification." Preserve this diverse, working-class/middle-income community of renters, essential workers, and seniors. Keep the D1 line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue; east into Anza Vista; and east of USF along Turk to Divisadero. And keep Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and West Clay Park

Jean

Jean B Barish
jeanbbarish@hotmail.com
415-752-0185

Stay safe and be well

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Larry Dorsey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Re district
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Larry Dorsey <ldorseys@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am opposed to combining the two districts especially since the area is going to be up and coming. I think each district has its own set of opportunities and needs. Thank you

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: rebecca.randall@sonic.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rebecca Randall <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Rebecca Randall

Email

rebecca.randall@sonic.net

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: martha@ehmannconte.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Conte <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Martha Conte

Email

martha@ehmannconte.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rowan Oake](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public comment on redistricting
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rowan Oake <roake@smith.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public comment on redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Rowan Oake and I'm a resident of the Richmond District and part of the Unity Map Coalition. Thank you for listening to the public and for moving forward with map 4D. It was mentioned yesterday during public comment, but there are still some changes that need to be made in map 4D.

If map 4D proceeds as-is, adding in wealthier neighborhoods in District 1 would disenfranchise Asian voters and voters of color, tenants, and low-income residents.

The Richmond District is a diverse community

- The Richmond District community is home to 60% of tenants and 40% Chinese-speaking residents.
- The Richmond District is home to many Chinese and Russian immigrants, renters, and SROs who house low-income tenants.
- Many District 1 residents, especially seniors, currently depend on its robust public transit, community based organizations, and free meals and groceries.
- By adding areas like Sea Cliff with the highest incomes into District 1, the least-resourced community members our nonprofits serve would have their needs competing with some of the most-resourced residents in the city.

Keep the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace in District 2

- The Richmond District was settled by large immigrant populations, and the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace were formed as caucasian-only communities to exclude these populations.
- Since their creation, the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace have always been among the highest income levels in the city, an exclusive, wealthy part of the city with mansions. By adding the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace into the district, we will take away voices of more marginalized communities, who are dependent on social services.
- The median listing home price in the Sea Cliff is \$8.3 million, which is nearly 5 times the median listing home price in the Richmond.

Specifically name streets as boundaries for expanding District 1

- Adding more into the Inner Richmond:
 - Please keep the district line at Lake Street and California Street, and expand along Lake Street to 3rd Avenue.
- Expand east into Anza Vista:
 - Expand east of USF along Turk Boulevard to Divisadero, up Divisadero corridor and west along Geary.
- Currently, District 2 includes 27th Ave between Lake and California. This small block is the Richmond, not Sea Cliff. Please include this block in District 1.

Please keep District 1 a united district of working class neighborhoods that empowers low- and middle-income workers, renters, and the Asian American community.

Thank you,

--

Rowan Oake

MSW Class of 2022

A'22 ARPG Representative

Unlearning Racism Co-Facilitator

Smith College School for Social Work

[Pronouns:](#) they/she

[Location:](#) Ramaytush Ohlone Territory

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Greg Lowry](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:41:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Lowry <glowry1@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:54 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose the proposed redistricting of District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not remove the Inner Sunset from District 5. I believe that it should remain in District 5 up to Funston Ave.

The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park should remain in District 5 because of the many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar stadium, the Conservatory of Flowers, and the De Young museum. These attractions affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

Thank you,

Greg Lowry

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: katesa1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kate Anderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kate Anderson

Email katesa1@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Lotusman@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Hurabiell, Sr. <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent John Hurabiell, Sr.

Email Lotusman@pacbell.net

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

Furthermore, I abhor District elections--they are an abomination and fertile ground for corruption. I demand that we return to At Large Elections which served San Francisco well for more than a century. In those days Supervisors were concerned with San Francisco not their petty fiefdoms.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ben Mok](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Maps
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ben Mok <benm@cycsf.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

After reviewing the proposed redistricting maps after this past Saturday's Redistricting Task Force meeting, the Community Youth Center of SF would like to give feedback in regards to a few districts.

District 1: We urge the TF to expand District 1 boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, as draft map 2B did, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood. We are concerned that D1 boundaries reflected in maps 4A-4D significantly dilute the Asian and Pacific Islander vote. Including the Seacliff neighborhood in D1 will compromise voices of the API working class families and tenants living in the Richmond district. Household median income in the Seacliff is \$60,000 higher when compared to median income in the Richmond district; these neighborhoods have very different priorities and we want to ensure API tenants and families are given ample opportunity to elect a representative that will advocate for their interests.

District 3: We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. Maps 4A-D reflect attacks by the Task Force on the interests of low-income API residents, including seniors, by lumping high-income neighborhoods with D3. The neighborhoods of South Beach, Russian Hill, Rincon Hill, and East-Cut have up to six-and-a-half times the household median income compared to Chinatown residents. The API community living in Chinatown is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. We oppose expanding D3 at the precise expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and the aging population.

We urge the TF to avoid expanding D3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest, and limit expanding D3 into the Transbay area to 2nd street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

District 5: We appreciate the TF's decision to include Japantown in D5 and further call on the TF to amend northern D5 boundaries to include the blocks surrounded by Pine St, Scott St, Bush St, and Steiner St. The Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street, is an important early childhood education center serving Japantown and Western Addition families residing within those blocks and needs to be incorporated back into District 5.

District 6: We stand with the Filipino community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District. We strongly urge the Task Force to prioritize the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color who have demanded that the Tenderloin and West SOMA remain intact in District 6; members of these historical and established cultural neighborhoods have repeatedly asked the TF to remain united as wealthier neighborhoods along the waterfront have emerged. We call on the TF to refer to map 4D for a D6 configuration we want to see on the final map.

Regards,

Ben Mok

Community Relations Manager
benm@cycsf.org

Community Youth Center of San Francisco (CYC)
Main Office & Computer Clubhouse
1038 Post Street
San Francisco, California 94109
Tel: 415.775.2636 Ext. 242
Fax: 415.775.1345
www.cycsf.org

Youth are the center of our community.

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify CYC immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by CYC (Community Youth Center) or one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. CYCSF.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Halog@sonic.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Constance E Halog <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Constance E Halog

Email Halog@sonic.net

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.

2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.

3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.

