

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: April 7, 2022

Date: _____

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Maps 4B, 4C and 4D - Q2 Data and Research – March 30, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Map 4A from Q2 Data and Research – March 26, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Maps 3A and 3B from Q2 Data and Research – March 25, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – April 2, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 28 through April 3, 2022</u> |

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 28 through April 3, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 21 through 27, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 11 through 20, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021</u> |

Prepared by: John Carroll
Prepared by: John Carroll
Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: April 4, 2022
Date: _____
Date: _____

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-Mapping Day 4: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-Mapping Day 5: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendaed task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D; March 30, 2022

Maps 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 4 A, which was drawn in the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Map 4 A was posted on the Task Force's website and map viewer on 3/28/2022. The Task Force direction for these maps is as follows:

- Include Haight Ashbury with District 8
- Make the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in one district while maintaining the publicly submitted definition of Chinatown District 3
- Explore maintaining 16th Street west of the 280 and potentially add in Showplace Square as the northern line of District 10
- Explore including the Dogpatch with District 10
- Explore maintaining the Sacramento Street boundary of District 3, and potentially unifying the Financial District in District 3
- Explore including Portola with Sunnyside, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in District 10

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of each of these maps.


Because the Task Force must adhere to district population requirements as outlined in the Charter, it is not possible to accomplish all of the above direction in one map. Some of this direction was implemented in each map.

Map 4 B moves Haight Ashbury into district 8B; includes Portola with Sunnyside, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in district 10B; and maintains the district 3B boundary of Sacramento Street. These changes cause shifts to other districts, including but not limited to moving part of SOMA into district 5B, the boundary between 8B and 9B shifting west to balance population, and the boundary between 6B and 10B moving south to balance population.

Map 4 C moves Dogpatch into district 10C, adjusting the boundary between districts 10C and 6C to 16th Street per Task Force direction. Haight Ashbury is also included in 8C. As a result, the boundary between 6C and 3C is moved north to Pacific Avenue and the boundary between 8C and 7C is moved east, among other changes in the districts to balance population.

Map 4 D keeps the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in district 6D while maintaining the publicly-submitted definition of Chinatown district 3D. Dogpatch is included in district 10D, the district 10D boundary is moved to 16th Street, and the Financial District is whole in district 3D. A number of changes are reflected in some of the other districts to balance population to accommodate these shifts.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District 6B detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District 6C detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District 3D detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
 Board of Supervisors Redistricting
 Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022

Map 4 A was created during the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Additional maps drawn at the Task Force's direction will be released soon.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting**
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District 6 A detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting


Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 3 A and 3 B; March 25, 2022

Maps 3 A and 3 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 2 B:


- Keep Bernal Heights whole in D9, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for Bernal Heights
- Use flexibility at the boundary between D8 and D9, trying to keep Guerrero as the boundary at the north the districts
- Keep North Beach whole, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for North Beach
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was accomplished in Map 3 A. Map 3 A was drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay in district 6A.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was also accomplished in Map 3 B. Map 3 B was drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay in district 6B. To implement this direction while adhering to goals outlined above, the Tenderloin is included in district 5B in this visualization, resulting in significant changes to the make-up of district 5B and surrounding districts to balance population.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



6A detail


District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 A; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:


- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.


Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation. This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
 This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting**
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting**
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
 Board of Supervisors Redistricting
 Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
 Board of Supervisors Redistricting
 Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



District 6A detail


This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



SAN FRANCISCO 2022 **REDISTRICTING**

Mapping and Public Input

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.
- Redistricting happens every ten years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.



Introduction: What is Redistricting?

**Mean Population of a
Supervisorial District:
79,545**

| District | Population | Percent Deviation |
|----------|------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 72,848 | -8.31% |
| 2 | 76,363 | -3.89% |
| 3 | 72,474 | -8.78% |
| 4 | 72,784 | -8.39% |
| 5 | 80,667 | 1.53% |
| 6 | 103,564 | +30.35% |
| 7 | 75,436 | -5.05% |
| 8 | 82,418 | +3.73% |
| 9 | 75,829 | -4.56% |
| 10 | 86,323 | +8.65% |
| 11 | 76,287 | -3.98% |



What are the criteria for redistricting?

3

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (equal population)
- Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods
- Preservation of communities of interest (Cols)
- Contiguity
- Compactness



Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

4

- U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population.
 - Population variations between districts should be limited to $\pm 1\%$ of the mean (± 795 people) unless additional variations, limited to 5% of the mean ($\pm 3,977$ people), are necessary to keep recognized neighborhoods intact.
- District map must comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting power of racial and language minorities.



Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

- Recognized neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources like the Planning Department. Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.
- Communities of interest (Cols) are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, economic, or cultural interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- Cols are defined by those familiar with the community.
 - Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.
 - It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.
 - Interests need not be limited to the current situation but can also include common goals.
- Communities of Interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



Examples of Common Interests

6

Economic interests:

Current situation: common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof)

Goals: expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.

Social interests:

Current: schools, transportation, parks, housing

Goals: improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.

Cultural interests:

Current: holidays, cultural businesses

Goals: cultural meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts



Contiguity, Compactness & Census Geography

7

- Districts should be contiguous
 - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district
 - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous
- Districts should be compact
 - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations
- District boundaries must generally follow census blocks



What do you think about the draft map(s)?

8

- What do you like about the draft map(s)? What do you want to change?
- Let the Task Force know how you want the districts to be drawn
 - Borders of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest
 - Specific streets and landmarks
 - If referring to a specific draft map, please name the map (e.g., Map 2 A)
 - We welcome hand-drawn maps of any size
- How to submit your ideas:
 - Public comment at Task Force meetings
 - Redistricting mapping tool: <https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>
 - Email us your comments and hand-drawn maps: rdtf@sfgov.org



Next Steps for the Task Force

9

- All publicly submitted maps and narratives will be considered public comment. No public comment/map will be given preferential treatment over any other comment/map from the public.
- The Task Force will consider all submissions to assist in its decision-making but will not advance or vote on any map submitted by the public.
- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will direct its consultant Q2 Data and Research to create the draft maps and ultimately the official final map.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jonathan Steele](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jonathan Steele <safejs3@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 10:40 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplNjYwMzNkMjVhZWRiMWU1NDJhZjgxYjM4YzU4YmU3Mzo2OjI5OTI6MTFmNGZkMTEzNDQ1N2M1NzdiYzdhMGE1ODQ2M2QzMTIxMmNiZTQxMGM5YmViZTljM2ZhOWEzZjl1NjA3ZDg4NTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Steele

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peter Belden](#)
Subject: FW: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:45:15 AM
Attachments: [unity_map.pdf](#)
[unity_map.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Belden <pbelden@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 8:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a resident of Potrero Hill joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAq>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Peter Belden

Co-Chair, Potrero Boosters and Dogpatch Neighborhood Associations joint Livable Streets Committee

Chair, Sierra Club San Francisco Group Transportation Committee

www.safer17th.com

www.minneSLOWta.com

www.SFBikeB.us

District name : D10 Unity Map

% Deviation : 4.78

Comments :



From: [John Carroll](#)
To: ["Redistricting Task Force"](#)
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022, 9:46 AM
Subject: [REDACTED]

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant City Attorney
San Francisco City Hall, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 774-2848

DISCLAIMER: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake. Do not use this e-mail for any purpose other than that for which it was intended. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

San Francisco is an Equal Opportunity Employer. The Office of the Clerk of the Board is working to ensure that all employees are treated with respect and dignity.

San Francisco is committed to providing a safe and healthy work environment for all employees.

San Francisco provides a safe and healthy work environment for all employees.


Disclaimer: Personal information that is transmitted in connection with the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the Information Practices Act. Personal information provided will not be released. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written and oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk of the Board are subject to public inspection and copying. The Clerk of the Board will not release any information that is confidential. This notice does not apply to communications including names, phone numbers, addresses and e-mail addresses that are submitted to the Board of Supervisors or its committees. Any information that members of the public submit to the Board of Supervisors or its committees that is confidential is subject to the Board of Supervisors' policy on the release of public records that members of the public may request to see.

From: John Carroll <john.carroll@sfdph.org>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022, 9:46 AM
To: "Redistricting Task Force" <rtf@sf.gov>
Subject: [REDACTED] and Gray Mail Call Right Day Postcard Banner (2) [img]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Redistricting Task Force.

Please share with all contacts.



https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/2022_RDTF_Meeting_Schedule.pdf

CIVIL RIGHTS DAY

FOR REDISTRICTING

YOUR VOICE MATTERS
CALL TO ACTION



CALL TO ACTION

DISTRICT 10

City Hall

Friday, April 8th

3:00PM

Room 416

Keep Potrero Hill in D10!
redistricting2022d10@gmail.
com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Diem Tran](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6 Map 4 Feedback
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:44:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Diem Tran <dcntran@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 6:01 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 Map 4 Feedback

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening, Task Force Members,

My name is Diem Tran, and I have lived in East Cut and SOMA for the past 13 years with my husband, 2 kids and elderly parents.

I am emailing on behalf of my family and friends to ask that you keep the District 6 area South of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island together. We are truly communities of interest with many commonalities. Task Force Map 4A (D6A) most closely aligns with our goals and we thank you for hearing our needs and mapping to serve them. **However, the three other draft maps are NOT aligned with our community of interest - emerging communities map:**

Map 6B removes a large portion of SOMA from D6 including communities by Yerba Buena and other freeway off ramp neighbors such as those by the 4th street and i80 on/off ramp. There are also many new high rises in the area that needs to be included in the emerging community COI to continue to be supported and grow

Map 6C keeps most of our COI intact but removes the Chase Center, the borders needs to extend to 16th street on the south to bring that block back to D6/Mission Bay. Chase Center is not only a significant landmark for Mission Bay but also:

The Warriors as the primary owner of these parcels pay into the **Community Facilities Districts** (CFD) (also known as Mello-Roos Districts) which assess a special tax lien against taxable property within a district to fund capital projects and/or ongoing operations and maintenance costs in Mission Bay.

They also make significant contributions into the Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund which funds transportation, security, and cleanliness resources to mitigate the impacts of all events at the Chase Center in Mission Bay and other surrounding communities. In addition, there is funding provided to mitigate the impacts when there are events at both Chase Center and Oracle Park (another District 6 event center).

Map 6D we STRONGLY oppose it is again severs our entire COI of emerging communities, does not allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as we face the same challenges of rising sea-levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low income families, and more

Thank you in advance for reading this email and for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Diem Tran
Alberto Tretti
Mia Tretti
Max Tretti
Kim Tran
Kimchi Nguyen
Giovanni Tretti
Silvana Silvestrini
Andrea Tretti
Jade Rarang
Angie Shi
Eun Kim
George Asimenos

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michael Magnuson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: URGENT: Keep Potrero, Showplace, and Dogpatch together!
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 8:44:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Magnuson <michael.magnuson@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 4:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: URGENT: Keep Potrero, Showplace, and Dogpatch together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to express my **strong** support for the District 10 Unity Map (see map image at the bottom of email), with the borders as described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

This map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection between **Potrero Hill, Showplace Square, and Dogpatch**. These neighborhoods are a *single community*. We live together, shop together, send our children to school together, go to public parks together, utilize the same public transit, and face the same challenges as one another. In short, our interests are inextricably tied to one another's. It would be both inappropriate and unjust to separate these areas in any redistricting map.

Of course, I do realize that the Task Force has a difficult job balancing numerous issues across the City. The District 10 Unity Map below addresses many of the issues you have heard about that are impacting the Southeast part of the City -- including keeping the Bayview and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact while also preserving our public housing sites within a single district.

I would also like to point out that the District 10 Unity Map is within the 5% deviation of population allowed by law to keep these neighborhoods and communities of interest intact and undivided.


Thank you very much for undertaking this very difficult task, and for taking our community's strong shared interests into consideration in your work.

Best,
Mike

District name : D10 Unity Map

% Deviation : 4.73

Comments :



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Shunya Anding](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:39:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Shunya Anding <ncanding@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 10:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I would think you want to support community cohesiveness, as that is what makes a good city. Unity Map 10 accomplishes the goals you are trying to meet. Let's make this happen, vote for the Unity map, and keep our neighborhood intact!

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozYjQ1OGJkZjM3ZTgzYTdhZDBlZmFkNjQxNTcxZjhhMzo2OjNhYjQ6ODYwZjdmYTl1OWIxNmVhYTgxNzk4M2Y0Y2ExMjl3YTllY2M3ZGE5MzFhZDZmNDU3ODg2NzNhODVhNzE5ZjU1OTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Shunya Anding

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Luke Maffei](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support map 4C (and also 4A)
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:39:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luke Maffei <luke.maffei@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 10:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: I support map 4C (and also 4A)

You're choice to go with map 4D is absolute bull shit. You're just allowing SF to keep using D6 as it "poor district" it can keep dumping its garbage in. This is racism and there's no other word for it. Rot in hell.

On Fri, Apr 1, 2022 at 9:46 PM REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi, sorry I forwarded this one again by mistake. I'm on autopilot this evening after too many late nights.

You request that I don't include your address in the official record. I can't redact your message, but if you send me another version with that bit omitted, I will replace the version on file with what you give me.

John Carroll

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:36 PM

To: 'Luke Maffei' <luke.maffei@gmail.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: I support map 4C (and also 4A)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications*

that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Luke Maffei <luke.maffei@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 6:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: I support map 4C (and also 4A)

Please don't include my address, which is just for you!

On Fri, Apr 1, 2022 at 4:59 PM REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names,*

phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Luke Maffei <luke.maffei@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support map 4C (and also 4A)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a longtime resident of D6. I'm also a gay man, and believe me it's feels odd to include information about my sexuality in an email about redistricting! It's not the process I would have chosen.

And it's not because I don't enjoy the idea or reality of having LGBT neighborhoods, it's just that LGBT neighborhoods have nothing to do with legislative redistricting. Or at least, they shouldn't. Unfortunately a group of opportunistic "advocates" living in D8 and D5 are pretending to speak for LGBT people everywhere (and I guess for gay men in D6, like me, in particular).

Their goal is disgusting. They want to keep the Tenderloin and SOMA together in order to continue SF's long, LONG habit of treating D6 as a city dumping ground. If an SRO goes up anywhere, they want it in D6. If a navigation center goes up anywhere, D6! Flood a neighborhood with drugs, D6!

They know splitting the TL from SOMA will make it harder to keep new housing and social services for homeless people isolated in D6 so 9, 8, and 5 don't have to take on any of the lift.

And they're doing it with lies. There's no great GAY alliance between SOMA and the TL. A few legacy gay bars on Folsom does NOT make SOMA an LGBT district. And the TL is definitely not an LGBT district.

They're lying so they don't have to think about homeless people, affordable housing or the fentanyl epidemic.

4A and 4C are good. Don't let them lie to say otherwise.

Best,
Luke Maffei
79 Brady, 94103 << (Actual D6!)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jackson Tejada](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting d10
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:39:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackson Tejada <jacksont368@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 8:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting d10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I'm writing as a resident of Potrero Hill in favor of NOT redistricting D10. I support the District 10 Unity Map with the borders as described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. This map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection between Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch together. I grew up in this neighborhood and I currently work on 18th st in Potrero. It is essential to the vitality of our community that Potrero hill remains a part of D10.

The District 10 Unity Map addresses many of the issues you have heard about that are impacting the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact while also preserving our public housing sites within a single district.

The District 10 Unity Map is within the 5% deviation of population allowed by law to keep these neighborhoods and communities of interest intact and undivided.

I know this is a hard job, thanks for listening to my concerns,

Jackson

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Amy Hansen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:39:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Hansen <amyhansensf@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 7:37 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. I live and own my home in Potrero Hill and my children go to preschool and elementary school here and in Dogpatch.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Amy Hansen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robert Passaro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Kara Passaro](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:39:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Passaro <rob.passaro@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 1:03 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kara Passaro <kara.passaro@gmail.com>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Rob Passaro

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jeffrey Phillips](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4D and Polk street
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 2:38:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeffrey Phillips <jphillips.gov@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 3, 2022 12:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4D and Polk street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I hear a lot of people pushed for you to adopt alternative 4D because they only look at things in terms of District 5.

I'm a District 3 resident, and I don't like how we would lose the entirety of Polk street; most of the other versions have that included in District 3, and Polk Gulch an important commercial corridor—the place I'm most likely to walk down and have lunch. District 2 already gets all of Chestnut street. Please let us have Polk.

So when you draw the next revision, please don't let the people who showed up bully you; spare a thought for regular working people in other districts, and let District 3 keep the territory all the way to Van Ness. All the other maps have that included.

Thank you!

--

— Jeffrey Phillips

2022 Congressional Candidate

CA-11 district, San Francisco

@11thJeff on twitter; <https://11thJeff.com>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jodav1026@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Suggested Map
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 1:53:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jordan Davis <jodav1026@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Suggested Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The following map is just a suggestion of a non-gerrymandered map that protects equity communities that, although may not be perfect, helps protect the most vulnerable.

<https://davesredistricting.org/join/ec250809-dae4-405c-92a6-cda0c6b2a561>

D1: Takes in all of Golden Gate park and NOPA, preserves renters and API as COI

D2: everything north of California and West of Van Ness, Ukrainian Hill and Fisherman's Wharf

D3: gains more of downtown and Treasure Island, loses moderate Ukrainian Hill/Fisherman's Wharf area but also progressive Polk Gulch/West Nob Hill area.

D4: Unites Inner Sunset with Outer Sunset, preserves as majority API

D5: loses NOPA and Parnassus/Inner Sunset, but gains Polk Gulch, Nob Hill, and the Hub, protects renters.

D6: Keeps TL and SOMA Filipinas united

D7: gains OMI, loses parts of Twin Peaks

D8: Unites Twin Peaks and Castro, loses Mission

D9 Mission completely reunited, Bernal kept intact, loses Portola

D10: mostly same, but loses parts of Sunnydale

D11, largely Latinx Sunnydale in same district with largely Latinx Excelsior, gains Portola and Filipinx areas.

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Documents Delivered by Hand to RTF on April 2, 2022
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:52:25 PM
Attachments: [20220402204827862.pdf](#)
[20220402204850738.pdf](#)
[20220402205031686.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

To RTF on BCC.

Attached—please find the attached documents delivered to RTF during the meeting on April 2, 2022.

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:




社區利益地圖：

My name is: JINCHANG LEI and I live in 230 Teddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

保留田德隆在第六區

Keep Keep TL in D6

Signature: JINCHANG LEI

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Bi Chan Gray and I live in 350 Tellis St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Bi Chan Gray

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: XIA YING HOANG and I live in 230 Teddy St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: XIA YING HOANG

簽名


Date: 3-31-2022

日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Cai Yeou and I live in 230 Teddy St
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6


Signature: Cai Yeou
簽名

Date: 3-31-2022
日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Jin Ai Li and I live in 230 Teddy St,
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: JIN AI LI
簽名

Date: 3-31-2022
日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Ng Li and I live in 249 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Ng Li

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Shao Gray Wu and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6.

Signature: Shao Gray Wu

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022.

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Xiao tong Qin and I live in 230 EDDY

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

Date: _____

簽名 Xiao tong Qin

日期 31/3/22


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Chao Dong Xue and I live in 350 Fellis St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Chao Dong Xue

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022.

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: A; Yi Zou and I live in 240 Jones St,

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

Date: 3-31-2022

簽名


日期

A; Yi Zou

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Yai Fong Wong and I live in 230 Eddy St.
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Yai Fong Wong
簽名

Date: 3-31-2022
日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Uyey Toc Lam and I live in 230 Eddy St,

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: UYEN TOC LAM

簽名


Date: 3-31-2022

日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Tang Hui Yang and I live in 230 Eddy St
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Tang Hui Yang Date: 3/31/2020
簽名 日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Benhong Li and I live in 301 Fellis St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Benhong Li

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Shuang Lian Hu and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

簽名 shuang lian hu

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Rui Ling Lu and I live in 230 Eddy St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Rui Ling Lu

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: _____ and I live in 230 - Eddy St

姓名 Yao Ling Kuang

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

簽名 Yao Ling Kuang

Date: 31-3-2022.

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Huiying Gan and I live in 201 Turk St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Huiying Gan

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: YI HUAW LIANG and I live in 180 Turk st.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6.

Signature: Yi Li

簽名

Date: 3/31/2028

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: YONG YI TAN and I live in 180 Turk St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Y. T

簽名

Date: 3/31/2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: LIAN CUI GUAN and I live in 240 Jones St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Lian Cui Guan

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: xuee Mei and I live in 249 Eddy St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: xuee Mei

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: YIN JIAN GUAN and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Yin Jian Guan

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: YAO GUO FANG and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: YAO GUO FANG

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Phyllis Tan and I live in 301 Ellis St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Phyllis Tan

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Hui Lan Lin Chan and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Hui Lan Lin Chan

簽名

Date: 3 - 31 - 22

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: check wing chan and I live in 230 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: check wing chan Date: 3-31-22

簽名

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Huang Quli Mei and I live in 308 Eddy St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Huchan W map

簽名


Date: 3-31-2022

日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: TAOKUN WANG and I live in 240 Jones St
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Y.K.Z.

簽名

Date: 2022.3.31.

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: My Fang Wu and I live in 308 Eddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Mu Fang Wu

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Yue E Mei and I live in 230 Teddy St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D 6

Signature: Yue E Mei

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Yon Chang Liang and I live in 180 Turk St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Yon Chang Liang

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: WU QUN CAI and I live in 230 Teddy St

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Wu Qun Cai

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:


社區利益地圖：

180 Turk St.
3/31/2022

My name is: Huan Gue Lin and I live in 3/31/2022

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in DB

Signature: Huan Gue Lin

Date: 3/31/2022


簽名

日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Eric Rozell and I live in Tenderloin
姓名 我住在 94102



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：


It keeps the neighborhood with the most
needs together.

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Date: 3/31/22
簽名 日期

COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Keith Haween and I live in 230 Eddy St. #721
姓名 我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:
上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature Keith Haween
簽名

Date: 3-31-2022
日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: STEPHEN R. TENNIS and I live in 7th, MASON & EDDY ST.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: Stephen R. Tennis Date: 03-31-22

簽名

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Mei Lan zhen and I live in 241 Jones St,

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

保留回德隆在第六區

Keep TL in D6

Signature: MEI LAN ZHEN

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: PING FANG GUAN and I live in 241 BONES ST,

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

保留田德隆在第六區

Keep TL in D6.

Signature: Ping Guan

簽名

Date: 03-31-2020

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: _____ and I live in 249 Eddy St

姓名 Zhang Rui

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

保留田德隆在第三方區。

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

簽名 Zhang Rui

Date: 3-31-2022

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: Suzhez Mei and I live in 240 Jones St.

姓名

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: _____

Date: 3-31-2022

簽名

Suzhez Mei

日期


COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST MAP:

社區利益地圖：

My name is: _____ and I live in 241 Jones St.

姓名 LI CHANG GUAN

我住在



The map above represent my community of interest because:

上面的地圖代表了我感興趣的社區，因為：

Keep TL in D6

Signature: LI CHANG GUAN

簽名

Date: 3-31-2022.


日期

SUBMITTED W SESSION R77
APRIL 2 2022


js

The Transgender District


Encompasses 6 blocks in the southeastern Tenderloin and crosses over Market Street to include two blocks of 6th street.




SOMA PILIPINAS



LEATHER & LGBTQ CULTURAL DISTRICT




Redistricting Task Force Map Viewer with ArcGIS Web AppBuilder



Esri Community Maps Contributors California State Parks, Esri, HERE, ...

SUBMITTED IN SESSION R17
 APRIL 2 2022
 GP

Planning Department Western and Central SOMA Plan Areas



* Keep Tenderloin, Western and Central SOMA including the Filipino Cultural District, Tenderloin District, and Leavitt District Whole and Together in District 6

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alison Goh](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Commission, Elections \(REG\)](#); [LondonBreed@sfgov.org](#)
Subject: RE: Concern about the RTF District Maps
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:41:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alison Goh <president@lwvsf.org>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:43 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>;

Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Commission, Elections (REG) <elections.commission@sfgov.org>; LondonBreed@sfgov.org

Subject: Concern about the RTF District Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed, Board of Supervisors, and Redistricting Task Force,

LWVSF is deeply concerned that the draft supervisor district maps created so far by the city's Redistricting Task Force fail to adequately reflect the input that has been shared by the most vulnerable and least represented people in our city. LWVSF is especially alarmed to see draft maps that would diminish the voting power of low-income, immigrant, renter, LGBTQ+, and people of color neighborhoods.

We recently issued a statement, which is available to the public on our website:

<https://lwvsf.org/news-blog/f/sf-redistricting-task-force-must-change-course-to-draw-a-fair-map>

Thank you,

Alison

Alison Goh
President
president@lwvsf.org
pronouns: she/her

League of Women Voters of San Francisco
582 Market Street, Suite 615, San Francisco, CA 94104
415-989-8683 ▪ [Facebook](#) ▪ [Twitter](#)
Empowering voters. Defending democracy. Learn more at lwvsf.org.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Noreen Weeden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10 Unity Map support
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: noreen@naturetrip.com <noreen@naturetrip.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 4:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10 Unity Map support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am joining residents in the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships developed since the return of district elections, and, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping public housing sites within a single district.

One area not included in the Unity map is the Green Benefit District which would be split into part Potrero Hill and part Mission District.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. The population is close to the target, and it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

The District 10 Unity Map is so far the best solution.

Noreen Weeden
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nathan Gheen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Portola Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Gheen <ngheen@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 4:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Portola Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My family moved to The Portola in late 2020. Previous to that we lived in The Excelsior. We have lived in San Francisco for a total of 17 years. I feel that The Portola is much closer to The Excelsior in District 11 in terms of culture, spirit, and politics than it is to District 9 or 10. I think the interests of The Portola would be much better represented by joining District 11 than it would be remaining in District 9 or joining District 10. I strongly encourage the Task Force to consider making all of The Portola part of District 11. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nathan Gheen
655 Goettingen Street
San Francisco, CA 94134
415-309-6986
ngheen@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [jean bogiages](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: jean bogiages <jeanmbogiages@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 3:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Jean Bogiages, resident since 1972
550 Utah Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Chuck John](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistrict Support Community Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Chuck John <gaybicycle@earthlink.net>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 3:20 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistrict Support Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi this is John Daniel & Charles Bierwirth at 97 Cortland Ave, SF 94110 Bernal Heights.

We support the Community Unity new district map for SF Supervisor districts, not that crappy one that's an insult to everyone, that tears everyone's district apart.

Please listen to the comments about it and do the necessary thing, revoke that screwed up thing you are proposing, and redraw per the Community Unity Map.

Thank you.

John &

Chuck

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nathan Mee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Mee <nathan.mee@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 3:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Nathan Mee
Potrero Hill

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [JeNeal Granieri](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 7
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:36 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: JeNeal Granieri <jenealann@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 3:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you so much,

I just heard that you have decided not to separate District 7 and have decided to keep the inner Sunset as part of District 7. That is very good news, as the inner Sunset, Golden Gates Heights, and Sunset Heights are really one “neighborhood. The proposed split, to my mind, was an attempt to gerrymander the voters for political purposes, so I am further gratified that you have avoided that nonsense.

I would also hope that you would include the southern part of Golden Gate Park in District 7, as what happens there truly affects us in the southern borders of the Park. We are the closest access to the museums there and should be able to have input as to what happens in that area. Including that area in District 7—perhaps dividing the park (as nobody is supposed to live there!) would be a good thing to do.

Thank you again,

JeNeal Granieri

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Cc: [Barbara Early, HVNA](#); [Jason M Henderson](#); [Jim Warshell](#); [TesW@aol.com](#)
Subject: FW: submission of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:30 PM
Attachments: [updated. Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Barbara Early, HVNA <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>; Jason M Henderson <jhenderson@sonic.net>; Jim Warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; TesW@aol.com
Subject: Re: submission of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

UPDATED HVNA COI

Name: The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

The HVNA community's interest is to continue its mission: *"The mission of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is to promote a sense of friendly association and community involvement throughout Hayes Valley; preserve and reinforce our neighborhood's cultural and economic diversity, historic character, beauty and architecture; and ensure our community's constructive participation in San Francisco's governmental process."* Key point of HVNA is to promote community involvement on a variety of activities: 1) Transit first, getting out of cars and onto bikes, walking, and public transportation; 2) Taking action to continue keeping the community safe and clean and green; 3) Participate in SF's governmental process to affect positive change in our community.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest boundaries are: located at Webster, west on McAllister to Van Ness; south on Van Ness to north side of Market St.; West on north side of Market to Laguna; north on Laguna to Hermann; west on Hermann to Fillmore; north on Fillmore to Haight St; east on Haight St to Webster; north on Webster to McAllister. NOTE: includes both sides of the street unless noted above.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) was formed over 30 years ago to learn how to keep all its residents safe regardless of their race, economic status, or profession. It has remained an inclusive neighborhood association, including renters and homeowners, and businesses owners. Its boundaries were defined to include some of the least safe parts of the Western Addition, and through its work, brought all residents together including residents in subsidized housing developments for the purpose of keeping each other safe, as well as expanding safe places to play. HVNA played a leadership role in demolishing the Central Freeway. Koshland Park (SE corner of Buchanan and Page) was one of the first green spaces identified to become a playground and the heart of the Beet Rangers, now a city-wide gardening for youth organization. There continues to be much work to be done to create safe spaces to play, forming networks of residents to work together through the activities of HVNA.

On Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 2:42 PM Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com> wrote:

Name: The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

The HVNA community's interest is to continue its mission: *"The mission of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is to promote a sense of friendly association and community involvement throughout Hayes Valley; preserve and reinforce our neighborhood's cultural and economic diversity, historic character, beauty and architecture; and ensure our community's constructive participation in San Francisco's governmental process."* Key point of HVNA is to promote community involvement on a variety of activities: 1) Transit first, getting out of cars and onto bikes, walking, and public transportation; 2) Taking action to continue keeping the community safe and clean and green; 3) Participate in SF's governmental process to affect positive change in our community.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest boundaries are: located at *Webster, west on McAllister to Van Ness; south on Van Ness to north side of Market St.; West on north side of Market to Laguna; north on Laguna to Hermann; west on Hermann to Fillmore; north on Fillmore to Haight St; east on Haight St to Webster; north on Webster to McAllister.* NOTE: includes both sides of the street unless noted above.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) was formed over 22 years ago to learn how to keep all its residents safe regardless of their race, economic status, or profession. It has remained an inclusive neighborhood association, including renters and homeowners, and businesses owners. Its boundaries were defined to include some of the least safe parts of the Western Addition, and through its work, brought all residents together including residents in subsidized housing developments for the purpose of keeping each other safe, as well as expanding safe places to play. Koshland Park (SE corner of Buchanan and Page) was one of the first green spaces identified to become a playground and the heart of the Beet Rangers, now a city-wide gardening for youth organization. There continues to be much work to be done to create safe spaces to play, forming networks of residents to work together through the activities of HVNA.

Name: The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

The HVNA community's interest is to continue its mission: *"The mission of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is to promote a sense of friendly association and community involvement throughout Hayes Valley; preserve and reinforce our neighborhood's cultural and economic diversity, historic character, beauty and architecture; and ensure our community's constructive participation in San Francisco's governmental process."* Key point of HVNA is to promote community involvement on a variety of activities: 1) Transit first, getting out of cars and onto bikes, walking, and public transportation; 2) Taking action to continue keeping the community safe and clean and green; 3) Participate in SF's governmental process to affect positive change in our community.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest boundaries are: located at *Webster, west on McAllister to Van Ness; south on Van Ness to north side of Market St.; West on north side of Market to Laguna; north on Laguna to Hermann; west on Hermann to Fillmore; north on Fillmore to Haight St; east on Haight St to Webster; north on Webster to McAllister.* NOTE: includes both sides of the street unless noted above.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) was formed over 30 years ago to learn how to keep all its residents safe regardless of their race, economic status, or profession. It has remained an inclusive neighborhood association, including renters and homeowners, and businesses owners. Its boundaries were defined to include some of the least safe parts of the Western Addition, and through its work, brought all residents together including residents in subsidized housing developments for the purpose of keeping each other safe, as well as expanding safe places to play. HVNA played a leadership role in demolishing the Central Freeway. Koshland Park (SE corner of Buchanan and Page) was one of the first green spaces identified to become a playground and the heart of the Beet Rangers, now a city-wide gardening for youth organization. There continues to be much work to be done to create safe spaces to play, forming networks residents to work together through the activities of HVNA.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Glynis Nakahara](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Jeremy Chan](#); [Emily Murase](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Alice Kawahatsu](#); [Susie Kagami](#); [Judy Hamaguchi](#)
Subject: RE: Japantown Task Force -- Request for D5 Boundary Adjustment to include JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:38:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:44 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Alice Kawahatsu <alihakawa@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Judy Hamaguchi

<judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>

Subject: Japantown Task Force -- Request for D5 Boundary Adjustment to include JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Thank you for your many hours of dedication to this most important and challenging effort.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation for adopting Japantown's established borders and keeping us whole with the Western Addition in District 5, particularly with the African American community in the lower Fillmore. Thank you for listening to our voices at the March 26 mapping meeting and including the Japanese Community Youth Council at 2012 Pine Street, Booker T. Washington Community Service Center at 800 Presidio Avenue, Hamilton Recreation Center at 1900 Geary, and the Western Addition Library at 1550 Scott within District 5.

The latest iteration of proposed maps encompasses all of Japantown's key cultural and institutional assets with one exception: Chibi Chan Preschool at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce. This child development center is a vital program of the Japanese Community Youth Council, an anchor institution that serves the Western Addition, with a sister program (Chibi Chan Too) at Booker T. Washington Community Service Center. Both are currently within District 5 boundaries. Chibi Chan is also one of only two preschools in Japantown that explicitly serves families connected to Japanese language and culture — many who go on to attend the Japanese program at Rosa Parks Elementary School in District 5.

I respectfully ask that you include Chibi Chan Preschool within the boundaries of District 5. The Japantown Task Force has submitted a

map depicting this slight adjustment.

Thank you for your consideration,

Glynis Nakahara
Vice President,
Japantown Task Force Board of Directors

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Cc: [Barbara Early, HVNA](#); [Jason M Henderson](#); [Jim Warshell](#); [TesW@aol.com](#)
Subject: FW: submission of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:34:39 PM
Attachments: [Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn COMMUNITY OF INTEREST.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Barbara Early, HVNA <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>; Jason M Henderson <Jhenders@sonic.net>; Jim Warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; [TesW@aol.com](#)
Subject: submission of Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association COI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Name: The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

The HVNA community's interest is to continue its mission: *"The mission of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is to promote a sense of friendly association and community involvement throughout Hayes Valley; preserve and reinforce our neighborhood's cultural and economic diversity, historic character, beauty and architecture; and ensure our community's constructive participation in San Francisco's governmental process."* Key point of HVNA is to promote community involvement on a variety of activities: 1) Transit first, getting out of cars and onto bikes, walking, and public transportation; 2) Taking action to continue keeping the community safe and clean and green; 3) Participate in SF's governmental process to affect positive change in our community.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest boundaries are: located at *Webster, west on McAllister to Van Ness; south on Van Ness to north side of Market St.; West on north side of Market to Laguna; north on Laguna to Hermann; west on Hermann to Fillmore; north on Fillmore to Haight St; east on Haight St to Webster; north on Webster to McAllister.* NOTE: includes both sides of the street unless noted above.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) was formed over 22 years ago to learn how to keep all its residents safe regardless of their race, economic status, or profession. It has remained an inclusive neighborhood association, including renters and homeowners, and businesses owners. Its boundaries were defined to include some of the least safe parts of the Western Addition, and through its work, brought all residents together including residents in subsidized housing developments for the purpose of keeping each other safe, as well as expanding safe places to play. Koshland Park (SE corner of Buchanan and Page) was one of the first green spaces identified to become a playground and the heart of the Beet Rangers, now a city-wide gardening for youth organization. There continues to be much work to be done to create safe spaces to play, forming networks of residents to work together through the activities of HVNA.

Name: The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association community of interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

The HVNA community's interest is to continue its mission: *"The mission of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) is to promote a sense of friendly association and community involvement throughout Hayes Valley; preserve and reinforce our neighborhood's cultural and economic diversity, historic character, beauty and architecture; and ensure our community's constructive participation in San Francisco's governmental process."* Key point of HVNA is to promote community involvement on a variety of activities: 1) Transit first, getting out of cars and onto bikes, walking, and public transportation; 2) Taking action to continue keeping the community safe and clean and green; 3) Participate in SF's governmental process to affect positive change in our community.

Where is your community located?

Our Community of Interest boundaries are: located at *Webster, west on McAllister to Van Ness; south on Van Ness to north side of Market St.; West on north side of Market to Laguna; north on Laguna to Hermann; west on Hermann to Fillmore; north on Fillmore to Haight St; east on Haight St to Webster; north on Webster to McAllister.* NOTE: includes both sides of the street unless noted above.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association (HVNA) was formed over 22 years ago to learn how to keep all its residents safe regardless of their race, economic status, or profession. It has remained an inclusive neighborhood association, including renters and homeowners, and businesses owners. Its boundaries were defined to include some of the least safe parts of the Western Addition, and through its work, brought all residents together including residents in subsidized housing developments for the purpose of keeping each other safe, as well as expanding safe places to play. Koshland Park (SE corner of Buchanan and Page) was one of the first green spaces identified to become a playground and the heart of the Beet Rangers, now a city-wide gardening for youth organization. There continues to be much work to be done to create safe spaces to play, forming networks of residents to work together through the activities of HVNA.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Florence Li](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map 4 Comments
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:34:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Florence Li <florenceli726@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:15 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Map 4 Comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My name is Florence. I am a first generation immigrant and a grandmother of a young toddler. I am here to ask you to keep the District 6 area South of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island together. We are truly communities of interest with many commonalities. **Task Force Map 4A most closely aligns with our goals and we thank you for hearing our needs and mapping to serve them. Understanding the “out of whack” situation in our district, and we are willing to support maps 4B and 4C (if Chase Center is moved back into the same district as Mission Bay). WE STRONGLY OPPOSE MAP 4D WHICH DIVIDES UP OUR COMMUNITY OF INTEREST, DOES NOT PRESENT ANY SUPPORT OF UNITY FOR OUR GROWING NEIGHBORHOOD, DOES NOT ALLOW US TO HAVE A UNIFIED VOICE FOR STANDARD OF LIVING CONCERNS:**

- -
 - Map 6B is a compromise that allows the tenderloins and west soma to stay intact as coi, and keeps
 - emerging communities together. Obviously this is not 100% aligned with our emerging community map but we are willing to compromise so COIs can stay intact.
 -
 -
 -
 - Map 6C keeps most of our COI intact but removes the Chase Center, the borders
 - needs to extend to 16th street on the south to bring that block back to D6/Mission Bay. Chase Center
 - is not only a significant landmark for Mission Bay but also:
 -
- 1.
 - 2.
 3. The Warriors as the primary owner of these parcels pay into the
 4. **Community Facilities Districts (CFD)**
 5. (also known as Mello-Roos Districts) which assess a special tax lien against taxable property within a district to fund capital projects and/or ongoing operations and maintenance costs in Mission Bay.
 - 6.
 - 7.
 - 8.
 9. They also make significant contributions into the Mission

10. Bay Transportation Improvement Fund which funds transportation, security, and cleanliness resources to mitigate the impacts of all events at the Chase Center in Mission Bay and other surrounding communities. In addition, there is funding provided to mitigate
11. the impacts when there are events at both Chase Center and Oracle Park (another District 6 event center).
- 12.

-
-
- Map 6D we
- **STRONGLY** oppose it is again severs our entire COI of emerging communities, does not allow the community to unite, support one another, and grow as we face the same challenges of rising sea-levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice
- of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high density housing zones, affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low income families, and more.
-

Please let us stay together so that we can continue to work together to develop this great neighborhood of emerging communities.

We have done the work of building housing for all San Francisco. Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety-- as well.

Thank you,

Florence

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Caroline Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:34:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Caroline Palmer <linabeanp@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Once again I am emailing to give my support to the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

The District 10 Unity Map is what I feel would be best for Potrero Hill. By keeping our community together we will have representation and a voice within San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Caroline Palmer (Potrero Hill renter & resident for 27 years)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gloria Li](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Comments for Map 4
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:34:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gloria Li <gloriali322@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 2:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comments for Map 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My name is Gloria and I am a working mother, woman of color, and immigrant. I am here to ask you to keep the District 6 area South of Market, including Mission Bay and Treasure Island together. We are truly communities of interest with many commonalities. Task Force Map 4A (D6A) most closely aligns with our goals and we thank you for hearing our needs and mapping to serve them. **Understanding the “out of whack” situation in our district, and we are willing to support maps 4B and 4C (if Chase Center is moved back into the same district as Mission Bay). WE STRONGLY OPPOSE MAP 4D WHICH DIVIDES UP OUR COMMUNITY OF INTEREST, DOES NOT PRESENT ANY SUPPORT OF UNITY FOR OUR GROWING NEIGHBORHOOD, DOES NOT ALLOW US TO HAVE A UNIFIED VOICE FOR STANDARD OF LIVING CONCERNS:**

- -
 - Map 6B is a compromise that allows the tenderloins and west soma to stay intact as coi, and keeps
 - emerging communities together. Obviously this is not 100% aligned with our emerging community map but we are willing to compromise so COIs can stay intact.
 -
 -
 -
 - Map 6C keeps most of our COI intact but removes the Chase Center, the borders
 - needs to extend to 16th street on the south to bring that block back to D6/Mission Bay. Chase Center
 - is not only a significant landmark for Mission Bay but also:
 -
- 1.
 - 2.
 3. The Warriors as the primary owner of these parcels pay into the
 4. **Community Facilities Districts (CFD)**
 5. (also known as Mello-Roos Districts) which assess a special tax lien against taxable property within a district to fund capital projects and/or ongoing operations and maintenance costs in Mission Bay.
 - 6.
 - 7.
 - 8.
 9. They also make significant contributions into the Mission

10. Bay Transportation Improvement Fund which funds transportation, security, and cleanliness resources to mitigate the impacts of all events at the Chase Center in Mission Bay and other surrounding communities. In addition, there is funding provided to mitigate
11. the impacts when there are events at both Chase Center and Oracle Park (another District 6 event center).
- 12.

-
-
- Map 6D we STRONGLY oppose it is again severs our entire COI of emerging communities, does not allow
- the community to unite, support one another, and grow as we face the same challenges of rising sea-levels, congestion and road safety concerns, underservice of educational facilities for growing families, much needed outdoor space in high density housing zones,
- affordable retail and groceries for affordable and low income families, and more
-

We have been working together to:

-
-
- Provide local school options for our thousands of family housing units.
-
-
-
- Provide affordable, neighborhood-serving retail and services where people across our diverse economic
- spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs.
-
-
-
- Transform streets built for fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly
- neighborhood streets.
-
-

-
- Provide an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space in a chronically under-served area.
-
-
-
- Address common health and safety risks related to adjacent freeways, railways, the Bay Bridge,
- and the Port.
-
-
-
- Solve challenges related to sea level rise.
-

Please let us stay together so that we can continue to work together to develop this great neighborhood, all South of Market.

We have done the work of building housing for all San Francisco. Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety-- as well.

Thank you,

Gloria Li
TJPA CAC D6 Representative, IDEATE Coalition of Resident Co-Founder

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Andrea Laudate](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Laudate <mamalaudate@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 1:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg...YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkNTQxZTA1ODQ0OGExMDE3OTZiNTcwOWQ2YWQ3NjRmZDo1OjU2NDg6NGEyNGY3NDU1ZGM1OGNmOGVjMzAwMjU5YmUyODE1YmEzNzkzODI5ZWUwYzc2Njg1MDI2Y2EzMTc1MTE1NWFmMDp0Ok4>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. As a 42 year resident of Potrero Hill I am very concerned with the attempts to cut up Communities of Interest in support of political goals by certain City factions.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Andrea Laudate

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [SF Bicycle Advisory Committee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE:
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:52 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SF Bicycle Advisory Committee <sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce members,

We are the supervisor-appointed representatives of the San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC), and each month we advocate for our respective community members to be able to cycle safely throughout the City of San Francisco. We regularly meet with SFMTA, DPW, and BART representatives as well as community members and other public entities.

Since we each represent a different district, we will address specific concerns below. We do, however, submit this letter as an approved communication from the BAC as a whole.

The maps are quickly changing and being sent out rapidly, yet a few trends are emerging from most redrawings. Our specific observations are outlined below.

District 5 concerns:

Each map, except for map 4D, splits up Page Street into multiple districts. Page Street is one of the epicenters of safe bicycling infrastructure and projects in San Francisco. The Page Street Pilot program, which was implemented just before the pandemic, and the Page Slow Street—a hub of community bonding and artistic expression—have inspired people of all ages to learn how to ride a bike. Both of these pilot projects have shown how fun riding a bike can be when streets are designed with bicycles in mind and made safe for recreation, commuting to work, and community building. When streets are slow, streets are safe, and when streets are safe, people ride bikes or walk to their destinations. In our opinion, increasing both of these modes of transportation is crucial to meeting both the city's Climate Action Plan of achieving net zero greenhouse gas emissions, and Vision Zero SF commitments.

Breaking up Page Street into separate districts has many implications, one of which is the disruption of community-supported infrastructure improvements. This threatens to make the approval process even more complex than it currently is, given the need to align additional stakeholders. Implementing the Page Street Bikeway Improvements Pilot program took years of advocacy behind the scenes, consisting of community meetings, open houses, and meetings with the supervisors' offices. The street has been at the center of a strong community-based effort that has been several decades in the making. These communities have rallied together to create better walking and bicycling improvements for our neighborhood.

Most of the new maps we have seen show the neighborhoods of NOPA, Lower Haight, Haight Ashbury, the Panhandle, and Hayes Valley split into separate districts. These neighborhoods, which are connected via Page Street and the Wiggle, are major bicycle arteries through which commuters travel from San Francisco's west side to destinations east and south.

While map 4D most closely resembles the original district, the census shows that this

district has had the least population deviation in the last 10 years, and as such, is not in need of redistricting to begin with. We ask that the Taskforce uphold the City Charter allowance of 5% population deviation. The City Charter states that district population variations of up to 5% are allowed to “prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or to keep recognized neighborhoods intact,” and that “redistricting...shall reflect communities of interest.”

District 10 concerns:

We reached out to our community in D10 for feedback on how the BAC can best support their efforts, and community member Donovan Lacy responded with:

Map 3B, cuts an artificial, unnatural line dividing the greater Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Community of Interest (the “Col”). Mariposa, from Potrero to 280, has never served as a community dividing line. Jackson Park, to the north of Mariposa, is a key RecPark facility in the Potrero Col and serves as the home for afterschool programs for both of our elementary schools, Daniel Webster and Starr King Elementary. 17th Street serves as a significant retail corridor for the Col as well as a critical pedestrian and bicycle route for the whole of the Col.

Map 3A presented a reasonable view of our Col and a District 10 that kept our Community of Interest whole and made sense. But if that map is off the table, the northern edge of the district should move at least as far north as 16th Street between Potrero and 280. While we believe our neighbors in Showplace, particularly those at Daggett Place and the California College of the Arts, should remain in our district, 16th Street at least provides a reasonable line that keeps the vital core of our Col together.

District 10 is shrinking. We have ceded our areas west of Potrero and we may be able to cede the area south of General Hospital (from Potrero to 101) if necessary to keep the core of our community together. However, your current Map 3B cuts straight through our heart. Please keep us whole.

The BAC has worked for years with the D9 and D10 communities on the Hairball, the traffic, bicycle and pedestrian interchange at Cesar Chavez/Potrero Ave/Bayshore Blvd, advocating for safer bicycling and pedestrian infrastructure. It has been no easy task, as our communities are bisected by two freeways (101 & 280) under multiple jurisdictions. This experience alone gives us first hand knowledge of the difficulties of working among various stakeholders to achieve a beneficial community outcome.

Conclusion:

We ask that the Taskforce 1.) keep D5 neighborhoods together along Page Slow Street, and to include the neighborhoods of Lower Haight, Upper Haight, NOPA, Alamo Square and Hayes Valley as demonstrated in map 4D, and 2.) keep D10 together as demonstrated in maps 3A & 4D.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melyssa Mendoza, Secretary
Bicycle Advisory Committee

D1: Kristin Tieche, Vice Chair
D2: Sarah Boudreau
D3: Marc Brandt
D4: Jina Bartholomew
D5: Melyssa Mendoza, Secretary
D6: Mary Kay Chin
D7: Bert Hill, Chair
D8: Diana Serafini
D9: Vacant
D10: Paul Wells
D11: Jeffery Taliaferro

--

San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee
<http://sfgov.org/bac/>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Catherine Liddell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6- Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Liddell <clliddell@me.com>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:41 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 6- Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RedistrictingTask Force Members -

First, thank you for all the hours and expertise you are investing in this overwhelming process.

I am a 27-year resident of District 6 and have been actively involved in the development of this newer part of the City. I sincerely care about what happens here.

I have reviewed the four maps currently under consideration and know that:

Map 4A is the best fit for us. Thank you for listening to our pleas. This is our preferred map.

Map 4B keeps most of us together, but excludes a large swath of SoMa which needs to be included. New development around 4th Street and the 80 is a part of our emerging neighborhood, and they have the same needs as all of our emerging neighborhoods.

Map 4C is a good fit for what we propose. The only missing part is making sure that the Chase Center is included by extending the southern border. The Warriors pay in to a fund that supports maintenance and operations in Mission Bay as well as special projects. We worked tirelessly with the Warriors to set this up; we must stay connected.

Map 4D is simply unacceptable because it cuts out Rincon Hill. Rincon Hill is a vital part of our neighborhood as it is a primary partner in dealing with traffic congestion.

So, in conclusion, we favor Map 4A; Map 4B is our third choice; Map 4C is our second choice with a tweak to include Chase Center; and Map 4D is not an option we could accept.

We have worked so hard to develop South of Market so that it is a great part of the City. Please let us continue our work together.

Again, thank you for reading this and for listening to all the comments from the various parts of the City.

Regards,
Katy Liddell
403 Main St#813
SF 94105

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elizabeth Carney](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [George Canciani](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Dont Divide our Community
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>; George Canciani <george@tribalcode.com>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Dont Divide our Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My name is Elizabeth Carney and I have lived and worked at Second and Bryant in South Beach since 1994. As past president of our homeowners association, along with being an active participant of our neighborhood association (South Beach/ Rincon/ Mission Bay neighborhood Association) I have worked long and hard to address difficulties of traffic, to manage the introduction of Giants stadium and Chase center, and to manage the development from an industrial to a neighborhood with services and schools.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.
A couple of comments:

- At 2nd and Bryant, our gritty urban neighborhood needs South Beach for nature- the district line would be better at 4th street than 2nd street.
- our needs and challenges mean we have very little in common with established neighborhoods such as North Beach and Chinatown as we live on a "entrance ramp" to the Bay Bridge and must manage this traffic condition.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if Mariposa Park is added back. This park was developed by Mission Bay and is part of its redevelopment plan. It's maintenance is provided through Mission Bay CFD funds. Moving a portion of the southern border from 16th St to Mariposa St (as in map 4A) would correct this.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you. And warm regards,

Elizabeth Carney

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [K Maznio](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting-NO!
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: K Maznio <kasha.maznio@mac.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting-NO!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leo Quilici](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting - Map 4C
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leo Quilici <leoq9@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - Map 4C

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4C is the highly preferred option.

Thank you.

Leo Quilici

94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Andrew Hsiang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SB|R|MB NA D6 Redistricting regarding 4A,B,C,D mapping
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrew Hsiang <andrew.yc.hsiang@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB|R|MB NA D6 Redistricting regarding 4A,B,C,D mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Task Force Members,

My name is Yen Chi Hsiang and I live in 338 Main St 23A, SF. My family and I have been living here since 2016.

Thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29-32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is *not at all* acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI). Although Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI, albeit in a different district, it does not properly support our full COI.

In closing, I see two main paths forward in maps 4A/6A and 4C/6C. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

The challenges of building community and complete neighborhoods are real and best done together. We have been working together to provide an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space in a chronically under-served area.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you,
Yen Chi Hsiang

Andrew (Yen Chi) Hsiang

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Mike Allen](#)
Cc: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); revg@inglesidecc.comcastbiz.net
Subject: FW: Ingleside Remaining District 7 Base
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:33:08 PM
Attachments: [OAA Proclamation - Rev G.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Allen <mallen@inglesidesf.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; revg@inglesidecc.comcastbiz.net
Subject: Ingleside Remaining District 7 Base

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please attach this document with the first document emailed.


Thank you,
Program Director
Michael J Allen
Ingleside Community Center
(415) 202-3297


Proclamation

Ocean Avenue Association

June 20, 2018

The Ocean Avenue Association hereby recognizes Reverend Roland Gordon of Ingleside Presbyterian Church for his many years of service as a member of the Board of Directors. Reverend Gordon has been a passionate advocate for the community and an example for future generations of leaders in the neighborhood and in San Francisco.


Alexander Mullaney
Board Chair


Daniel Weaver
Executive Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Steve Leeds](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Use 4D as a Starting Point - Support Community Unity Map version 2
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steve Leeds <cordello45@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Use 4D as a Starting Point - Support Community Unity Map version 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello: I've been waiting to speak for some time. Please give this to each member of the RTF.

To the SF Redistricting Task Force:

My name is Steve Leeds, a resident in the Inner Sunset, D5, for over 43 years. I'm a member of the West Side Tenants Association, which is a member of the unity map coalition. WSTA is an all volunteer organization of tenants on the westside working against gentrification, displacement of tenants and for equity and housing justice

I strongly urge the task force to not divide Japantown and for it to remain in District 5. I strongly recommend you ensure that the neighborhoods of the Haight, Cole Valley, Inner Sunset, the Panhandle, Alamo Square, and Hayes Valley be kept whole within District 5, as they have each built up community resources and support systems within their respective neighborhoods.

Unfortunately, San Francisco redistricting has weakened the power of low-income communities and communities of color for many decades. Neighborhoods, such as the Western Addition faced "urban renewal", destruction, removal of residents, and gentrification. This is but one part of our city's shameful history. It's time to go beyond the rhetoric of healing and justice to concrete action. You as the redistricting task force have a responsibility to listen to all voices and not engage in political horse trading and gerrymandering.

I strongly urge the task force to seek out the city's most vulnerable communities for input, move forward with map 4D as a starting point, and support Community Unity Map, version 2. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 12:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3YjgyOGEyZThYzJiNDQ1MzdZGE2NGZhMmYwMjlzODo1Ojc3N2E6MzE5YTdjZjVjNWVIN2Y3MmE1NjVhYTk4ZjE4YWYyNTQ2YzUxN2Q4MzVmNmJmMmQxZDNiMTc2MGNkNjFIMjg5YjpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

As a long time neighborhood leader, I have submitted a COI in support of the District 10 Unity Map.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

John deCastro

2jbdecastro@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [George Canciani](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Elizabeth Carney](#)
Subject: RE: Task Force - D6 Redistricting Talking Points Dividing our Community
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: George Canciani <george@tribalcode.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 11:54 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Elizabeth Carney <ecarney@tribalcode.com>
Subject: Task Force - D6 Redistricting Talking Points Dividing our Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Task Force Members,

My name is George Canciani and I live in 461 Second Street, The Clocktower, and have lived there for the past 25 years. The challenges of building community and complete neighborhoods are real and best done together.

The problem with 4D, breaks up the original historic Clocktower configuration with buildings across Bryant Street, divided among District 3 and District 6, and dividing our residential and cultural community, currently part of District 6. The Clocktower building needs to remain a part of District 6.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if Mariposa Park is added back. This park was developed by Mission Bay and is part of its redevelopment plan. It's maintenance is provided through Mission Bay CFD funds. Moving a portion of the southern border from 16th St to Mariposa St (as in map 4A) would correct this.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you.

George Canciani
461 Second St., San Francisco, CA

--

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map!
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminott@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 11:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm Rodney Minott. I'm a 30 year resident of Potrero Hill and longtime neighborhood activist. The Redistricting Task Force's map 4D comes closest to aligning with the District 10 Unity Map that I and my neighbors support (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>.) Thankfully, it keeps Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square and Bayview all intact in District 10. I ask that you move ahead with Map 4D — as long as it continues to include the so-called Potrero Hill flats at 16th Street and northward to encompass Showplace Square — as well as aligning with the proposed boundaries in the District 10 Unity Map. I urge you to support a unified District 10. Thanks.

Regards,
Rodney Minott
1206 Mariposa Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Erin Cervelli](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Cervelli <erin@thorpevillage.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 11:35 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am not sure if this is the right place to comment but cannot attend the meetings. My family is currently in the Portola district which is District 9. I would like to see it stay that way.

Thank you,
Erin Cervelli
560 Yale Street
SF, 94134

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tee Hines](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Utilize map 4D
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tee Hines <teehines.th@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 11:26 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Utilize map 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We need to keep pathways open for Black representation CITYWIDE. We need to strengthen and protect the Black community and our vote.

Black people are endangered in San Francisco - in danger of losing our homes, jobs, businesses, and now our people and neighborhoods.

We're focusing on population NOT politics. We're focusing on LONG-TERM Black futures in SF. The next 10 years will make or break our community.

We want the Taskforce to respect (1) where Black population already is according to the Census, (2) on Black neighborhood and cultural district geographies and (3) an equitable distribution of community assets of significance to San Francisco's Black community.

We acknowledge the hard road and difficult choices the Taskforce has to make and we appreciate your consideration. We've worked hard to understand, communicate and balance the interests of our collective Black community in the same way.

When you center Black people, you solve for everyone. Don't disappear or ignore us - our lives and voices matter.

We support borders that center Black communities of interest and in that it builds towards Black unity and cohesion for the entire Black community.

