

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

## 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 26, 2022

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Maps 2A and 2B from Q2 Data and Research – March 18, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping and Public Input - Presentation – March 14, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Communications Received March 21 through 22, 2022</u>

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received March 11 through 20, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021</a></u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u><a href="#">Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021</a></u>

Prepared by: John Carroll

Prepared by: John Carroll

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: March 23, 2022

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

### **Principles:**

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

### **Communication**

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

### **Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps**

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14<sup>th</sup>.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

**Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:**

**-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22):** TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

**-Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):**

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

**-Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22):** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

\*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

**-Mapping Day 4:** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

**-Mapping Day 5:** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

***The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.***

## **Live Line Drawing**

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendaized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

**1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).**

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes



## **San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting**

*Maps 2 A and 2 B; March 18, 2022*

Maps 2 A and 2 B were drawn to adhere to the following Task Force direction. This direction was based off changes the Task Force requested be made to Map 1 A:


- Keep Japantown with Western Addition
- Keep Duboce Triangle, Corona Heights, and Buena Vista Park with Castro
- Keep Visitacion Valley, including Sunnydale, in District 10
- Move the border between Districts 8 and 9 to Guerrero
- Keep Potrero Hill unified in one district
- Keep the OMI Neighborhoods in District 11
- Unify South Beach
- Drawing districts to +/-5% deviation is acceptable to respect the boundaries of recognized neighborhoods

The boundaries of publicly submitted Communities of Interest were respected when possible in the creation of both maps.

With the exception of including Sunnydale with district 10A, each of these goals outlined by the Task Force is accomplished in Map 2 A to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.

Map 2 B is based on Map 2 A as a starting point. Sunnydale is included in district 10B, and so the northern border of that district moved south to accommodate the added population. As a result, the Tenderloin is included with district 3B to compensate for the population change in district 6B. The Financial District was added to district 6B to help balance between districts 3B and 6B and to avoid creating further large-scale impacts on the map that would contradict the direction listed above. All of the direction outlined above is accomplished in Map 2 B to the extent possible given discrepancies between census geography and neighborhood boundaries.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 2 A; March 18, 2022*



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**

**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 2 A; March 18, 2022*




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 A; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, and total deviation.

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District 6B Detail

District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.


Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. **This is a preliminary version, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..

This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022



District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..


This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 2 B; March 18, 2022




District label shows district name, % deviation, total deviation..  
This is a preliminary version of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors districts and is not the final map of the Redistricting Task Force. This is a preliminary version , not a final draft.

**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 1 A*




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



District 6A detail


This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



# SAN FRANCISCO 2022 **REDISTRICTING**

**Mapping and Public Input**

# Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

- San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.
- Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.
- Redistricting happens every ten years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.
- Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.





# Introduction: What is Redistricting?

2

**Mean Population of a  
Supervisory District:  
79,545**

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%



# What are the criteria for redistricting?

3

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (equal population)
- Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act (VRA)
- Preservation of recognized neighborhoods
- Preservation of communities of interest (Cols)
- Contiguity
- Compactness



# Constitution and the Voting Rights Act

4

- U.S. Constitution requires that districts be of roughly equal population.
  - Population variations between districts should be limited to  $\pm 1\%$  of the mean ( $\pm 795$  people) unless additional variations, limited to  $5\%$  of the mean ( $\pm 3,977$  people), are necessary to prevent dividing or diluting the voting power of minorities and/or to keep recognized neighborhoods intact.
- District map must comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) to protect the voting power of racial and language minorities.



# Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

5

- Recognized neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources like the Planning Department. Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.
- Communities of interest (Cols) are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, economic, or cultural interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.
- Cols are defined by those familiar with the community.
  - Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.
  - It is up to those who live in or work with a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.
  - Interests need not be limited to the current situation but can also include common goals.
- Communities of Interest do NOT include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



# Examples of Common Interests

6

## Economic interests:

Current situation: common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).

Goals: expanding opportunities, development, job creation, etc.

## Social interests:

Current: schools, culture, transportation, parks, housing

Goals: improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources, etc.