4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ninasteinman@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nina Steinman <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Nina Steinman

Email

ninasteinman@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jlyonsaef@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennie Lyons <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Jennie Lyons

Email jlyonsaef@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Casey Goldman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosario Cervantes <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Rosario Cervantes

Email

jazz_latinlady@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 11

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Art Persyko](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Art Persyko <artpersyko@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting Task Force: public comment from Art Persyko, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force:

**Redistricting Task Force, 1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room
244, San Francisco, Ca 94102
(415) 554-4445, rdtf@sfgov.org**

Please do everything in your power to draw SF redistricting lines fairly i.e. please draw district border lines that do NOT divide constituents from their neighbors who have common interests and concerns that would be diminished and result in a lack of fair representation at the SF Board of Supervisors, as a result.

As the Center for American Progress says: “...When voters cast a ballot, they expect their votes to matter in choosing representatives who are responsive, reflective, and accountable to the communities they represent...” (<https://www.americanprogress.org/article/redistricting-and-representation/>)

So, I hope you will pay attention and act upon the recommendations below that will meet such a standard of drawing lines that maintain a genuine relationship of accountability between communities of interest in San Francisco and their elected representatives*

Thank you very much!

-Art Persyko, Board member of the SF Gray Panthers and a resident of D-2, 2190 Washington Street, #608, SF/CA/94109

***Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:**

- Please see that the Inner Sunset remains in D5 up to Funston
- Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in

D5.

Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:

- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.
- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nathan Mee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:16 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee
Potrero Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: scoopfoggy@prodigy.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shirley Fogarino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Shirley Fogarino

Email scoopfoggy@prodigy.net

I am a resident of District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Caroline Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts. Potrero Hill needs representation within the city of San Francisco, so keeping the community intact is a big plus.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer (longtime renter & resident of Potrero Hill)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rick Girling](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting proposals
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:40:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rick Girling <rzgirling@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 5:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting proposals

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My Name is Richard Girling. I have lived in SF for 47 years and been active in city politics since then. Since first moving here I have lived in a number of SF communities each with its own characteristics. I applaud the Redistricting Task Force decision to settle around Map 4D. I urge the Task Force to take one more step toward fairer representation and adopt the Community Unity Map developed by a large coalition to the many community groups that make up San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Richard Girling
182 Banks St, San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura ODonovan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to "Unity Map")
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura ODonovan <lodsf@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Map: In support of 4D map (unless possible to bring borders closed to 'Unity Map')

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTkxNTc5NTBjZjVkdMDIxMmY3MWYxYTU0MTBhMWUzZjo2OjJmMTI6MTUyZDU3N2NiZGQ4YTJkMjJIOTliYmY3Mjg5ODU2YTA0NWUxMDY3YzdkYzE1MTliMTQ3OTVmYTFmMwVhN2M5Nzp0Ok4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Laura ODonovan
1421 Rhode Island St, SF, CA 94107
home: 415-648-4254
cell/text: 415-515-3378



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminnott@outlook.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NzJmNGQwN2Q2ZTY5YmM5ZTQwZTU2MjjiMjiONTQ3NT02OmFmYzQ6ZjY4NTU2MjdYjI3OTkyYWU2YTMzMTRIM2JmYjgyOWU0YjE3MDdiNjE1ZTI4ZDNiMTlyZjM1OWRIYTU5MzdjNTpwOk4>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sivakitty@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Greg Syler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Greg Syler

Email

sivakitty@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leela Gill](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Martin Almaraz](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep NOPNA in D5
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leela Gill <leelagill1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Martin Almaraz <martin.almaraz@gmail.com>
Subject: Please keep NOPNA in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am writing as a long-time D5 resident, previous North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA) president, and current NOPNA board member.

NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.

I want to strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood association and keep it all in District 5.

Our neighborhood association has served our community for 31 years by creating traditions like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, organizing safety initiatives, and sponsoring greening improvements (tree planting, art work, GG Park Panhandle improvements, etc). We also helped establish the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years.

We are a tightly recognized community within the greater San Francisco area and splitting our neighborhood across districts would dilute our voice and make continuing our traditions extremely difficult.

Please keep the neighborhood between Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak in District 5.

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done.

Sincerely,

Leela Gill
30+ year D5 Resident and
North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association Board Member

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pride Commons](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pride Commons <jessica@jperla.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: shanehillier@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shane Hiller <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Shane Hiller

Email

shanehiller@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmNDRiOTQ2ZjU3MmUxNmQ5ODkxMjdmYTFlyzQ4ZDcyZDo2OjVkJzY6MGEzMzgyNzVINTQzZjcxZjEzZWVjYjY4MGZjNDY3ODM4NTI4MDM3ZmU5YWU2NTlkMTlhN2YxZWl0NDM0MTBhNDpwOk4>). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

John deCastro
2jbdecastro@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Edward Rudolph](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the Map 4D District 10
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Rudolph <eddierudolph@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the Map 4D District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I support the boundaries for District 10 as set forth in your map 4D.

Last week, you heard from many of my neighbors about the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMGM1Y2I1MGMOMmJhMDZmYTAzZDdhNmVjMzY5MTZmZDo2OjMyMzk6YTJmNTk0Nzc0MmFkMWUOM2ViODU1Y2ZiMjEzOTJjMjZlNzgwNGVmYzY3MmWl5YTQzZjk0NDYxYmFkZTlZyJkMDpwOk4). That map, which maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, Dogpatch and its neighbors to the south, represented our best-case scenario for bringing District 10 into compliance with the law.

Your map 4D achieves most of the benefits identified in the District 10 Unity Map while addressing the difficult choices you are making in other districts.

I understand that you will be making changes to the map to accommodate concerns in other neighborhoods and communities. When it comes to District 10, however, unless it is to bring the borders closer to those proposed to the District 10 Unity Map, I urge you to maintain the boundaries for District 10 set forth in Map 4D.

Sincerely,

Edward Rudolph
398 Texas St.
SF CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kaaren25@att.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kaaren Alvarado <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kaaren Alvarado

Email

kaaren25@att.net

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cnke888@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Curtis Lee <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Curtis Lee

Email

cnke888@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ortizbrett@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Brett Ortiz

Email

ortizbrett@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: barbarajheffernan@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Heffernan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Barbara Heffernan

Email

barbarajheffernan@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Harry S. Pariser](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:39:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Harry S. Pariser <editorial@savethemanatee.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep the Inner Sunset in D5!
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force:

As a long-term resident of the Inner Sunset, I can testify that our area is best served by being in D5.