We're barely at 5%. If this redistricting doesn't recognize and build upon black communities of interest, by the next redistricting in 10 years, the Black population **will be closer to zero.**

We want to be together in districts where there are enough of us with shared interests to support each other and to make a difference in the health of our Black institutions—our churches, our culture, our businesses, our families, our kids and our seniors.

If nothing radically changes for the Black community, then nothing radically changes for the community. We will still end being unhoused, broke, sick, hungry, locked up, pushed out or dead, in 2, 5, and 10 years from now. I'm sick and tired of seeing my people like this.

The purpose of redistricting is to draw lines that reflect our changing City, to achieve a more representative democracy. We have an opportunity to draw lines that more accurately recognize and represent black communities of interest.

Black people are more likely to be homeless in San Francisco. We are still locked out of many neighborhoods and merchants corridors due to discrimination and a lack of economic opportunities. It's not fair and it's not just.

If we don't do something now, Black people stand to lose a lot over the next 10 years, just as they have over the last 50 years. We need lines that support our communities so they can build back BLACKER.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lucy Fisher](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Emily Murase](#)
Subject: RE: Keep District 5 intact
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lucy Fisher <lucyfisher555@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 11:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Subject: Keep District 5 intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Taskforce members:

I am a member of the Japanese-American community, advocating to keep District 5 intact: that includes Japantown, the Black community and the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool.

A new redistricting map:

- 1.
- 2.
3. Maintains the Japantown borders established in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability
4. Strategy (JCHESS), adopted by the San Francisco Planning Commission in 2013, and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Guidelines that was adopted by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
8. Maintains the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods,
9. especially the Black community. As communities of color that have suffered institutional racism, we have a shared history and deep allyship.
- 10.

Our priority is to maintain Japantown as a “Community of Interest”. We confirm that the Redistricting Task Force aims to keep “Communities of Interest” intact.

~Lucy Fisher

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rose Marie Ostler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rose Marie Ostler <rsicolio@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:57 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I've lived in this district for 76 years. It has been perfect and is perfect. Leave it as is. There is absolutely no reason to change it and you are cutting away areas that really belong together. Rose Marie Ostler 415 244 1133

rsicolioost@hotmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maley, Patrick](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Meeting: Districts 1 & 2 - Comment
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:32:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maley, Patrick <Patrick.Maley@icf.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:53 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Meeting: Districts 1 & 2 - Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you for your work. I know it's not easy to draft district lines. I am a long time District 1 resident and I'm writing you to strongly urge you to support the Community Unity map. I deeply love our district and our city. At a time when gerrymandering and voter suppression are rampant across the country, it is important for everyone to speak out for people whose voices are being silenced and diluted by district boundary changes. Incorporating Sea Cliff, which has historically been part of District 2, into District 1 silences the voice of working-class people, renters, immigrants, the Chinese and Russian community, and others. Incorporating Sea Cliff would wildly distort the median income of the district, causing ripple effects which would impact allocations of resources, and as any Richmond District resident who has seen lines for food at the YMCA in the mornings knows, many seniors and low-income people still struggle to make it in our district. Choosing the Community Unity map would preserve the voting rights of the Richmond District's working-class, renters, and minority voters – which would provide a more accurate and representative voice at City Hall and help preserve the community, the small restaurants, and the public services we all love and depend on.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Patrick Maley
District 1

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Jude Deckenbach](#)
Subject: FW: Support for the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:31:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeck@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning,

My name is Jude Deckenbach.

- * I'm a 30 year resident of Potrero Hill
- * Founding member of the PH Parents Assn.
- * VP of the PH Boosters
- * Member of the PDMA, Dogpatch Neighborhood Assn, The Green Benefit District
- * ED of the Friends of Jackson Park

In other words, a real neighborhood nerd.

I'm writing to request that you support the D10 Unity Map with the borders as described and submitted by the Potrero Hill Boosters Association. See attached map.

This map keeps the connection between Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch - our community that has worked together to fight for the promised infrastructure - such as green/open spaces, transportation, schools, community facilities, etc.- to support all the development that our neighborhood has absorbed.

For those of us who work in the open/green space, we support each other's efforts and are a true community of interest. It is imperative that the Green Benefit District is kept together in one District.

The D10 Unity Map addresses lots of the issues you've heard about that are impacting the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact while also preserving our public housing sites within a single district. As an added bonus, this map is within the 5% deviation of population allowed by law to keep these neighborhoods and communities of interest intact and undivided.

Thank you for working on this incredibly challenging project. Good Luck and please support the D10 Unity map.

Jude Deckenbach

District name : D10 Unity Map

% Deviation : 4.78

Comments :



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathy Howard](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Comments
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:30:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Katherine Howard

I currently live in the Sunset district and have also lived for many years in the Richmond District as well as other districts in San Francisco. I am also a member of D4ward, a Sunset District neighborhood organization.

I don't know why Q2 has ignored the Community Unity map, since it was arrived at with the cooperation of groups from all over SF. I suggest you refer to the Community Unity map in your decision making.

Among the most recent maps you have published, the 4D map is the most reasonable - but it needs a lot of work:

For example:

- For District 4 - If you move the District 4 boundary south of Sloat Boulevard, you will be depriving the people in that area of the their community of interest with District 7. So instead please keep the current southern D4 boundary at Sloat Boulevard.
- To add population to District 4 - Move the boundary for District 4 east along the edge of Golden Gate Park - along Lincoln, Irving, Judah and Kirkham to meet the western boundary from District 5. Keep the Inner Sunset in District 5 and District 4.
- Keep the south-eastern edge of Golden Gate Park in District 5.
- For District 1 – Keep the current northern boundary of District 1. Do not move into Sea Cliff, which is not a community of interest with the rest of the Richmond District and would better relate to District 2.

Thank you for your consideration.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [paul magnuson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistricting district 10
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:30:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: paul magnuson <p.magnuson@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting district 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the District 10 Unity Map, maintaining the long established connections amongst the Showplace Square, Dogpatch, and Potrero Hill communities.

Paul Magnuson

549 Rhode Island St.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Jude Deckenbach](#)
Subject: FW: Support for the D10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:30:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jude Deckenbach <judedeckenbach@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:41 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for the D10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning,

I'm writing to request that you support the D10 Unity Map with the borders as described and submitted by the Potrero Hill Boosters Association. See attached map.

This map keeps the connection between Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch - our community that has worked together to fight for the promised infrastructure - such as green/open spaces, transportation, schools, community facilities, etc.- to support all the development that our neighborhood has absorbed.

For those of us who work in the open/green space, we support each other's efforts and are a true community of interest. It is imperative that the Green Benefit District is kept together in one District.

The D10 Unity Map addresses lots of the issues you've heard about that are impacting the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact while also preserving our public housing sites within a single district. As an added bonus, this map is within the 5% deviation of population allowed by law to keep these neighborhoods and communities of interest intact and undivided.

Thank you for working on this incredibly challenging project. Good Luck and please support the D10 Unity map.

Jude Deckenbach (she/her)
Friends of Jackson Park
415.786.2427
www.friendsofjacksonpark.org

Let's Build this Park!

District name : D10 Unity Map

% Deviation : 4.78

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC-BY-3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Emily Murase](#)
Cc: [Susie Kagami](#); [Sandy Mori](#); [Glynis Nakahara](#); [Jeremy Chan](#); [DPH-josaki](#); [Alice Kawahatsu](#); [Judy Hamaguchi](#)
Subject: FW: [Japantown Task Force] Appreciations + Request to include JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool in D5
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:30:32 PM
Attachments: [D5 Northern Boundary with JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:33 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcy.org>; Alice Kawahatsu <alikalakawa@gmail.com>; Judy Hamaguchi

<judy.hamaguchi@gmail.com>

Subject: [Japantown Task Force] Appreciations + Request to include JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

First, I wish to thank you for your many dedicated hours to this difficult work. I want to also publicly recognize the tremendous work of Mr. John Carroll, Mr. Joe Adkins, and the dedicated interpreters of the Office of Civic Engagement.

Thank you for your valuable consideration and adoption of the Japantown boundaries and need to remain together with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, especially our Black neighbors, given our shared history of discrimination, exclusion, and extraordinary resilience. Many thanks for working with our community on the inclusion of the Japanese Community Youth Council at 2012 Pine Street, and the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center at 800 Presidio in District 5.

The current draft maps include all of our identified cultural and neighborhood assets except for one, the Japanese Community Youth Council Chibi Chan Preschool, located at 2507 Pine Street at Pierce. This preschool, serving children ages 2-5, is a program of the Japanese Community Youth Council and has a sister program operating out of the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, both of which are in District 5. The focus of the program is to serve the families of the Western Addition and Japantown. Please include the JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool in District 5. Attached is a map of the requested boundary change.

Thank you, again, for your valuable consideration.

Emily

Emily M. Murase, PhD

ムラセ エミリー

Executive Director

Japantown Task Force, Inc.

[1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor](#)

[San Francisco, CA 94115](#)

www.japantowntaskforce.org

415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)


Find address or place



**JCYC Chibi Chan Preschool,
2507 Pine at Pierce
(SW corner)**

**Japanese
Community
Youth Council,
2012 Pine**

**SF Buddhist
Church,
1881 Pine**



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pamela Tau](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Kay Nomura](#); [Wendolyn Aragon](#); don.misumi@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Redistricting submission
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:29:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pamela Tau <ptlee14@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kay Nomura <kayknomura@gmail.com>; Wendolyn Aragon <wendolyn.aragon@gmail.com>; don.misumi@gmail.com
Subject: Redistricting submission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 2, 2022

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Pamela Tau Lee, my husband and I are long time residents on 16th Ave near Cabrillo. My husband grew up in the Richmond, I am a retired public school educator. My son and daughter-in-law are part of the 60% renters who thankfully live in a rent controlled unit here in the Richmond.

This is the second time I am here to address you. I know that you have been agonizing over the task before you, I too as well. Please let me share what our family has been trying to address over these past 3 months.

As a 74 year old Chinese woman, I have been trying to figure out how to step forward with aging and my changing needs. We do not want to be a burden to our family, we strive to age with the best of health possible, be happy, safe and continue to take care of each other and contribute to the community. My husband and I have come up with a plan. Key to this plan is being able to stay in familiar surroundings with access to services that elders like me need. In a nutshell, my husband and I need to continue to live in the Richmond district.

My daily life is enriched by the fact that places like the Golden Gate Senior Center. The Center is always there for me. It provides services that I can benefit from now and in the future because they are in tune with our aging Asian long-time residents.

As a retired American Federation of Teachers Local 2121 educator, I've thrived and benefitted from the fact that my neighbors and I share working class values. Our shared outlook of community and care for working class families have always come through for me and my husband when we are in need and including the other way when they are in need.

Over the past year on almost a daily basis, we receive mailings urging us to sell our home. While we know that most homeowners are receiving these, as aging residents, I am angered by these predatory actions. The message that comes across is, it's time for you to move.

Forty-five years ago, I was very active in the fight to defend and protect Chinatown/Manilatown from developers and the push for "urban renewal." Their efforts were buoyed by so called "leaders" like Justin Herman who pointed to the historic neighborhoods as slums, a blight and the residents there needed to be removed. I took leadership and learned from the tenants who lived in the International Hotel. They were forcibly evicted from the only home and community that they knew, a neighborhood where they found safety, care, familiarity and "family." Within 1 - 2 years after being evicted, the majority of the International Hotel elderly tenants were dead.

I need you to understand what your actions can mean for the vulnerable in our city and elderly residents like myself.

Adding areas such as the Sea Clift, Presidio Terrace and West Clay Park have higher incomes and their needs will put them in competition with our struggling community non-profits. Keep our united working class neighborhoods together.

This can and should be avoided, you have the responsibility and power to do just that.

Keep the district line at Lake and California street.

Keep the most vulnerable able to live in safety and a voice.

Thank you

Pamela Tau Lee

<ptlee14@gmail.com>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kuei Chen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:29:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kuei Chen <kchen429@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Kuei Chen and I live at 338 Main St in the East Cut.

I've lived here since 2016 and live here with my daughter, her husband and my 3 year old grand daughter.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29 -32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

We have been working together to:

- Provide local school options for our thousands of family housing units.
- Transform streets built for fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets.
- Provide an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space in a chronically under-served area.
- Address common health and safety risks related to adjacent freeways, railways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port.
- Solve challenges related to sea level rise.

Thank you,

Kuei

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathryn Chen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:29:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kathryn Chen <kchen25@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Kathryn Chen and I live at 338 Main St in the East Cut.

I've lived here since 2016 and live here with my 73 year old mom, my husband and our 3 year old daughter.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29 -32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

We have been working together to:

- Provide local school options for our thousands of family housing units.
- Transform streets built for fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets.
- Provide an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space in a chronically under-served area.
- Address common health and safety risks related to adjacent freeways, railways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port.
- Solve challenges related to sea level rise.

Thank you,
Kathryn

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: qck70@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: mapping
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:29:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: qck70@aol.com <qck70@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: mapping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I put district elections on the ballot when elected to the Board in 1995, it has been a true representation of the neighborhoods. The current mapping does not, particularly for the LGBTQ+ and Asian communities. Please redraw these lines especially in Dist 6 to reflect these needs, not political bias. Thank you Tom Ammiano

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: aelius74@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: RE: I support your published redistricting lines
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 6:29:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: aelius74@yahoo.com <aelius74@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 10:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support your published redistricting lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

>> Hello,

>>

>> I am a current District 5 resident and proud openly gay voter.

>>

>> I support your redistricting map that removes part of the Upper Haight and adds the Tenderloin to District 5.

>>

>> I think the changes I see in other proposed districts will be positive for the city. SOMA is completely different than it was 10 years ago and faces completely different challenges than the TL.

>>

>> Our elected BOS representatives have not been listening to voters. We need to MAKE some changes to actually SEE some changes.

>>

>> Please do not fold to "community feedback", particularly the kind that is calling for gerrymandering to maintain the status quo! You were selected to make the hard decisions necessary to keep SF on the right path!

>>

>> Kevin Parker

>>

>>

>>

>>

From: [Cally Wong](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Townsend, Arnold \(REG\)](#); [Reiner, Ditka \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Ho, Lily \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Castillon, Matthew \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(REG\)](#); [Lee, Chasel \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Lee, Jeremy \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [J.Michelle Pierce1](#); [Hernandez Gil, Chema \(REG - Contractor\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for 4/2/22
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 4:57:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

API Council reaffirms our position on opposing the proposed maps of 4A to 4D, as we are concerned with the fragmentation of San Francisco's historical and established cultural neighborhoods. The expected outcomes of these maps are undermined by the risk of displacement, gentrification, and under-representation.

The task force's response towards organizing efforts in District 6 has failed to uplift the voices of the most directly affected community members, in which Filipino American residents have long sought to preserve and maintain voting power without losing the rich history that empowers their cultural unity. Divisions in West Soma, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District pose severe repercussions in displacing Filipino Americans from the very community they have built for generations. To keep District 6 intact, we urge the task force to 1) avoid expanding District 3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest and 2) limit expansion into the Transbay area to 2nd Street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

The API community living in Chinatown is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. We oppose expanding D3 at the expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and our aging population.

It is important to understand that the affected neighborhoods contain different demographics with a plethora of unique priorities informed by the conditions of community members. API communities in the Richmond District and Chinatown will face increased risks of gentrification, which have not been remedied during the ongoing housing crisis. In particular, the map for District 1 compromises the ability of API working-class families and tenants to live in the Richmond District, which simultaneously dilutes their voting power. We urge the task force to expand District 1 eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan neighborhoods rather than the Seacliff neighborhood.

We ask for a transparent and holistic redistricting process informed by the most vulnerable and marginalized voices within these district lines. We cannot move forward at the expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and elderly people. With representation and

community interests at stake, we ask for the task force to implement these recommendations with values of equity, fairness, and accessibility in mind.

Thank you,
Cally

Cally Wong
Executive Director
API Council
415-254-3528
www.apicouncil.org



Virus-free. www.avast.com

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify API Council immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by API Council one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. apicouncil.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sapna Mahwal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D10 Redistricting
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:45:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning,

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(<https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg...YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4M2RIYWNkM2ZIZmJmNDM3M2MxZTRmODczZTU0NWY4Njo1OjlkZGQ6Zjk2ZGZjNDIxZjhNmE3NjVjNGFjZDYxMDliYmMwN2JhMWE2ZjdjZTgyNjYwYTViYWIwODMxZTQzYTk1OTg1Mjp0Ok4>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

*Sapna Mahwal
Potrero Hill*

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Mike Allen](#)
Subject: FW: Ingleside Presbyterian Church/ Community Center District 7 Based
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:45:00 AM
Attachments: [Letter from Reverend Gordon - Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Allen <mallen@inglesidesf.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:19 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; revg@inglesidecc.comcastbiz.net
Subject: Ingleside Presbyterian Church/ Community Center District 7 Based

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

To whom this may concern,

I Michael Allen the Program Director here at Ingleside Community Center is emailing this letter to you on behalf of Reverend Roland Gordon and the Ingleside Presbyterian Church.

Thank you in advance for taking the time to review our concerns regarding Ingleside Presbyterian Church continuing to remain with our home District 7.

It almost feels like being taking away from your family. That's how strong the ties are with us, District 7 and the community.

Thank you,

Program Director

Michael J Allen / Reverend Roland Gordon

Ingleside Community Center

(415) 202-3297



INGLESIDE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

1345 Ocean Avenue ~ San Francisco, CA 94112

415.587.4472 ~ ingleside.church

Rev. Roland Gordon, Pastor

April 1, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94122
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing to express my strong desire to keep the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District in District 7. The Ingleside Presbyterian Church and Community Center has served as a hub along the corridor for decades. We are actively involved with the merchants and leaders in the Ocean Avenue Association because we have a shared interest in bringing vibrant services and activities to neighbors and patrons. Ocean Avenue's merchants and service providers are reflective of the multicultural roots of the intersecting neighborhoods. The latest map proposals divide the Ocean Avenue Community Benefits District in half. This is a disservice to all of us regardless if you are in District 7 or 11.

Since 2012, we have been represented by the District 7 Supervisor. Together, we have forged the partnership to bring more resources and funding to the area particularly to support our families and seniors. We are one of the few community service providers in District 7 and because of this, the Supervisor's office has been consistently attentive and responsive to the needs of the people we serve. They have been instrumental in keeping programs going during difficult times and bringing new ideas that help celebrate our multiethnic family-oriented neighborhoods. We have a direct line of communication with our Supervisor representative and **share a unified vision for this corridor**. While we serve residents across the area beyond district lines, it is evident that our connection to the Ocean Avenue corridor and the District 7 office is beneficial for the nearby residents and the people we serve—regardless in which district they reside.

I humbly ask you to reconsider and include Ocean Avenue in the boundaries for District 7. I recognize the desire to unify the Ingleside neighborhood, but the commercial corridor serves a larger purpose and is affiliated with all the neighboring communities, not just the OMI. I feel it critical to keep Ingleside Presbyterian Church and Community Center along with the rest of the Ocean Avenue corridor in District 7.

Thank you for considering my appeal. I am enclosing a copy of a Proclamation awarded for my long time service as a member of the Board of Directors of the Ocean Avenue Association.

Sincerely,

Reverend Roland Gordon, Pastor

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sandy Mori](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Japantown
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:44:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:07 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Japantown

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Tô members of the RDTF: thank you for your hard work. Please keep Japantown in the Western Addition/Fillmore D5 based on our history with the Black community since WW II and the redevelopment era; also keep Booker T. Washington Community Center(sutter/presidio) and childcare center Chibi Chan (Steiner/pine) in D5. Thank you. Sandy Mori, Japantown Task Force.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cliff Bargar](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Hill Together
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:44:27 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cliff Bargar <cliff.bargar@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Keep Potrero Hill Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I'd like to restate my earlier concerns. Two of the three most recent maps keep our neighborhoods, which have experienced a rapid transition from industrial to more fully residential in recent years, together in the same district. Map 4C isn't as egregious as 4A but still splits our neighborhoods - please choose a map that keeps them together. Our collective history, recent development patterns, and shared transportation challenges are better addressed as a unit.

Thanks,
Cliff

On Sat, Mar 26, 2022 at 10:20 AM Cliff Bargar <cliff.bargar@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

As a Potrero Hill resident just a half block north of Mariposa Street I'm disappointed to see that our community is split in the latest proposal. I don't feel strongly about what district we land in but Potrero Hill and Dogpatch should stay together in one Supervisorial district.

Thank you,
Cliff Bargar
Connecticut Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [MAX YOUNG](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please Keep Mid Market Whole
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:44:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: MAX YOUNG <maxyoung@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:33 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Keep Mid Market Whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

I am asking for the Mid-Market "Community of Interest" to be kept whole in D6 with Western Soma and the Tenderloin. As a property and small business owner on 7th and Stevenson, a member of the MMBA and the Street Level Drug Dealing Task Force I have seen how much connection both sides of Market street have. There are myriad of issues that connect the Mid Market area and to split the district down Market St. would force us to deal with two supervisorial districts vs. one. OUR issues are the same and to fix them, we need to stay together.

Thank you for your consideration.

Max Young

Property owner of 30-34 7th Street (at Stevenson - half a block off Market)

MAX YOUNG

maxyoung@icloud.com

415.722.6299

Del Mar, Bamboo Hut, McNally's Irish Pub

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Jenny Leung](#)
Subject: FW: Cultural Communities from Chinese Culture Center
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:44:16 AM
Attachments: [CCC Letter to Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jenny Leung <jenny@cccsf.us>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 8:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper@sfgov.org>;

Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>

Subject: Cultural Communities from Chinese Culture Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Townsend and Redistricting Task Force members:

I am writing as the Executive Director of the Chinese Culture Center and Foundation (CCC), currently located in District 3 on Kearny Street. CCC was founded in 1965, and I have worked in the organization and in District 3 for 12 years.

As an arts organization that uplifts underserved voices, nurtures emerging artists, and builds safe spaces, community and belonging, CCC supports district lines that keep our cultural communities together. Our work across diverse communities, rooted in Chinatown and in collaboration with SOMA, Tenderloin, Japantown and the Sunset are deeply affected by the Task Force's proposed maps that decimates the voice and power of our underserved communities of color, low-income families and youth, LGBTQIA+ residents, and tenants in the neighborhood.

We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. Maps 4A-D reflect attacks on the interests of our low-income API residents, including seniors, by lumping high-income neighborhoods with D3. The neighborhoods of South Beach, Russian Hill, Rincon Hill, and East-Cut have up to six-and-a-half times the household median income compared to Chinatown residents.

From an arts and culture perspective, the cultural communities we are serving are not only connected by language, culture, and shared heritage, but it is also API communities that is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. For that reason, we are opposed to expanding D3 that disempowers the voice of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and our aging population. We are able to provide our low-income, immigrant residents with free arts and culture programming, work with underserved artists, provide Chinatown History and Art Tour that uplifts Chinatown and Asian American history and culture for diverse students because of our ability to collaborate with our cultural community.

We urge the Task Force members to avoid expanding D3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest, and limit expanding D3 into the Transbay area to 2nd street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

As a member of the API Council and Unity Map Coalition, we also stand with the Filipino and LGBTQ community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District and Transgender Cultural District. We also urge the Task Force to expand District 1 boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, as draft map 2B did, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood to keep renter and Asian American communities together. We ask to keep Japantown in District 5, where it will be with other communities of interest it has shared history with such as the Fillmore and Western Addition.

Sincerely,

Jenny Leung

--

Jenny Leung 梁凱欣

she/her

Executive Director

Tel: 415-986-1822 ext. 023

Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco

舊金山中華文化中心

750 Kearny St., 3rd Floor,

San Francisco, CA 94108

Elevate the Underserved. Give Voice to Equality.

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)

[Uplift and Support AAPI artists and arts as a voice for social justice](#)



舊金山中華文化基金會

Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco

Dedicated to elevating underserved communities
and giving voice to equality through education and contemporary art.

Chair
Tatwina Chinn Lee

Vice Chair
Jessica Chin Foo
Wai Ling Eng
Alfred Tom

Secretary
Cynthia Tongson

Treasurer
George Mak

Board of Directors
Richard B. Evans
Aseem Giri
Gin Y. Ho
Kara Lee
Laurene McClain, Esq.
Michael Moon
Jon Moscone
Sophia Xiao

Executive Director
Jenny Leung

Advisory Council
Manni Liu
Norman Yee

XianRui Artists
Dora Hsiung
Summer Lee
Beili Liu
Cathy Lu
Adrian Wong
Stella Zhang
Chongbin Zheng

Senior Advisor
Mabel S. Teng

April 1, 2022

Emailed to: rdtf@sfgov.org
Cc: arnold.townsend@sfgov.org,
ditka.reiner@sfgov.org,
lily.ho@sfgov.org,
matthew.castillon@sfgov.org,
raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org,
chasel.lee@sfgov.org,
jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org,
j.michelle.piercel@sfgov.org,
chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Redistricting Task Force members:

I am writing as the Executive Director of the Chinese Culture Center and Foundation (CCC), currently located in District 3 on Kearny Street. CCC was founded in 1965, and I have worked in the organization and in District 3 for 12 years.

As an arts organization that uplifts underserved voices, nurtures emerging artists, and builds safe spaces, community and belonging, CCC supports district lines that keep our cultural communities together. Our work across diverse communities, rooted in Chinatown and in collaboration with SOMA, Tenderloin, Japantown and the Sunset are deeply affected by the Task Force's proposed maps that decimates the voice and power of our underserved communities of color, low-income families and youth, LGBTQIA+ residents, and tenants in the neighborhood.


We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. Maps 4A-D reflect attacks on the interests of our low-income API residents, including seniors, by lumping high-income neighborhoods with D3. The neighborhoods of South Beach, Russian Hill, Rincon Hill, and East-Cut have up to six-and-a-half times the household median income compared to Chinatown residents.

From an arts and culture perspective, the cultural communities we are serving are not only connected by language, culture, and shared heritage, but it is also API communities that is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. For that reason, we are opposed to expanding D3 that disempowers the voice of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and our aging population. We are able to provide our low-income, immigrant residents with free arts and culture programming, work with underserved artists, provide Chinatown History and Art Tour that uplifts Chinatown and Asian American history and culture for diverse students because of our ability to collaborate with our cultural community.

We urge the Task Force members to avoid expanding D3 beyond Broadway and Mason Streets in the Northwest, and limit expanding D3 into the Transbay area to 2nd street, Folsom Street, and the Embarcadero.

As a member of the API Council and Unity Map Coalition, we also stand with the Filipino and LGBTQ community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District and Transgender Cultural District. We also urge the Task Force to expand District 1 boundaries eastward toward the Anza Vista and Jordan Park neighborhoods, as draft map 2B did, instead of expanding boundaries into the Seacliff neighborhood to keep renter and Asian American communities together. We ask to keep Japantown in District 5, where it will be with other communities of interest it has shared history with such as the Fillmore and Western Addition.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jenny Leung". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Jenny Leung
Executive Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Carl Hangee-Bauer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10 Unity Map Support
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:43:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carl Hangee-Bauer <carl.hb@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 7:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10 Unity Map Support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Carl Hangee-Bauer
610 Wisconsin Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elizabeth Miller](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting thoughts from D2 resident
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:43:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Elizabeth Miller <dancewithliz@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 7:16 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting thoughts from D2 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force,

Thanks for your redistricting efforts! I live on Broadway in Gough in D2. I'm active in local community organizing (through the neighborhood group Northern Neighbors) and would like to briefly weigh in on redistricting.

Like many, I also believe that Lake St. and Seacliff don't belong in District 2. Geographically, those areas represent a skinny Western tendril far flung from the rest of District 2 but adjacent to the rest of D1. I believe those two areas should be part of D1.

Please let me know if I can provide more information. Thanks!

Liz

Volunteer, Northern Neighbors

San Francisco District 2 Voter

--

201.995.3068

(Despite the above area code, I am located in San Francisco.)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stephen Torres](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of response to map revisions 4A- 4D
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:43:34 AM
Attachments: [2022.04.02 Revised Letter to the Redistricting Task Force.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Torres <stephen.torres@castrolgbtq.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 1:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter of response to map revisions 4A- 4D

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Good morning San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Please see our revised letter in response to the latest map revisions (4A- 4D) that we are sending in advance of the 10:00 am April 2, 2022 hearing.

Best,

--

Stephen Torres

Executive Co-Chair

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

San Francisco, California

415.489.8761

pronouns: he, him, his

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94122

April 2, 2022

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
1800 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94110

Dear Task Force Members,

This revised letter is to address the most recently released preliminary maps (4A- 4D) of the new district lines. Of these iterations, map 4D largely keeps the various LGBTQ neighborhoods that have been historically and culturally part of District 8 and the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District whole. However, there are still fragments of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District as well as the Mission Dolores and Upper Market neighborhoods that are cut out of this iteration which we feel should be kept within the boundaries of District 8.

As we have stated before, neighborhoods such as the Upper Market and Mission Dolores are culturally and historically cohesive parts of the Castro. Many members of the LGBTQ community that call the Castro home reside in these neighborhoods. Many historic and culturally significant businesses and sites are in this area as well. Of particular note is the current home of the Gay Men's Chorus at 170 Valencia Street which falls within our district footprint.

