Agenda Item No. 2, Part 2	
	2020 Census Redistricting Task Force AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST
Date Date	: April 6, 2022
	Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022 Maps 4B, 4C and 4D - Q2 Data and Research – March 30, 2022 Map 4A from Q2 Data and Research – March 26, 2022 Maps 3A and 3B from Q2 Data and Research – March 25, 2022 Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022 Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022 Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – April 2, 2022 Mapping Tool submissions - March 21 through April 2, 2022
	Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all mapping tool submissions received by the Task Force. All redistricting tool and COI submissions are available for review on our Airtable Chart:

https://airtable.com/shrSqWhuZRnaRrNnp

Date: April 3, 2022

Date:

Prepared by: John Carroll
Prepared by: John Carroll

Prepared by: John Carroll

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census
 Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

• Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

- -Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A
 - -3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22
- -Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):
 - TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.
- -Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF Maps 2 A & 2 B
 - TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
 - TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.
 - *From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.
- -Mapping Day 4: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C
 - TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
 - TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.
- -Mapping Day 5: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF Maps 4 A & 4 B
 - TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
 - TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

Map 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D; March 30, 2022

Maps 4 B, 4 C, and 4 D were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 4 A, which was drawn in the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Map 4 A was posted on the Task Force's website and map viewer on 3/28/2022. The Task Force direction for these maps is as follows:

- Include Haight Ashbury with District 8
- Make the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in one district while maintaining the publicly submitted definition of Chinatown District 3
- Explore maintaining 16th Street west of the 280 and potentially add in Showplace Square as the northern line of District 10
- Explore including the Dogpatch with District 10
- Explore maintaining the Sacramento Street boundary of District 3, and potentially unifying the Financial District in District 3
- Explore including Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in District 10

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of each of these maps.


Because the Task Force must adhere to district population requirements as outlined in the Charter, it is not possible to accomplish all of the above direction in one map. Some of this direction was implemented in each map.

Map 4 B moves Haight Ashbury into district 8B; includes Portola with Sunnydale, Visitacion Valley, University Mound, Little Hollywood, Bayview and Hunter's Point in district 10B; and maintains the district 3B boundary of Sacramento Street. These changes cause shifts to other districts, including but not limited to moving part of SOMA into district 5B, the boundary between 8B and 9B shifting west to balance population, and the boundary between 6B and 10B moving south to balance population.

Map 4 C moves Dogpatch into district 10C, adjusting the boundary between districts 10C and 6C to 16th Street per Task Force direction. Haight Ashbury is also included in 8C. As a result, the boundary between 6C and 3C is moved north to Pacific Avenue and the boundary between 8C and 7C is moved east, among other changes in the districts to balance population.


Map 4 D keeps the Tenderloin and SOMA west of 2nd Street and north of I-80 whole in district 6D while maintaining the publicly-submitted definition of Chinatown district 3D. Dogpatch is included in district 10D, the district 10D boundary is moved to 16th Street, and the Financial District is whole in district 3D. A number of changes are reflected in some of the other districts to balance population to accommodate these shifts.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


Map 4 B; March 30, 2022



District 6B detail


District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 B; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.


Map 4 C; March 30, 2022



District 6C detail


District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 C; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District 3D detail

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 D; March 30, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022


Map 4 A was created during the Task Force's live line drawing meeting on March 26, 2022. Additional maps drawn at the Task	Force's
direction will be released soon.	

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District 6 A detail

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 4 A; March 26, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation.

Map 3 A and 3 B; March 25, 2022

Maps 3 A and 3 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 2 B:


- Keep Bernal Heights whole in D9, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for Bernal Heights
- Use flexibility at the boundary between D8 and D9, trying to keep Guerrero as the boundary at the north the districts
- Keep North Beach whole, using the publicly-submitted Community of Interest definition for North Beach
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach ad Mission Bay
- Map one version of the districts with D6 drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

Each of the first three goals outlined above was accomplished in Map 3 A. Map 3 A was drawn to include the Tenderloin with South Beach and Mission Bay in district 6A.