Please do not make us be part of another, even more conservative district!

Keep us in D5!

Sincerely,

Harry S. Pariser
Inner Sunset

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mbeis@hotmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 11:38:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Eisler <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Michael Eisler

Email

mbeis@hotmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Cheryl Meeker; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting for San Francisco
Date: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 12:02:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cheryl Meeker <cherylmeeker@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:12 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: redistricting for San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I would like to make sure that our D5 district is kept intact -- to keep the progressive nature of D5 intact. Specifically, I want to make sure my address is included in District 5: 1296 Haight Street.

It looks like map 4D is the map that keeps District 5 most intact. Please make sure that our district stays as intact as possible.

Thanks,
Cheryl

--

Cheryl Meeker

415 255 0668

<http://cherylmeeker.blogspot.com>

"To love. To be loved. To never forget your own insignificance. To never get used to the unspeakable violence and the vulgar disparity of life around you. To seek joy in the saddest places. To pursue beauty to its lair. To never simplify what is complicated or complicate what is simple. To respect strength, never power. Above all, to watch. To try and understand. To never look away. And never, never, to forget. ..."


- Arundhati Roy

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
 To: [REC - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
 Subject: FW: Map
 Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 6:04:31 PM

I received the from the Chair just as the meeting began.

Forwarding to RTF on BCC at his request.

JEC



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cari Jaye Sokoloff](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cari Jaye Sokoloff <carijsokoloff@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero/Dogpatch Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep our very connected neighborhoods together and intact. Choose Map D4 for district 10.
Thank you!

Best regards,

Cari Jaye Sokoloff
M [415.793.0385](tel:415.793.0385)

www.sokostudio.com

www.poshbellyskitchen.com

www.jayedsgn.com

Insta

@poshbellyskitchen

@forkmybelly

@asliceofpeteypie

@sokobyjayedesign

Sent from my iPhone. Please forgive the tupis...grrrrr...typos.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: meredithcdunn@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Meredith Dunn <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Meredith Dunn

Email

meredithcdunn@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tracihollander1@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Traci Hollander <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Traci Hollander

Email

tracihollander1@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: bacisf@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marianne Schier <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Marianne Schier

Email

bacisf@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: paul.pmec@outlook.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Molloy <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Paul Molloy

Email

paul.pmec@outlook.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Paul Selmants](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Selmants <selmants@hey.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Paul Selmants

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dirkprobstel@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dirk Probstel <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Dirk Probstel

Email

dirkprobstel@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jennifer.yan@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Yan <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:28 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Jennifer Yan

Email

jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: stacielyn_99@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stacie Johnson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stacie Johnson

Email

stacielyn_99@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wrb100@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Billy Brandreth

Email

wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: mikeapaul@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Mike Paul

Email

mikeapaul@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:59:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephanie Adraktas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Stephanie Adraktas

Email

stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jullepau164@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Julie Paul

Email

juliepaul164@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Stephen Gomez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); arron.peskin@sfgov.org; [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: District 3
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Stephen Gomez <skg@stephenkgomez.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:02 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>; arron.peskin@sfgov.org; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco

We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan. We much prefer including a wider Russian Hill boundary.

The current neighborhoods are more aligned for small business, hotels and tourism, all of which have been hit hard by the pandemic. We also encompass the vital financial district which is another important component to revitalizing our neighborhoods.

The greatest concern for our tourist industry is the level of crime and the drug abuse on our streets. Our streets are unsafe and our City by the Bay has become an uncivilized cesspool.

We, as hard working inhabitants of our neighborhood, want to combat these perceptions. We report crime and we pick up the trash.

We already have a high density rate, perhaps the highest in the City. To make the situation untenable would be the possibility of more residents in an already overcrowded area. This is a poor master plan for the entire wellbeing of San Francisco.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you!

Stephen K. Gomez

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jsantos1788@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jill Santos <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Jill Santos**

Email jsantos1788@yahoo.com

I am a resident of **District 5**

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kvercellino@sbcglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelly Vercellino <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Kelly Vercellino

Email

kvercellino@sbcglobal.net

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Annika Lindner](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Maps for SF
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Annika Lindner <annika.lindner@icloud.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:52 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Maps for SF

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a resident in SOMA and I support maps 4A and 4C. Hoping to see one of these make it as the final draft.

Thank you,

Annika Lindner

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: prm5@georgetown.edu; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Mohun <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:45 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>

Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Paul Mohun

Email

prm5@georgetown.edu

I am a resident of

District 2

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together.

The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: heather.luongo@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 3:58:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Heather Luongo <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent

Heather Luongo

Email

heather.luongo@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 7

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East

Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ~susan; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Issues of Great Concern
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ~susan <sdlatham@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Issues of Great Concern

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am greatly disappointed in the draft maps this Redistricting Task Force have presented. I do not see any of the fair and good work of the Community Unity map, which represented 72 community organizations. The people have been disregarded in the TF map's presentation, as well as the many who spoke in support of all COIs therein. It is an ill informed embarrassment to the task force.

TAKE NOTE:

Inner Sunset to Funston Remain in D5:

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.

- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

Eastern Golden Gate Park Remain in D5:

- The Eastern boundary of Golden Gate Park was added in 2012 after advocacy by community members, and it should remain in D5. It is unclear why it has been removed.

- The many permanent attractions on the East end of the park such as Kezar, Conservatory of Flowers, and De Young permanently affect the residents and businesses of the Haight and Inner Sunset, and must be remain in the District for supervisorial oversight.

I am in solidarity with D1 residents in saying keep SeaCliff out of D1. And that Anza Vista is a better fit with D1.

Susan D Latham

1965 Page Street #301

San Francisco, CA 94117

D5 Resident for over 55 years

~susan

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Norman Degelman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Norman Degelman <norkydeg@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the task force members - Thank you for not cutting out the Haight and voting for Map 4D. Here are some more points I'd like you to consider:

- We request that the Inner Sunset remain in D5 up to Funston
- We just recovered from the Task Force trying to split up D5 into four different districts. Please do not divide the core of the inner sunset from D5, where there are shared interests and concerns that tie the Inner Sunset to the Haight and the rest of the District
- Residents of the Inner Sunset and Haight have common interests of being connected by UCSF expansion and impacts to the neighborhood, the COI of apartment buildings and renters, advocacy around Parkview Commons, public transit, and a common boundary of Golden Gate Park with its many attractions
- Geographically the Inner Sunset and Haight are connected by a flat continuous block along Irving that becomes Carl street. South of the Inner Sunset however is marked by steep hills as it transitions to D7.
- D4 shares no connection to the area South of Sloat, and logically should extend from 17th to Funston Street and down to Kirkham. The core of the Inner Sunset east of Funston should remain in D5.