Accurate representation of the districts was a major reason for leadership reflective of those communities in the 1977 election of supervisors like the late Ella Hill Hutch, Gordon Lau, Roberto Gonzales and Harvey Milk and that representation is all the more imperative now. In a time when decades of progress due to LGBTQ activism are being rolled back, with laws like Florida's "Don't Say Gay" bill having recently been passed to harm and traumatize another generation of queer youth, it is all the more disheartening to face potential erasure and silencing of voters who have historically been an integral part of the Castro community which some of these preliminary maps could still do. Additionally, some of the highest concentrations of LGBTQ seniors live in these neighborhoods.

Although a vast improvement, the preliminary maps released by the Task Force vary enough to disregard these communities and the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and could likely hamper the Cultural District's ability to advocate and properly represent community interests and preserve important LGBTQ cultural landmarks and spaces if District 8 is still partially fragmented as proposed.

The District is grateful for listening to our concerns and asks the Task Force to consider adjustments to the preliminary maps released on March 30th so that District 8 be redrawn to include queer neighbourhoods such as Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, Buena Vista,

Twin Peaks, Upper Market, Mission Dolores, Eureka Valley, Diamond Heights and Noe Valley in their entirety and as indispensable parts of the greater Castro community as well to preserve intact within one District, the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District.

Sincerely,

Stephen Torres, Executive Co-Chair

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District

Cc: Corey Fusco, Executive Co-Chair

Jesse Oliver Sanford, Land Use Chair

Tina Valentín Aguirre, District Manager

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ralph Migdal](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:43:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ralph Migdal <ralph.migdal.consultant@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Ralph Migdal
727 San Bruno Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Elain Sprague Stuebe](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: A Unified DISTRICT 10
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:42:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Elain Sprague Stuebe <elainss@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: A Unified DISTRICT 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident in Potrero Hill, I'm writing to voice my concern over possible redistricting, splitting up areas like Bayview, Dogpatch and Potrero Hill. These areas have been historically been unified and continue to share interest on so many issues facing both the hill and the waterfront all thing South East side, from schools, to small businesses and open spaces, as well as access and usability of medical and emergency resources.

KEEP DISTRICT 10 UNIFIED!

Sincerely,
Elain Sprague Stuebe

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Justin Hoover](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Townsend, Arnold \(REG\)](#); [Reiner, Ditka \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Ho, Lily \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Castillon, Matthew \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(REG\)](#); [Lee, Chasel \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [Lee, Jeremy \(REG - Contractor\)](#); [J.Michelle Pierce1](#); [Hernandez Gil, Chema \(REG - Contractor\)](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Task Force Hearing - Comment
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:42:18 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Justin Hoover <justin.hoover@chsa.org>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:04 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel

(REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Lee, Jeremy (REG - Contractor) <jeremy.lee1@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>

Subject: SF Redistricting Task Force Hearing - Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Task Force,

CHSA stands in support of retaining cultural districts so that existing cultural communities are not broken up. CHSA stands with the Filipino community to oppose any proposals that divide up West SOMA, Tenderloin, and the Filipino Cultural District. We strongly urge the Task Force to prioritize the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color who have demanded that the Tenderloin and West SOMA remain intact in District 6; members of these historical and established cultural neighborhoods have repeatedly asked to remain united as wealthier neighborhoods along the waterfront that have emerged.


We firmly stand opposed to District 3 boundary proposals that jeopardize maintaining Tenderloin/West SOMA intact in D6. We oppose any proposals that jeopardize the interest of the API community living in Chinatown, which is most vulnerable to displacement and the disempowering effects of gentrification. We oppose expanding D3 at the precise expense of working-class families, tenants, immigrants, and our aging population.

Sincerely,

Justin Hoover

Justin Hoover
Executive Director
[Chinese Historical Society of America](#)
415-425-1647 (m)

Become a [member](#) today.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Annie Lee](#)
Cc: [Carly Finkle](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:42:13 AM
Attachments: [RTF-Map4_CAA Public Comment_2022.04.01.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Annie Lee <alee@caasf.org>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carly Finkle <cfinkle@caasf.org>
Subject: Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Attached please find public comment on Maps 4A and 4BCD from Chinese for Affirmative Action. Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment at the April 2, 2022 meeting via email.

Best regards,
Annie Lee

--

Annie Lee, Esq. | 李申申

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Director of Policy

she/her/她

alee@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. [Apply now!](#)



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

April 1, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94012
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment on Map 4A and Map 4BCD.

Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) was founded in 1969 in San Francisco's Chinatown to protect the civil and political rights of Chinese Americans and to advance multiracial democracy in the United States. Today, CAA is a progressive voice in and on behalf of the broader Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) community.

We evaluated the maps in accordance with the principles we believe are critical in redistricting, specifically:

1. Maintain the voice and representation of working-class communities of color
2. Maintain cultural districts and historical neighborhoods
3. Keep communities of interest together

Unfortunately, the latest versions of the maps do not adhere to these principles. For instance, Maps 4A, 4B, and 4C fail to include the outer edges of the Japantown Cultural District in District 5 and instead splits this cultural district between Districts 2 and 5.

Furthermore, Map 4A and Map 4C separate the Tenderloin from Central SOMA and the Filipino Cultural District, and Maps 4A, 4B, and 4C separate the Transgender Cultural District from the Leather District. It is critically important that the voices of Filipino and low-income residents of color are unified in one district that contains both the Tenderloin and SOMA, especially as wealthier neighborhoods along the waterfront have emerged.

Similarly, we are concerned that the latest maps dilute the voice of the working-class Chinese community in Chinatown. For example, Maps 4A, 4B, and 4C add Russian Hill into District 3, and Map 4D adds South Beach, Rincon Hill, and East Cut into District 3. These additional neighborhoods have up to 6.5 times the household median income of Chinatown residents. The AAPI community in Chinatown is vulnerable to displacement and gentrification, and the proposed alterations to District 3 harm working class families, tenants, immigrants, and the elderly.

Lastly, the new boundaries for District 1 in all four versions of Map 4 undermine the voice of the working-class AAPI community in the Richmond neighborhood. Maps 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4D all expand District 1 to include the wealthy Seacliff neighborhood, where residents have a median income about \$60,000 higher than families in the Richmond. We are concerned this imbalance will disempower the AAPI families in the Richmond who deserve equal representation.

We urge the Redistricting Task Force to continue updating the maps in adherence to the principles of maintaining the voice and representation of working-class communities of color, maintaining cultural districts and historical neighborhoods, and keeping communities of interest together.

Sincerely,



Annie Lee
Director of Policy

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Chionsini](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: KEEP LAKESHORE ACRES AND MERCED MANOR IN DISTRICT 7
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:41:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Chionsini <bill-barbara@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: KEEP LAKESHORE ACRES AND MERCED MANOR IN DISTRICT 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Barbara Welch Chionsini. I am a native San Franciscan, raised in District 4 and educated in District 7. I worked for the City and County of San Francisco for 26 years and am retired from the San Francisco Sheriffs Department. I have lived in Lakeshore Acres for the past 37 years and have been working with the Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club the entire time. I was also the Vice President of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council for two years, an umbrella group for approximately 20 Neighborhood Associations on the Westside of San Francisco. I spearheaded and currently chair the Lakeshore Acres/Merced Manor (LMWG) a twelve member working group that was created to work on the Sloat Boulevard Pedestrian Safety Project and am currently working with the MTA on the Lake Merced Quick Build Project. I am also in the process of chairing the District 7 Women's Advisory Group.

I am writing to the Commission to voice my concerns regarding the current redistricting map that moves Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor from District 7 to District 4. I have listened to comments made about the residents of Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor and Lowell High School. Lowell High School is a citywide school under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Unified School District. The school sits on property owned by the San Francisco Unified School District and is not part of either the Lakeshore Acres or Merced Manor residential neighborhoods.

My husband and I and our Westside neighbors attended the Redistricting Task Force Meeting on March 21, 2022. We participated in the public comment portion of the meeting along with residents of District 11 at City Hall. I felt that the comments were thoughtful and heartfelt and neighbors showed concern for their communities. However, some of the comments made by telephone by individuals not in attendance at the meeting were derogatory and offensive. We are a racially and culturally diverse neighborhood and we invite everyone to feel welcome. I listened to several comments directed at our neighborhood and Supervisor Melgar that were made by callers who did not attend the meeting in person. The comments were insulting, sexist and racist. Many of the comments regarding Lowell High School were from callers who could not differentiate Lowell High School from our residential neighborhoods.

In November 2020 District 7 elected its first female supervisor Myrna Melgar to the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor Melgar also has three female staff members. Supervisor Melgar is an urban planner and a great fit for D-7. She has reached out to every group in D-7 and has attended more community meetings in her 18 months in office than the three previous male supervisors put together. If she is unavailable Jen Low, Chief of Staff, Lila Carrillo or Meaghan Imperial attend the meetings. She is currently working with

members of our working group on issues related to Sloat Boulevard, Lake Merced Boulevard, Stonestown Shopping Center, Lakeshore Plaza, and Community Policing at both Taraval and Park Police Stations.

As a member of Lakeshore Acres and a female resident attendance I find this proposed move of Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor into District 4 an insult to the women of our community and to Supervisor Melgar. Our communities voted for Supervisor Melgar because we felt that she was the best candidate to represent our neighborhoods. The Task Force now wants to put us in District 4 with a male supervisor who has no interest in working with our community. Some members of the Task Force have no understanding of the dynamics of our neighborhoods and are tone deaf to the needs of the community. We did not ask to be drafted into District 4 and District 4 did not ask for us. There is no historical nexus between Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor and the Sunset. We were never a part of the Sunset.

I urge the Redistricting Task Force to visit Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor and meet the members of our 12 member working group and learn more about our communities of interest. I also urge you to reconsider your decision to remove and disenfranchise Lakeshore Acres and Merced Manor from our community partners in District 7 and Supervisor Melgar, our first female supervisor elected in District 7.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Barbara Welch-Chionsini
Lakeshore Acres

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Derek Chau](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D6 Redistricting Task Force
Date: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:41:43 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Derek Chau <derek.s.chau@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D6 Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Derek and I'm calling in with Mid-Market Neighbors. Thank you to the Redistricting Task Force for your consideration. I've been a homeowner in D6 Mid-Market since the beginning of 2018. I live on Market and 7th and also use the Civic Center MUNI/BART everyday to commute to work.

I'm reaching out to keep D6 as a whole with Western SOMA and the TL because we need the people who live and work here in these areas as one voice. If we aren't kept together, all our hard neighborhood and community meetings to try and help improve D6 could be regressed and possibly lost all together. Mid-Market has so much potential to be the epicenter of the city, but City leaders ignore the obvious. Residence and business need to address our issues to one SF supervisor who will understand our dire situation.

D6 is experiencing serious and grave challenges that need the focus and support of everyone that lives in and close to D6. The only way for D6 to improve is to have one supervisor cover it all and not pieced out on the edges. Market Street is a backbone, not a border.

Thank you!

Derek Chau
D6 Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - For Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 1:52:23 PM
Attachments: [D3 RHCA PANA map 2.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:28 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Robyn Tucker <venturesv@icloud.com>
Subject: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - For Chair Rev. Arnold Townsend
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings RDTF Chair Rev. Townsend and many thanks for meeting with Robyn Tucker and me at the delightful coffee shop in the Fillmore. We appreciated your suggestion to submit a map of our associations' boundaries with our COI statements.

Attached as we promised is a map reflecting the boundaries of Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) in the current D3: Combined – Jones to Van Ness and Union to Broadway.

We very much appreciated the opportunity to tell you our neighborhoods' stories and the importance of RHCA and PANA staying together in District 3 with the neighbors and communities in Russian Hill, Telegraph Hill, North Beach, Nob Hill and Chinatown. We depend on each other to ensure that we can mentor, advise, encourage and advocate for our neighbors.

We particularly ask:


1. Start with Map 4B on April 2nd – Van Ness is a natural boundary.

2. Do not gut our neighborhoods with an artificial boundary that would cut our associations and our 30+ year relationships in half.
3. Keep our distinct and yet collaborative neighborhoods together in D3.
4. “Neighbors Helping Neighbors” is not just a nice slogan – it is who we are and what we do.

Thank you again from Robyn and me, Kathleen

Kathleen Courtney
Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee
Russian Hill Community Association
kcourtney@rhcasf.com and kcourtney@xdm.com
(c) 510-928-8243

Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are part of District 3



Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are jointly bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Union Street, Jones Street, and Washington Street. Neighbors helping neighbors for 30+ and 20+ years, respectively, in District 3.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - For Member Lily Ho
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 1:52:07 PM
Attachments: [D3 RHCA PANA map 2.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Robyn Tucker <venturesv@icloud.com>
Subject: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - For Member Lily Ho
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings RDTF Member Ho and many thanks for “zooming” with Robyn Tucker and me on March 24th. We appreciated your suggestion to submit a map of our associations’ boundaries with our COI statements.

Attached as we promised is a map reflecting the boundaries of Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) in the current D3: Combined – Jones to Van Ness and Union to Broadway.

We very much appreciated the opportunity to tell you our neighborhoods’ stories and the importance of RHCA and PANA staying together in District 3 with the neighbors and communities in Russian Hill, Telegraph Hill, North Beach, Nob Hill and Chinatown. We depend on each other to ensure that we can mentor, advise, encourage and advocate for our neighbors.

We particularly ask:


1. Start with Map 4B on April 2nd – Van Ness is a natural boundary.
2. Do not gut our neighborhoods with an artificial boundary that would cut our associations and our 30+ year relationships in half.

3. Keep our distinct and yet collaborative neighborhoods together in D3.
4. “Neighbors Helping Neighbors” is not just a nice slogan – it is who we are and what we do.

Thank you again from Robyn and me, Kathleen

Kathleen Courtney
Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee
Russian Hill Community Association
kcourtney@rhcasf.com and kcourtney@xdm.com
(c) 510-928-8243

Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are part of District 3



Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are jointly bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Union Street, Jones Street, and Washington Street. Neighbors helping neighbors for 30+ and 20+ years, respectively, in District 3.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - Member Jeremy Lee
Date: Sunday, April 3, 2022 1:51:38 PM
Attachments: [D3 RHCA PANA map 2.pdf](#)
Importance: High

From: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 2, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Jeremy Lee <jeremy.lee@chinatowncdc.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Robyn Tucker <venturesv@icloud.com>
Subject: RDTF - RHCA & PANA Map for April 2nd Mapping and Beyond - Member Jeremy Lee
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Jeremy and many thanks for meeting Robyn Tucker and me last Wednesday at Café Trieste. We appreciated your suggestion to submit a map of our associations' boundaries with our COI statements.

Attached as we promised is a map reflecting the boundaries of Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) in the current D3: Combined – Jones to Van Ness and Union to Broadway.

We very much appreciated the opportunity to tell you our neighborhoods' stories and the importance of RHCA and PANA staying together in District 3 with the neighbors and communities in Russian Hill, Telegraph Hill, North Beach, Nob Hill and Chinatown. We depend on each other to ensure that we can mentor, advise, encourage and advocate for our neighbors.

We particularly ask:


1. Start with Map 4B – Van Ness is a natural boundary.
2. Do not gut our neighborhoods with an artificial boundary that would cut our associations.

3. Keep our distinct and yet collaborative neighborhoods together in D3.
4. “Neighbors Helping Neighbors” is not just a nice slogan – it is who we are and what we do.

Thank you again from Robyn and me, Kathleen

Kathleen Courtney
Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee
Russian Hill Community Association
kcourtney@rhcasf.com and kcourtney@xdm.com
(c) 510-928-8243

Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are part of District 3



Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA) are jointly bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Union Street, Jones Street, and Washington Street. Neighbors helping neighbors for 30+ and 20+ years, respectively, in District 3.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Carol Sundell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Carol Sundell <casundell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4NDFhNGM2NzZmNjM2YmU4MTQzZml4ZTg2NjRiOTYyNzo1OjlxZDA6MDhhOGRhZjlkYzVIODBlMGRmNWFIZml0NDAzZjA0ZDRmNmY2ZWZmN2U1NjcxNTcyMTJmYTZmNGFjMmQwMTg3YjpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Gyalden Yuthok

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Carol Sundell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Carol Sundell <casundell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowNzhhNWM0NzAyNWE5NzY5MjVkdMdhYjhYzM2OGQ2ZTo1OmM3YjU6OTlmMmE0ZjJmMTRmYTRiNjUwZmM3OGMwNzNmZDE4OWQ0MwVlZWUyYzYWM2MWlZNWQyZmM1OGZjMGVhZTlyYTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Carol Sundell
Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [aeboken](#)
Subject: FW: Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) Hand Drawn Map #4 and Stonestown Future Concept Plan
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37:23 PM
Attachments: [20220401162010942.pdf](#)
[20220401153112118.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) Hand Drawn Map #4 and Stonestown Future Concept Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings John,

Attached are Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) hand drawn map #4 and the Stonestown Future Concept Plan.

I was unable to attach them to SPEAK's supplemental COI submission #3.

Thanks.



Best,

Eileen

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

SUNSET-PARKSIDE EDUCATION AND ACTION COMMITTEE (SPEAK)

DISTRICT 4 HAND DRAWN MAP SUBMISSION # 4

-  = WITHIN SPEAK'S D4 MAP
-  = OUTSIDE SPEAK'S D4 MAP



Stonestown Future: Concept Plan

2,900 new homes in a range of building types

200,000 gsf of new retail, commercial, and community spaces

6 acres of parks, plazas, and green spaces

All the pieces of a town center — shopping, dining, entertainment, public spaces, places to live, all connected to different modes of transportation — will create a focal point of coming together as a community. Over the next 10-15 years, the concept plan will take Stonestown mall to its next evolution and strengthen its connections with the surrounding neighborhood.



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [lgpetty](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep D5 Together. Keep Tenderloin in D6
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [lgpetty <lgpetty@juno.com>](mailto:lgpetty@juno.com)
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:10 PM
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)
Subject: Keep D5 Together. Keep Tenderloin in D6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

This is Lorraine Petty, a senior who has lived in San Francisco for 55 years, currently residing in the Fillmore/Western Addition.

It is critical to preserve our D5 shared history of diverse Communities of Interest working together for affordable housing, building a foundation of tenant protections, resistance to displacement, fulfilling each other's health, safety, transportation, and many other needs.

For decades we have relied on each other to build a structure of mutual support and mutual accessibility to resources.

None of our D5 COI's has accomplished anything without the integral support of the others. None can go it alone. None should go it alone.

I refer to the synergy of the Fillmore, together with the entire Western Addition, including Japantown, Hayes Valley, upper and lower Haight, the Panhandle, Cole Valley, Alamo Square/Divisadero and the Inner Sunset (to Funston).

These diverse elements have always coalesced into a chorus of voices much stronger than its parts--to help each other, in good times and bad, to form a long-standing District-wide Community of Interest.

I urge Task Force members to keep current D5 together and keep the Tenderloin in D6. Please heed the words of the San Francisco League of Women Voters:

"The League of Women Voters of San Francisco is deeply concerned that the draft supervisor district maps created so far by the city's Redistricting Task Force fail to adequately reflect the input that has been shared by the most vulnerable and least represented people in our city. LWVSF is especially alarmed to see draft maps that would diminish the voting power of low-income, immigrant, renter, LGBTQ+, and people of color neighborhoods...

...By moving forward with Map 4D, LWVSF is hopeful that the Redistricting Task Force will prioritize the needs of the most vulnerable and least represented people in San Francisco's new supervisor district maps."

Thank you for your consideration.

Lorraine Petty
D5 senior and voter

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); fred@mallasfoote.com
Cc: chuck@midmarketneighbors.org
Subject: FW: Redistricting District 6
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37:02 PM
Attachments: [Email to Redistricting Task Force 040122.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: fred@mallasfoote.com <fred@mallasfoote.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Cc: chuck@midmarketneighbors.org
Subject: Redistricting District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force:

I apologize.

My previous email was garbled by the email technology.

Please see the attached version of my redistricting comments.

Thank you.

Fred Foote

4/1/22

Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

My wife and I have been living in SOMA, District 6, for 20 years and appreciate the effort that you, members of the Redistricting Task Force, are making toward a reasoned approach to redistricting.

We are connected to this neighborhood because of the rich diversity and opportunities this urban environment provides for living, working and that offers an extensive variety of neighborhood resources along Market Street - on both sides of this major city spine. Market Street is a regional transit gateway, connecting the rest of the City to the Broader Bay Area and we value this public regional transit resource highly.

In consideration of these and the additional points listed below, I am asking for the Mid-Market "Community of Interest", including the Hub, to be kept whole in D6 with Western Soma and the Tenderloin.

- Mid-Market is home to a dense mix of residential buildings, businesses large and small, government offices, supportive services, and cultural venues.
- Despite our neighborhood's central location, high density, and abundant transit, we don't have the resources we need. Mid-Market is experiencing a humanitarian crisis of addiction, mental health problems, open drug sales and violent crime.
- To resolve the pressing humanitarian, health and safety crisis in Mid-Market, large-scale investments in city services are essential.
- In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single San Francisco Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the entire neighborhood.

Our Mid-Market neighborhood faces pressing challenges that need more attention from the City of San Francisco. Ensuring Mid-Market is kept whole in one supervisorial district is the primary and necessary way to ensure that the neighborhood gets the focus and help it so desperately needs.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Fred Foote

SOMA Condo Owner/Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [J B](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Joyce Book](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:36:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: J B <book.joyce@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Joyce Book <joyce@mediabook.com>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Joyce Book
415-265-0584

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: fred@mallasfoote.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: chuck@midmarketneighbors.org
Subject: RE: Redistricting District 6
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:36:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: fred@mallasfoote.com <fred@mallasfoote.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: chuck@midmarketneighbors.org
Subject: Redistricting District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force, My wife and I have lived in SOMA, District 6, for 20 years and appreciate the effort that you, members of the Redistricting Task Force, are making toward a reasoned approach to redistricting.

We are connected to, and appreciate, this neighborhood because of the rich diversity and opportunities the urban environment provides for living, working and offers an extensive variety of neighborhood resources along Market Street - on both sides of this major city spine. Market Street is a regional transit gateway, connecting the rest of the City to the Broader Bay Area and we value this public regional transit resource highly.

In consideration of these and the additional points listed below, I am asking for the Mid-Market "Community of Interest", including the Hub, to be kept whole in District 6 with Western Soma and the Tenderloin:

- Mid-Market is home to a dense mix of residential buildings, businesses large and small, government offices, supportive services, and cultural venues;
- Despite our neighborhood's central location, high density, and abundant transit, we don't have the resources we need;
- Mid-Market is experiencing a humanitarian crisis of addiction, mental health problems, open drug sales and violent crime;
- To resolve this pressing humanitarian, health and safety crisis in Mid-Market, large-scale investments in city services are essential;
- In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single San Francisco Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the entire neighborhood.

Our Mid-Market neighborhood faces pressing challenges that need more attention from the City of San Francisco. Ensuring Mid-Market is kept whole in one supervisorial district is the primary and necessary way to ensure that our neighborhood gets the focus and help it so desperately needs.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Fred Foote

SOMA Condo Owner/Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Tick Falletti](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dominic Falletti](#); [Jamie Falletti](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:36:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tick Falletti <tick@fallettifoods.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Dominic Falletti <dominic@fallettifoods.com>; Jamie Falletti <jamie@fallettifoods.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

All

I was looking at the redistricting maps. Seems all the new versions tear apart the heart of District 5.

Falletti Foods has been the local hub and grocery store for our neighbors and friends for 80 years 66 in the district 5 neighborhood.

The various plans place Falletti Foods on the fringe or out of district 5.

We our locally hired employees and our customers have had incredible mutual relationships with District 5 Supervisors from Ross to London to Dean. Please don't destroy these relationships. They have made our neighborhood vibrant and a model for the rest of the City.

Tick Falletti

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [aeboken](#)
Subject: FW: Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) Submission for Hand Drawn Map #3 Which is Companion to SPEAK Supplemental COI #2
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:52:18 PM
Attachments: [20220401162017097.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:28 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) Submission for Hand Drawn Map #3 Which is Companion to SPEAK Supplemental COI #2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings John,

Attached is Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK) submission of hand drawn map #3.



SPEAK's hand drawn map #3 is the companion to SPEAK's supplemental COI #2.

Best,
Eileen Boken

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

SUNSET-PARKSIDE EDUCATION AND ACTION COMMITTEE (SPEAK)

DISTRICT 4 HAND DRAWN MAP SUBMISSION # 3

-  = WITHIN SPEAK'S D4 MAP
-  = OUTSIDE SPEAK'S D4 MAP



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Lisa Napoli](#)
Subject: FW: TOMORROW 4/2 @ 10:00 a.m. - Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:51:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Napoli <naplisa123@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:00 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: TOMORROW 4/2 @ 10:00 a.m. - Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from

untrusted sources.

Could you please put street names on the boundaries?

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 1, 2022, at 6:09 PM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello Everyone,

On behalf of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, who is assisting the Redistricting Task Force (RDTF), I am reaching out to let you know about the RDTF Meeting tomorrow, Saturday, April 2, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. Please see attached DRAFT Maps 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4D, the flyer which details the information dates and times as well as interpretation information for all future meetings, and a Fact Sheet for more information on the RDTF.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dave Howard](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6 Redistricting - Keep Mid-Market Whole
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:51:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dave Howard <howard.dave@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 Redistricting - Keep Mid-Market Whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Dave Howard. I live in and own a condo in the SOMA Grand building at 7th & Mission with my wife, Ina. We've lived in the Mid-Market area since 2013 and I work as a senior information security engineer for a local startup. I'm an amateur street photographer and I commute to work on foot, so I spend a lot of time walking around the area. Every day I see drug addicts using fentanyl and meth on the street and homeless people unsheltered and suffering. Drug dealers have moved onto our block, and as a result there has been a concerning increase in violent crime.

Despite the recent issues, we love this neighborhood and my wife and I frequent many local establishments for meals, getting together with friends, and shopping. We love the new Whole Foods that's just opened up on our block. My wife is originally from the Philippines and we go to local events run by the SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District, eat at Uncle Tito's & Manila Bowl, and shop at the local Filipino market.

I am writing to ask that the Mid-Market neighborhood be kept whole. Our district is defined by Market St, not divided by it, and the district should continue to include both sides of Market St. Despite our neighborhood's central location, high density, and abundant transit, we don't have the resources we need. Mid-Market is experiencing a humanitarian crisis of addiction, mental health problems, and violent crime. In order to achieve those investments, Mid-Market needs to be able to speak to a single San Francisco Supervisor who understands the broader situation across the whole neighborhood.

I believe that the most elegant way to keep our neighborhood whole is to keep it together with Western SOMA and the Tenderloin. Market Street is a backbone, not a border.

Thank you so much for taking the time to read this and consider my point of view, which I believe is shared by the majority of my neighbors.

Sincerely,

Dave Howard
1160 Mission St Unit 1707
772-538-3836

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lois Scott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: TENDERLOIN SHOULDN'T BE ADDED TO DISTRICT 5! (April 2 meeting)
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:51:21 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lois Scott <loishscott85@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 7:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: TENDERLOIN SHOULDN'T BE ADDED TO DISTRICT 5! (April 2 meeting)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of San Francisco's Redistricting Task Force:

Community of Interest and Historic Development Patterns Lacking in Placing Tenderloin in D5!

It was shocking to see that three of the RDTF's most recent four proposals (maps 4A, 4B and 4C) in the fourth round of mapping made a very major shift by pulling the Tenderloin into District 5.

Where was any significant public testimony supporting such a change? A positive aspect of 4th set of maps in terms of D5 was keeping historical Western Addition relationship between the Fillmore and Japantown intact that was supported by much public testimony.

However, the strong "Western" component of the Western Addition has been ignored. Van Ness has been an historic dividing line between downtown and more residential areas to the West. These western areas (including portion of the Haight) contain both Victorian era architecture and mid-century modern predominantly middle-income family friendly housing complexes created through the redevelopment process. The Western area, particularly Cathedral Hill, is home to an extraordinary number and concentration of religious institutions. Large scale medical institutions also shape the character of District 5. The residential population of the Western Addition and beyond to the Haight is culturally, racially and age diverse and although close to commercial areas is not part of the City core.

The population and housing of the Tenderloin, is substantially different from District 5. The residential buildings are all fire-resistant post 1906 earthquake and many are single room occupancies intended to serve workers in the downtown core. The downtown core and ground floor commercial spaces are intertwined in a much different manner than the commercial shopping and visitor resources of the Western Addition. The Tenderloin population has more problems with aging, poverty, homelessness and substance abuse than in the Western Addition and needs specialized focus and attention to these needs. It seems more appropriate to link the population so close to the downtown core of the city to that core rather than its western expansion. Randy Shaw's 2015 book [The Tenderloin, Sex, Crime and Resistance](#) in the heart of San Francisco gives much of the human and political history, so different from the Western Addition and its own struggles.