Each of the first three goals outlined above was also accomplished in Map 3 B. Map 3 B was drawn to include the eastern waterfront communities of East Cut, Rincon Hill, South Beach, and Mission Bay in district 6B. To implement this direction while adhering to goals outlined above, the Tenderloin is included in district 5B in this visualization, resulting in significant changes to the make-up of district 5B and surrounding districts to balance population.

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation...

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




6A detail

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation...

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 A; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation...

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 3 B; March 25, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022


Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:

- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.


Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District 6B Detail

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation...

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


Map 1 A




Map 1 A




Map 1 A




Map 1 A




Map 1 A




Map 1 A



Map 1 A




Map 1 A




District 6A detail


Map 1 A




Map 1 A




Map 1 A



Map 1 A



Map 1 A





Mapping and Public Input

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

- ➤ San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.
- ➤ Redistricting happens every ten years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.



Introduction: What is Redistricting?

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District: 79,545

		Percent
District	Population	Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%



What are the criteria for redistricting?

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (equal population)
- Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods
- Preservation of communities of interest (Cols)
- Contiguity
- Compactness



Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

- ➤ U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population.
 - ➤ Population variations between districts should be limited to ±1% of the mean (±795 people) unless additional variations, limited to 5% of the mean (±3,977 people), are necessary to keep recognized neighborhoods intact.
- ➤ District map must comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting power of racial and language minorities.



Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

- Recognized neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources like the Planning Department. Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.
- Communities of interest (Cols) are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, economic, or cultural interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- Cols are defined by those familiar with the community.
 - Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.
 - It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.
 - > Interests need not be limited to the current situation but can also include common goals.
- Communities of Interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



Examples of Common Interests

Economic interests:

Current situation: common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof)

Goals: expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.

Social interests:

Current: schools, transportation, parks, housing

Goals: improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.

Cultural interests:

Current: holidays, cultural businesses

Goals: cultural meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts



Contiguity, Compactness & Census Geography

- ➤ Districts should be <u>contiguous</u>
 - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district
 - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous
- ➤ Districts should be compact
 - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations
- > District boundaries must generally follow census blocks



What do you think about the draft map(s)?

- What do you like about the draft map(s)? What do you want to change?
- > Let the Task Force know how you want the districts to be drawn
 - Borders of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest
 - Specific streets and landmarks
 - If referring to a specific draft map, please name the map (e.g., Map 2 A)
 - We welcome hand-drawn maps of any size
- How to submit your ideas:
 - Public comment at Task Force meetings
 - Redistricting mapping tool: https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/
 - Email us your comments and hand-drawn maps: rdtf@sfgov.org



Next Steps for the Task Force

- All publicly submitted maps and narratives will be considered public comment. No public comment/map will be given preferential treatment over any other comment/map from the public.
- The Task Force will consider all submissions to assist in its decisionmaking but will not advance or vote on any map submitted by the public.
- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will direct its consultant Q2 Data and Research to create the draft maps and ultimately the official final map.



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040120222314_Stop Playin

Plan name: 3	Stop Playin
--------------	-------------

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: The communities of D5 such as the Fillmore, Japantown, the Western Addition, the Haight, NOPA -- we are a COMMUNITY OF INTEREST. We in D5, in the Western Addition are not the Marina District. We should really have Duboce included with us, too. Stop trying to chop us up.

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name	% Deviation	Comments
7	-5.46	
6	30.03	
4	-8.5	
3	-8.89	
10	8.36	
5	32.6	
2	-5.76	
1	-19.42	
9	-4.6	
11	-4.05	
8	-14.31	

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force




Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 10


% Deviation: 8.36



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 7


% Deviation : -5.46



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 1


% Deviation: -19.42



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 2


% Deviation : -5.76



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 6


% Deviation: 30.03



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 4

% Deviation: -8.5



District name: 3

% Deviation : -8.89



District name: 9

% Deviation: -4.6




District name: 8

% Deviation : -14.31




District name: 5

% Deviation: 32.6



District name: 11

% Deviation: -4.05



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_040120222310_Response to Plan 4

Plan name: Response to Plan 4

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan follows the general direction of plan #4 from the redistricting Commission, which moves in a good direction for diverse representation, racially and ethnically, in the city by reducing the number of districts with majority white voting age citizen populations. This plan, however, creates more contiguous lines between neighborhoods helping to move plan 4 toward both diverse representation and improved neighborhood continuity.