Sincerely,

Norman Degelman
422 Carl St

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Carol Mitchell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 6 together
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Mitchell <carol_lee_mitch@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep District 6 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Carol Mitchell and my husband and I have lived at 1 Federal St. in South Beach for 19 years. It has come to my attention that our district is in jeopardy of being split up.

I am in favor of keeping the district together South of Market, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island. There has been much accomplished in this district over the last two decades to create community including providing housing, schools, jobs, and transportation and planning for sea level rise, among other projects. The district is cohesive and there is much still to do.

Please keep the district together so that the groundwork that has been laid over the last couple of decades can thrive and continue to be realized in the future.

Sincerely,
Carol Mitchell
1 Federal St., Unit 14, SFCA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: spinnerchick@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Constance Stamas <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Constance Stamas**

Email

spinnerchick@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more.

I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [kasey.asberry](#)
Subject: FW: Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:34 PM
Attachments: [COI_Env_Justice_SoMa-TL_Green_Mosaic.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kasey Rios Asberry <kasberry@humanorigins.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Green Mosaic of TL, MidMarket, SoMa COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force

Please find attached our Community of Interest letter.

~~~~~  
Bloom Where You Are Planted

~~~~~  
Demonstration Gardens
Living Waters Env Justice Center @ Federal Plaza
Kasey Rios Asberry
415-283-8570



To: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force


April 4, 2022

Green Mosaic in Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa Community of Interest

This letter documents a Community of Interest established in the downtown neighborhoods of the Tenderloin, Mid-Market & SoMa based in collective Environmental Justice work towards a Green Mosaic that pools resources to interconnect a network of Cool Islands to counteract the Urban Heat Island Effect, generational inequities with regard to air quality, access to Nature and large density of vulnerable populations (see attached SF Planning Environmental Justice Communities 2021 map), all accumulating to reduce life expectancy of our residents by as much as 15 years as compared to greener more equitable neighborhoods. The SF Planning Environmental Justice Framework emphasizes recognition of resident leadership in determining municipal and state policy. We invoke that recognized local agency in this statement and urge the Task Force to respect the municipal policy and the resilience indicators associated with ruling in alignment with clearly expressed resident will.




DEMONSTRATION GARDENS



In consultation with the Association of the Ramaytush Ohlone, the living people whose unceded, ancestral homeland in which San Francisco and our neighborhoods lie, the Demonstration Gardens and our partners the Tenderloin Peoples Congress, the Mid-Market Neighbors Association, the Mid Market Coalition, SomaWest Community Benefits District, Faithful Fools Street Ministry, Hyde Street Studios, St Anthony's Foundation, The Living waters Environmental Justice Center at Federal Plaza and the SF Environmental Justice Community Working Group we want to underline the importance of working holistically to correct environmental injustice, requiring a collective impact approach as depicted in the Environmental Justice medicine wheel for community healing, attached.



DEMONSTRATION GARDENS



We work together across Filipino, LGBTQ+, Latino, Black, Arabic-speaking communities and economic milieus and indeed this syncretic coalition is an essential characteristic of our District 6 co-alignments of neighborhood cultures.



The Green Mosaic COI area is encompassed roughly by:

-Tenderloin: between Geary (North), Masonic (East - North of Market), Van Ness (West)

-Mid Market: 6th Street to 12th Street

-SoMa: Freeway or Van Ness (West and South), Treasure Island (East)

The most recent SF Task Force map that most closely approximates our COI is 4D.

We also recognize the agency of other neighborhoods towards self-determination and therefore endorse the SF Unity Map.<https://www.sfrising.org/>

Redistricting that respects these processes and boundaries is respectful; it is also practical because we have developed coalitions and budgets related to District 6 relationships. Disruption of this would be inefficient and costly to the agreements we have established and plans for the next twenty - fifty years, on the scale of the now discredited and illegal economic redlining and freeway building of earlier generations.

Resident-lead work is always plagued by scarce resources; disrupting our collaborations would cost valuable benefit to our residents in quality of life, ill-health and even lives lost as we must deal with climate instability - fires, extreme heat and flooding now through reforestation, air improvement and neighborhood-based organizing.



Sincerely,

Kasey Rios Asberry

Executive Director, Demonstration Gardens

Co-Chair, SF Env Justice Framework Community Working Group

Green Mosaic Coalition, founding member

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sjadalla@pacbell.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:21 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sandra Jadallah <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 1:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Sandra Jadallah**

Email

sjadalla@pacbell.net

I am a resident of

District 7

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: obriant@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeremy OBriant <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Jeremy OBriant**

Email

obriant@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 5

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face

the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mariela Ceden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:11 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mariela Ceden <mariela.e.ceden@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: NEW: Working Maps and next Redistricting Task Force Meeting April 2, 2022 at 10am

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am an SF resident and extremely disappointed with this proposed redistricting. At its best, it's sloppy, at its worst it seems like a deliberate effort to undo decades of organizing work of LGBTQ residents and break up marginalized communities that already have little reach into the political system.

Thank you,

Mariela Cedeño
District 8

On Thu, Mar 31, 2022 at 1:47 PM Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <clerksoffice@sfgov.org> wrote:

[Web Version](#)

SAN FRANCISCO'S OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

|

|_____|

Board of Supervisors, Office of the Clerk of the Board

You are receiving this newsletter as part of your rights as a San Francisco citizen to have access to government information that helps make your neighborhood better. You may have previously signed up to receive information from the Clerk of the Board, the Board of Supervisors or a non-profit with which we have partnered with to improve the community.