## Cultural interests:

Current: holidays, cultural businesses

Goals: cultural meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts





# Contiguity, Compactness & Census Geography

7

- Districts should be contiguous
  - All parts of a district must be adjacent to another part of the district
  - Areas separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous
- Districts should be compact
  - Does not bypass nearby areas of population in favor of more distant populations
- District boundaries must generally follow census blocks



# What do you think about the draft map(s)?

8

- What do you like about the draft map(s)? What do you want to change?
- Let the Task Force know how you want the districts to be drawn
  - Borders of recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest
  - Specific streets and landmarks
  - We welcome hand-drawn maps of any size
- How to submit your ideas:
  - Redistricting mapping tool: <https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>
  - Public comment at Task Force meetings
  - Email us your comments and hand-drawn maps: [rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)



# Next Steps for the Task Force

9

- All publicly submitted maps and narratives will be considered public comment. No public comment/map will be given preferential treatment over any other comment/map from the public.
- The Task Force will consider all submissions to assist in its decision-making but will not advance or vote on any map submitted by the public.
- Through an iterative mapping process, the Task Force will direct its consultant Q2 Data and Research to create the draft maps and ultimately the official final map.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [stevencurrier@icloud.com](mailto:stevencurrier@icloud.com)  
**Cc:** [Christopher Bowman](#); [Arntz, John \(REG\)](#); [Yu, Shirley \(REG\)](#); [Charles Head](#); [gswooding@gmail.com](mailto:gswooding@gmail.com); [Richard Frisbie](#); [Mary Harris](#); [Claire Zvanski](#); [david hooper](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters by neighborhoods and by precincts in District 11 and adjacent precincts  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:53:58 AM  
**Attachments:** [Concentration of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 11 as of 17 March 2022.docx](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Steven Currier <[stevencurrier@icloud.com](mailto:stevencurrier@icloud.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:39 PM  
**To:** Christopher Bowman <[chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net](mailto:chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net)>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>; Yu, Shirley (REG) <shirley.yu1@sfgov.org>; Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Mary Harris <maryharris\_dist11@msn.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>; david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>

**Subject:** Re: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters by neighborhoods and by precincts in District 11 and adjacent precincts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just an FYI to the Redistricting Task Force:

We acknowledge the work by Chris Bowman on these maps and the memo on the concentrations and alienations of District 11 and the boundaries proposed.

We will be there during the mapping meetings.

Steven R. Depont-Kalani (Currier)  
415-420-3866

On Mar 22, 2022, at 6:31 PM, Christopher Bowman <[chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net](mailto:chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net)> wrote:

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

Please see attached my third matrix showing the concentration of foreign-born registered voters by neighborhood and by precinct for District 11.

The previous two matrices were for Districts 5 and 6.

The matrix also includes eight precincts adjacent to District 11 which have been proposed by different members of the public to be added to the current district.



Unlike the previous matrices, there were only six foreign-born nationalities greater than 1% of the registered voters of the district, which included Chinese (15.88%), Filipino (7.69%), Salvadoran (2.81%), Vietnamese (2.03%), Mexican (1.90%), and Nicaraguan (1.44%) for a total of 31.75% of the district's registered voters. Another 1.35% of the registered voters in the district are from other Asian nationalities, 2.27% from Hispanic nationalities, and 2.16% from non Hispanic and Asian countries.

The 26.95% of the registered voters of the district who are foreign-born Asians and 8.42% foreign-born Hispanics, compare to the CVAP for District 11 of 55.98% for Asians and 22.06% for Hispanics and suggest that the majority of the Citizens Voting Age Population of the district are a combination of American-born Asians and Hispanics who are registered to vote, plus naturalized Asians and Hispanics who are not currently registered to vote.

**The data suggest that the proposed addition of the), St. Mary's Park (precinct 7943), and the western portion of the Portola district (precinct 7948) to District 11 on the premise of joining the Filipinos adjacent to District 11 to the Filipino community in District 11 is misguided, and will actually result in the dilution of Filipinos, Chinese, and Vietnamese**

**in the district.**

**According to the latest data from the Master Voter File as of 3/17/22, Filipino foreign-born registered voters in precincts 7874, 7943, and 7948 are respectively 4.72%, 5.29%, and 5.94% of the registered voters in those precincts compared to 7.69% currently in District 11; Chinese foreign-born registered voters in the three precincts, respectively, are 2.05%, 2.98%, and 11.88% of the registered voters in those precincts compared to 15.88%, currently in District 11; and Vietnamese foreign-born registered voters in the three precincts, respectively, are 0.82%, 0.99%, and 1.71% in those precincts compared to 2.03%. currently in District 11.**