Submitted by: District 6 Resident

Compliance check:


- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 6	-0.16	
D 3	-0.64	
D 7	0.58	
D 10	-0.87	
D 1	-0.8	
D 2	-0.6	
D 5	0.18	
D 9	0.2	
D 11	0.67	
D 8	0.44	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: D 10

% Deviation : -0.87



District name: D 6

% Deviation: -0.16



District name: D 9

% Deviation: 0.2




District name: D 3

% Deviation: -0.64




District name: D 7

% Deviation: 0.58




District name: D 11

% Deviation: 0.67




District name: D 8

% Deviation: 0.44




District name: D 5

% Deviation: 0.18




District name: D 1

% Deviation: -0.8



District name: D 2

% Deviation : -0.6



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033120222298_SF Map

Plan name: SF Map

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Beginning by adding Inner Sunset to D4, which many people associate with the Outer Sunset anyway. Due to the changes, adding some of D11 into D7, toward the south side by Ingleside. With that change, extending D11 around McLaren Park. Not much comments on existing D6, but would want Tenderloin to stay in D6, due to demographics and geography. It's a disservice to the TL community to move it to D5, where there are many more affluent communities. You'll essentially pit the Fillmore community against the TL in a fight for their supervisors attention/focus.

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
1	-8.42	
2	-4.0	
6	30.03	
3	-8.89	
4	-8.5	
10	-2.42	
7	-4.01	
5	-5.05	
9	-4.6	
8	4.05	
11	-8.93	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 10

% Deviation : -2.42




District name: 7

% Deviation : -4.01




District name: 1

% Deviation : -8.42




District name: 2

% Deviation: -4.0



District name: 6

% Deviation: 30.03



District name: 4

% Deviation: -8.5



District name: 3

% Deviation : -8.89



District name: 9

% Deviation: -4.6




District name: 8

% Deviation: 4.05




District name: 5

% Deviation : -5.05



District name: 11

% Deviation : -8.93



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033120221732_D4

Plan name: D4

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: I started creating this map for D4 but then continued working on it for all districts in the City. This map contains clean lines with excellent neighborhood cohesiveness. It also: - Limits all deviations to below 3% - Splits Golden Gate Park between D1 & D4 - Expands the geography of D4 southward instead of eastward to include the similar Lakeshore neighborhood, as well as moving the Lakewood apartments from D7 to D4 - Places all of the Inner Sunset in D7, which had previously been split among three districts - Includes all of the OMI in D11, as well as the Excelsior and Outer Mission - Keeps all of the Portola and Bernal Heights in D9, as well as the Inner Mission - Moves the Tenderloin from D6 to D5 while maintaining the core of the Haight, Japantown, and Western Addition in D5 - D6 adds the Dogpatch, and it continues to include SOMA and Treasure Island - D10 still contains Potrero Hill, the Bayview, and Visitacion Valley - D8 continues to include the Castro, Duboce Triangle, Noe Valley, Glen Park, and Diamond Heights - D3 includes all neighborhoods north of Market and east of Van Ness, except for the Tenderloin - D1 continues to mainly be made up of the Richmond and adjacent neighborhoods, including NOPA

Submitted by: Matt

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
6	-2.73	
5	2.88	
1	-1.73	
4	0.68	
10	-2.68	
7	-1.7	
2	0.13	
3	1.76	
9	-0.75	
11	2.37	
8	1.76	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: 2

% Deviation: 0.13



District name: 10

% Deviation : -2.68



District name: 7

% Deviation: -1.7



District name: 6

% Deviation : -2.73




District name: 3

% Deviation: 1.76




District name: 1

% Deviation : -1.73




District name: 4

% Deviation: 0.68



District name: 11

% Deviation: 2.37



District name: 9

% Deviation: -0.75




District name: 8

% Deviation: 1.76



District name: 5

% Deviation: 2.88



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_033020222288_2022 Redistricting