This email was sent by: City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place, San Francisco, California, 94102 United States

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Update Profile](#)

[Manage Subscriptions](#)

[Unsubscribe](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Daphne Magnawa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep our D10 community together!
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Daphne Magnawa <dmagnawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep our D10 community together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Here's why I support the [District 10 Unity Map](#):

- For 17 years, I have lived on the 300 block of Utah St. **in D10.**
- I helped raise thousands of dollars for the Potrero Branch library **in D10.**
- My daughter was born and raised **in D10.**
- When my daughter was only 3 months old, Daniel Webster Elementary was slated to close and I was one of the neighborhood parents that helped to keep it OPEN. She attended and graduated from Webster Elementary **in D10.**
- I helped build and open 2 preschools **in D10.**
- I am on the board of the [Green Benefits District](#) **in D10.**
- I have served on the board of the Potrero Boosters **in D10.**
- I have created lifelong friendships that began at Jackson Park **in D10.**

My community is NOT D6. My community is D10. Keep our community whole and build the boundaries according to the District 10 Unity Map.

Sincerely,

Daphne Magnawa
300 Block Utah St. **in D10**

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:11:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Unit of Measure: Census Blocks? Census Tracts?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force, please clarify the Unit of Measure for Q2 maps: are you using Census Blocks? Census Tracts? Something else?

Thanks, Tes Welborn

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: irishslate@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Margaret O'SULLIVAN <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Margaret O'SULLIVAN**

Email

irishslate@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 1

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: krochemusic@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kevin Roche

Email

krochemusic@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: slatehouse@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:10:43 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marina Roche <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Marina Roche**

Email

slatehouse@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 4

I oppose any new map that resembles the now illegal 2012 District lines and doesn't keep important COIs together!.

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for all the work that has gone into producing these maps. I was unable to attend the April 2nd meeting to give comment and unfortunately, the resulting map very closely resembles the currently illegal 2012 District lines. I strongly support a map that is legally compact and contiguous and abides by the Constitution's 14th amendment equal protection clause.

In particular:

1. The decision to add Sea Cliff and North of Lake to D1 must be preserved to maintain a geographically compact, contiguous, and legal district. The Richmond is very diverse socially, racially, and economically, and this diversity is what makes it special. Immigrants from Eastern Europe, Russia, and all parts of Asia live alongside San Franciscans that have been here for generations. I oppose and I am offended by any testimony that rejects people who live on the other side of the street. Those comments are based on discrimination, bigotry, and xenophobia.
2. The Inner Sunset and Golden Gate Heights must stay in District 7 and both neighborhoods have expressed that they want to remain together. This will ensure a geographically contiguous, compact, and legal map.
3. Chair Townsend gave an impassioned speech on Saturday about the African American community and I agree with his commentary. Community of Interest maps have been submitted that show the migration of underrepresented African Americans from Western Addition to the Tenderloin. Many commenters say they represent marginalized communities yet want to divide the African American Community. The Tenderloin and Western Addition need to be united in order to protect the African American community.
4. The entire COI of emerging communities in East Cut-SouthBeach-Mission Bay must be kept together. The final Redistricting map must allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as they face the same challenges of rising sea levels, congestion

and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high-density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low-income families, and more. I urge the Task Force to keep these communities intact. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: james@stuffsf.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:09:32 PM
Attachments: [rtf040422_agenda_EN.pdf](#)

There is a meeting tonight at 5:30.

On Saturday the Task Force moved forward with Map 4D, and tonight they will be making adjustments to the 4D map.

Here is an agenda for the meeting tonight, attached.

Thanks so,
John Carroll

From: james@stuffsf.com <james@stuffsf.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 12:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: When is the next upcoming voting on Map 4 RE: Keep SoMa in ONE DISTRICT!!!
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John,

I noticed there are new MAPS 4 for redistricting and I want to make sure I let those interesting in keeping SOMA together to write new letters in support of MAP 4A

When is the next upcoming voting on MAP 4

Thanks

James Spinillo
SWCBD
San Francisco, CA 94103
james@stuffsf.com
c 415-710-4288



REDISTRICTING TASK FORCE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGENDA

IN-PERSON MEETING

City Hall, Room 408
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

April 4, 2022 - 5:30 PM

Regular Meeting

REMOTE ACCESS VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

WATCH San Francisco Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 (*depending on your provider*)

WATCH www.sfgovtv.org

WATCH: <https://bit.ly/35LpDAC>

PASSWORD: comment

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001](tel:14156550001) / Meeting ID 2482 064 9460 # #

(Press *3 to enter the speaker line)

Audio Interpretation in Cantonese – Available Until 11 PM

[1 \(415\) 655-0001](tel:14156550001) / Meeting ID 2494 604 3639 # #

WATCH: <https://bit.ly/3wS6g49>

PASSWORD: comment

Audio Interpretation in Spanish – Available Until 11 PM

[1 \(415\) 655-0001](tel:14156550001) / Meeting ID 2492 544 7274 # #

WATCH: <https://bit.ly/36X1LtP>

PASSWORD: comment

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

- Seat 1** Jeremy Lee
- Seat 2** Jose Maria (Chema) Hernández Gil
- Seat 3** J. Michelle Pierce
- Seat 4** Matthew Castillon
- Seat 5** Rev. Arnold Townsend
- Seat 6** Lily Ho
- Seat 7** Raynell Cooper
- Seat 8** Chasel Lee
- Seat 9** Ditka Reiner

2. Mapping

Members of the Task Force shall discuss visualizations of maps developed and submitted by Q2 Data and Research at Task Force’s direction and may give them further instructions. By agreement the Task Force may also move to line drawing at any time if they so decide. Discussion and possible action.
(Public Comment)

3. Q2 Redistricting Consultants Update

(Redistricting Consultants, Q2 Data and Research)
Discussion and possible action.
(Public Comment)

4. Task Force Member Reports and City Department Representative/Public Official Reports

Members of the Task Force report on their respective discussions with members of the public and/or public officials concerning current or future work within the jurisdiction of the Task Force City Department representatives and/or public officials report on matters within the jurisdiction of the Task Force. Discussion.
(Public Comment)

5. Approval of Minutes from the Special Meetings of March 23 and 25, 2022

Discussion and possible action.
(Public Comment)

6. General Public Comment: Members of the public may address the Redistricting Task Force on matters that are within their jurisdiction and not on today’s agenda.