**St. Mary's Park and precinct 7874 should remain in their current districts or be assigned either both to District 8 or District 9, while Precinct 7948 should remain with the rest of the Portola District either in District 9 as proposed by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods' and West of Twin Peaks Central Council's Incremental/Minimal Change redistricting plan or in District 10 under the Chinese American Democratic Club's redistricting proposal. They most definitely should not be added to District 11 as proposed by the Community Unity redistricting plan.**

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

Foreign-Born Registered Voters as a Percentage of Total Registered Voters as of 2016  
Organized by Neighborhoods and by Precincts in Numeric Order  
Sources: San Francisco Department of Elections, Precinct Registration by Language, and MVF Birth Place Only, March 17, 2022  
Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman, March 21, 2022

OMI	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1106	18.7%	3.8%	1.1%			
1107	11.3%	3.0%		<2.0%		
1108	15.3%	2.7%		2.2%		
1109	19.4%	2.3%	1.7%	3.8%		
1111	22.7%	10.5%	1.9%	2.2%	1.4%	
1112	23.0%	6.0%		2.9%		
1115	20.6%	1.4%			1.0%	1.7%
1116	18.0%	3.4%	1.3%	1.9%		
1117	16.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	1.7%	
1118	16.4%	3.7%	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%	
1119	14.7%	7.1%	3.8%	1.0%	1.8%	1.2%
1123	22.1%	4.3%	<2.0%	2.8%	1.3%	
1124	16.4%	8.2%	1.9%	2.1%		

Cayuga Park/ Outer Mission	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1121	16.9%	7.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%	
1122	24.6%	4.1%	1.8%	4.3%	1.2%	1.0%
1125	13.6%	13.0%	2.9%	2.2%	1.1%	2.1%
1126	17.6%	13.4%	3.6%	2.4%	2.4%	1.3%
1127	20.4%	11.4%	2.4%	2.1%	1.5%	1.0%
1128	18.1%	12.8%	4.5%	3.7%	1.7%	2.1%

Mission Terrace	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1101	15.2%	9.5%	1.3%		1.5%	1.6%
1102	11.6%	4.9%	<2.0%		2.2%	1.9%
1103	10.5%	4.9%	2.8%	1.6%	2.4%	1.7%
1104	9.8%	16.3%	3.3%	1.4%	1.9%	3.5%
1105	14.2%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	2.8%	1.8%
1113	20.8%	9.3%	2.8%	1.7%		1.2%
1114	12.9%	10.7%	2.6%	2.4%	1.8%	3.3%

Crocker Amazon	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1129	14.7%	14.3%	3.4%	2.7%	2.2%	3.6%
1131	18.5%	14.9%	4.1%	1.4%	1.7%	
1132	21.1%	11.6%	2.3%	1.7%	2.6%	1.4%
1133	12.1%	8.4%	3.0%	2.5%	2.9%	1.1%
1134	17.4%	6.0%	3.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.1%
1135	18.9%	4.1%	1.6%	2.9%	2.3%	
1136	16.5%	6.7%	3.5%	2.3%	1.1%	1.6%
Excelsior	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1141	16.3%	7.8%	3.1%	1.2%	2.4%	1.4%
1142	14.3%	6.5%	4.0%		2.9%	1.6%
1143	12.7%	9.3%	4.3%	1.0%	2.7%	2.9%
1144	15.0%	5.8%	3.6%	2.0%	3.9%	2.7%
1145	8.5%	5.6%	3.9%	1.3%	3.1%	
1146	13.3%	9.2%	4.4%	1.95	3.0%	2.6%
1147	13.4%	5.0%	4.2%		3.5%	2.7%
1148	17.2%	4.7%	5.6%	1.8%	1.5%	2.8%
1149	11.1%	6.6%	6.3%	2.4%	2.5%	
1152	15.2%	4.3%	3.1%	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
1153	16.4%	8.8%	3.4%	1.0%	2.8%	1.3%
1154 <sup>2</sup>		40.0%	20.0%			
District-wide	15.88%	7.69%	2.81%	2.03%	1.90%	1.44%
Proposed transfers to District 11	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
7874 <sup>3</sup>	2.0%	4.7%	4.9%		2.7%	
7943 <sup>3</sup>	<3.0%	5.3%	3.9%		1.2%	
7948 <sup>3</sup>	14.6%	5.9%	2.5%	1.7%	2.7%	
9001 <sup>4</sup>	8.5%	5.7%	3.5%	1.3%	1.3%	
9747 <sup>4</sup>	11.0%	2.8%	1.1%	1.9%		
9749 <sup>4, 6</sup>	13.6%	4.6%	1.5%	4.4%	1.2%	
9756 <sup>5</sup>	12.7%	7.4%	1.0%	1.5%		
9801 <sup>4</sup>	8.4%	7.3%	2.9%	1.5%	2.9%	2.4%

## NOTES:

<sup>1</sup> Includes registered voters born in China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Macao.