If the Western Addition churches and the medical institutions would be willingly integrated into treatment and support of some of these problems, then the inclusion could make sense. But to date, this is not the reality. The problems are indeed difficult and challenging and were the Tenderloin included in District 5, would overwhelm almost all other issues in the Western Addition neighborhoods. The best course is to put Like with Like and not force a situation where cooperation is unlikely. The shared values, the shared geography, the shared economics, the shared social service needs are NOT present in making a forced combination. **Only Map 4D, makes any sense to me, or, as well to the SF League of Women Voters.**

PS I write this as a former Tenderloin resident, my first decade in San Francisco, to now living thirty+ years on Cathedral Hill. I am also a retired urban planner and member of LWV. I

conceptually agree with much of the work done on the Unity Map and have often collaborated on community issues with residents of the Haight.

Lois H. Scott
85 Cleary Ct #11 (Laguna Heights Housing Cooperative)

San Francisco CA 94109

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Kanberg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:51:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Kanberg <jkanberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 6:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a longtime resident of Potrero Hill and care deeply about my City and this neighborhood.

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the **District 10 Unity Map** (<https://bit.ly/374YFAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

John Kanberg

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Statement for Item 2 Saturday April 2, 2022 @ 10:00am
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:51:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott <drcrscott71@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:47 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Task Force Statement for Item 2 Saturday April 2, 2022 @ 10:00am

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning SF Re-Districting Task Force

My Name is Rev. Dr. Carolyn Scott, I am a SF native born and raised. My parents migrated to SF from Texas my Dad first in 42 working at the Navy Shipyard with my Mom following him here. The Shipyard was the engine which brought food to the table and clothes. My Dad later worked with MUNI and remained with the SF City and county. I have lived in District 5 & D-11 I am living in District 10 for now over 30 years. Our Churches Families and loved ones are in these areas .

My community of Interest boundaries are:

Potrero Ave.

16th Street to the Bay/waterfront

HWY 101

Mandell Ave To Bayshore

Geneva Ave which is Potrero Hill BayView Hunters Point and Sunnydale.

We have within these boundaries a shared common goal that are specific to our everyday lives. We Shop, live, play Attend Church and gather in common spaces. From Jackson Park to the NABE to 3rd Street corridor spaces, all the way to little village market and Sunnydale Rec. center. Please do not take away our Voting Power nor create gentrification by scattering us.

Thank you...

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: trey_matkin@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: RE: I support your published redistricting lines
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:50:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: trey_matkin@yahoo.com <trey_matkin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support your published redistricting lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a current District 5 resident and proud openly gay voter.

I support your redistricting map that removes part of the Upper Haight and adds the Tenderloin to District 5.

I think the changes I see in other proposed districts will be positive for the city. SOMA is completely different than it was 10 years ago and faces completely different challenges than the TL.

Our elected BOS representatives have not been listening to voters. We need to MAKE some changes to actually SEE some changes.

Please do not fold to “community feedback”, particularly the kind that is calling for gerrymandering to maintain the status quo! You were selected to make the hard decisions necessary to keep SF on the right path!

Thanks!

Trey Matkin

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); dianataylor50@gmail.com
Cc: theharrers@aol.com; [Philippe Sonne](#)
Subject: FW: COI submission on behalf of the Barbary Coast Neighborhood (D3)
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:50:45 PM
Attachments: [BCNA COI and Map to RTF_1April2022.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: dianataylor50@gmail.com <dianataylor50@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: theharrers@aol.com; Philippe Sonne <philsonne@hotmail.com>
Subject: COI submission on behalf of the Barbary Coast Neighborhood (D3)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll,

While I submitted our COI application and map via the online portal, I am also submitting to the RTF as a pdf.

Thank you for including in the RTF materials.

Best,
Diana

Diana Taylor
President, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association
640 Davis St., Unit 13
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 517.6926
Email: dianataylor50@gmail.com
<http://www.bcnasf.org/>

Barbary Coast Neighborhood Community of Interest (COI)

Map and narrative submitted 4/1/2022 (no joke!) via COI online submission and to RDTF@sfgov.org
Submitted by Diana Taylor, BCNA President; email: dianataylor50@gmail.com

What is your community's mutual interest?

Founded in 2006, the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (a non-profit California corporation) serves the residents and businesses in the [Barbary Coast](#), the historic northeast waterfront along the Embarcadero from Bay Street to the Ferry Building, across to Sue Bierman Park, the Gateway, Walton Park, into Jackson Square, then down Sansome Street through the Historic Warehouse District along the foot of Telegraph Hill including Levi Plaza and several apartment and residence buildings.

Redevelopment projects in the 1960s transformed maritime and industrial areas into mixed use communities that wrapped around the Embarcadero Freeway. By 1985, this area had become one of the densest parts of the City, but no neighborhood organization represented those living and working between the Financial District and the foot of Telegraph Hill along the waterfront. With the help of our neighbors in in District 3 neighborhoods, The Barbary Coast Neighbors grew out of a 2003-05 fight to stop a big-box hotel development at Broadway and the Embarcadero in violation of the 40' height limit along other parts of SF's waterfront. While it took an earthquake to bring the freeway down, it took neighbors working together to keep a new 84-foot hotel from going up.

Where is your community located?

The current Barbary Coast Neighborhood is situated at the edge of the Financial District (south end), Chinatown/North Beach/Telegraph Hill (west side), Fisherman's Wharf (north end) and the Embarcadero and Port property on the eastside.

Please see attached map. The community of interest has the following boundaries:

- Northern and Eastern Boundary: The Embarcadero from the Ferry Building to Bay Street/Fisherman's Wharf.
- Western Boundary: Base of Telegraph Hill along Sansome Street to Broadway, then down to Montgomery (to encompass Jackson Square Historic District), and down to Clay St.
- Southern Boundary: From Montgomery & Sacramento Streets to the Ferry Plaza including the Gateway and Sue Bierman Park.

Why should your community be kept together?

The Barbary Coast Neighborhood represents the historic and redeveloped parts of the northeastern waterfront with historic ties to other D3 neighborhoods as the gateway to Chinatown, North Beach, and Russian Hill from the waterfront. The area features small businesses and restaurants and is in close walking distance to the business centers of the Financial District and Union Square.

As part of District 3, we have a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with all D3 neighborhood and merchant organizations. This includes a sustained record of shared experience and preservation advocacy among neighbors of Northeast San Francisco that has evolved over several decades.

Some notable examples in the Barbary Coast neighborhood include:

- creation of historic districts and landmarks (Jackson Square and Historic Warehouse Districts)
- housing that is mostly rent-controlled apartments (Gateway Tenants, Wharf Plaza, Broadway Cove) and low-rise condominiums (ex: Gateway Commons, 733 Front, 101 Lombard, Telegraph Landing)
- support for new affordable housing (3 buildings with 500 units along Broadway between Sansome-Davis, 2008-2021)
- created a new children's playground in Sue Bierman Park that is well used by neighborhood residents and Ferry Building visitors.
- support for local businesses in our commercial corridors (the Ferry Building merchants, Embarcadero and Fisherman's Wharf businesses, Jackson Square merchants along with Chinatown and NorthBeach businesses)
 - Promoting local small businesses in Chinatown, NB, Jackson Square
 - Storefront Vacancy and Legacy Business legislation

- BCNA raised funds for fishermen who suffered from the Pier 45 fire
- BCNA worked with Chinese Hospital CEO and SFDPH to increase COVID testing sites in Chinatown and Jackson Square.
- a close working relationship with Central Police Station, DPW and SFMTA with ongoing projects for pedestrian safety and public realm improvements
 - BCNA regularly raises funds and friends for North Beach Citizens and is working on expanding their program into the Barbary Coast neighborhood.
- a shared commitment to the preservation and celebration of diversity in all respects for our neighborhood
 - 90% of residents speak an API language in the home
 - Working relationships with API communities against hate and crime
- continuation of the 40-ft height limit along the Embarcadero after the removal of the Embarcadero Freeway
- protection of the Northern Waterfront (close working relationship with Port staff and serve on Port's citizen advisories)



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mr Peter Delacorte](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:50:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mr Peter Delacorte <pdelacorte@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is there a plan to separate Potrero Hill from Dogpatch and/or Showplace Square--to move the latter two into District 6? This would be a pointless and horrible decision. Please don't do it!

Peter Delacorte
Rhode Island St.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rachel Strickland](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:05:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rachel Strickland <rachel@flipturn.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I join the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo2OTUzZjFhYjcwZTUwNjE0Y2YyY2NjMjlxNDJjZjEwYT01OjhhNjE6OTFjYTA0M2M3YzQ2Yjk3MzQ4MzYwZjZkN2FhOTI1MmViNjE3MDIzMzFkZmY4MmJkNGE3OTMxMzgxMWQ5YjBhZDpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

The District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Please keep our community intact.

Many thanks.

Rachel Strickland, Homeowner
615 Rhode Island Street, San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joni](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: We support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:05:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Joni <jonieisen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: We support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

We are joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo2MWFmNTBmZmUzNDZhMTQ3NjNhNWFjYmQyNmFiZWQ0YTo1OjM1ZTc6NzFmOTk5YzMwMDc4YTUyMjY4ZTczMDczMGRINjliYzljY2Y4YjBjYThkYTc3OTQ0ZjMxMGU1YTQ0YmFhZDk5MTpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Joni Eisen & Chuck Bennett
590-592 Pennsylvania, Potrero Hill - residents since 1973

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jean Makanna](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: DISTRICT 10 Redistricting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:03:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jean Makanna <jeanmakanna@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: DISTRICT 10 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for undertaking this difficult task of redoing our San Francisco Districts.

I have lived and voted on Potrero Hill for the past 43 years. My husband has been here for 53 years. Our district is very important to us.

I totally support the District 10 Unity Map with the borders as described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

We live on Potrero Hill and have a warehouse for our business on 3rd Street in Dogpatch. Our son and his family live in Dogpatch. Our districts share a lot of history and culture. We have seen many changes in our area in the past 50 years and would like to keep our neighborhoods intact and undivided. We all have been through a lot of these changes together and it would be great if our district could continue to grow together.

Please seriously consider supporting the District Unity Map as described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. Please keep Potrero Hill and Dogpatch together. It makes the most sense.

Sincerely,

Jean Makanna
665 Arkansas Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alice Rogers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4B--reasonable compromise on COIs
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 5:02:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4B--reasonable compromise on COIs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Task Force Members and staff,

First, thank you all for your time and patience in guiding this epic redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: **the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods** just like those throughout the City. Virtually all external postings around redistricting have failed to acknowledge the reality of whole new neighborhoods—with a strong affordable housing component—now existing on the eastern edge of the City. All the discussion is focusing on balancing the scales of the legacy neighborhoods. **Old and new deserve equal consideration, and to your credit, you have acknowledged this new reality.**

While Maps 4A and C support our full Emerging Communities COI map, **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while accommodating a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and I feel is acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts.

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting a range of new housing for all of San Francisco so far; please don't diminish our ability to build the community within it—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you.

Alice Rogers

29 year South Park resident

President, South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay NA

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Luke Maffei](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support map 4C (and also 4A)
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:59:06 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luke Maffei <luke.maffei@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support map 4C (and also 4A)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a longtime resident of D6. I'm also a gay man, and believe me it's feels odd to include information about my sexuality in an email about redistricting! It's not the process I would have chosen.

And it's not because I don't enjoy the idea or reality of having LGBT neighborhoods, it's just that LGBT neighborhoods have nothing to do with legislative redistricting. Or at least, they shouldn't. Unfortunately a group of opportunistic "advocates" living in D8 and D5 are pretending to speak for LGBT people everywhere (and I guess for gay men in D6, like me, in particular).

Their goal is disgusting. They want to keep the Tenderloin and SOMA together in order to continue SF's long, LONG habit of treating D6 as a city dumping ground. If an SRO goes up anywhere, they want it in D6. If a navigation center goes up anywhere, D6! Flood a neighborhood with drugs, D6!

They know splitting the TL from SOMA will make it harder to keep new housing and social services for homeless people isolated in D6 so 9, 8, and 5 don't have to take on any of the lift.

And they're doing it with lies. There's no great GAY alliance between SOMA and the TL. A few legacy gay bars on Folsom does NOT make SOMA an LGBT district. And the TL is definitely not an LGBT district.

They're lying so they don't have to think about homeless people, affordable housing or the fentanyl epidemic.

4A and 4C are good. Don't let them lie to say otherwise.

Best,

Luke Maffei

79 Brady, 94103 << (Actual D6!)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gail Baugh](#)
Subject: FW: Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest--ADDITIONAL COMMENT
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:57 PM
Attachments: [Hayes Valley LGBTQ COI--additional comment.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest--ADDITIONAL COMMENT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Please consider the following additional comment to return Alchemy Apts, UC Dental School, the Haight Street Art Center, and Alchemy Community Gardens to D5.

The Alchemy Apts, the Haight Street Art Center, Alchemy Community Gardens, and the UC Dental School must return to D5. Boundaries are Market to Laguna north to Haight St, west to Buchanan, south to Hermann west. Only the LGBTQ senior housing Open House relates to Castro LGBTQ Cultural District (Market to Laguna north to Waller, to Palm Lane south, to Hermann). Other residents and institutions do not identify with the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and don't consider themselves part of D8. The Haight Street Art Center serves as a community center for D5 including the Lower Haight, Hayes Valley and the Greater Western Addition, and public schools such as John Muir Elementary School, nothing from D8.

As the Cultural District was being formed, former HVNA president Paul Olsen helped to write the legislation forming the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, and specifically requested that Hayes Valley not be included in the Cultural District, noting that LGBTQ residents in Hayes Valley faced discrimination by those living in the Castro area simply because they lived in Hayes Valley. Including the UC Dental School, Alchemy Apts, the Haight Street Art Center and the Community Garden that was wrongly moved to D8 in 2012, signaled great disrespect for the Hayes Valley LGBTQ community that was historically never a part of the Castro district, both socially and culturally.

As stated in our Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest document, please note the following:

"This community was actively discriminated against by those living in the Castro because the group lived in a low-rent, high-crime area, that also included a unique commercial district. Conversely, in Hayes Valley, all residents were embraced regardless of racial/economic circumstances. Many endured the destruction of Hayes Valley and building of the Central Freeway, and years later many in this LGBTQ community became core organizers to remove the Central Freeway."

Gail Baugh,
Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn representative for Redistricting
415-265-0546

The Alchemy Apts, the Haight Street Art Center, Alchemy Community Gardens, and the UC Dental School must return to D5. Boundaries are Market to Laguna north to Waller, to Palm Lane south, to Hermann west. Only the LGBTQ senior housing Open House relates to Castro LGBTQ Cultural District. Other residents and institutions do not identify with the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and don't consider themselves part of D8. The Haight Street Art Center serves as a community center for D5 including the Lower Haight, Hayes Valley and the Greater Western Addition, and public schools such as John Muir Elementary School, nothing from D8.

As the Cultural District was being formed, former HVNA president Paul Olsen helped to write the legislation forming the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, and specifically requested that Hayes Valley not be included in the Cultural District, noting that LGBTQ residents in Hayes Valley faced discrimination by those living in the Castro area simply because they lived in Hayes Valley. Including the UC Dental School, Alchemy Apts, the Haight Street Art Center and the Community Garden that was wrongly moved to D8 in 2012, signaled great disrespect for the Hayes Valley LGBTQ community that was historically never a part of the Castro district, both socially and culturally.

As stated in our Hayes Valley LGBTQ Community of Interest document, please note the following:

"This community was actively discriminated against by those living in the Castro because the group lived in a low-rent, high-crime area, that also included a unique commercial district. Conversely, in Hayes Valley, all residents were embraced regardless of racial/economic circumstances. Many endured the destruction of Hayes Valley and building of the Central Freeway, and years later many in this LGBTQ community became core organizers to remove the Central Freeway."

Gail Baugh,
Hayes Valley Neighborhood Assn representative for Redistricting
415-265-0546

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rodney Minott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rodney Minott <rodneyminnott@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozMTkyNjJiMmJhZTAXyTViYmIxNDEzNDkyYmQ3MjY4Mzo1OjA3MTU6ZDNmOGVjODg3ZTQ2Njk2ZDdmOTQwOTExZjc4NDkwNGUzZjE2MmRhMDRhODliYTlIM2E3MGE2ZjY1ZmE0YzM3ODpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitation Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Rodney Minott
1206 Mariposa Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Schwenger](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Schwenger <john.schwenger@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkYjl1ODhkMTYyZDc0NTZmNDBjYzY0YTJjOTdhYzQ3NT01OjJIYTg6YTJmNTA5NW MxYmRiMmQ1MmUxOWQ3NDIkOTUzYmJmZTE2MDMxNjA2NmEyNDY1NTBhOThhMDdjNWJIZDBjNzJiMDp0Ok4), with the borders that have been described by the

Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district. This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole. Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

John Schwenger

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Brian Grimm](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Directors St. Francis Square](#); [Peter Lauterborn](#); [DPH-btraynor](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting and St. Francis Square Co-op
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Grimm <briansg4@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:45 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; Board of Directors St. Francis Square <board@sfsquare.org>; Peter Lauterborn <plauterborn@gmail.com>; DPH-btraynor <btraynor@att.net>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS)

<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting and St. Francis Square Co-op

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

We, the Board of Directors of St. Francis Square Co-op at Geary Blvd, between Laguna and Webster, in the Western Addition of S.F., are writing to you to request that you keep our co-op and all of Japantown in District 5 as you draw the final redistricting map.

We were very concerned when we viewed the first draft map and saw that Japantown was moved to District 3, and our co-op, across Geary Blvd. from Japantown, was literally split in two as the line was drawn down O'Farrell Street.

We see with the newer maps that now our co-op and all of Japantown have been placed back again in District 5. We support this new map.

It is very important to us that the redistricting map maintains the historical and physical connection of Japantown with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods. These communities of interest have a shared history and deep connection that must remain politically intact.

As you continue to work on the redistricting maps we urge you to retain Japantown and St. Francis Square Co-op in District 5.

Thank you very much.

Brian Grimm, Board Secretary
St. Francis Square Co-op
10 Bertie Minor Lane, #2
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Casey Goldman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,
Casey Goldman

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ingrid Olasov](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Potrero Hill needs to stay together in your redistricting process
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:14 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ingrid Olasov <ilawhorn@alum.mit.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:28 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill needs to stay together in your redistricting process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I wanted to weigh in as I am deeply concerned about the way that my district is being represented in the redistricting process. There is a growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district instead of being spread out.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

To state it plainly, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law. Past proposed maps have broken up our neighborhood and do not represent us fairly as a community of Interest. Please consider this map as the only option that fairly represents us.

Best,
Ingrid

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Laura ODonovan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura ODonovan <lodsf@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the **District 10 Unity Map** (<https://bit.ly/374YEAg>), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the **District 10 Unity Map** best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Laura ODonovan
1421 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, CA 94017



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: judyminton@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:58:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: judyminton@aol.com <judyminton@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that **support the District 10 Unity Map**

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg__YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzphYzUwZmRmZWNIMWlyMTBiNjZkZTYzYjU3MzFhMTA0ZDo1OjZjZWU6MmQ1M2U1NGIxYTVmMDA4NTkxODE3Y2VjNzNiODIjZjY3YzdhN2E1NzA3N2JmN2E1MmQ4OTg2M2E2MzdjODJiINTp0Ok4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district. This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Judy Minton
415 Connecticut St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

Potrero Hill resident for 30 years

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Martha Ehrenfeld](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Inner Sunset Park Neighbors are Very Happy with Map 4A
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Martha Ehrenfeld <martha@inner-sunset.org>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Inner Sunset Park Neighbors are Very Happy with Map 4A

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force:

Thank you for bringing the whole Inner Sunset together on Map 4A. Our board is very happy with the current map and will support it going forward.

Thank you for all the time you have given to this very important process,
Martha Ehrenfeld

--

Board President

Inner Sunset Park Neighbors

www.inner-sunset.org

(415) 297-2623

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Patricia Gleeson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: to Keep District 10 Map as it has been
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patricia Gleeson <patriciabgleeson@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: to Keep District 10 Map as it has been

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DEAR RE DISTRICTING COMMITTEE:

I HAVE OWNED A HOUSE ON ON ARKANSAS STREET FOR 30 YEARS. BEFORE THAT I LIVED ON MISSOURI STREET, AND AS AN ART STUDENT IN THE EARLY 70'S I LIVED ON KANSAS AND 20TH STREET. I AM A NATIVE SAN FRANCISCAN GROWING UP ON TWIN PEAKS WHEN THERE WERE GOAT FARMS AND DIRT ROADS.

WHATEVER YOUR INTENTION IS, YOU ARE TRYING TO BREAK UP A COMMUNITY THAT HAS LEARNED TO WORK TOGETHER IN SPITE OF DIFFERENCE. THIS IS A VALUABLE COMMUNITY ASSET. WE GO TO THE BAYVIEW, IT'S PART OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. I HAVE FRIENDS IN THE NEARBY PUBLIC HOUSING, AND HANG WITH PEOPLE AT THE POTRERO REC CENTER. I HAVE FOLKS IN DOGPATCH, AND AM CONNECTED TO THAT COMMUNITY. ALL THE DOG OWNING PEOPLE GET ALONG AT ANY OF THE DOG PARKS. WE KNOW WHO IS A LOCAL, AND KNOW IF SOMEONE IS CREATING PROBLEMS FROM THE OUTSIDE. THE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL AREA INCLUDING DESIGN CENTER IS ALSO A PLACE THAT IS INTEGRAL TO OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.

IS YOUR ATTITUDE THAT THERE ARE "DIFFERENT POPULATIONS" THAT NEED A DIFFERENT KIND OF PERSON TO REPRESENT THEM?

THIS IS DIVISIVE, NOT INCLUSIVE. IT FOSTERS HIERARCHIES. IT CONTRIBUTES TO AN "US AND THEM" ATTITUDE.

ARE YOU GOING TO ROUND UP ALL THE UNHOUSED AND INDIGENT FOLKS AND MAKE SURE THEY ARE ALL SAFELY DEPOSITED OUT OF ONE THAT IS NOW ECONOMICALLY UPSCALE, LIKE A LOT OF THIS AREA HAS BECOME WITH THE INFLUX OF TECHIES AND THEIR SHOPPING NEEDS? MISSION BAYS SPRAWLING COMPLEX?

THE CITY IS CHANGING FOR SURE, SO ARE YOU ALSO REDISTRICTING THE REST OF THE CITY? MAYBE PACIFIC HEIGHTS SHOULD BE PART OF THE LOWER END RICHMOND TO GEARY. IS THE IDEA IS TO REPRESENT SPECIFIC INTEREST GROUPS CONNECTED TO COMMERCE INSTEAD OF FOSTERING INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY?

THIS A SAD TESTAMENT TO THE CITY OF LOVE
STREET

PATRICIA GLEESON, 601 ARKANSAS

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [zrants](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:44 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 3:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNjlzNzMOMGE1NDM1MTEwZGVjOTEzY2VkZTdjMWJlMzo1Oml2ZTE6NmEzOGRmODMzYmZjMTRmZDJmNDQ3MDU0YTQ3OGMzYjBkZjhiMWVlMzYwM2MwYjA4ZjRiN2JhNTRiZjcyMDg3MDpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

We agree with the citizens of District 10 and our neighbors in the other districts that neighborhoods are an important element of our city and they need to be kept whole when it comes to local representation. Failure to do so, may result in some unexpected alliances between the districts. Instead of having a single district supporting or opposing a project, you may have multiple districts agreeing and supporting each other on the matter. Best not make people too angry about re-districting.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza,
concerned citizen of District 9
President, East Mission Improvement Association CSFN Land Use and Transportation Committee
Chair

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Barbara Early, HVNA](#); tesw@aol.com
Cc: [Jim Warshell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); jennlaska@me.com; [Barbara Early](#)
Subject: RE: Hayes Valley community of interest Re: The Bowes Center | SFCM
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:33 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Early, HVNA <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:56 PM
To: tesw@aol.com
Cc: [Jim Warshell](mailto:jimwarshell@yahoo.com) <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](mailto:REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>) <rtdf@sfgov.org>; jennlaska@me.com; [Babs Early](mailto:hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com) <hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com>; [Barbara Early](mailto:Barbara Early <babs.early@gmail.com>) <babs.early@gmail.com>

Subject: Hayes Valley community of interest Re: The Bowes Center | SFCM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For the record, the HVNA Bylaws are the updated bylaws that were adopted in 2020. All boundaries include both sides of the street unless noted, so that means both sides of Van Ness, from McAllister to Market, and that includes the Bowes Center.

Hayes Valley is a community of interest, and should be included as a Community of Interest for the Redistricting discussions, and is defined by these boundaries in the HVNA bylaws, section below.

<https://hayesvalleysf.org/bylaws/>

ARTICLE II

Boundaries

2.1 The defined area of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association is all that property situated within the following boundaries, including both sides of streets unless noted: Starting at the northwest corner of McAllister Street and Webster Street; proceeding east on McAllister Street to Van Ness Avenue; then proceeding south along Van Ness Avenue to north side of Market Street; then southwesterly along north side of Market Street to Buchanan Street; then north on Buchanan Street to Hermann Street; then proceeding west on Hermann Street to Fillmore Street; then north on Fillmore Street to Haight Street; then east on Haight Street to Webster Street; then north on Webster Street to McAllister Street (the starting point).

Barbara Early
HVNA Corresponding Secretary
hvnacorrespondingsecretary@gmail.com
415.688.9134

On Mar 23, 2022, at 8:36 PM, tesw@aol.com wrote:

The HVNA Bylaws online do not reflect what you describe below.

When was this changed? I don't recall hearing of it.

Tes

-----Original Message-----

From: james warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>

To: rdtf@sfgov.org

Cc: Tess Welborne <tesw@aol.com>; Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>; Barbara Early <babs.early@gmail.com>
Sent: Wed, Mar 23, 2022 6:28 pm
Subject: The Bowes Center | SFCM

Below you will see the map The San Francisco Conservatory of Music uses to reference the Performing Arts District which is mostly in D5 in Hayes Valley but extends across Van Ness as well. We in HVNA believe this is an important Community of Interest which should be unified in new D5 border.

HVNA already has extended its border to the east including McAllister to Market/ Van Ness to Polk as many residents in 100 and 150 Van Ness engage with HVNA, shop and dine in Hayes Valley.

Recognizing these residents and the Performing Arts COI are important changes for the good Redistricting should recognize.

Thank you

Jim Warshell

<https://sfc.edu/about-us/bowes-center>

Sent from my iPhone. "They tried to bury us....they didn't know that we were seeds"

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Paul Wells](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Personal support for the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:28 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Wells <paul@flowstream.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:54 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Personal support for the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am the D10 Rep to San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee. My tenure on this official City & County of San Francisco is nearly a decade, and I'm a resident of Potrero Hill since 1982. I'm writing to you again to personally join the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map (https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://bit.ly/374YEAg___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkOWFmMjYwMmMyMzY4Y2EwMjlhMTM3ZThmZDk4N2QzNjo1OjFkZDU6Y2VjNDU1ZWE1MTk5OWMyMzljYTgxNWU5ZDRmM2VmY2Q3OTViYWNIOTU0Zjg3ZmI4YmJiOTMzNDUzZjQ1MWFjNjpwOk4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

This also keeps our bike path network, long neglected in the north/south and east/west connectivity, clearly represented as we make progress in bringing equity to the SouthEast sector of The City.

Sincerely,

Paul Wells
1344 De Haro Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Dan Feldman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Suggestions to improve your suggested map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dan Feldman <dfeldman@post.harvard.edu>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:48 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Suggestions to improve your suggested map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi:

I support the District 10 Unity Map with the borders as described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

This map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection between Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch together.

The District 10 Unity Map addresses many of the issues you have heard about that are impacting the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview and Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact while also preserving our public housing sites within a single district.

The District 10 Unity Map is within the 5% deviation of population allowed by law to keep these neighborhoods and communities of interest intact and undivided.

Thank you for undertaking this very difficult task.

Dan Feldman
699 Rhode Island Street
SF, Ca 94107
415 531-4428

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: lsvotaw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: lsvotaw@aol.com <lsvotaw@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force: I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map

(https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://bit.ly/374YEAg_.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzowNWE3MzRkNjZhYWUxNDQ1ZmZlYzAyNDhiMGExN2YwND01OmQ4MTM6N2Y3OTBjMTdmNTliMWlyZTFIZWNiNzk1OWFhZGZmNDMzYjUxY2MwZTM1ZTdiNmJkNGUxYjJiYzhmYzIzYzE4Mjp0Ok4), with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association. The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district. This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole. Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law. Sincerely,

Linda Votaw
1357 Kansas Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
415-341-1733

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Linda Crowder](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: you're rushing to public input
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:57:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Crowder <lindacrowder@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: you're rushing to public input

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Why are you rushing the public input phase?!?!?

SERIOUSLY ... only ONE WEEK for input after you just RADICALLY changed borders?!?!?

4D is far superior to the 4B & 4C ... but it still really changes things in unfortunate ways ...
without much time for public input

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Yoram Meroz](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: I support the District 10 Unity Map
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:27:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Yoram Meroz <yoram.meroz@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: I support the District 10 Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am joining the growing number of voices from the eastern part of the City that support the District 10 Unity Map, with the borders that have been described by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association.