7. Future Agenda Items

Discussion and possible action.
(Public Comment)

8. Adjournment

Agenda Item Information

Each item on the agenda may include: 1) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report; 2) Public correspondence; 3) Other explanatory documents. For more information concerning agendas, minutes, and meeting information, such as these documents, please contact:

Redistricting Task Force Clerk
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Meeting Procedures

Public Comment will be taken before or during the Task Force's consideration of each agenda item. Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the Chair at the beginning of each item, except public speakers using translation assistance will be allotted twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk (john.carroll@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting. The public is encouraged to testify at Task Force meetings and to write letters to its members: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102.

AGENDA PACKET: Available on the internet at: <https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force>.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS: Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino at all regular and special Board and Committee meetings if made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services: Contact Wilson Ng or Arthur Khoo at (415) 554-5184.

傳譯服務: 所有常規及特別市參事會會議和常務委員會會議將提供西班牙文, 中文以及菲律賓文的傳譯服務, 但必須在會議前最少48小時作出請求, 以確保能獲取到傳譯服務. 將因應請求提供交替傳譯服務, 以便公眾向有關政府機構發表意見. 如需更多資訊或請求有關服務, 請致電 (415) 554-5184 聯絡我們.

AVISO EN ESPAÑOL: Los servicios de idiomas están disponibles en español, chino, y filipino en todas las reuniones regulares y reuniones especiales de la Junta, de los Comités, si se solicita por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión para ayudar a garantizar su disponibilidad. Para más información o solicitar servicios, por favor contactar a (415) 554-5184.

PAUNAWA: Mayroong serbisyon pang-wika sa Espanyol, Tsino at Pilipino para sa lahat ng mga regular at espesyal na pagpulong ng Board, at Komite ng Board. Sa kasalukuyan, mayroong serbisyo sa wikang Pilipino na maaaring hilingin, 48 oras (o mas maaga) bago ng pagpulong upang matiyak na matutugunan ang inyong kahilingan. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon o para humiling ng serbisyo pang-wika, tawagan lamang ang (415) 554-5184.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different types of disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have an equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.

Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the public of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly to such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to people with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train staff to respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and that requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical assistance to City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable modifications.

The Redistricting Task Force supports the Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where all people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal discrimination under disability rights laws.

To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact Wilson Ng at (415) 554-5184 (wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org).

Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine>.

Ethics Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; website <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics>. Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit www.sfethics.org.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Thornton, Cheryl \(DPH\)](#)
Subject: FW: Voting Rights Act
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:33:03 AM
Attachments: [redistrictin.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Thornton, Cheryl (DPH) <cheryl.thornton@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Voting Rights Act

Hi Redistricting Taskforce,

Can you add my letter to the agenda for today under public comment?

Thank you

Cheryl Denson-Thornton

Hospital Eligibility Supervisor

Primary Care

Pronouns: She/ Her/ Hers

Phone: 415-374-5100

30 Van Ness, Suite 2300, San Francisco,
CA 94102

1501610198219_PastedImage



This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Submitted by Cheryl Thornton D10 Resident

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 an important federal redistricting requirement that ensures our representatives reflect America's racial and ethnic diversity. "The Voting Rights of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

Community of Interest: HOPE SF Public Housing Sites which include the Sunnydale public housing located in Visitation Valley, Alice Griffith located in Double Rock, Hunters View public housing located in Bayview Hunters Point and the Potrero Hill Annex and Terrance located in Potrero Hill.

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 "The Voting Rights of 1965 prohibits racial discrimination in the election process, including prohibiting the dilution of Black voting strength."

District 10 must shrink not grow in population. Cutting Potrero Hill out of D10 and adding the Portola neighborhood into D10 will dilute the strength of the Black voting strength. Furthermore, Potrero Hill has always been in District 10. Whereas Portola has been in District 9 for ten years. Adding Portola and removing Potrero Hill would weaken the Black voting strength in District 10. This would violate the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

District 10 was created in the year 2000. For every election in District 10 a Black Represent was elected to represent District 10 which included two presidents of the Board of Supervisors ((Malia Cohen and Shamman Walton). District 10 is the only District to elect a Black supervisor in every election. District 10 is the only district to elect a Black supervisor in every election since the creation of district elections in 2000.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Adkins, Joe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment from Tim Nolan
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:39 AM
Attachments: [Tim Nolan PC.pdf](#)

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Adkins, Joe (BOS) <joe.adkins@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment from Tim Nolan

Hello,

Please see attached public comment received in the mail from Tim Nolan.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

TIMOTHY JAMES NOLAN
2600 VAN NESS AVENUE N° 503
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
94109

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2022 APR -4 AM 10:33

BY _____



March 25, 2022

Members of the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force,

I am a 31+ year resident of San Francisco. I have lived in the Inner Sunset, the Marina, Lone Mountain and Russian Hill neighborhoods, the last being my home for 26 years. My current address falls into the boundary of District 2. This email represents my voice for inclusion in public comments regarding the San Francisco 2022 Redistricting Task Force efforts.

I strongly support the position letter submitted by the Russian Hill Neighborhood association (RHN) of keeping the Russian Hill neighborhood intact, in District 3 (D3) as well as extending the western boundary to Van Ness Avenue. Below is a link to the 02/11/2022 preliminary input from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force:

<https://rhnsf.org/2022/02/11/redistricting-task-force-letter/>

Enclosed you should find a copy of the 03/11/2022 letter from the RHN's President to the 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force. This letter makes detailed and logical arguments for the future D3 boundaries, including the request to **reunify Russian Hill by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3, with Van Ness Avenue as its western boundary.**

In summary I respectfully urge you to consider these positions when drawing the final Supervisor Districts we will live under for the next decade.

Sincerely,



Tim Nolan



PRESIDENT
Carol Ann Rogers
VICE PRESIDENTS
Gregg Carr
Pamela Meyers
SECRETARY
Suze Barrabee
TREASURER
Joyce Kucharvy

PAST PRESIDENT
Tina Moylan

DIRECTORS
Richard Cardello
Peter Kindel
Pamela Meyers
Laurie Petipas
Alice Alioto
Robert Bluhm
Lauren Bohlin
Greg Polchow

COMMITTEE CHAIRS
Design, Zoning, and Land Use
Robert Bluhm
History
Al Greening
Communications
Susan Ford
Safety
Jennifer Parkes
Social
Pamela Meyers
Families
Moe Jamil
Annie Yang
Parks
Susan Karasoff
Membership
Open
Transportation
Steve Taber
Neighborhood Enhancement
Phoebe Douglass
Local Businesses
Teddy Kramer
Neighborhood Organizations
Matthew Mansfield

ADVISORS
Lucretia Rauh, Chair
Tim Covington
Helen Doyle
Judy Junghans
Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221
San Francisco CA 94109
415.993.1808
www.rhnsf.org

March 17, 2022

TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force

RE: Why does Russian Hill belong in the Northeast Quadrant of San Francisco (D3)?