<sup>2</sup> Precinct 1154, the Crocker Amazon Playground, had five homeless voters. The fact that two of the 5 total (40%) are Filipino is not statistically significant. Rather, for redistricting purposes, precinct 1104 should be considered the precinct with the highest percentage of foreign-born Filipinos in District 11 – 16.3% of the registered voters of that precinct, and precinct 1149 should be considered the precinct with the highest percentage of foreign-born Salvadorans in District 11 -- 6.3%.

<sup>3</sup> Proposed by Al Perez, Deanna Volek, Simon Timony, and Community Unity Plan.

<sup>4</sup> Proposed by Coalition for SF Neighborhoods/West of Twin Peaks and Community Unity plans.

<sup>5</sup> Proposed by Elaine Villasper and opposed by METNA.

<sup>6</sup> Approximately 44% of precinct 9747 east of Ashton would be added to District 11. The demographics for that eastern portion are roughly mid-way between those in the Ingleside Terraces portion of precinct 9747 and the demographics of precinct 9749, with precinct 9749 significantly more Asian and Hispanic than the Ingleside Terraces' portion of precinct 9747.

Only where the percentage is 1% or greater of the registered voters of a particular precinct is the percentage for a particular nationality posted.

Of the 41,095 registered voters in District 11, Chinese are the largest foreign-born nationality in District 11. There are 6,525 (15.88%) foreign-born Chinese out of 41,095 total. The 3,160 (7.69%) foreign-born Filipinos are the second largest nationality in the district, followed by 1,153 (2.81%) Salvadorans. 835 Vietnamese (2.03%), 781 Mexicans (1.90%), and 591 (1.44%) Nicaraguans. The 7<sup>th</sup> through 10<sup>th</sup> largest nationalities in the district are: Guatemalans 292 (0.71%), Peruvians 226 (0.55%), East Indians 101 (0.28%), and Russians 59 (0.17%).



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Ken Craig](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: SOMA District 6 Proposed Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:53:21 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Ken Craig <[kencraigca@gmail.com](mailto:kencraigca@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:36 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** SOMA District 6 Proposed Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Task Force Members

I write to express my significant concerns at one draft mapping proposal which split the existing District 6 communities between two different Districts, and ask that you instead adopt the mapping recommendation that keeps the Rincon Hill, South Beach, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island communities in the same District configuration.

As a long term resident in the District of some twenty years, living first on Brannan Street and currently on Beale Street, I have witnessed many huge changes and developments in this "neck of the woods" in San Francisco. Most of these changes have been significant improvements and developments that have invigorated and enlivened the area, but there remain many challenges and hurdles to overcome in fulfilling the hopes and dreams of many residents in the area, including more parks, schools, affordable grocery stores, safety, and street resources etc.

The ever growing and developing neighborhood associations and Community Benefit District have worked collaboratively and successfully in addressing and resolving many challenges, and developing many positive programs and ideas in these areas, and I personally wish to see that collaboration and insightful approach continue unfettered. I therefore ask that

in your considerable and challenging deliberations, you keep the neighborhoods of Rincon Hill, South Beach, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island together within District 6.

Sincerely,

Ken Craig

San Francisco Resident  
333 Beale Street

**From:** [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); ["Sue Harless"](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:53:12 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:25 PM  
**To:** 'Sue Harless' <[ballinligusa@yahoo.com](mailto:ballinligusa@yahoo.com)>  
**Cc:** Carroll, John (BOS) <[john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** RE: redistricting

Hello Sue,

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your email to Clerk John Carroll, to process and distribute.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Sue Harless <[ballinligusa@yahoo.com](mailto:ballinligusa@yahoo.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 5:56 PM  
**To:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

I want to put in my vote for the Merced Extension Triangle neighborhood to stay in District 7.

This is a very organized neighborhood with many people taking on service roles!

Sue Harless

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Thomas Wedewer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Carolyn Duffy](#)  
**Subject:** RE: March 18th Map 2B  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:53:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Thomas Wedewer <t.wedewer@insitecompliance.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:25 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Carolyn Duffy <c.duffy@insitecompliance.com>  
**Subject:** March 18th Map 2B



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force,

As a 38-year resident of North Beach, whose 34 year-old son was born and raised here, I am adamantly opposed to the "March 18th Plan 2B". Columbus Avenue is not the boundary between North Beach and Russian Hill. It is the aorta of North Beach!