The District 10 Unity Map maintains the continuity of interests and relationships we have developed since the return of district elections, and, crucially, maintains the connection among Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch. It further addresses many of the other issues you have heard about the Southeast part of the City, including keeping the Bayview neighborhoods and the Visitacion Valley neighborhoods intact, and keeping our public housing sites within a single district.

This map is very similar to two maps you have produced, 3A and 4D. Its population is 83,347, a deviation of 4.78% from the ideal, an amount permissible because it keeps neighborhoods and Communities of Interest whole.

Simply put, the District 10 Unity Map best achieves the changes to the District as mandated by, and in compliance with, the law.

Sincerely,

Yoram Meroz

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Kaleda Walling](#)
Cc: [Michelle Cusano](#); annic@selfhelpelderly.org; awrcgloria@pacbell.net; [DPH-sarahw](#)
Subject: FW: District 1 community service providers request that fair representation be maintained
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:26:41 PM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[District 1 Community Org Letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kaleda Walling <kaleda@ggsenior.org>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:22 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Michelle Cusano <michelle@richmondsf.org>; annic@selfhelpelderly.org;
awrcgloria@pacbell.net; DPH-sarahw <sarahw@cyssf.org>

Subject: District 1 community service providers request that fair representation be maintained

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 1, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

As service providers in the Richmond District, we serve a diverse population of vulnerable communities in our District. The District is 40% Asian, a majority of whom live in Asian language-speaking households. The District also has a large population of adults with disabilities and older adults, who rely on our in-person and virtual services, ranging from food security to caregiver assistance, financial planning, housing, in-home care, and medical assistance. We are CBO's with language and cultural skills who provide services and resources to help Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI), Russian-speaking, older adults and people with disabilities continue living independently in the community.

A majority of the populations we serve are either low-income or living on a fixed income and many of them are limited English speaking. By adding into District 1 areas with higher incomes, lower rates of limited English-speaking households, and lower rates of older adults and adults with disabilities – including areas like the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace – the least-resourced community members we serve would have their needs competing with some of the most-resourced residents in San Francisco. This could be further compounded by potential grant funding opportunities our organizations pursue that are, in-part, scored and awarded based on District demographics.

As long-standing community service anchors of District 1, we respectfully ask the Task Force members to keep the Richmond District contiguous, providing more fair representation, thus ensuring our clients have a voice in the provision of relevant services now and in the future.

Thank you,



Michelle Cusano, Executive Director
Richmond District Neighborhood Center



**RICHMOND
SENIOR CENTER**

Golden Gate Senior Services

Kaleda Walling, Senior Center Director
Golden Gate Senior Services



Sarah Wan, Executive Director
Community Youth Center



**Self-Help for
the Elderly**

安老自助處

Anni Chung, President and CEO
Self Help for the Elderly



Gloria Tan, Executive Director
Gum Moon Women's Residence
Asian Women's Resource Center

Kaleda Walling | Director

Pronouns: she/her [\(What's this?\)](#)

Golden Gate Senior Services - Richmond Senior Center

6221 Geary Blvd, 3rd Fl | San Francisco, CA 94121

Desk (415) 405-4660 | Cell (415) 424-7982 | www.ggsenior.org

EIN: 94-219078



**RICHMOND
SENIOR CENTER**

Golden Gate Senior Services

April 1, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members,

As service providers in the Richmond District, we serve a diverse population of vulnerable communities in our District. The District is 40% Asian, a majority of whom live in Asian language-speaking households. The District also has a large population of adults with disabilities and older adults, who rely on our in-person and virtual services, ranging from food security to caregiver assistance, financial planning, housing, in-home care, and medical assistance. We are CBO's with language and cultural skills who provide services and resources to help Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI), Russian-speaking, older adults and people with disabilities continue living independently in the community.

A majority of the populations we serve are either low-income or living on a fixed income and many of them are limited English speaking. By adding into District 1 areas with higher incomes, lower rates of limited English-speaking households, and lower rates of older adults and adults with disabilities – including areas like the Sea Cliff and Presidio Terrace – the least-resourced community members we serve would have their needs competing with some of the most-resourced residents in San Francisco. This could be further compounded by potential grant funding opportunities our organizations pursue that are, in-part, scored and awarded based on District demographics.

As long-standing community service anchors of District 1, we respectfully ask the Task Force members to keep the Richmond District contiguous, providing more fair representation, thus ensuring our clients have a voice in the provision of relevant services now and in the future.

Thank you,



Michelle Cusano, Executive Director
Richmond District Neighborhood Center



Kaleda Walling, Senior Center Director
Golden Gate Senior Services



Sarah Wan, Executive Director
Community Youth Center



Anni Chung, President and CEO
Self Help for the Elderly



Gloria Tan, Executive Director
Gum Moon Women's Residence
Asian Women's Resource Center

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: steven.j.madrid@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:26:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steven Madrid <steven.j.madrid@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 1:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello -

I'm a resident who lives in between Upper Haight and Cole Valley, and I am writing to express my strong support for the redistricting efforts that would move Haight / Cole Valley out of District 5.

I believe this change is best for the voting of our neighborhood, and the city at large.

Best,
Steven Madrid

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ramon Felciano](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please Don't Divide our Potrero Community in Two
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:26:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ramon Felciano <felciano@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 12:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Don't Divide our Potrero Community in Two

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I'm writing to express my concern about your apparent plans to redistrict our neighborhood.

Your current Map, Map 3B, divides greater Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and Showplace Community of Interest (the "Col") along an artificial, unnatural line on Mariposa St. Mariposa, from Potrero to 280, has never served as a community dividing line. Jackson Park, to the north of Mariposa, is a key RecPark facility in the Potrero Col. 17th Street serves as a significant retail corridor for the Col. It also serves as a key pedestrian and bicycle route for the whole of the Col.

Map 3A presented a reasonable view of our Col and a District 10 that made sense. I would like to know what that map is no longer under consideration.

Regardless, if map 3A is no longer being considered, then the northern edge of the new district should move at least as far north so as to allow our neighbors in Showplace, particularly those at Daggett Place and the California College of the Arts, should remain in our district.

Barring that, the line should move at east to 16th Street between Potrero and 280, which keeps a greater and vital portion of the neighborhood intact and in the same district.

Please keep us whole. Neighborhoods are vital to a thriving San Francisco, and district 10 is shrinking. We have already ceded areas west of Potrero and we may be able to cede the area south of General Hospital (from Potrero to 101) if necessary to keep the core of our community together. However, your current Map 3B cuts straight through our heart, and will break the neighborhood apart.

Thank you for your attention to this email. I look forward to the pleasure of reading your response.

Ramon Felciano

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Meg Heisler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Central City COI - not visible on map viewer
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:25:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

We've received your COI definition, as you note in you message below. The Q2 should be digitizing it and adding it to the map viewer, but it is a manual process and may take some time.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Meg Heisler <mheisler@tndc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 12:05 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Central City COI - not visible on map viewer

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, Task Force.

I submitted a COI on 3/29 at 3:07PM. I can see it in the Airtable but it is not viewable as a publicly submitted COI on the Redistricting Task Force Map Viewer. I'm wondering if this is because I filled in the name field incorrectly. If so, can you please use the name "Central City Community of Interest" to represent this COI? Alternatively, please let me know if I should resubmit. This should be added prior to the Redistricting Task Force meeting tomorrow so it can be considered as part of the mapping process.

Thank you,
Meg

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jyo Sinha](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 4A
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:25:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jyo Sinha <jyosinha@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 12:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Map 4A

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Task Force Members,

My name is Jyo Sinha and I live in the East Cut. I bought a small condo here 4 years back and have been a resident ever since.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29 -32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you —

Jyo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jen McGraw](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Potrero del Sol and Support for New Maps
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:24:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jen McGraw <wargcmnej@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 12:01 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Potrero del Sol and Support for New Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I looked at your very helpful interactive tool for the proposed new maps on Mission Local, and I wanted to express my support for the inclusion of Potrero del Sol/La Raza park in District 9 in the redistricting. As a member of the Potrero del Sol community garden and a Mission resident I see on a regular basis how this park is a part of the heart and soul of the Mission community. It should be cohesively represented as such, so thank you for making that possible.

Sincerely,
Jen McGraw

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alice Rogers](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for Map 4A
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:24:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Support for Map 4A

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi John and Task Force members, I'm forwarding this from a neighbor who may have inadvertently sent it to our NA instead of to you.

Don't mean to 'stuff the ballot box' if you got this directly, but also don't want the neighbor's voice lost.

Alice Rogers
SB/R/MB NA President

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jyo Sinha <jyosinha@gmail.com>
Subject: Support for Map 4A
Date: March 31, 2022 at 9:14:18 PM PDT
To: sbrmbna@gmail.com

Good afternoon, Task Force Members,

My name is Jyo Sinha and I live in the East Cut. I bought a small condo here 4 years back and have been a resident ever since.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29 -32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of

our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you —

Jyo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [karoline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Maps 4A, 4B, & 4C and gerrymandering garbage
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:24:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 11:05 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Maps 4A, 4B, & 4C and gerrymandering garbage

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

First thing, thank you for all your hard work. I can only imagine what a tricky job this would. That said, maps 4A, 4B, & 4C are gerrymandering garbage, seemingly designed to dilute the progressive voting power of District 5. The Haight-Ashbury and Western Addition are connected in history and culture and to divide them among other, much larger districts is a blatant attempt to carve up the neighborhoods with some of the highest concentrations of renters, among other demographics that we share. Not to mention several of these maps do not even keep the Haight-Ashbury in the same district! We are a tiny neighborhood and at the very least, it should be included wholly within one district.

Also, Golden Gate Park should not fall entirely within one district, so I like that all of these maps make this change, compared to the round 3 maps.

4C is reasonably acceptable. I like that District 1 includes all citizens up to the Presidio. I do think removing the Inner Sunset from D5 is not quite right, though.

All the best,
Karoline Hatch-Berens
94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: donna@dellera.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:39:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donna Dell'Era <donna@dellera.org>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:29 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Task Force Members,

My name is Donna Dell'Era and I have lived in Mission Bay for 17 years.

First, thank you all for your time and expertise in guiding this redistricting effort. This is an effort with little precedence: the City must absorb and balance a 30K population increase and make room for our Emerging Communities to grow into established neighborhoods just like those throughout the City.

I have reviewed the four currently proposed maps.

Map 4A/6A acceptably aligns with our Emerging Communities map, would allow further community-building and problem-solving work in our Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay Communities, and position us to support the new developments in Central SoMa, Treasure Island, and Mission Rock. Thank you for listening to our input. The inclusion of the Financial District, Union Sq and Dogpatch are optional from our neighborhood perspective.

Map 4C/6C also aligns acceptably, but only if the Chase Center parcels 29 -32 are added back. These parcels are legally in the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan, and part of the Mello Roos CFD District that includes all Mission Bay properties. While the parcels are legally bound to Mission Bay, the Warriors themselves are a City-wide asset; they strive to support communities beyond their home arena.

Map 4D/D6 is not at all acceptable; it cuts out Rincon Hill/The East Cut, a vital part of our triad of emerging communities and a key player in our interconnected efforts to manage congestion and safety issues around the Bay Bridge. If moved, they would be an outlier in D3.

While Maps 4A and C support our full Community Of Interest (COI), **Map 4B/6B looks to be a good faith effort to balance our core triad of neighborhoods—Rincon/East Cut, South Beach and Mission Bay—while making room for a good portion of the Tenderloin/Soma COI**, albeit in a different district. This map largely aligns with our secondary COI map, High-density Waterfront Neighborhoods, and could be acceptable in the spirit of all neighborhoods working together to balance the redistribution of population across districts.

Additionally, keeping the high density bayfront neighborhoods together will enable addressing common health and safety risks related to adjacent freeways, railways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port., as well as sea level rise.

In closing, I see three paths forward in maps 4A/6A, 4C/6C, and 4B/6B as a compromise to recognize the TL/SoMa COI. Each allows us to jointly address such shared challenges as taming hazardous arterials, fostering the services needed to support our economically diverse population, and getting a new school open to support our large growth of families.

We have done the work of supporting new housing for all of San Francisco, Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety—as well.

Thank you.

Donna Dell'Era

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: s-sage@sonic.net; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: redistrict maps
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:39:20 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: s-sage@sonic.net <s-sage@sonic.net>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:24 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistrict maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force and Mayor London Breed,

As a 44 year resident of San Francisco, I have been following the process of redistricting of San Francisco and I am quite concerned with the direction that this map has taken. The new map has the districts cut in such a way that does not appropriately represent the communities it should be serving. This has not been done this way since the beginning of redistricting.

This is a form of gerrymandering that should never be happening in our great city of San Francisco.

I urge you to rethink this process and to support the Community Unity Map, which is in line with the City Charter and has a much broader consensus.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lisa Kellman

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Kollins](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: San Francisco redistricting
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:39:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John Kollins <kollins.john@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 8:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm writing to urge you to follow the law as you complete the redistricting process and not be inappropriately influenced by special interest groups who wish to have district boundaries drawn so as to increase their political power. The balkanization of San Francisco and the fact that there are no at-large supervisors looking out for the greater good of the entire city result in terrible city government and poor policy outcomes. By following the law in the redistricting process and redrawing boundaries so as not to inappropriately advantage special interest groups, you will be contributing to better governance in our city.

Thank you.

John Kollins
Inner Sunset
SF resident since 1994

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jennifer Laska](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Jason Henderson](#); [President Hayes Valley](#)
Subject: RE: HVNA Position on redistricting and comment clarification
Date: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:38:58 AM
Attachments: [2022.3.3 HVNA Redistricting Support letter.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

First, I want to assure you that we have the March 3 letter from HVNA on file and posted online, and I distributed it to RTF in early March. That letter is copied here again for everyone.

Second—as relates to the March 16 meeting minutes—if someone comes to an RTF meeting and identifies themselves as being from or a member of a particular neighborhood association, there is nothing I can do to fact-check that claim. I try to be careful that I'm spelling people's names correctly, and referring to organizations which actually exist, but that's as far as my verification efforts can take me.

The March 16 minutes have been approved by the Task Force, and I am not able to make further edits to them.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information*

when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 8:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jason Henderson <Jhenders@sonic.net>; President Hayes Valley <president@hayesvalleysf.org>
Subject: Re: HVNA Position on redistricting and comment clarification

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-03/rtf031622_draft_minutes.pdf

Jennifer Laska
HVNA President
310-709-2230

On Mar 31, 2022, at 8:28 PM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

†Ù¥Æig²ýÃº¹r|jÉçrº,jû\çkæ—¥žÊ7
êç±È^™«& osoft-com:office:office" xmlns:w=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:word" =
xmlns:m=3D"https://url.avanan.click/v2/___http://schemas.microsoft.com/office/2004/12/omml" ___ .YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiMWRhNmI0Nzc3ZGRIM2RIYzU0MWYyMTY5ZTI2YWJjOTo1OjUxOWM6ODRkOTU0YWZkMDA0M2NjNDAwMjIwZGUwMDk4ZTg0NW E4NzA2MTZjNzAwYjVlZTJjY2MyZjY4NGY4NTRkMjI0OTp0Ok4; xmlns=3D"http:=
//https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40"> ___ .YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiMWRhNmI0Nzc3ZGRIM2RIYzU0MWYyMTY5ZTI2YWJjOTo1OmEzNzc6NjdmNW FmZmRjNmRjNmU3NDA2YzZmZDliNW MONjMxM2IzZjk wZTI2OWIxNjBlY2JiYjUzZDM4Mzg5MTNhNGM4ODp0Ok4;

Thanks for your message.

I have been writing and posting many meeting minutes= in the last few days. I=E2=80=99m actually taking a break right now from w= riting the March 26 meeting minutes.

Could you please tell me which minutes you are referring to?

John Carroll

From: Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 7:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jason Henderson <Jhenders@sonic.net>; President Hayes Valley <president@hayesvalleysf.org>
Subject: HVNA Position on redistricting and comment clarification

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

The minutes from the last redistricting meeting show a statement by Jason Henderson that appears to have been summarized incorrectly. Jason was not speaking on behalf of HVNA, but as an individual. HVNA's position as of today remains as stated in the letter we sent on 3/4/22 (attached).

Thank you,

Jennifer Laska
HVNA President
310-709-2230

--

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to president+unsubscribe@hayesvalleysf.org.

%%

March 3, 2022

2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force rdtf@sfgov.org
Rev. Arnold Townsend, Chair revtword@hotmail.com

Re: HVNA position on Redistricting D5

Dear Reverend Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Committee,

This letter is in broad support of the attached map put together by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council, with a focus on the southeast quadrant that affects Hayes Valley. There are three areas that HVNA is most interested in including in the D5 redistricting, as detailed below.

55 Laguna & surrounding area

The southern boundaries of HVNA around Buchanan-Page-Laguna-Waller, including the 55 Laguna complex at Laguna and Hermann, and surrounding area, were excluded in the last redistricting. (See Figure 2, HVNA boundaries, and Figure 3 and 3A showing the existing D5 boundary vis a vis this area, attached). This area houses many tenants including legacy rent-controlled LGBT tenants, who are historically within HVNA and part of its founding, and comprise a significant community of interest. Additionally, the traffic patterns north of Market are congested and complicated, and cutting out parts of Haight and Buchanan from D5 makes this more challenging to manage, and impacts all the residents in this sector. We think it is extremely important to keep this entire section north of Market united in D5 under one supervisor.

Arts/Cultural District and new residential buildings east of Van Ness/Civic Center

HVNA's membership already includes some of the new residential buildings, such as 100 and 150 Van Ness and SFCM's Bowes Center. These developments are within our association boundaries, but are not part of D5; they are in D6, which has the biggest growth (+30%). HVNA believes it would be a natural fit for D5 to include these new residences.

Additionally, D5 currently includes major performing arts venues, such as the Jazz Center, the Sidney Goldstein Theater, as well as the San Francisco War Memorial and Performing Arts Center, one of the largest performing arts centers in the US, comprised of the Opera house, Herbst Theater, the SFAC gallery, and Davies Symphony Hall. Extending D5 east of Van Ness to include Bill Graham Auditorium, SFPL, the Asian Art Museum, City Hall, and other smaller cultural entities makes sense, since the majority of the large performance venues are already within D5 and HVNA boundaries. Civic Center CBD already extends into Hayes Valley, to Gough Street. All of these Civic Center institutions and locations have a large impact on HVNA's residential and commercial district, impacting traffic and other aspects of the neighborhood which a single District supervisor could more easily oversee.

The Hub

The Hub is a future community of interest, as residents will comprise dense population in a small congested geographic area, and as a part of the Market/Octavia Plan, it is of particular interest to HVNA. The Hub currently falls into three separate supervisorial districts, which makes it difficult to ensure that this important area gets the supervisorial focus that such major housing developments deserve. District 5 in its current configuration already has two of the large development corners within its boundaries; it makes sense to include the entire Hub in D5. Traffic from development of the HUB will have a major impact on the main arteries of Hayes Valley. Future HUB residents will certainly become part of the Hayes Valley community, enjoying shopping, dining, and cultural events in our neighborhood, so it is of particular interest to HVNA to see it included in its totality within our supervisorial district.

We appreciate all the work the Redistricting Task Force is doing, and we appreciate your considering our views about how this proposed map would impact us in Hayes Valley.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Laska, President
The Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association

cc: Mats Anderson, HVNA Vice President
Barbara Early, HVNA Corresponding Secretary
HVNA Board

Attachments below




Figure 1: Proposed Redistricting Map prepared by the Van Ness Corridor Neighborhood Council



Figure 2: HVNA boundaries




Figure 3: Existing D5 Map (Dept of Elections)



Figure 3A: Existing D5 Closeup around 55 Laguna area

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); tesw@aol.com
Subject: FW: League of Women Voters calls for fairer process
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 5:00:23 PM
Attachments: [LWV - SF Redistricting Task Force must change course to draw a fair map.doc](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: League of Women Voters calls for fairer process

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

SF Redistricting Task Force must change course to draw a fair map

March 30, 2022|Advocacy, News, Redistricting

The League of Women Voters of San Francisco is deeply concerned that the draft supervisor district maps created so far by the city’s Redistricting Task Force fail to adequately reflect the input that has been shared by the most vulnerable and least represented people in our city. LWVSF is especially alarmed to see draft maps that would diminish the voting power of low-income, immigrant, renter, LGBTQ+, and people of color neighborhoods.

“Dozens of historically and systemically excluded communities in San Francisco have put in substantial time and effort to tell the Redistricting Task Force what they need,” said LWVSF President Alison Goh. “Yet, with just over one week left in the mapping process, the needs of many of those groups have been left out of the draft supervisor maps made by the task force.”

LWVSF has attended every Redistricting Task Force meeting and listened closely to comments from San Franciscans. There has been overwhelming community support for keeping the Tenderloin and West/Central South of Market areas together in District 6, and for keeping the SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Heritage District, LEATHER & LGBTQ Cultural District, and Transgender District whole and together in one supervisor district. Yet, in the Redistricting Task Force's most recent set of draft maps, only one map — Map 4D — actually reflects these concerns.

Many people have also spoken passionately about the need to protect the voting power of low-income, renter, and Asian Pacific Islander communities in the Richmond. Yet, in the Redistricting Task Force’s most recent set of draft maps, the areas of Sea Cliff, Presidio Terrace, and north of Lake Street, which are primarily affluent homeowner neighborhoods with characteristics at odds with most of the Richmond, are moved into District 1.

LWVSF’s displeasure with the timeline the Redistricting Task Force gave itself to create draft maps and hear public input on those maps is well documented, as are our concerns about the task force’s community outreach. In other cities and counties, redistricting commissions have often needed two to three months or more to hear public input on draft maps. The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force gave itself less than five weeks total for its mapping phase, significantly abridging due process.

None of the draft maps issued by the Redistricting Task Force so far would create more fair, equitable representation in our local government. Of the four draft maps currently being considered by the Redistricting Task Force, Map 4D is the only path forward as it keeps the Tenderloin and West/Central SOMA united in one district, and does the best job of reflecting the community of interest needs of the three cultural districts in the area.

This is not an endorsement of Map 4D, which has many substantial yet solvable problems. By moving forward with Map 4D, LWVSF is hopeful that the Redistricting Task Force will prioritize the needs of the most vulnerable and least represented people in San Francisco’s new supervisor district map.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Patricia Frank](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 5:00:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patricia Frank <patfrank20@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 31, 2022

2022 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Patricia Diaz de Frank. I was born in Chile and my family and I migrated to the Bay Area in 1967. I am a retired San Francisco Unified Bilingual Teacher. I had the honor and the good fortune to teach 37 years to a very diverse population in three Mission Schools.

After many years of hard work and saving every penny we made, finally in 1986 we were able to buy a house in Lakeshore Acres. We have raised our family and we have lived in the same house since 1986. It was not easy living in the Lakeshore Acres neighborhood. There were many serious quality of life issues, such as safety, crime, traffic, air and noise pollution, etc., to resolve. Luckily the neighbors worked together to solve the problems. We worked with SF SAFE, with the government of San Francisco, with the police and other helpful groups.

Please let me know the reasons why you want to merge District 7 with District 4. Which would be the benefits for District 7? Do you think it will be more efficient to govern two Districts, instead of one? We want to have our own Supervisor that will address our problems and speak for District 7. I am a voter and I want my vote to be counted and my voice to be heard. MY FAMILY AND I WANT TO STAY IN DISTRICT 7.

Thank you for your time,

Patricia Diaz de Frank

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Alexandra Day Golden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Jordan Park
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 5:00:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alexandra Day Golden <afdgolden@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 4:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident and homeowner in the Jordan Park neighborhood (Arguello, Geary, Parker/ Spruce, California). I request that it remain in District 2. Jordan Park has strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods (Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights and has worked with them on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years. As a former resident of Cow Hollow, I can personally attest to the cooperation and common interest across these neighborhoods. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St, the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary.

I, along with my neighbors, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationship with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani that I do not wish to see disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

All my best,
Alex Golden

--

Alexandra Day Golden
(908)-616-0292

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Chionsini](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: LAKESHORE ACRES AND LAKESHORE ACRES/MERCED MANOR WORKING GROUP REMAINING INTACT AND COMPLETELY WITHIN DISTRICT 7
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:58:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Chionsini <bill-barbara@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 11:45 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: LAKESHORE ACRES AND LAKESHORE ACRES/MERCED MANOR WORKING GROUP REMAINING INTACT AND COMPLETELY WITHIN DISTRICT 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Wednesday, February 23, 2022

2022 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

LAKESHORE ACRES AND LAKESHORE ACRES/MERCED MANOR
WORKING GROUP REMAINING INTACT AND COMPLETELY WITHIN
DISTRICT 7

Dear Commissioners,

My name is William Chionsini. I was born and raised in San Francisco and have lived in Lakeshore Acres for 37 years. I am a retired San Francisco Sheriff's Captain. I am the President of Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club (LAIC) and have been a member of our board for over 30 years. I am a member of the Lakeshore Acres/Merced Manor Working Group (LMWG). Additionally, I am also the past a President of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council.

LAIC is bordered on the north by Sloat Boulevard, Inverness Drive on the east, Lake Merced Drive to the south and west and Skyline Boulevard to the west.

The Lakeshore Acres/Merced Manor Working Group includes all of Lakeshore Acres and all of the homes directly east of LAIC in Merced Manor. Merced Manor is bordered on the north by Sloat Boulevard, on the east by 19th Avenue, on the south by Eucalyptus Drive and on the west by 26th Avenue.

Our area is compact. We have common social and economic issues and concerns: Public Safety, Traffic, Quality of Life and Recreation Opportunities. Geographically the following locations have in the past generated and continue to generate operational issues/problems that spill out into our residential areas: Sloat Boulevard, 19th Avenue, Lake Merced Boulevard, Skyline Boulevard, Stonestown Shopping Center and Lakeshore Plaza, Lake Merced and Merced Reservoir.

Only by staying together and focusing on serious issues of safety to our community we have been able to make the following improvements:

Sloat Boulevard - Over the course of approximately 10 years we spearheaded and worked with members of Lakeshore Acres, Merced Manor, and Pinelake Park Neighbors, Department of Public Works, MTA and Cal-Trans on the Sloat Boulevard Pedestrian Safety Project which was completed approximately two years ago. It was the largest safety project on the Westside of San Francisco at that time.

Ocean Avenue Pedestrian Safety Project from 19th Avenue to Sunset Boulevard.

Lake Merced Boulevard - Several members of Lakeshore Acres are currently working with Supervisor Melgar, MTA and DPW on the Lake Merced Pedestrian Improvement Project.

Stonestown Shopping Center - We currently have members of our working group working with Brookfield the current ownership of Stonestown.

Lakeshore Plaza - We currently have members of Lakeshore Acres working with Supervisor Melgar, Taraval Police Station and DPW to address problems regarding Public Safety, garbage, and crime inside the plaza that spilled out into the neighborhood. In 2016 Officer Kevin Downs was shot and seriously injured during a robbery at Lakeshore Plaza and the incident spilled out into Everglade Drive that seriously impacted the neighbors.

Community Police Officer - We negotiated with the Command Staff of the SFPD and Deputy Chief (then Commander) David Lazar for a Community Police Officer. We were assigned a community police officer, Matthew Faliano, who worked with Lakeshore Acres until his retirement in 2021.

We are an original member group of District 7. We have a long and positive working relationship with the current District 7 Supervisor, Supervisor Myrna Melgar and a working relationship with all of the previous District 7 Supervisors. We want to continue to work with Supervisor Melgar, who is responsive and responsible. We want our interests and area kept intact. Lakeshore Acres does not want to be dissected, one part of our neighborhood in one district and rest in another district nor do we want to be cut off from our working partners, Merced Manor. As a group we have worked long and hard to achieve some significant successes. To cut us up in any way up would impose great hardship in maintaining what we have accomplished and in meeting any future problems.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Chionsini, President
Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Rothman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Golden Gate Park
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 1:58:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Rothman <rrothma@pacbell.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 11:13 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Can you distribute the following email below to all the committee members.

Thanks

Richard Rothman

Without any honest discussion, the committee voted to divide Golden Gate Park in the western half of the park between district One and district four along JFK Drive. As someone who cares about the park, I think it would be better to divide the part between the two districts along MLK Drive. Under the current plan, the far end of the park would be covered entirely by district 4. The park would be more evenly divided if the boundary lines were MLK Drive.

Thank you for your consideration of this change.

Richard Rothman district one resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); stroxel@pacbell.net
Subject: FW: Comment Letter from Suzanne Troxel
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 10:52:12 AM
Attachments: [Comment Letter from Suzanne Troxel - March 30, 2022.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: stroxel@pacbell.net <stroxel@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 11:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comment Letter from Suzanne Troxel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Attached is a comment letter regarding the 2022 San Francisco Redistricting.

I have also included the contents of the letter below.

Best regards,

Suzanne Troxel
stroxel@pacbell.net

**SUZANNE TROXEL
2980 24TH AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132**

March 30, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Members:

My name is Suzanne Troxel and I have been a resident of Merced Manor, currently in District 7, for 36 years. I am also a native San Franciscan and I attended West Portal Elementary School, Herbert Hoover Middle School and Lowell High School, all also currently in District 7.