Plan name: 2022 Redistricting

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: This plan attempts to: - keep the districts as compact as possible - use natural borders (hills, freeways, water) wherever possible - roughly preserve existing district (District 5 "falls victim" to keeping other districts roughly similar) - protect share of racial (Mission, Bayview, Sunset) and sexual (Haight-Castro-Noe district) minorities in total voting population - group neighborhoods of similar socioeconomic status in one district (Tenderloin-Fillmore) for future supervisor to be best focused on problems facing their constituency


Submitted by: Szymon Pifczyk

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
Richmond	0.87	
Marina-Pac Heights	-0.66	
Soma-Potrero Hill-Dogpatch	1.91	
Stonestown-Ingleside-West Portal	-0.14	
North Beach-Nob Hill-FiDi	-0.07	
Balboa Park-Excelsior-Glen Park	-1.02	
Bayview-Portola-Visitation Valley	2.87	
Sunset	1.09	
Haight-Castro-Noe	2.21	
Tenderloin-Fillmore-Alamo Sq	-9.7	
Mission-Bernal	-0.83	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: Richmond

% Deviation: 0.87




District name: Tenderloin-Fillmore-Alamo Sq

% Deviation: -9.7




District name : Marina-Pac Heights

% Deviation: -0.66



District name : Bayview-Portola-Visitation Valley

% Deviation: 2.87




District name: Mission-Bernal

% Deviation: -0.83




District name: Soma-Potrero Hill-Dogpatch

% Deviation: 1.91




District name: Stonestown-Ingleside-West Portal

% Deviation: -0.14



District name: Sunset

% Deviation: 1.09



District name : Haight-Castro-Noe

% Deviation: 2.21




District name: North Beach-Nob Hill-FiDi

% Deviation : -0.07



District name : Balboa Park-Excelsior-Glen Park


% Deviation : -1.02



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032820222272_Representation Matters

Plan name: Representation Matters
District type: Supervisorial District
Starting Map: blank_map
General comments: Builds on current option 3B by maximizing representation of people of color within districts.
Submitted by:
Compliance check:
- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N
I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 6	-0.94	
D 2	-0.81	
D 1	0.92	
D 4	1.0	
D 7	-0.74	
D 10	-0.75	
D 5	0.94	
D 9	0.96	
D 8	-0.12	
D 11	0.43	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: D 6

% Deviation: -0.94




District name: D 2

% Deviation: -0.81




District name: D 5

% Deviation: 0.94




District name: D 1

% Deviation: 0.92



District name: D 4

% Deviation: 1.0




District name: D 9

% Deviation: 0.96




District name: D 7

% Deviation: -0.74



District name: D 8

% Deviation: -0.12




District name: D 10

% Deviation: -0.75



District name: D 11

% Deviation: 0.43



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors SD 032820222270 From The Heart Ohlone Black Map