Over the years locals have bantered about the dividing line between the two neighborhoods, but I don't recall anyone making the fatuous suggestion that it's Columbus Avenue.

The District 3 United Neighborhood Plan makes more sense, as Russian Hill and North Beach have a history of collaboration. Chinatown should remain entirely in District 3. It would be folly to not maintain the integrity of these three peer existing neighborhoods in District 3.

Sincerely,

TW

--



Thomas Wedewer

Administration Manager, CSR Accounts

415-307-3363

[t.wedewer@insitecompliance.com](mailto:t.wedewer@insitecompliance.com)

INSITE COMPLIANCE

241 Greenwich

San Francisco, CA 94133

[Insite Compliance](#)

[Click here](#) to subscribe to I N S I G H T S

Semimonthly we'll send you a selection of articles about current activity and developments in the world of social responsibility.

---

Insite Compliance is certified as a women's business enterprise by the Women's Business Enterprise National Council (WBENC), the nation's largest third-party certifier of businesses owned and operated by women in the U.S. Insite is a member of the Association of Professional Social Compliance Auditors (APSCA).

The information or data contained in this e-mail message and all attachments are privileged, confidential and protected from

disclosure. The contents of this electronic message and all accompanying attachments are intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please destroy it and remove it from your PC and server, and immediately notify us by return e-mail that it was received in error. Thank you for your cooperation.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [James Miller: REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [arobinson@theeastcut.org](mailto:arobinson@theeastcut.org)  
**Subject:** RE: Map 2A border error  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** James Miller <jimmie@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:03 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** [arobinson@theeastcut.org](mailto:arobinson@theeastcut.org)  
**Subject:** Map 2A border error

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In regards to the maps presented here:

[https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://sfgov.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=57159538a9a3422a9d22ef75d66565b6&mc\\_cid=6a0e07d151&mc\\_eid=b1f94bf7af.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo5ODc2YTgwNzNmNDJhNmNkNTViOGZlNmE2MzQ5YThkODo1OjBmYTU6NGQ5MDI3OThmMTljNmM4MDZmMDM2N2Y0MWE4N2IzOWNiZTdjZDhiNGJiYTE0OWU4MDkyMWI4MzQ1YTI0YTBhYzp0Ok4](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://sfgov.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=57159538a9a3422a9d22ef75d66565b6&mc_cid=6a0e07d151&mc_eid=b1f94bf7af.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo5ODc2YTgwNzNmNDJhNmNkNTViOGZlNmE2MzQ5YThkODo1OjBmYTU6NGQ5MDI3OThmMTljNmM4MDZmMDM2N2Y0MWE4N2IzOWNiZTdjZDhiNGJiYTE0OWU4MDkyMWI4MzQ1YTI0YTBhYzp0Ok4)

I just want to point out that if Map 2 A March 18 2022 is chosen, you should not use I-80 as a border. I believe this was an error because it splits blocks/properties that sit \*under\* the bridge, between Beale/Main/Spear/The Embarcadero,

I would suggest from 2nd St, you continue to BRYANT ST heading toward the bay, cross The Embarcadero into the water between Pier 28 and 30/32 including Pier 26 and 28. Population-wise, this looks like it would add the Clocktower Building and Portside I within District 3A. Just for example, I noticed that Portside... which consists of Portside I, (38 Bryant St), and Portside II, (403 Main St) are currently divided in half! This would also include property under the bridge, keep the off-ramps together, and even keep Rincon Hill Dog Park with Rincon Hill!

Thank you for your consideration,  
James Miller  
285 Main St Unit 204  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Race Bannon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: To Redistricting Task Force's Clerk re: Redistricting Plans - D6 and D8  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Race Bannon <[race@racebannon.com](mailto:race@racebannon.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 9:25 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** To Redistricting Task Force's Clerk re: Redistricting Plans - D6 and D8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I have seen the draft maps for redistricting and I'm deeply concerned.

As a longtime activist and leather in the LGBTQ, leather, and HIV/STI prevention realms, the draft plans that potentially cut the Tenderloin in half and chop apart the Castro will be devastating for LGBTQ and other marginalized people.

These important communities of interest must stay together in the same Districts. For example, the Transgender District must stay in the same District as the Leather District and Soma Pilipinas. As a gay man, I know from experience that the LGBTQ population cannot have their influence diminished by the splitting up of either the Tenderloin or the Castro.