My understanding is that there is a proposal to move the Lakeshore Acres, Lakeside and

Merced Manor neighborhoods into District 4 from District 7. I believe that the residents of these neighborhoods would be better served by remaining in District 7 for the following reasons:

1. Stonestown, Park Merced, Lake Merced, San Francisco State University and the Ocean Avenue corridor have a significant impact on our neighborhoods and these areas are currently in District 7. When addressing issues associated with these areas it is easier for residents of our neighborhoods to communicate and resolve issues with our supervisor's office if we are in the same district.
2. The current supervisor of District 7, Myrna Melgar, and her office have been very responsive to issues that have been raised by our neighborhoods. Supervisor Melgar's chief of staff, Jen Low, has been particularly responsive to issues that we have raised and many of us have worked with Jen Low for several years. It would be very disruptive for the residents of our neighborhoods to have to transition our ongoing issues to a different supervisor's office.
3. The argument that Lowell High School, which is next to our neighborhoods, should be in District 4 is irrelevant. Lowell High School serves all neighborhoods in San Francisco. It should be overseen and its admission policies established by the Board of Education not the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you for your service on this important task force and your consideration of my concerns.

Very truly yours,

Suzanne Troxel

SUZANNE TROXEL
2980 24TH AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132

March 30, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Members:

My name is Suzanne Troxel and I have been a resident of Merced Manor, currently in District 7, for 36 years. I am also a native San Franciscan and I attended West Portal Elementary School, Herbert Hoover Middle School and Lowell High School, all also currently in District 7.

My understanding is that there is a proposal to move the Lakeshore Acres, Lakeside and Merced Manor neighborhoods into District 4 from District 7. I believe that the residents of these neighborhoods would be better served by remaining in District 7 for the following reasons:

1. Stonestown, Park Merced, Lake Merced, San Francisco State University and the Ocean Avenue corridor have a significant impact on our neighborhoods and these areas are currently in District 7. When addressing issues associated with these areas it is easier for residents of our neighborhoods to communicate and resolve issues with our supervisor's office if we are in the same district.
2. The current supervisor of District 7, Myrna Melgar, and her office have been very responsive to issues that have been raised by our neighborhoods. Supervisor Melgar's chief of staff, Jen Low, has been particularly responsive to issues that we have raised and many of us have worked with Jen Low for several years. It would be very disruptive for the residents of our neighborhoods to have to transition our ongoing issues to a different supervisor's office.
3. The argument that Lowell High School, which is next to our neighborhoods, should be in District 4 is irrelevant. Lowell High School serves all neighborhoods in San Francisco. It should be overseen and its admission policies established by the Board of Education not the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you for your service on this important task force and your consideration of my concerns.

Very truly yours,


Suzanne Troxel

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [James Reich](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Comments on Draft redistricting map
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 10:39:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: James Reich <jim_reich@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 9:39 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Comments on Draft redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. I'm a 24-year resident and homeowner in Rincon Hil (D6), and I wanted to write to support some of the new proposals.

Specifically, I think 4B is the best map.

Historically, district 6 merged two radically different constituencies -- the Tenderloin and SoMa, but the supervisor can only represent one of the two where their needs differ -- which they have, frequently. Both communities are better served by district lines which separate them, as I see happening with 4 A-D. Frankly, some of our recent supervisors (especially Kim and Daly) had had little interest in the eastern parts of D6.

A second issue is that the way the districts have developed, Rincon Hill and South Beach have a natural affinity, and Mission Bay and Dogpatch fit well with them in terms of culture and interests. These are fast-growing areas which combine technology, manufacturing, medical and housing for the populations working in those areas. It makes no sense to split Rincon/South Beach, nor does it make sense to split Potrero, Mission Bay and Dogpatch, which share the Chase Center, UCSF, and some tech industry, albeit a bit more industrially focused.

So I don't think district 6 map 4D makes any sense, splitting off the eastern parts of Rincon Hil/East Cutl and grouping Potrero and Dogpatch with the Bayview.

4C doesn't really work either, since it splits Potrero/Dogpatch from Mission Bay, which are really a single corridor with issues coming from the Chase Center and UCSF, and there's commonality between the industrial startups of the Dogpatch and the technology ones in South Beach and Rincon, so keep them in D6.

4A isn't bad, but Potrero seems more of a natural match with D6 than D10.

4B seems best, although Yerba Buena probably belongs with D6. Perhaps 4B, but swapping some or all of the section north of Market Street to D3, which it resembles much more closely?

I hope this helps,

Jim Reich
81 Lansing St.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Curtis Bradford](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Tenderloin Community Action Plan & SF Planning Department
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 7:00:08 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Curtis Bradford <CBradford@tndc.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 6:12 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Tenderloin Community Action Plan & SF Planning Department

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Taskforce members. I want to call your attention to work currently underway between the Tenderloin Community, the Tenderloin People's Congress, SF Planning Department and District 6 Supervisors office.

In the 2019 budget cycle, the **Tenderloin People's Congress** advocated for the City to budget an additional \$70,000 to the Planning Department to assign a City Planner to work with the Tenderloin residents to develop and implement a Tenderloin Neighborhood Plan building on the "Vision 2020-A People's Platform" that had already been developed by the community. Thanks to our partnership with our DISTRICT 6 office, the \$70,000 was dedicated to Planning in the addback process out of the District 6 addback funds. This work would not have happened or continued without the direct support of our relationship with our District 6 Supervisor and staff.

Since then, the Planning Department, responding to the funding and request by the D6 Supervisor, a City Planner was assigned to begin working with the Tenderloin People's Congress and the Tenderloin Neighborhood stakeholders on the Tenderloin Community Action Plan.

Link to Planning Department website on the plan:

<https://sfplanning.org/project/tenderloin-community-action-plan>

We are currently in the middle of that process, having engaged in a yearlong outreach and engagement campaign, and are beginning the writing of the first draft of the plan which will be ready by June 1, 2022 and will then be shared throughout our community for feedback and revisions as needed. Phase 1 of the plan will begin working on implementation as early as July 1, 2022. We expect to finalize a version of the Full Plan by the end of 2022 and will then take the completed Plan to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors for review and approval.

This work is being done in direct partnership with the Planning Department, Tenderloin Community and the DISTRICT 6 office and staff.

Cutting the Tenderloin out of District 6 right in the middle of this critical process would be a devastating blow to all of the years of dedicated advocacy and work that has gone into getting this process started and moving in the direction of finally having a Tenderloin Neighborhood Plan for the City (one does not currently exist).

Years of hard work by resident leaders, our community partners, District 6 staff and Planning Department staff have gone into this plan and dividing the TL from Central SOMA and out of D6 at this time would likely derail this process completely.

Please do not let that happen. We are very close to having a 30 year vision for the Tenderloin Neighborhood that is derived from the community itself and supported by the City Agencies, but we need the relationships, partnerships, coalitions and collaborations of our D6 community across Central SOMA and beyond to make that a reality. Our future is now in your hands and we PLEAD with you to please protect our most vulnerable community in San Francisco, especially as they FINALLY have been able to begin developing a City plan for their own future.

I submit, that the **Tenderloin Community Action Plan is a Community of Interest** in and of itself and that it's very survival depends on remaining connected to Central SOMA and IN D6. A Map of the Plan boundaries are found on the San Francisco Planning Department Website at:

<https://sfplanning.org/project/tenderloin-community-action-plan>

(note: you will notice that the plan boundaries do not include Civic Center. While we do consider Civic Center to be an essential part of the Tenderloin neighborhood, it already has a plan in the

planning code, the TL does not, which is why it wasn't included in the TL plan.)

Thank you,

Curtis Bradford, Vision 2020 Committee Chair and community lead on the Tenderloin Community Action Plan.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bill O'Such](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 4A-4D
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:34:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill O'Such <bill@elzevir.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: 4A-4D


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi

Just looked at 4A-4D

It would be great to have the Western part of 4D which includes key parts of the Cole Valley neighborhood added to 4B/4C. 4A breaks up our neighborhood

Best
Bill



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: debbilerman@sfhnsn.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting: Support for the Community Unity Map
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:34:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: debbilerman@sfhnsn.org <debbilerman@sfhnsn.org>

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 3:32 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting: Support for the Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

The San Francisco Human Services Network (HSN) endorses the Community Unity Map process and principles for redistricting San Francisco's 2020 Supervisorial districts. The Unity Map Coalition has undertaken a significant grassroots effort to ensure the preservation of communities of interest and maintain the voices of marginalized populations. In particular, we ask the Task Force to:

- Uphold the City Charter allowance of 5% population deviation;
- Maintain the unity of communities of interest in District 6 by keeping both the Tenderloin and Central SOMA within its boundaries; and
- Consider input from communities of color, low-income families, LGBTQIA+ residents, tenants and other marginalized communities in developing the final map.

HSN is a public policy association of about 80 San Francisco health and human services nonprofits that educates service providers, elected officials, policymakers and the community on how policy decisions affect our City's comprehensive array of social and health programs and the people we serve.

Thank you for your consideration of the Unity Map Coalition's specific recommendations!

Debbi Lerman, Director
San Francisco Human Services Network

Debbi Lerman, Director
San Francisco Human Services Network
191 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 668-0444 (office)
(415) 846-4637 (cell)
debbilerman@sfhsn.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Jason Pellegrini](#)
Subject: FW: COI MCA
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:34:46 PM
Attachments: [COI MCA.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jason Pellegrini <jpellegrini@sfmca.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 3:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: COI MCA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello,

I noticed our original e-mail bounced back because we had the wrong e-mail address, so we wanted to re-send it just so it is on the record with the task force. We also wanted to say thank you for all your hard work with this very important task. We have been sharing your emails and communications with our membership and have been encouraging participation.

Thank you,
Jason

--

Jason Pellegrini
President
Marina Community Association
www.sfmca.org
415.673.0106

jpellegrini@sfmca.org | _____



Marina Community Association

Marina Community Association
1517 North Point Street, Box #465
San Francisco, CA 94123

MCA Directors

February 25, 2022

Jason Pellegrini
President

To San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Eric Kingsbury
Secretary

The Marina Community Association, a network of over 1000 active residents and business owners who are dedicated to protecting and improving the distinctive qualities of San Francisco's Marina District, believe the Marina and Cow Hollow represent a Community of Interest (COI) and would ask that the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force keep our communities together.

Cindy Beckman
Treasurer

Maurice Franco
Jeff Jurow

Shelli Meneghetti

Residents of the Marina - bound by Van Ness to the east, Richardson to the west, Lombard to the south, and the bay to the north - and Cow Hollow - the neighborhood from Green Street north to Lombard and east to Van Ness - frequently work, shop, and dine on the main business corridors of both neighborhoods. Many younger residents move between the neighborhoods freely, as they both offer similar amenities and a quality of life. It's not uncommon to see parents living in either the Marina or Cow Hollow to send their children to elementary schools - Claire Lilienthal, Sherman, Saint Vincent De Paul - in the other neighborhood.

As such, we find it essential that these neighborhoods remain united and represented by the same officials at the municipal level.

Thank you very much for your time.

Respectfully,

Jason Pellegrini

jpellegrini@sfmca.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sophia Andary \[she/her\]](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force- D1 comments on latest Drafts
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:33:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sophia Andary [she/her] <sandary@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 12:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force- D1 comments on latest Drafts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for all your work, this is no easy task.

My name is Sophia Andary, I am a queer middle eastern woman, a commissioner and a community activist.

I have lived in the same apartment building in D1 (California & 25th Ave) for the last 13 years. Moved from a 1 bedroom rent control apartment in that building to a 2 bedroom rent control apartment because I needed an office space since I work from home & during Covid my neighbor moved out of CA. My landlady likes me and gave me reasonable rent so took that opportunity because to get more space living alone I may have had to leave SF and I love the Richmond District too much for me to leave.

The latest **4A, 4B, 4C, 4D** map drafts all include the Sea Cliff in D1 which is very concerning. This is actually a little heart breaking.

I couldn't have stayed in SF if it wasn't for rent control and affordable rent in D1 when I moved to SF 13 years ago. D1 is truly for the working class and low to middle income worker and renters and seniors and working families.

Sea Cliff is the opposite of what you see in D1/ Richmond District and to include this area in D1 would truly have dire consequences. Sea cliff area is a very high income area (in my lifetime I will never be able to live in sea cliff) and adding that to the median income will make it more difficult to receive the services our community needs.

We also need more diversity and Sea Cliff which is 72% white does not help in this area.

NOPA is good addition to District 1 since it has many renters.

District 1 has the highest number of Ellis Act evictions, so we need more tenants for strength and to keep this district affordable and diverse.

Please consider the Community Unity map for D1 redistricting lines.

And please do NOT include Sea Cliff in D1.

Thank you for your consideration and for listening to community members. I have no agenda except to see equity and fairness especially for women and poc.

Thank you,
Sophia Andary (She/Her/Hers)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Driver](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Voicing my support for maps 4B and 4C
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 4:33:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Driver <davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 11:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Voicing my support for maps 4B and 4C

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Commission,

I would like to voice my support for maps 4B and 4C.

I cannot support map 4D because all the west-side districts are underpopulated and all the east-side districts are overpopulated. Map 4D leaves no room for the population growth which is going to continue to be weighted to the city's east side.

I understand that it is hard to move old boundaries. But we should new create districts that can survive long into the future. If we avoid rebalancing now, we'll just have to do it 10 years from now. And it will be even harder then. Let's "rip the bandaid off" now and get it done right.

I also support combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin in D5.

I'm a former Haight-Ashbury resident, and I saw how the political center of gravity in the current D5 is weighted towards the Haight, NoPa and Sunset neighborhoods. The Western Addition is largely ignored and taken for granted in current D5 politics. So combining the Western Addition with the Tenderloin (and possibly Central Soma) will give those core city neighborhoods a much stronger voice.

Additionally, the Haight is a wobbler neighborhood. It has connections to the surrounding neighborhoods north, west and south, and can easily integrate into those supervisorial districts if needed. Haight residents are adept at making themselves heard, and they will not suffer a decline in representation no matter which district gets that neighborhood.

Finally, as a current Portola resident, I see the value in moving us to D10. While I am happy with our current situation in D9, there is a strong connection between the Portola, Vis Valley, and the Bayview. So I would support combining all those neighborhoods in D10 -- especially if it helps rebalance other areas of the map.

Thank you for your work,

David

David Driver

193 Dartmouth Street

San Francisco, CA 94134-1427

davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Preliminary Plan to Move Tenderloin into District 5 Proves Controversial
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 10:47:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 10:22 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Preliminary Plan to Move Tenderloin into District 5 Proves Controversial

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://missionlocal.org/2022/03/preliminary-plan-to-move-tenderloin-into-district-5-proves-controversial/____.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo1YWJmOTIhNzkwM2MyM2MzZjQ2NTBiYTBIYjgyMwYwNT01OjExMzE6NGU3ZTk2YzZjNTgwNjg1ZGM1NDNjOWZlZDY2YjJhOTdkZjQ5ZjE1MmY5MmQzYTg5Y2RiMjE5MTIjMjU0MmQyYz00k4

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); carolannrogers@prodigy.net
Subject: FW: Additional Letter to the Redistricting Task Force from Russian Hill Neighbors
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 10:46:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Russian Hill Neighbors Letter to RTF - Support for D3 United Map that keeps northeastern neighborhoods together.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 8:54 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Additional Letter to the Redistricting Task Force from Russian Hill Neighbors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Carroll,
Russian Hill Neighbors would like to submit the attached letter for distribution to the Task Force Members. This communication is in addition to our previous submissions of February 11 and March 17.

Thank you for your invaluable efforts and service in this redistricting process.

Carol Ann Rogers, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
415-902-3980





PRESIDENT

Carol Ann Rogers

VICE PRESIDENTS

Gregg Carr

Pamela Meyers

SECRETARY

Suzee Barrabee

TREASURER

Joyce Kucharvy

PAST PRESIDENT

Tina Moylan

DIRECTORS

Richard Cardello

Peter Kindel

Pamela Meyers

Laurie Petipas

Alice Alioto

Robert Bluhm

Lauren Bohlin

Greg Polchow

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Design, Zoning, and Land Use

Robert Bluhm

History

Al Greening

Communications

Susan Ford

Safety

Jennifer Parkes

Social

Pamela Meyers

Families

Moe Jamil

Annie Yang

Parks

Susan Karasoff

Membership

Open

Transportation

Steve Taber

Neighborhood Enhancement

Phoebe Douglass

Local Businesses

Teddy Kramer

Neighborhood Organizations

Matthew Mansfield

ADVISORS

Lucretia Rauh, Chair

Tim Covington

Helen Doyle

Judy Junghans

Jovanne Reilly

1819 Polk Street #221

San Francisco CA 94109

415.993.1808

www.rhnsf.org

March 29, 2022

TO: 2020 Census: Redistricting Task Force

RE: Russian Hill Neighbors Support for D3 United Map that keeps more than a dozen northeast quadrant neighborhoods together

Russian Hill Neighbors is one of the many neighborhood organizations that advocates for a compact and logical D3 with Van Ness Avenue as its western boundary. We appreciate that maps 3B and 4A reflect RHN's input. Thank you for hearing us.

RHN has been grateful for the many D3 organizations that have stepped forward to say that Russian Hill should remain in their district. We think they have done so because they know that, when needed, Russian Hill can be relied upon to work with them on issues of common interest. Although we do not always agree, our history of working together toward productive and thoughtful problem-solving has created a sense of trust and caring among us that promotes mutual respect and prioritizes long-term solutions over short-term interests.

RHN has had an excellent relationship over the past decade with our D2 Supervisors, but we do not have the same long history of collaboration with neighborhood organizations to our west. Van Ness Avenue is not just a line on a map; in terms of working with most of the other neighborhood organizations in D2, it functions as a hard boundary that separates rather than joins us. Placing all of Russian Hill in D2 would isolate us from our traditional network of peers.

If you ask a SF resident or tourist to define Russian Hill, that list will undoubtedly include:

- cable cars
- Swensen's ice cream
- the "crooked street"
- the City's steepest hill

Other, perhaps less known, characteristics include:

- An older housing stock of multi-family dwellings
- Multi-generational ownership of housing
- Stable, long-tenured and economically diverse residential mix
- Historic home of poets, writers, and artists

Cherished landmarks advocated for and protected by *RHN* include:

- three National Register listed Historic Districts, (the Vallejo Crest, the Paris Block and Macondray Lane)
- the oldest single family home in San Francisco (an SF Landmark)
- the Mexican-American shrine to our Lady of Guadalupe (an SF landmark)
- one of two extant octagon houses (National Register)
- the historic San Francisco Art Institute (where we have frequently held our Annual Meetings.)

We are also prime destination for tourists to San Francisco. From the 1860s when David Jobson charged “two bits” for the public to climb his observatory for views of the young city of San Francisco from the crest of Russian Hill until today when tourists flock to our neighborhood, guidebooks in hand, to enjoy our steep hills, stairway walks, and scenic open spaces, Russian Hill has been recognized as a “must see” along with Fisherman’s Wharf, Chinatown, Coit Tower, North Beach, Nob Hill, and the Embarcadero.

RHN plays an important role in the protection of several important open spaces. Through our Parks Committee we are a main local provider of volunteer hours and financial support to Ina Coolbrith Park (between Taylor and Mason at Vallejo), Sterling Park (between Hyde and Larkin at Greenwich), the Green Street Steps (between Jones and Taylor), the Vallejo Stairway Garden (between Jones and Taylor), the Chestnut Street Steps (between Larkin and Polk) and Helen Wills Playground (Broadway between Larkin and Polk.) We have also played an important role in the creation of the new Francisco Park (between Hyde and Larkin, Bay and Chestnut.)

Russian Hill is situated between Polk Street and Columbus/North Beach. Both areas serve as important commercial zones for our residents, providing many local products, services, dining, and entertainment, including access to our North Beach Public Library. Since a district supervisor is so important to both commercial and residential interests, severing the common district connection with our neighborhood-serving vendors would be harmful when pursuing our common goals.

We understand the complexity and challenges you face and that the time is short. We pledge to work with you and others to ensure a thoughtful solution that honors the longstanding relationships of Russian Hill with its D3 neighbors.

Very truly yours,
Carol Ann Rogers, President
president@rhnsf.org; 415-902-3980

Note: The boundaries of Russian Hill Neighbors as stated in our COI statement filed with the RTF on 2.11.22 are:

- The south side of North Point from the east side of Van Ness Avenue to the east side of Columbus Avenue
- Southeast on Columbus Avenue to the west side of Mason Street
- South on Mason Street to the south side of Pacific Avenue
- West on Pacific Avenue to the east side of Van Ness Avenue
- North on Van Ness Avenue to the south side of North Point Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Teresa Palmer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Gerrymandering of district 5
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:16:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Teresa Palmer <teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 8:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Gerrymandering of district 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please review the Sunrise COmmunity Unity Map/ This is legal and not gerrymandered.

Please reject the cutting up of district 5 as recommended in your recent maps.
It is probably illegal and I personally am happy to fund the lawsuit.

Teresa Palmer
1845 Hayes St.
San Francisco California
94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: alharris127@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:15:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Al Harris <alharris127@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 6:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please put ocean avenue south side back in district eleven

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bill O'Such](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 4 breaking up a community
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:15:38 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill O'Such <bill@elzevir.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 3:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 4 breaking up a community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi


I looked through v4 and it still subdivides a core part of Cole Valley. It is better than 3B but not as good as 3A.

There are several streets to the West of Stanyan that are part of Cole Valley. If you move the line to Hallway Avenue that would solve that problem. The other is that Frederick and 1-2 street north are part of Cole Valley. Perhaps stopping at Waller would be fine. If you need to remove some area, I would move the Guerrero line to Dolores.

Please visit Cole Valley and look at the geography and how things fit together.

Stop by ...

Best
Bill O'Such
4640 17th Street



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Robert Passaro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:15:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Passaro <rob.passaro@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 1:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Rob Passaro
382 Texas Street

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Joan Downey](#)
Subject: FW: changes to your map 4
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:15:18 PM
Attachments: [D8 map.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joan Downey <jdowney324@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 1:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: changes to your map 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

attached are my recommendations to changes to D8 boundaries

Thank you.

Joan Downey

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Adele Framer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: RE: San Francisco redistricting 2022
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:14:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Adele Framer <adeleframer@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 1:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: San Francisco redistricting 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello --

I am a current resident of District 5 in the Upper Haight.

I've looked at all the map proposals and very prefer the Community Unity map as described at <https://www.figma.com/file/74mW7pLAPqu3zXWoqF7fyG/Community-Unity-Map?node-id=0%3A1>

This keeps our neighborhoods together. Supervisory districts should not be arbitrarily sliced and diced. You may not realize it, but San Francisco neighborhoods have distinct demographics, histories, business compositions, interests, concerns, and even microclimates and traffic patterns. We need representatives who are familiar with these, block by block, shopping district by shopping district.

Please consider the Community Unity map rather than any of the other proposed redistricting maps, which look like they were created by bots that know nothing about San Francisco. They are all the more baffling because District 5 had the least population change of all 11 districts, and the stated original goal was to redistrict approximately 1200 people out of D5. Yet D5 has gotten exceedingly distorted on all but the Community Unity map.

Thank you,

Adele Frammer
183 Parnassus Ave. #4
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Nan Park](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Leave it the way it is
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:50 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nan Park <perrettipark@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Leave it the way it is

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please don't change the districts—leave them the way they been since I arrived in 1972 from Wisconsin and fell in love with The Western Addition, Japantown, St. Francis Square, [et.al.](#) Besides, we all collectively won first prize in the Bicentennial contest. Don't separate us via redistricting.

Nan

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Julie Christensen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D3
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:46 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie Christensen <jchrissf@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 10:15 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The proposed boundaries for D3, which include the Tenderloin, are unreasonable and unworkable.

D3 already has one of the most diverse and hard-to-manage collection of neighborhoods of any supervisorial district - Chinatown, North Beach, Fisherman's Wharf, The Gateway and waterfront, the Financial District, Union Square, Russian Hill, Nob Hill. It is already a challenge to serve all these divergent constituencies well, as I know from firsthand experience. Throwing in the Tenderloin pushes the task over the top.

I trust more sensible boundaries will emerge.

Julie Christensen

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 48 Hills:] New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.


By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 8:34 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: 48 Hills:] New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent: Mon, Mar 28, 2022 7:14 pm
Subject: [New post] New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco

Tim Redmond posted: " When a task force set out to draw lines for the new supervisorial districts in 2002, a lot of us were worried. Willie Brown was mayor, and he would have significant influence on the task force. Bad lines could divide up communities, fracture alliances—an"

New post on 48 hills




[New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco](#)

by [Tim Redmond](#)

When a task force set out to draw lines for the new supervisorial districts in 2002, a lot of us were worried. Willie Brown was mayor, and he would have significant influence on the task force. Bad lines could divide up communities, fracture alliances—and, like any gerrymandering, elect people who don't represent the most vulnerable communities in the city.

But it was fine. The lines were pretty much what the voters had approved when they restored district elections in 1996. The task force tinkered around the edges to adjust for population, but nobody was unhappy.



This is SF's current district maps, overlaid on a map showing the Progressive Voter Index, a tool first created by SF State Professor Emeritus Brian Murphy and since updated by consultant David Latterman and the Chron. The PVI shows the percentage of voters who tend toward progressive positions on key issues. Note that D5, D8, D9, and D10 have high concentrations of progressive voters. Mapping overlays by Michael Redmond

Ten years later, we worried again. Gavin Newsom was mayor; just a little bit of political influence could have made it harder to elect progressives. Again: It was fine.

As Sup. Aaron Peskin told me recently, since the return of district elections everyone has played by the book. They've kept the politics out of it, kept neighborhoods together, and made changes only around the margins.

Not this time.

The most recent district lines, which a sharply divided task force seems prepared to accept, would take the most progressive district in the city, which has elected two Green Party members and a Democratic Socialist, and blow it to bits.


It's strange: District 5 has had very little population change, but the vast majority of the redistricting changes have happened there. "It's makes me suspicious and I start to distrust the process," Christin Evans, who owns a bookstore in the Haight and is part of the Haight Ashbury Merchants Association, told me.

The task force—by a 5-4 vote—decided March 25 to continue its work with known as 3B, as a starting point. Another version, 3A, is pretty close to the current lines and doesn't make any radical changes.

But 3B does.


Now, another new version, 4A, goes even further.

Take a look at the map here:




how it chops up the progressive vote in the Haight.

This is version 3B. Note



Map Version 4, which shifts part of the Western Addition in to D2.



Map V4 with the PVI. It

even further breaks up progressive voting communities.

Since the first version of district elections, in 1977, the Haight Ashbury neighborhood has been in intact part of a district. Version 3B would split the Haight and the Western Addition, moving most of the Haight into district 1 or district 7, and moving the Tenderloin from D6 into D5.

Map 4A would shift part of the Western Addition into District 2, the most conservative district in the city.

Meanwhile, D6 would be made up largely of residents in the new condo buildings that we've seen in the past decade, meaning it's going to be a much more conservative district.

So the progressives lose that one (or, if Matt Haney isn't elected to state Assembly, get a representative who is moving hard to the Yimby side). District 4 loses the entire inner Sunset, meaning it will be almost impossible for a candidate like Gordon Mar to win. The progressive vote in D5 is scattered. D3 picks up more conservative areas on the edge of the Marina.

"This is," Calvin Welch, a longtime neighborhood activist, told me, "a clear and direct attack on the progressive board majority."

There are three meetings next week, April 2, 4, and 6. And there will no doubt be more versions.

But this process keeps coming up with maps that stray further and further from the old districts, for no apparent demographic reason.

I once said that it would be hard to draw 11 bad districts in San Francisco. I was wrong; the task force has done it.

You think the Mayor's Office might be behind all of this?

Tim Redmond | March 28, 2022 at 7:14 pm | Tags: [Aaron Peskin](#), [communities](#), [District 5](#), [Gavin Newsom](#), [Newsom](#), [Peskin](#), [San Francisco](#), [Tenderloin](#), [Western Addition](#), [Willie Brown](#)
| Categories: [Featured](#), [News + Politics](#), [Uncategorized](#) | URL: <https://48hills.org/?p=153371>

[Unsubscribe](#) to no longer receive posts from 48 hills.

Change your email settings at [Manage Subscriptions](#).

Trouble clicking? Copy and paste this URL into your browser:

<https://48hills.org/2022/03/new-district-lines-would-deeply-hurt-progressive-politics-in-san-francisco/>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Goes Off the Rails • Quentin: Garbage, Redistricting & Gas Taxes
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 8:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Redistricting Task Force Goes Off the Rails • Quentin: Garbage, Redistricting & Gas Taxes

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

begin forwarded message:

From: Westside Observer <editor@westsideobserver.com>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Goes Off the Rails • Quentin: Garbage, Redistricting & Gas Taxes
Date: March 28, 2022 at 4:38:16 PM PDT
To: <editor@westsideobserver.com>
Reply-To: <us19-84b69865ee-2fe90a453a@inbound.mailchimpapp.net>

WESTSIDE OBSERVER

Award Winning News for the Neighborhood Society of Professional Journalists, NorCal Chapter



New District lines have voters scrambling to learn who their new Supervisor is - others say it's time to end district elections.