In April 1906, the entire northeast quadrant of San Francisco, including Downtown, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Nob Hill, North Beach and Russian Hill were flattened by the earthquake and subsequent fires. The fire was stopped at Van Ness Avenue with only a few structures surviving intact. Many of these survivors are now official landmarks recognized by the City or the National Register of Historic Places thanks to the efforts of local residents and organizations.

Throughout the 20th century, these neighborhoods east of Van Ness Avenue rebuilt into the iconic and densely populated urban landscape that continues to characterize them today, beloved by residents, small businesses and tourists alike.

The neighborhoods east of Van Ness have been historically characterized by a diverse group of immigrants whose marks are still be seen and celebrated:

- Italian language mass is celebrated weekly at Saints Peter and Paul Church, Italian cuisine predominates and the Italian Athletic Club still sponsors its 100 year old foot race. Families with roots in Little Italy established homes on the slopes of Russian Hill where they have lived for multiple generations.
- The Mexican-American community flourished until it was displaced by the building of the Broadway tunnel. Its shrine to Our Lady of Guadalupe remains as a City landmark.
- Mid-20th century, the Chinese community began to settle in Russian Hill. These multi-generational Russian Hill property owners and residents have strong connections to Chinatown and are part of a greater Chinatown community, as are many parts of Nob Hill.

In the 1960s and 1970s, the northeastern residents east of Van Ness pushed back on high-rise development by advocating for height limits both in the neighborhoods and the northern waterfront. Although the individual neighborhoods have different names and boundaries, they share common experiences and frequent

collaborations which blur the lines separating us on official maps. For example, Columbus Avenue is not a boundary between neighborhoods but a common and vital corridor shared by the residents of the slopes that lead to it from both east and west.

In the 1980s, *Russian Hill Neighbors* led a successful effort to nominate three historic districts in its boundaries to the National Register of Historic Places, giving special protection to these treasured areas. Similarly, *RHN* and its peer organizations and residents have been devoted to preserving public open spaces such as Ina Coolbrith and Sterling Parks, Helen Wills Playground and most recently the soon-to-open Francisco Park which provide unique spaces for all of the dense northeastern residents to enjoy.

Also in the 1980s, Russian Hill joined with its northeastern neighbors to establish the first preferential parking zone in the City identified by the red "A" sticker. The boundaries today for the "A" sticker are still set at Van Ness Avenue and extend east to the Bay. These collective experiences of rebuilding, protection, preservation and resilience are part of the DNA of the D3 neighborhood groups, businesses and residents who support the United D3 Map submitted to the Redistricting Task Force.

With this common heritage, the northeastern neighborhoods of D3 remain an essential force to meet the challenges of the next ten years together demonstrated by much recent and ongoing collaboration:

- maintaining healthy and vibrant commercial corridors of small and neighborhood serving businesses;
- addressing the high cost of both ownership and rental properties that threatens residents from being able to continue to afford to live in San Francisco;
- finding solutions to quality of life issues such as clean streets, safety and security;
- advocating for the services that allow families to continue to reside in our neighborhoods, including good schools, open space, child care and support for seniors such as those provided by Next Village, Telegraph Hill Neighborhood Center and other nonprofits supported by us with both funds and volunteers;
- co-sponsoring the North Beach Neighbors Farmers Market;
- organizing volunteer street, sidewalk and green space clean-up days;
- working with the Central Station Police Station by serving on the Captain's Community Police Advisory Board (CPAB);
- offering NERT training and disaster preparedness education to residents.

The diverse mix of neighborhoods that comprise the northeast quadrant do not always agree on strategies and solutions, but we have built a sense of trust and cooperation that serve us well and encourage positive and productive approaches.

In 1906, Van Ness Avenue was the boundary that created the common experience we share as northeastern neighbors in D3. Through the decades since, Van Ness has been recognized as the logical and natural boundary between the densely populated eastern neighborhoods and the western part of the City by residents, city agencies and local tradition. It remains the appropriate and practical boundary that defines our shared relationships today.

In our original submission to the RTF, *Russian Hill Neighbors* asked that Russian Hill be unified by moving its 6,000 residents who were divided from D3 during the last redistricting back into D3. Many other neighborhood organizations support this request, including *D3 United Neighborhoods* and the *Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council*. Russian Hill should be unified and, by history, geography, and logic, it belongs in the northeast quadrant with its D3 neighbors and Van Ness as its western boundary.

Thank you for listening to the many voices participating in this important endeavor, for your service and for your consideration of our request.

Very truly yours,
Carol Ann Rogers, President
president@rhnsf.org; 415-902-3980

Note: The boundaries of Russian Hill Neighbors as stated in our COI statement filed with the RTF on 2.11.22 are:

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Martin Almaraz](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: NOPNA's position on D5 Redistricting
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:32:20 AM
Attachments: [2022 SF Redistricting Letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martin Almaraz <martin@nopna.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 10:37 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: NOPNA's position on D5 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi all,

I am reaching out to voice the concerns and position of the North Of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association.

Attached is our letter.

- Martin Almaraz,
NOPNA President



**North of
Panhandle
Neighborhood
Association**

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

As the president of the North of the Panhandle Neighborhood Association (NOPNA), I am writing on behalf of the NOPNA board and our community. NOPNA was established in 1991, is a 501-3c, and has worked extremely hard over the many years to create a sense of community within our boundaries which are Divisadero to Masonic, and Turk to Oak.

We appreciate the work that has been done by the Task Force and understand the gravity and importance of the Task Force's role in San Francisco's 2022 redistricting process. As such, we want to ensure our collective voice is heard.

We strongly urge the Task Force to respect and maintain the boundaries of our neighborhood.

NOPNA has developed neighborhood events and consistently reported on neighborhood news for 31 years. We have created neighborhood traditions, like annual block parties that include Halloween and holiday events, and organized safety, tree planting and GG Park Panhandle improvements, and helped established the Divisadero Merchants Association and the Farmers Market – all of which are accomplishments that are beloved by generations of families and residents who call this area their home.