I am told there is a Community Unity Map that addresses all of these concerns and I fully support that map's suggestions..

Race Bannon

[race@racebannon.com](mailto:race@racebannon.com)

415-225-5399

Pronouns: he/him/his

Use [this link](#) to access all my writings, social media, and ways to support my work.

I only check email 2-3 times a day. If it's urgent, call me. But how urgent is it, really?



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Prodan Statev](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Feedback on Redistricting Task Force for East Cut  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:41 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Prodan Statev <pstatev94@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 9:20 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Feedback on Redistricting Task Force for East Cut

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Good evening, Task Force Members,

My name is Prodan Statev and I live and work in East Cut. I've lived here for 4 years and plan to start my own company with an office in East Cut.

I am asking that you keep the District 6 area South of Market, including the East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach and Treasure Island together. We are truly communities of interest with many commonalities. Task Force Map 2B (D6B) most closely aligns with our goals and we thank you for hearing our needs and mapping to serve them. However, there is one section of Mission Bay which has been aligned with District 10 (the Chase Center). We respectfully request these Mission Bay parcels 29-32, with street boundaries of 3rd Street, 16th Street, Warriors Way and Terry Francois Blvd, be realigned back to District 6 for the following reasons:

- A. These parcels are an integral part of the Mission Bay Redevelopment Plan.
- B. The Warriors as the primary owner of these parcels pay into the Community Facilities Districts (CFD) (also known as Mello-Roos Districts) which assess a special tax lien against taxable property within a district to fund capital projects and/or ongoing operations and maintenance costs in Mission Bay.
- C. They also make significant contributions into the Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund which funds transportation, security, and cleanliness resources to mitigate the impacts of all events at the Chase Center in Mission Bay and other surrounding communities. In addition, there is funding provided to mitigate the impacts when there are events at both Chase Center and Oracle Park (another District 6 event center).

Map 2A (D6A), however, severs the Communities of Interest we have collectively spent the last decade-plus building while significantly addressing the City's housing needs. Not only does it undermine the community-building of our Emerging Neighborhoods, but it runs counter to the health and safety of our area by making it impossible to address the transportation-based air quality degradation the City identified in its 2020 Community Risk Assessment in a unified, district-wide manner.

We have done the work of building housing for all San Francisco. Don't diminish our ability to build the community—and ensure its health and safety--as well.

Thank you!

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [John deCastro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [JR Eppler](#); [Donovan Lacy](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 120 year history - Keep Showplace in D10  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:32 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 9:01 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** JR Eppler <jreppler1@gmail.com>; Donovan Lacy <donovanlacysf@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** 120 year history - Keep Showplace in D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Team.

I am pleased you recognized NW Potrero Hill as part of our neighborhood. We do appreciate all your hard work.

However your current map has still left our neighbors in Showplace Square squeezed into another district.

California College of Arts(CCA) facilities are also split between two districts.

As Co-Chair of the Dogpatch / Potrero Livable Streets our team of 12 volunteers are working to make Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace a safer neighborhood for walking, biking and driving.

No one else is holding the City responsible for the lack of a comprehensive transportation plan.

**The area that needs to be part of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch is the Showplace Square area:**

- **Division and King on the north end**
- **7th St at the east,**
- **Potrero Ave on the West**
- **and connecting along 16th St to the rest of Potrero Hill.**

Our Dogpatch/ Potrero / Showplace Livable Streets team has a number of projects that directly impact Dogpatch & Potrero Hill in the Showplace Square area.

- Proposed Amazon Warehouse @ 900 7th St traffic volume & safety
- Hubbel, Berry and De Haro traffic calming
- No Left Turn and speeding violations on 16th St.
- Numerous crosswalks that have yellow ramps but no street markings
- Safe Bike routes through this old industrial area

Showplace has been historically part of Potrero Hill.

JR Eppler showed you the 1903 map at the last meeting showing Dogpatch, Potrero Hill and Showplace area all called POTRERO.

Your current draft map perpetuates the split of our neighborhood that was created during the freeway craze of the 1960's with US 101 & I-280

**Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace together just like we were for the past 120 years!**

John deCastro

Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assn - Sustainable Streets & Transportation Advocate

Co-Chair Dogpatch & Potrero Neighborhood Associations Livable Streets Team



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Martin Peggy Lee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: San Francisco District 3  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:24 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Martin Peggy Lee <[martinpeggylee@gmail.com](mailto:martinpeggylee@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 8:47 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** San Francisco District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



---

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members:

We are residents of San Francisco living in District 3. We write to **oppose the "March 18th Map 2B."** Instead, **we support the "District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan"** for the following reasons:

-Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is the backbone of the neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half.

-Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance population. This plan utilizes the natural boundaries of Van Ness and contiguous waterfront to Market Street.

-We share numerous traits and have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill and the other nearby neighborhoods. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

-Map 2B completely ignores the natural and historical boundaries such as Van Ness, Market Street and the northern waterfront, which have traditionally defined an area with a multitude of interests in common.

- We support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan", backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3. It is coherent, simple and meets all of the redistricting criteria.

Your kind consideration would be much appreciated.

Thanks,

Martin Lee and Peggy Lee

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Cathryn Blum](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch (D10) Community Together  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Cathryn Blum <catbirds@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 8:11 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch (D10) Community Together

Dear Task Force,

Thank you for taking my concerns into account. I am glad to see that the second version of maps prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill connected with Dogpatch.

However, the maps continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

I understand that population growth means somewhere has to give in District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Thanks again for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Cathryn Blum  
[catbirdsf@gmail.com](mailto:catbirdsf@gmail.com)  
415-505-5380  
WhatsApp: Cat Blum

"So many hymns and so few noodles."  
- Jewish Proverb

On Mar 20, 2022, at 9:43 PM, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

I'm sorry that this response has taken some time. Your message was in the [rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org) junk email folder—I don't know why.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*

<image001.png> Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Cathryn Blum <[catbirds@gmail.com](mailto:catbirds@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Saturday, March 12, 2022 8:02 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch (D10) Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. Therefore, it is extremely disappointing to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered and moved the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I strongly urge you to keep our existing neighborhood together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Thank you,

Cathryn Blum

[catbirds@gmail.com](mailto:catbirds@gmail.com)

415-505-5380

WhatsApp: Cat Blum

“So many hymns and so few noodles.”

- Jewish Proverb

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Joyce Richardson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting District 7 - Lakeside Neighborhood  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:13 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Joyce Richardson <jrkyileo2810@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:44 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting District 7 - Lakeside Neighborhood

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Please keep the Lakeside neighborhood a cohesive unit in District 7. Lakeside, built in the 1930's and 1940's by the same developer, has three sections (One, Two and Three) and, as a whole, is bordered by Sloat Boulevard, 19th Avenue (east side) and Junipero Serra (west side).

Redistricting is considering separating Lakeside One (including Lakeside Village) from the rest of Lakeside in District 7 and placing it in District 4! Why splinter apart our historical neighborhood?

We Lakesiders work together on projects and priorities with our District 7 Supervisor and want to keep Lakeside together.

Please reconsider and keep Lakeside entirely in District 7 where it belongs.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Joyce Richardson  
Lakeside One



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Carlin Holden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:52:03 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Carlin Holden <carlinph@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:12 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

*I could not improve on the language from the Potrero Boosters so I let it speak for me below.*

I am glad to see that the second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill with Dogpatch. Thank you for this work.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

I understand that population growth means somewhere has to give in District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

*Please don't quit the process before the job is completed.*

Sincerely,  
Carlin P Holden  
631 Mississippi St.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Christopher Bowman](#)  
**Cc:** [Arntz, John \(REG\)](#); [Yu, Shirley \(REG\)](#); [Charles Head](#); [gswooding@gmail.com](#); [Richard Frisbie](#); [Mary Harris](#); [Claire Zvanski](#); [david hooper](#); [stevencurrier@icloud.com](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters by neighborhoods and by precincts in District 11 and adjacent precincts  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:51:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [Concentration of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 11 as of 17 March 2022.docx](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Christopher Bowman <[chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net](mailto:chrislb Bowman@sbcglobal.net)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 6:31 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdt@sfgov.org](mailto:rdt@sfgov.org)>; Arntz, John (REG) <[john.arntz@sfgov.org](mailto:john.arntz@sfgov.org)>; Yu, Shirley (REG) <[shirley.yu1@sfgov.org](mailto:shirley.yu1@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Charles Head <charleshead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Mary Harris <maryharris\_dist11@msn.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>; david hooper <vpulgas@yahoo.com>; stevencurrier@icloud.com  
**Subject:** Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters by neighborhoods and by precincts in District 11 and adjacent precincts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

Please see attached my third matrix showing the concentration of foreign-born registered voters by neighborhood and by precinct for District 11. The previous two matrices were for Districts 5 and 6.