Plan name: From The Heart Ohlone Black Map

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: My name is William Walker and as a volunteer, I have agreed to help with the transcription of Community of Interest Statements because I fear that many San Franciscans have not had an opportunity to provide a statement to the Redistricting Task Force. What follows below is a statement from District 10 resident Maika Pinkston who lives on Commer Court in Bayview Hunters Point. Her ZIP code is 94124. Please accept her statement below. I. Maika Pinkston, submit this community of interest map and statement on behalf of myself, the From the Heart Collective and members of the Muwekma Ohlone Black Historic Community District. We, hereby, submit the Muwekma Ohlone Black Hunters Point Community of Interest Map and Statement. It is roughly bounded by Palou Avenue on the south, Mendell Street on the West, India Basin on the north and the San Francisco Bay on the east. My community of interest is the entirety of Bayview Hunters Point. According to the data provided by the mapping tool, our Ohlone Black District is 56 percent Black. This should be recognized as an official Community Cultural District. My grandparents, Mr. and Mrs. Edward and Mildred Pinkston moved to the Bayview in 1967. My grandmother Mrs. Mildred Pinkston was a registered nurse, a group home administrator, an Eastern Star, and First Lady of Paradise Church. She was also the head of the Nurses Guild at Paradise Church. My grandfather, Mr. Edward Pinkston was a Mason and a longshoreman. They owned a restaurant in Dogpatch on 22nd Street near Tennessee in 1967. They also operated a group home for orphaned Black youth without families. It was located on Harbor Road. They then opened other branches located in the Western Addition on Eddy Street, and in the St. Francis Wood-Mt. Davidson area. My father, Mr. Michael Pinkston, was born and raised in San Francisco. He attended Sunnyside Elementary, Aptos Jr. High and Balboa High School and graduated in 1979. He attended Santa Rosa Junior College, followed by joining the construction trades, and obtained a general contractor license. He then began what has now been a 31 year career at the City and County of San Francisco, first as a general laborer, followed by 15 years as supervisor and over twenty years as an inspector for the Bureau of Street Use and Mapping. He also supplemented his income through real estate and stock market investments. He first lived in the Western Addition, near Eddy and Divisadero. His other homes were on Kiska Road in the Hunters Point Area and Los Palmos Drive in Mount Davidson Manor. His extended communities of interest include Bayview, Hunters Point, Visitacion Valley, Potrero Hill, Western Addition-Fillmore, Lakeview-Ingleside-OMI and Double Rock. These communities comprise the North, East, South and West of San Francisco. Ms. Stephanie McGee was born in San Francisco. Her roots originate from the Fillmore-Western Western Addition. In 1973, she moved to Hunters Point with her parents Winfred McGee Jr and Ruth McGee. Mr. Winfred McGee, my grandfather, was a transit operator for San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni), and was murdered while driving the 15 Third bus at what was then Phelan Loop (now Unity Plaza) in 1980. My grandmother, Ms. Ruth McGee, worked at the United States Postal Service General Mail Facility located on Evans Avenue in Bayview in the parcel room for more than 35 years. Ms. Stephanie McGee graduated from Balboa High School in 1979 and attended Hilltop Beauty School. She graduated and became a licensed beautician and cosmetologist. She provided beauty services on Third Street for the greater Bayview Hunters Point and citywide Black community. She passed away in 2010. I, Maika, was born at the former Childrens Hospital that was in Presidio Heights in November of 1979 to Mr. and Mrs. Michael Pinkston and Stephanie McGee. My first home was in Visitacion Valley on Peabody Street. We resided there until 1992. We then moved to the Northridge Cooperative on Ardath Court in Hunters Point. In 2001, I moved from my parents house to Brookdale Avenue in Sunnydale. In 2008, I moved to Commer Court in Hunters Point, which is where I currently reside. I attended Visitacion Valley Elementary, Visitacion Valley Middle School, and graduated from Raoul Wallenberg Traditional High School in 1997. In 1998, I attended Bryman College and graduated with a medical office management certificate in 2000. I then began working at UCSF Parnassus Heights in 2002 with Drs. Robert Jaffe and Victor Fujimoto in In-Vitro Fertilization for six years. I then worked at California Pacific Medical Center with Dr. Robert Gish