Redistricting Task Force Goes Off the Rails

Chair, Reverend Townsend's Plan to make a new Black voting block is certain to end up in court.

The current District 5 has a Black Citizen Voting Age Population of 9.14%. The additional voting bloc, is permissible under the Voting Rights Act, Article 2, under certain specific conditions. However, such a District would seem to disregard weeks of Community of Interest testimony. Though combining the Tenderloin with majority Black areas in D5 might make it more likely that the District could elect a Black candidate sometime in the future it seems legally impermissible.

by Doug Comstock

[Read More](#)



Garbage, Redistricting & Gas Taxation

Three new Board of Education commissioners were appointed last month by Mayor London Breed who promises implicitly that SFUSD will somehow conquer a budget deficit of over \$125,000,000.

by Quentin Kopp

[Read More](#)



Almost 50% of donated platelets go to **cancer patients**.

GiveBloodToGiveTime.org

[PLEASE SUPPORT LOCAL NEWS REPORTING!](#)

Got an opposing view? We seek to represent all sides of the discussion.

[Your Feedback Is Welcome](#)



Please subscribe! Subscription is Free

But as a Sustaining Subscriber at \$5 a month, we'll make your 16¢ a day go a long way! Or become a benefactor. We count on you to keep us online

— thanks!

[Subscribe / Donate](#)

The Westside is blessed with all kinds of opinions. We try to show all sides of a question. Got an opposing position? [Let us know](#). We're here for you.



Copyright © 2022 Westside San Francisco Media, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in via our website.

Our mailing address is:

Westside San Francisco Media
PO Box 170524
San Francisco, CA 94117-0524

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: tesw@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 48 Hills:New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:26 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 8:17 AM
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#) <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: 48 Hills:New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent: Mon, Mar 28, 2022 7:14 pm

Subject: [New post] New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in San Francisco

Tim Redmond posted: " When a task force set out to draw lines for the new supervisorial districts in 2002, a lot of us were worried. Willie Brown was mayor, and he would have significant influence on the task force. Bad lines could divide up communities, fracture alliances—an"

New post on 48 hills

[New district lines would deeply hurt progressive politics in](#)

[San Francisco](#)

by [Tim Redmond](#)

When a task force set out to draw lines for the new supervisorial districts in 2002, a lot of us were worried. Willie Brown was mayor, and he would have significant influence on the task force. Bad lines could divide up communities, fracture alliances—and, like any gerrymandering, elect people who don't represent the most vulnerable communities in the city.

But it was fine. The lines were pretty much what the voters had approved when they restored district elections in 1996. The task force tinkered around the edges to adjust for population, but nobody was unhappy.

This is SF's current district maps, overlaid on a map showing the Progressive Voter Index, a tool first created by SF State Professor Emeritus Brian Murphy and since updated by consultant David Latterman and the Chron. The PVI shows the percentage of voters who tend toward progressive positions on key issues. Note that D5, D8, D9, and D10 have high concentrations of progressive voters. Mapping overlays by Michael Redmond

Ten years later, we worried again. Gavin Newsom was mayor; just a little bit of political influence could have made it harder to elect progressives. Again: It was fine.

As Sup. Aaron Peskin told me recently, since the return of district elections everyone has played by the book. They've kept the politics out of it, kept neighborhoods together, and made changes only around the margins.

Not this time.

The most recent district lines, which a sharply divided task force seems prepared to accept, would take the most progressive district in the city, which has elected two Green Party members and a Democratic Socialist, and blow it to bits.


It's strange: District 5 has had very little population change, but the vast majority of the redistricting changes have happened there. "It's makes me suspicious and I start to distrust the process," Christin Evans, who owns a bookstore in the Haight and is part of the Haight Ashbury Merchants Association, told me.

The task force—by a 5-4 vote—decided March 25 to continue its work with known as 3B, as a starting point. Another version, 3A, is pretty close to the current lines and doesn't make any radical changes.


But 3B does.

Now, another new version, 4A, goes even further.

Take a look at the map here:

 This is version 3B. Note how it chops up the progressive vote in the Haight.

 Map Version 4, which shifts part of the Western Addition in to D2.

 Map V4 with the PVI. It even further breaks up progressive voting communities.

Since the first version of district elections, in 1977, the Haight Ashbury neighborhood has been in intact part of a district. Version 3B would split the Haight and the Western Addition, moving most of the Haight into district 1 or district 7, and moving the Tenderloin from D6 into D5.

Map 4A would shift part of the Western Addition into District 2, the most conservative

district in the city.

Meanwhile, D6 would be made up largely of residents in the new condo buildings that we've seen in the past decade, meaning it's going to be a much more conservative district.

So the progressives lose that one (or, if Matt Haney isn't elected to state Assembly, get a representative who is moving hard to the Yimby side). District 4 loses the entire inner Sunset, meaning it will be almost impossible for a candidate like Gordon Mar to win. The progressive vote in D5 is scattered. D3 picks up more conservative areas on the edge of the Marina.

"This is," Calvin Welch, a longtime neighborhood activist, told me, "a clear and direct attack on the progressive board majority."

There are three meetings next week, April 2, 4, and 6. And there will no doubt be more versions.

But this process keeps coming up with maps that stray further and further from the old districts, for no apparent demographic reason.

I once said that it would be hard to draw 11 bad districts in San Francisco. I was wrong; the task force has done it.

You think the Mayor's Office might be behind all of this?

Tim Redmond | March 28, 2022 at 7:14 pm | Tags: [Aaron Peskin](#), [communities](#), [District 5](#), [Gavin Newsom](#), [Newsom](#), [Peskin](#), [San Francisco](#), [Tenderloin](#), [Western Addition](#), [Willie Brown](#) | Categories: [Featured](#), [News + Politics](#), [Uncategorized](#) | URL: <https://48hills.org/?p=153371>

[Unsubscribe](#) to no longer receive posts from 48 hills.

Change your email settings at [Manage Subscriptions](#).

Trouble clicking? Copy and paste this URL into your browser:

<https://48hills.org/2022/03/new-district-lines-would-deeply-hurt-progressive-politics-in-san-francisco/>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bram Goodwin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SF Redistricting Task Force: Keep D5 Neighborhoods together as they are now constituted
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Bram Goodwin <goodwin.bram@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 8:07 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: SF Redistricting Task Force: Keep D5 Neighborhoods together as they are now constituted

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Redistricting Task Force,

I have seen your latest San Francisco Redistricting map, and am very dismayed to see that you have cut the Haight Ashbury, my home, NOPA, Lower Haight off from District 5.

I have lived in the Haight since the 1970's, been active in the local community, politics ... As a community, we have always been oriented to the other D5 neighborhoods of NOPA, Western Addition, Hayes Valley .. D5's current boundaries are a Community of Interest (COI).

We have much in common traditionally with these neighborhoods. In fact, the traditional African American neighborhoods, west of Van Ness are the Fillmore, Western Addition, NOPA, Lower Haight, Haight Ashbury. Many families for generations have been spread in these neighborhoods. They work on issues that are, have been of vital importance to our COI. These COI neighborhoods deserve to have common representation.

Our roads, public transportation, places of worship, other community institutions are linked, so again common representation is a must.

With all due respect to the Sunset or Marina neighborhoods, where you have lumped many of my neighbors, we do not have much in common with them. Their housing, businesses, are more suburban in nature .. the makeup of the Haight is more Urban, more rental units in housing ... Human Demographics are quite different.. Bottom line, we don't want to be lumped in with them.

The population in D5 has been very stable, so we would request that the SF Redistricting Task Force keep D5, its neighborhoods, together as now constituted.

If you have further questions, feel free to contact me.

bram

Bram Goodwin
photographer
Founder, San Francisco Social Club
415.505.3686
twitter: bramgoodwin
linkedin: bramfoto

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: will@stuffs.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep SOMA together with MAP B for D6 supervisor - plus KEEP small triangle in D6
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: will@stuffs.com <will@stuffs.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 7:39 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please keep SOMA together with MAP B for D6 supervisor - plus KEEP small triangle in D6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the hard working redistricting group,

Please keep SOMA together with MAP B. Plus can you include the small triangle in MAP B where along Division where the word Central Fwy is, this area makes sense since the freeway over Division is a major dividing point. It makes no sense for the small triangle in 9B, it should be in D6.

I moved to SOMA in 2002 and have seen many changes throughout the decades, and expect the changes to continue for the upcoming decade. These changes have been businesses moving into the neighborhood and large development projects bringing new residence to the neighborhood as empty parking lots and old warehouses are changed all for the better. All of these changes we've seen through the entire SOMA area with the same similar residents moving in, therefore it makes total sense to keep all of SOMA Together with MAP B.

Plus many people say MAP B doesn't keep the Filipino Cultural District together, however MAP B does include the entire Filipino Cultural District all within MAP B.

Enjoy!

William Lenker
745 Clementina Street, unit B
San Francisco, CA 94103
c 415-710-5352

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Judith Beck](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D5 Redistricting must be better
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 11:30:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judith Beck <judy.beck@juno.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 11:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D5 Redistricting must be better

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,

The redistricting of D-5 on the latest map is not acceptable. It doesn't make sense to break up the coherence of our Japanese and African American community. I'm glad that you found a way to stitch St. Francis Square (where I live) back together, but the newest map leaves a number of key neighborhood/community anchors stranded in a separate district: several Japanese Buddhist churches and St. Francis/Xavier, Hamilton Rec. Center, the Youth Council buildings, Cobb School, and, most egregious to me as a S F Public Library retiree, the Western Addition Library. Our neighborhood *is* the Western Addition, and all these institutions belong to it. You really must find a better way to solve the redistricting/population puzzle.

Sincerely,

Judith Beck - D5 resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Bill Owen](#)
Cc: info@betterhousingpolicies.org; info@sfcadc.org
Subject: FW: Northeast Waterfront and Southeast Waterfront District Maps
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:56:37 PM
Attachments: [NE Waterfront Neighborhoods - Community of Interest Statement \(OWEN\).pdf](#)
[NE Waterfront Neighborhoods District.pdf](#)
[SE Waterfront Neighborhoods District.pdf](#)
[J.R. Eppler D10 Unity Map.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bill Owen <bill@owenmed.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:04 PM
To: info@betterhousingpolicies.org; info@sfcadc.org
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Northeast Waterfront and Southeast Waterfront District Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Friends at San Francisco Chinese American Democratic Club and Better Housing Policies:

I am a retired internal medicine specialist and a 37 year resident of the south slope of Potrero Hill. I have no disclosures to report, financial, political, organizational, or otherwise. I am just a concerned citizen who wants to see the best for my community and my city.

I wanted to let you know that, at recent online San Francisco Redistricting Task Force meetings, I listened carefully to the testimony of the Chinese American Democratic Club (CADC), of the Better Housing Policies (BHP) organization, and of residents of Visitacion Valley, Portola, and Bayview neighborhoods. **Their heartfelt testimony convinced me to support your proposal to include those neighborhoods together in a new district.** I have referred to your new district as the Southeast Waterfront Neighborhoods District (SEWFND). At this stage of redistricting, we should try to avoid using the old district numbers, which tend to tie us down to past decisions that do not reflect current realities.

I also reviewed the CADC and BHP maps you proposed for your new SEWFND (see your map, which is attached). Interestingly, its northern border fits almost perfectly with the southern border of the new district I have proposed, the Northeast Waterfront Neighborhoods District (NEWFND) (see my attached map), which includes all of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and most of SOMA. **Both of these newly drawn district maps are compact, contiguous, ethnically and racially diverse, and fall well within the required parameters for population.**

In the descriptions of your maps, you noted that the map proposal of J.R. Eppler, the President of the Potrero Boosters, of which I am a member, had its southern border at Cesar Chavez. I wish that were the case! Unfortunately, tonight I received the last-minute unexpected notification that, at the Tuesday, March 29, 2022 evening online meeting of the Potrero Boosters, **Mr. Eppler will be advocating for something he calls the "D10 Unity Map"** (see his map, which is attached). To me, this looks like an ill-considered rehash of the district we have currently.

From the standpoint of the CADC and BHP and of the people who passionately testified about the need for a new SEWFND, **Eppler's proposal will NOT allow neighborhoods like Visitacion Valley and Portola to unite with Bayview. And it will not allow Potrero Hill and Dogpatch to combine with SOMA, with which we have developed many common issues over the past decade:** in public safety, transportation, UCSF expansion, where our newest residents work, etc. (See my attached Northeast Waterfront Neighborhoods - Community of Interest Statement, which also addresses your aspiration for a new SEWFND.)

I am not sure precisely what you can do at this point. But **I would urge you to keep advocating for the CADC and BHP maps you have designed for the SEWFND.** Perhaps, in your presentations to the upcoming online meetings of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force, you might consider pointing out how your maps of a new Southeast Waterfront Neighborhoods District complement the NEWFND map that I have designed for the Northeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods of Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, and SOMA.

Please feel free to pass along this email and/or the attachments to others who might find them useful in your testimony. I will also send a copy of this email to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force to show them how your map and ours complement each other and how they truly represent the most equitable Supervisorial District solution for the Eastern Waterfront Neighborhoods over the next decade.

Best regards,

William F. ("Bill") Owen, Jr., M.D.

CC: San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

Attachments:

1. NE Waterfront Neighborhoods - Community of Interest Statement
2. NE Waterfront Neighborhoods District Map w Deviation (Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, SOMA)
3. SE Waterfront Neighborhoods District Map w Deviation (Visitacion Valley, Portola, Bayview)
4. J.R. Eppler D10 Unity Map (Potrero Hill, Dogpatch, Showplace Square, Bayview)

NE Waterfront Neighborhoods - Community of Interest Statement

The first draft of the new district map, released on Friday, March 11, 2022, is a gerrymandered abomination. It filets our Potrero Hill - Dogpatch neighborhood right down its spine, lopping off part of our community east of Hwy 101, along with blocks north of 16th Street in Showplace Square. Our community leaders have forcefully argued for keeping Potrero Hill and Dogpatch together. However, I must respectfully ask if the redistricting commissioners were paying attention to the testimony our Potrero Hill and Dogpatch community representatives presented at the recent hearings on Zoom.

In my opinion, while the map submitted by our own community leaders recognizes the historic indivisibility of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, which is one of the necessary criteria, it does not meet the other requirements for an actual district. The criteria include near equal population compared to other districts, contiguousness, compactness, and representation of diverse communities.

Much has changed during the 36 years I have been a Potrero Hill resident. Our neighborhoods, including Dogpatch, have become much more aligned to the new neighborhoods north of us. While we live in Potrero Hill and Dogpatch, many of us work in SOMA. And many of the issues we face are like those of SOMA residents: increasing crime and lack of adequate security; encroaching homelessness and absence of creative ways for solving this dilemma; the expansion of UCSF; traffic and parking issues generated by the huge sports complexes; and inadequate transportation.

Both our Potrero Hill and Dogpatch community leaders and the members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force need to start thinking out of the box, so that we do not allow our Potrero Hill-Dogpatch community to become divided. To this end, using the redistricting map tool, I have designed a district that incorporates all the criteria listed above (see attached image). It keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA by merging the part of District 10 that includes our neighborhoods with the southeastern part of District 6 that includes SOMA.

The new district I have proposed is both compact and contiguous, bounded by the northeastern waterfront, Mission Street in SOMA, the Central Freeway, Potrero Avenue, and Islais Creek. The population of this new district is 79,428 (goal population minus 0.15%) and it is ethnically diverse: 12.2% Hispanic, 38.6% White, 7.0% Black, 38.4% Asian, and 1.1% Multi-Racial. I have given the new district a colorful nickname, "SOMA Pot Patch", which incorporates a bit of each of the names of its components, but it may be formally known as "Northeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods."

At the most recent Redistricting Task Force online hearing on Saturday, March 5, 2022, many leaders and community residents of the Visitacion Valley and Portola neighborhoods testified that it was important for them to have their neighborhoods AND Bayview included in one new supervisorial district. Our proposal to combine Potrero Hill and Dogpatch with SOMA in a new "Northeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods" district would serendipitously have the effect of supporting the aspirations of Visitacion Valley and Portola residents to combine with Bayview in one "Southeastern Waterfront Neighborhoods" district.

District name : NE Waterfront Neighborhoods

% Deviation : -0.15

Comments : New district keeps together historical Potrero Hill and Dogpatch and unites them with the vibrant tech/biotech hub of SOMA by merging the northern part of current D10 with the SE part of current D6.




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : CADCA's D10 Map

% Deviation : 1.0

Comments :



District name : D10 Unity Map

% Deviation : 4.78

Comments :



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathryn Goldman Schuyler](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Clarendon Heights and redistricting
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:55:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathryn Goldman Schuyler <kathryn@red7.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Clarendon Heights and redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a homeowner in Clarendon Heights, I hope you will keep it (us) in District 7, as our issues and concerns are more similar. I see that we are in District 7 in the most recent version of the map, so I hope we will remain there, as we have been for years.

Kathryn Goldman Schuyler
Homeowner, Palo Alto Avenue

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Saia](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force Proposal
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:55:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Saia <johnsaia@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 6:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, I write in support of proposal 3B. Personally, I believe your proposal nicely balances out a very dense part of the city and allows for voices, currently silenced in D5, to be heard in our city's political process. As a longtime resident of Cole Valley and San Francisco that will be directly affected by the change, I very much look forward to a city representation that unites our communities and encourages fair representation.

Best,

John Saia

1512 Willard St, San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [karoline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map for District 5! Yuk!
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:55:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:20 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Redistricting Map for District 5! Yuk!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sorry, I should have been specific about which map I was referring to:
Map 3B. The one that carves up District 5 and shoehorns different parts of it into other districts.

On 2022-03-28 10:30, karoline wrote:

> Hello!

>

> I just viewed the proposed boundaries for District 5 and District 1. I
> object strenuously to the Haight-Ashbury being lumped in with the
> Richmond District. We are far more culturally connected to the Western
> Addition than the Richmond and I can't help but feel like this is an
> attack on the district with one of the largest percentage of renters
> and consistent progressive elected official. This new map splits my
> tiny neighborhood into two districts: the Richmond and the Castro, and
> I very much think we should remain, as we are, connected to Western
> Addition and all the local cultural history we share. Please alter
> these maps to make some sense.

>

> Thank you for your time in this matter!

>

> —karoline hatch-berens

> 94117

From: [Christy Shirilla](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Redistricting Maps
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 5:11:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello All,

My name is Christy Shirilla and I am the Director of Community Organizing and Resident Voice with the Tenderloin Community Benefit District.

The TLCBD is supportive of map 3B because it keeps the Tenderloin whole, which has been our main concern throughout this mapping process.

We thank you all for your hard work. If you'd like to discuss this further, please feel free to call or email.

Best,

Christy Shirilla

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: metrolan@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting of D7 to Include Inner Sunet
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:41:56 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: metrolan@aol.com <metrolan@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting of D7 to Include Inner Sunet

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing in support of the Inner Sunset (roughly (Lincoln to Kirkham, 7th to 19th) being included in District 7 during the redistricting process. This area is currently split between District's 4 and 5. Except for immediate neighbors this area's customers reside mostly in District 7. I feel it is in the community's interest to keep the business and customer bases in the same District.

The reason I request this change is that I feel the Inner Sunset doesn't get the attention it deserves. It is split between two districts and it is at the extreme end in both of them. This was especially noticeable during the pandemic. While all businesses were hit hard, the Inner Sunset looked worse than most. There were many boarded up windows due to not only closures, but vandalism. Trees along Irving Street were also targeted and damaged or destroyed. I feel that if this area was joined together and connected to its most immediate District it would be better served.

Thank you,
Karen Metro

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: Filipino Historical and Cultural Community COI Boundary Changed and Dismissed on Map Viewer
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:41:18 PM
Attachments: [Filipino Historical and Cultural Community Boundaries.pdf](#)
[Filipino Historical and Cultural Community Boundaries - Wide View.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

I'm looking at the several COI submissions which we have received in the past few days—many have been uploaded to our Airtable chart today, as it's the first workday since this weekend's meetings. I see a submission from you at 12:16 a.m. on Saturday March 26, 2022 has now been added to the COI Public Input tab of our Airtable system.

I don't know how long it will take for Q2 to add the information to the Map Viewer.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Woo <david@somapilipinas.org>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 3:11 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Raquel R. Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>
Subject: Filipino Historical and Cultural Community COI Boundary Changed and Dismissed on Map Viewer

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force Members,

I am following up on a publicly submitted COI boundary map, the "Filipino Historical and Cultural Community" COI boundaries. These boundaries were submitted on 3/26 through the online form, and can be found under COI Public Input. These boundaries, however, are not shown as a layer on the Redistricting Task Force Map Viewer under "Publicly Submitted Communities of Interest" which have been largely guiding this Task Force in their drawing of boundaries.

There is a layer that has shown up titled "Tenderloin" which covers the northern half of the submitted "Filipino Historical and Cultural Community" boundaries; however, the entire southern portion of this boundary is not included. This boundary covers BOTH the northern areas of the Tenderloin AND the southern areas of the SOMA as outlined in the submitted boundary map. This COI boundary spans both the TL and SOMA.


It appears that either the Q2 consultant or the Task Force (I am not sure who decides how to upload COI boundaries) is manipulating publicly submitted COI boundaries, or altogether ignoring publicly submitted COI boundaries. Why has the COI boundaries that were submitted not been added as a "Publicly Submitted Community of Interest" on the Task Force Map Viewer to inform the process of redrawing district boundaries? It is extremely frustrating that now both online submissions of COI and verbal testimony of COI are being ignored, and that instead the Task Force is trying to redefine what we have submitted and outright dismissing it. If we are incorrectly submitting COI boundary information, either online or verbally, please explain how so.

Other COI maps such as "Emerging Communities" have been uploaded and accepted. If it is easier for the Task Force to upload this boundary map under a different name you can use "Historical POC Communities". I again request for the "Filipino Historical and Cultural Community" OR alternatively named "Historical POC Communities" boundaries be added AS SHOWN (again attached) to the publicly submitted communities of interest layer on the Task Force Viewer Map.

Thank you,
David
--
David Woo

CHHESS Land Use Analyst
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District

Filipino Historical and Cultural Community Boundaries




COI Boundary



Filipino Historical and Cultural Community Boundaries

Filipino Historical and Cultural Community Boundaries



COI Boundary



Filipino Historical and Cultural
Community Boundaries

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ocean Paws](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Ocean Ave Merchant
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:24:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ocean Paws <team@sfoceanpaws.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 2:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Ocean Ave Merchant

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94122
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am writing to express concern about the latest maps that divide the Ocean Avenue community benefits district. The merchants along Ocean are diverse and are reflective of the multicultural roots of the intersecting neighborhoods. For the past few years, Ocean hosted annual Lunar New Year celebrations drawing hundreds of residents to the corridor.

Ocean Avenue serves as a hub and connector not just the Ingleside neighborhood, but for surrounding neighborhoods primarily in District 7: Westwood Park, Dorado Terrace, Westwood Highlands, Ingleside Terrace, and Sunnyside. The current proposed map bifurcates the community benefits district at Ashton Avenue. We fear that this will have an adverse impact on the representation and services we will receive. Currently we are represented by the Supervisor of District 7, which has resulted in a close collaboration with that office. It is clear who we need to reach out to for advocacy on budgetary and policy issues; as well as service requests. Since the office also represents residents in parts of Ingleside and the other neighborhoods - we also feel there is a sense of cohesiveness that we believe will be lost if part of our corridor is redistricted into District 11.

Please reconsider your map and keep all of the Ocean Avenue CBD and the existing precincts up to Holloway in District 7. We are in a state of recovery and need consistent leadership with one representative at the Board of Supervisors so we can best serve our small businesses and support the neighbors that rely on their services.

Michael Sorrels & Fernando Gomez

--

Ocean Paws Pet Boutique
1637 Ocean Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Javier Lopez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: district 10 and 17th st
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:24:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Javier Lopez <javlopez@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 11:44 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: district 10 and 17th st

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, map 3b doesn't make sense to me. I live in Potrero Hill at 19th and Texas and in my experience, 17th Street is very much a part of our community.

We go to the Potrero Whole Foods. We walk to that Philz. We get drinks at Connecticut Yankee, Thee Parkside, and Anchor Public Taps, and see indie shows at the Bottom of the Hill. We bring blankets and meet friends at Jackson Playground. Our community extends at a minimum to 16th Street.

It would be weird for a place named 'Bottom of the Hill' to be in a different district than the Hill itself!

If I had to trade for it, personally I would give up anything west of 101. Yes, historically that was part of our community, but a giant freeway is very effective at drawing lines..

Thanks,
-javier

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [karoline](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map for District 5! Yuk!
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:23:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: karoline <karoline@khatch.com>

Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:31 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Map for District 5! Yuk!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

I just viewed the proposed boundaries for District 5 and District 1. I object strenuously to the Haight-Ashbury being lumped in with the Richmond District. We are far more culturally connected to the Western Addition than the Richmond and I can't help but feel like this is an attack on the district with one of the largest percentage of renters and consistent progressive elected official. This new map splits my tiny neighborhood into two districts: the Richmond and the Castro, and I very much think we should remain, as we are, connected to Western Addition and all the local cultural history we share. Please alter these maps to make some sense.

Thank you for your time in this matter!

—karoline hatch-berens
94117

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Ferrannini](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Request for comment - criticism
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:23:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Ferrannini <JFerrannini@kron4.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 10:14 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for comment - criticism

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Good morning,

What is your response to some of the criticism that your maps cut up District 8 and the LGBTQ community, and excise Rafael Mandelman from his district.

Thanks,

--

John Ferrannini
Digital Producer, KRON4 News
Mobile – (1) 916-273-0145



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Cathy Inamasu](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistributing district 5
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:23:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Cathy Inamasu <nlfchildcare@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:55 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistributing district 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nihonmachi Little Friends urges you to push District 5 north onto California Street between Buchanan and Laguna Street, and extend the western boundary to include 800 Presidio. These actions will unify our historic Japantown/Western Addition by including the Japanese Community Youth Council and the Booker T Washington Center which includes JCYC programs, within District 5.

Please keep this essential anchor organization within our District 5!!

Thank you,

Cathy Inamasu
Executive Director
Nihonmachi Little Friends
1830 Sutter Street, 94115

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mullane](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Appalled by D5 Carve-up
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:23:11 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mullane <mullane.ahern@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:46 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Appalled by D5 Carve-up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force:

Please see the message forwarded below. I searched for contact information and found your support contact on the city's website, as you've had no active presence in the community and no active engagement to solicit input in an enormous carve up of the historic neighborhoods of District 5.

Why is it that there is such a massive rush to carve up and separate communities in this redistricting effort and where are your task force members engaging the voices of our longterm residents, neighbors, small businesses, families, and those impacted by this aggressive proposal?

How many experts on gentrification and redlining are working on this project? How many fair housing experts and tenants rights advocates are working on this project? How many statisticians are working on this project? How many experts on structural racism are contributing to this project?

How and when are you actively engaging the community for input? Where are you posting notices physically? Are you hosting roundtable sessions and with whom?

When is it that you are actively in the community posting notices and where are the QR codes letting people know they can participate in this process? I have not seen them in the Fillmore or the Lower Haight. I've not seen them in the Western Addition or NOPA. It's so strange, too, as I have a dog, am actively out and about and even work in City Hall. How could you possibly notice a meeting with only 12 hours for such a massive decision on a Friday night to Saturday morning? That's gotta be a joke. We need accountability, transparency, and oversight in this process. We need active participation from a range of community members.

Dividing up our D5 communities overnight and handing them out to different districts is not right. I look forward to your responses.

Regards,

Mullane Ahern 

she / her / ella

District 5 Resident

Begin forwarded message:

From: Mullane Ahern <mullane.ahern@gmail.com>

Subject: Appalled by D5 Carve-up

Date: March 26, 2022 at 11:38:36 AM PDT

To: support@publicredistricting.com

Cc: Supervisor Dean Preston <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>

The sudden proposal to carve up District 5's historic neighborhoods and dole them out to separate districts is appalling.

I found the map in city hall a few days ago and it is absurd.

I've lived in D5 for a decade and a half. I'm truly disgusted by what you are proposing to do with no community input. It's wrong. A longer letter will follow.

Regards,

Mullane Ahern 

she / her / ella

[415.582.3200](tel:415.582.3200)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: chock313@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Map 3B is NOT good for Cole Valley
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:22:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: chock313@gmail.com <chock313@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 7:48 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Map 3B is NOT good for Cole Valley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Map 3B puts most of Cole Valley (South of Parnassus) in with Lake Merced, Stonestown, and the neighborhoods on the far south west of the city!???! As a many year Cole Valley resident, I can tell you that makes no sense. We have zero/no alignment and affinity with those neighborhoods. They are way on the other side of Twin Peaks as us. There are, for example, no bus/muni routes that are common to that area.

Map 3A is a much better way to handle Cole Valley – i.e., not splitting the neighborhood in half and keeping it aligned with Haight Ashbury.

Thanks,
Chris Hock
1585 Shrader St., SF, CA 94117