We stand in solidarity with our nearby neighbors. We ask that you please sustain the Japantown Cultural District to the Western Addition along with other points of community interest to the Japantown community. We also appreciate and support the inclusion of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center in District 5. BTWCSC is a historical and integral part of District 5, which has served the Western Addition/Fillmore and larger SF African American community for over 100 years.

We have reached out to the Task Force as a registered Community Of Interest, and we are worried that our request is not reflected on any recent district draft proposals. We also strongly urge the Task Force to maintain the inclusion of the NOPA neighborhood in District 5 along with the aforementioned communities: Alamo Square, Booker T., and JCYC.

Thank you for your time and all the hard work that the Task Force has done. We hope our voice is heard and reflected in the final District 5 map.

Sincerely,

Martin Almaraz

President | North of Panhandle Neighborhood Association

www.nopna.org / Email: Board@nopna.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [LegacyBusiness \(ECN\)](#); [La Mediterranee Noe](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [msamereie@gmail.com](#); [info@castromerchants.com](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Tina Aguirre](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Subject: RE: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:52 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:58 AM
To: La Mediterranee Noe <information@lamednoe.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>; Tina Aguirre

<taguirre@castrogbtq.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Alicia,

Thank you for your letter in support of keeping Duboce Triangle in D8. I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force at rdtf@sfgov.org. Their website is <https://sf.gov/public-body/2020-census-redistricting-task-force>.

Please correct me if I am wrong, but it appears that all four options for District 8 being presented at today's redistricting meeting (https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-04/2022.04.04_RTf_Packet_Agenda_Item_No.%202_2.pdf) propose to keep Duboce Triangle with D8.

Sincerely,
Rick

Richard Kurylo
[Legacy Business Program](#)
[Office of Small Business](#)
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 140
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-6680
LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org

From: La Mediterranee Noe <information@lamednoe.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:41 PM

To: MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: msamereie@gmail.com; info@castromerchants.com; tom.temprano@sfgov.org; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; LegacyBusiness (ECN) <LegacyBusiness@sfgov.org>; Tina Aguirre <taguirre@castrogbtq.org>

Subject: La Mediterranee Castro opposes Re- Districting of our Legacy business out of the Castro

Hello Supervisor Mandelman, Mayor Breed, Castro Merchants Association, Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, and SF Legacy Business Office,

We at La Mediterranee located at 288 Noe Street, SF, Ca 94114, are writing to formally oppose the city's decision to move our business and the other businesses located in the 200 block of Noe Street out of the historic Castro District and into a new district.

We have been a Castro business for more than 40 years and are very much attached to our community. Never has this connection to our community been more important than through the past two years of the pandemic. Our Supervisor, our Castro Merchants Association, the LGBTQ Cultural District, our neighbors at the Lookout, Jeffreys, Cafe Flor, and the laundry have all banded together to fight our way, together, through the second-most challenging period in La Meds history. The 1st was the 1980's AIDS Crisis. We at La Mediterranee are deeply

connected to our neighbors and organizations that support our community. We worked together, for example, to create the Castro Noe Art Mart and other critically engaging community events to help our district and our district businesses, artists, and community members to weather this storm of the pandemic together. And NOW, the city wants to divide us from our historically placed and historically connected community? We are very much opposed.

The Castro Farmers Market and Noe Art Mart (created and supported by our Noe Street business owners with the Merchants association) are located on the very block that is being re-drawn out of the Castro. We are an official SF Legacy Business, recognized as such for our deep connection and long term contributions to our neighborhood, the Castro.

This is not the wishes of our staff, (50% of whom identify as LGBTQ) nor myself as the owner,(who also identifies as LGBTQ), nor our customers (who are majority Castro residents!). We oppose this decision and hope that we can be heard. I cannot attend tomorrow's meeting at City Hall, so I wanted to write this message to share my appeal.

Most sincerely,
Alicia Vanden Heuvel
(She/Her)
Owner
La Mediterranee
288 Noe Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
415-734-7977

SF Legacy Business
California Green Business
Founded 1981
Winner Best Mediterranean Restaurant SF Weekly 2021

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [chris.schulman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 11:31:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Schulman <chris.schulman@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 9:44 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Lower Polk x District 3 x Equity Priority Communities

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Task Force Members,

Thank you for your continued support and consideration of the Lower Polk Community. I will be as brief as possible in my written testimony today. As mentioned I am a 20 year resident of Lower Polk and a neighborhood non profit director but speaking on behalf of myself.

I have provided public comment at several meetings and wanted to follow up on my most recent comments on SFCTA Equity Priority Communities- of which the majority of the Lower Polk neighborhood is one.

San Francisco's Equity Priority Communities include a diverse cross-section of populations and communities that could be considered disadvantaged or vulnerable now and in the future. Equity Priority Communities can have high levels of households with minority or low-income status, seniors, people who have limited English proficiency, people who have disabilities, and more.

<https://epc-map.sfcta.org>

Please consider taking a moment to click on this linked map and the various filters (separating the above mentioned characteristics) and you will see that District 3 is comprised of a number of Equity Priority Communities, including the Lower Polk neighborhood. District 2 has only a sliver of one priority community. We share a common bond with Chinatown, North Beach, greater Union Square and other areas of District 3 that is truly quantifiable. By this Equity Priority Community metric, which I believe is compelling on a social and economic basis, there is significant justification for Lower Polk to remain in District 3.

Thank you for your consideration,

Chris Schulman

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Julie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie <mookie520@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowZDYyZWUyZTM3NGJjZGI3ZmViMjVjOTJmN2VjOTMxZjo2OjJlYzU6NjRjMjE2NWU5YWwOWJiZGMxM2MxNjY4NmYzODYxN2U2N2Q0NTUxOGFhNjdiZDQxNTUzOGFiMWE5ZTk4NDpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Julie V

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Julie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie <mookie520@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 4, 2022 2:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNTczNWlxYzg3ZTRjM2VjNmM2NWEwMjM2ODI4ZjAwMzo2OjhiMWE6ODQ4OTk4Y2ZlYTBlMmQ3MGJkNDhkODlhMTE5MTc3NDYxOGE5Nzc2ZmQzNjFkOWUwOThlNTYwZmZiYjc4M2U5OTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Julie V