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

for 17 years as a licensed vocational nurse. I obtained my nursing certification at City College of San Francisco Southeast Campus on Oakdale Avenue. My aunt, Yolanda Walker Jones, opened up Yolanda's Construction and Traffic Control (YCAT) in Bayview. I worked for my Aunt Yolanda, while obtaining my construction management license at City College of San Francisco Southeast Campus, and was able to partner with her to bid on and successfully win the San Francisco ReBuild Project. I then worked for Providence Foundation, based in Bayview, and I was the Coordinator for Summer Day Camps and Youth Afterschool Programs, In 2008, while living on Commer Court, we experienced high rates of community violence in the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood. My nursing skills were put to a test. I helped with providing critical medical treatments to local residents because of the extended response times from emergency medical services that often ignored our community and a community fear of being racially profiled for seeking medical care. This inspired me to become even more active in my community. We held community rallies and actions to demand more housing, to inform the community of their housing rights, and to fight back against the displacement that occurred in the late 2000s after the opening of the T-Third Light Rail Line and the many developments along Third Street that were pushing out the Black Community from the only home they have known. For the past 14 years, I have developed strong bonds with members of our community. We all have one common goal: to retain our right to our land as was given to us by the Muwekma Ohlone people. We need to retain our right to have our own housing under the auspices of the Black community and not under the control of nonprofit or for profit management companies acting on behalf of the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, formerly known as the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. For the past 70 years, the goal of the redevelopment was to gentrify, and displace Black residents from their historically Black neighborhoods. Redevelopment has made our environments hostile through deferring maintenance to our homes, ignoring safety hazards, and continuing the systemic racism against Blacks that stems from white supremacy. My family is comprised of contractors, nurses, inspectors, and transit operators -- all pillars of the Black community that helped to build San Francisco as we currently know it and enjoy it today. I ask that you ensure that the Ohlone Black Community is not divided when redrawing lines for the Redistricting efforts you have undertaken this year. This also includes the following four properties: 1. Bayview Apartments 2. La Salle Apartments 3. Shore View Apartments 4. All Hallows Apartments, currently managed by Related Management Thank you for your time. From The Heart, Maika Pinkston

Submitted by: Maika Pinkston, From The Heart Collective

Compliance check:


- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
Ohlone Black	-87.79	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: Ohlone Black

% Deviation: -87.79



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors SD 03262022887 JG Redistricting Plan 1

Plan name: JG Redistricting Plan 1

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Summary of Approach Citywide: - Incrementally adjust existing BOS boundaries to meet District population targets - Attempt to keep established communities together, and unify neighborhoods previously separated by freeways, redistricting, and/or redevelopment - Limit radical boundary changes when possible - Ensure shared (BOS) ownership of sites of Citywide importance (Golden Gate Park, McClaren Park, Cruise Terminal and waterfront, and Market Street), so multiple Supervisors can represent user constituencies in their advocacy and work to advance issues specific to Citywide assets The following bullets include a high-level summary of changes to each BOS District. It does not describe detailed, block by block changes to each BOS District. District 1 Boundary Changes: - Addition of Legion of Honor and Lincoln Park (D2 to D1) - Addition of Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, and Anza Vista (D2 to D1) - Addition of UCSF Laurel Heights Campus and SFMTA's Presidio Campus (D2 to D1) - Removal of the westside of Golden Gate Park (D1 to D4) - Removal of the Museum Concourse (D1 to D8) District 2 Boundary Changes: - Addition and unification of Russian Hill (D3 to D2) - Addition of Fisherman's Wharf (D3 to D2) - Addition of half of SF Cruise Terminal (D3 to D2), to ensure two Supervisors can advocate for tourism-based industries on the waterfront - Addition of Middle Polk (D3 to D2) - Removal of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Removal of Legion of Honor and Lincoln Park (D2 to D1) -Removal of Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, and Anza Vista (D2 to D1) - Removal of UCSF Laurel Heights Campus and SFMTA's Presidio Campus (D2 to D1) District 3 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the East Cut, Transbay, and Rincon Hill (D6 to D3) - Addition of some blocks of South Beach (D6 to D3) - Unification of both sides of Market Street, east of 2nd Street, to ensure one Supervisor can represent unified interests of Market Street stakeholders from 2nd Street east to the Embarcadero (D6 to D3) - Removal of remnant portions of Russian Hill (D3 to D2) - Removal of Fisherman's Wharf (D3 to D2) - Removal of half of SF Cruise Terminal (D3 to D2) - Removal of Middle Polk (D3 to D2) District 4 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the westside of Golden Gate Park, so D4 park users can work with their District Supervisor on park issues (D1 to D4) - Addition of the western edge of the Inner Sunset (D5 to D4) - Addition of Merced Manor, including east and west sides of Sunset Blvd (D7 to D4) District 5 Boundary Changes: - Addition of portions of Polk Gulch (D6 to D5) - Addition of Civic Center, to unify civic institutions with existing cultural institutions in Hayes Valley (D6 to D5) - Addition of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Addition and unification of "the Hub" around Van Ness and Market Street, to ensure one Supervisor can represent unified interests of Market Street stakeholders from Octavia Blvd through Central Market (D6 to D5) - Addition of portions of western SoMa (D6 to D5) -Removal of the western edge of the Inner Sunset (D5 to D4) - Removal of the SF Botanical Garden, Big Rec, RPD Operations Yard, and Tennis Complex (D5 to D8) - Removal of the Inner Sunset merchant corridor and Cole Valley (D5 to D8) District 6 Boundary Changes: - Addition of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D9 to D6) - Addition of Showplace Square (D10 to D6) - Removal of the East Cut, Transbay, and Rincon Hill (D6 to D3) - Removal of portions of South Beach (D6 to D3) - Removal of portions of Polk Gulch (D6 to D5) -Removal of Civic Center, to unify civic institutions with existing cultural institutions in Hayes Valley (D6 to D5) - Removal of some blocks of Lower Pacific Heights, to help unify historic Japantown boundaries (D2 to D5) - Removal of "the Hub" area around Van Ness and Market Street (D6 to D5) - Removal of portions of western SoMa (D6 to D5) District 7 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the eastern side of Glen Park and Glen Park BART Station, to unify both sides of Glen Park into one BOS District (D8 to D7) - Addition of Diamond Heights (D8 to D7) - Removal of UCSF Parnassus Campus (D7 to D8) District 8 Boundary Changes: -Addition of the Inner Sunset and Cole Valley (D5 to D8) - Addition of the SF Botanical Garden, Big Rec, RPD Operations Yard, and Tennis Complex to serve Inner Sunset residents and users (D5 to D8) - Addition of UCSF Parnassus Campus (D7 to D8) - Removal of the eastern side of Glen Park and Glen Park BART Station (D8 to D7) - Removal of Diamond Heights (D8 to D7) - Removal of College Terrace (D8 to D11) District 9

Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

Boundary Changes: - Addition of SF General Hospital, blocks adjacent the 24th Street Latino Cultural District, and Potrero Del Sol Park (D10 to D9) - Removal of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D9 to D6) District 10 Boundary Changes: - Removal of Showplace Square (D10 to D6) - Removal of some blocks of the Inner Mission (D10 to D6) - Removal of SF General Hospital, blocks adjacent the 24th Street Latino Cultural District, and Potrero Del Sol Park (D10 to D9) District 11 Boundary Changes: - Addition of the College Terrace (D8 to D11)


Submitted by: J.Goldberg

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
District 4	0.53	
District 5	-0.59	
District 6	0.33	
District 2	-0.51	
District 7	-0.24	
District 1	-0.16	
District 10	-0.52	
District 3	-0.43	
District 9	0.47	
District 11	0.42	
District 8	0.68	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: District 1

% Deviation: -0.16



District name: District 5

% Deviation : -0.59




District name: District 3

% Deviation: -0.43




District name : District 6

% Deviation: 0.33




District name : District 2

% Deviation: -0.51



District name: District 11

% Deviation: 0.42



District name : District 9

% Deviation: 0.47




District name: District 10

% Deviation : -0.52




District name: District 4

% Deviation: 0.53




District name: District 8

% Deviation: 0.68



District name: District 7

% Deviation: -0.24




Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222257_Diversity, Equity, Community Cohesion

Plan name: Diversity, Equity, Community Cohesion District type: Supervisorial District Starting Map: blank_map General comments: this plan build on 3 B to ensure community cohesion while also increasing equity and diversity of districts by ensuring only two districts have majority white citizen, voting age populations Submitted by: Compliance check: - Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 6	-0.71	
D 10	0.2	
D 3	-0.91	
D 7	0.75	
D 4	-0.98	
D 1	-0.21	
D 2	-0.45	
D 9	0.76	
D 5	0.67	
D 8	0.64	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: D 6

% Deviation : -0.71



District name: D 10

% Deviation: 0.2



District name: D 3

% Deviation: -0.91




District name: D 9

% Deviation: 0.76



District name: D 7

% Deviation: 0.75



District name: D 2

% Deviation: -0.45




District name: D 5

% Deviation: 0.67




District name: D 8

% Deviation: 0.64




District name: D 4

% Deviation : -0.98



District name: D 1

% Deviation : -0.21



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222248_Western Addition

District type: Supervis	sorial District

Starting Map: blank_map

Plan name: Western Addition

General comments: This Western Addition map is based on the redlining maps from the Federal Home Owners Loan Corporation published in 1937 that decimated the first African American and Japanese American communities in San Francisco. City Center at 2675 Geary Boulevard has been added as a community resource for jobs for the underemployed communities within the Western Addition.


Submitted	by:
-----------	-----

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
Western Addition (Black COI)	-9.23	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: Western Addition (Black COI)

% Deviation : -9.23




Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222247_portola to 10

Plan name: portola to 10
District type: Supervisorial District
Starting Map: blank_map
General comments: This map retains Portola, Bayview, Visitacion Valley, and the Hope SF Potrero and Sunnydale districts as one district.
Submitted by:
Compliance check:
- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	-0.37	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force

District name: 10

% Deviation: -0.37



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032620222241_Draft Map 1

Plan name: Draft Map 1

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: Highlights of this map: District 10 with Portola, Bayview, Hunter's Point, Visitacion Valley and Hope SF developments in Sunnydale, Potrero, Hunters Pt District 5 uniting Black populations in Bayview and Tenderloin District 9 includes Mission, Bernal, St Mary's Park, Holly Park District 10 has a 19.9 percent Black population District 5 has a 12.7 percent Black population


Submitted by: William Walker

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
4	-2.61	
11	3.87	
10	2.39	
7	5.7	
5	3.45	
2	-2.93	
1	1.94	
3	-4.04	
9	-3.38	
6	-4.53	
8	-0.12	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: 10

% Deviation: 2.39




District name: 7

% Deviation: 5.7




District name: 1

% Deviation: 1.94




District name: 2

% Deviation : -2.93



District name: 6

% Deviation : -4.53



District name: 4

% Deviation : -2.61



District name: 3

% Deviation: -4.04




District name: 9

% Deviation: -3.38



District name: 8

% Deviation: -0.12




District name: 5

% Deviation: 3.45



District name: 11

% Deviation: 3.87



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032520222245_Central SoMa Draft

Plan name: Central SoMa Draft
District type: Supervisorial District
Starting Map: blank_map
General comments: Hi, Submitting a map of interest for West and Central South of Market.
Submitted by:
Compliance check:
- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N
I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
Central SoMa	-79.49	
West SoMa	-80.1	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name : Central SoMa

% Deviation : -79.49



District name: West SoMa

% Deviation : -80.1



Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors_SD_032520222235_D3 Together

Plan name: D3 Together

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current_draft

General comments: This map extends the current boundaries of District 3 to bring it to a 1.49% deviation. It keeps the COI containing North Beach and Chinatown intact and contiguous. It joins Aquatic Park with Fisherman's Wharf and the Embarcadero, eliminating an artificial split between these highly interconnected areas. This map also unifies all of Russian Hill in District 2.

Submitted by: Al Fontes, President of Telegraph Hill Dwellers

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force: Y


District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	8.36	
7	-5.46	
1	-8.42	
4	-8.5	
6	15.69	
2 - Updated	1.27	Keep alll of Russian Hill together.
3 - Updated	0.18	Keep Chinatown, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill unified and fully intact.
9	-4.6	
8	4.05	
5	1.49	
11	-4.05	



Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force


District name: 10

% Deviation: 8.36




District name: 7

% Deviation : -5.46



District name: 1


% Deviation : -8.42



District name : 2 - Updated


% Deviation: 1.27

Comments: Keep alll of Russian Hill together.




District name: 6

% Deviation: 15.69



District name: 4

% Deviation: -8.5



District name : 3 - Updated

% Deviation: 0.18

Comments: Keep Chinatown, North Beach, and Telegraph Hill unified and fully intact.



District name: 9

% Deviation: -4.6




District name: 8

% Deviation: 4.05



District name: 5

% Deviation: 1.49



District name: 11

% Deviation: -4.05