The matrix also includes eight precincts adjacent to District 11 which have been proposed by different members of the public to be added to the current district.

Unlike the previous matrices, there were only six foreign-born nationalities greater than 1% of the registered voters of the district, which included Chinese (15.88%), Filipino (7.69%), Salvadoran (2.81%), Vietnamese (2.03%), Mexican (1.90%), and Nicaraguan (1.44%) for a total of 31.75% of the district's registered voters.

Another 1.35% of the registered voters in the district are from other Asian nationalities, 2.27% from Hispanic nationalities, and 2.16% from non Hispanic and Asian countries.

The 26.95% of the registered voters of the district who are foreign-born Asians and 8.42% foreign-born Hispanics, compare to the CVAP for District 11 of 55.98% for Asians and 22.06% for Hispanics and

suggest that the majority of the Citizens Voting Age Population of the district are a combination of American-born Asians and Hispanics who are registered to vote, plus naturalized Asians and Hispanics who are not currently registered to vote.

**The data suggest that the proposed addition of the), St. Mary's Park (precinct 7943), and the western portion of the Portola district (precinct 7948) to District 11 on the premise of joining the Filipinos adjacent to District 11 to the Filipino community in District 11 is misguided, and will actually result in the dilution of Filipinos, Chinese, and Vietnamese in the district.**

**According to the latest data from the Master Voter File as of 3/17/22, Filipino foreign-born registered voters in precincts 7874, 7943, and 7948 are respectively 4.72%, 5.29%, and 5.94% of the registered voters in those precincts compared to 7.69% currently in District 11; Chinese foreign-born registered voters in the three precincts, respectively, are 2.05%, 2.98%, and 11.88% of the registered voters in those precincts compared to 15.88%, currently in District 11; and Vietnamese foreign-born registered voters in the three precincts, respectively, are 0.82%, 0.99%, and 1.71% in those precincts compared to 2.03%. currently in District 11.**

**St. Mary's Park and precinct 7874 should remain in their current districts or be assigned either both to District 8 or District 9, while Precinct 7948 should**

**remain with the rest of the Portola District either in District 9 as proposed by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods' and West of Twin Peaks Central Council's Incremental/Minimal Change redistricting plan or in District 10 under the Chinese American Democratic Club's redistricting proposal. They most definitely should not be added to District 11 as proposed by the Community Unity redistricting plan.**

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

Foreign-Born Registered Voters as a Percentage of Total Registered Voters as of 2016  
Organized by Neighborhoods and by Precincts in Numeric Order  
Sources: San Francisco Department of Elections, Precinct Registration by Language, and MVF Birth Place Only, March 17, 2022  
Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman, March 21, 2022

OMI	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1106	18.7%	3.8%	1.1%			
1107	11.3%	3.0%		<2.0%		
1108	15.3%	2.7%		2.2%		
1109	19.4%	2.3%	1.7%	3.8%		
1111	22.7%	10.5%	1.9%	2.2%	1.4%	
1112	23.0%	6.0%		2.9%		
1115	20.6%	1.4%			1.0%	1.7%
1116	18.0%	3.4%	1.3%	1.9%		
1117	16.5%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	1.7%	
1118	16.4%	3.7%	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%	
1119	14.7%	7.1%	3.8%	1.0%	1.8%	1.2%
1123	22.1%	4.3%	<2.0%	2.8%	1.3%	
1124	16.4%	8.2%	1.9%	2.1%		

Cayuga Park/ Outer Mission	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1121	16.9%	7.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%	
1122	24.6%	4.1%	1.8%	4.3%	1.2%	1.0%
1125	13.6%	13.0%	2.9%	2.2%	1.1%	2.1%
1126	17.6%	13.4%	3.6%	2.4%	2.4%	1.3%
1127	20.4%	11.4%	2.4%	2.1%	1.5%	1.0%
1128	18.1%	12.8%	4.5%	3.7%	1.7%	2.1%

Mission Terrace	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1101	15.2%	9.5%	1.3%		1.5%	1.6%
1102	11.6%	4.9%	<2.0%		2.2%	1.9%
1103	10.5%	4.9%	2.8%	1.6%	2.4%	1.7%
1104	9.8%	16.3%	3.3%	1.4%	1.9%	3.5%
1105	14.2%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	2.8%	1.8%
1113	20.8%	9.3%	2.8%	1.7%		1.2%
1114	12.9%	10.7%	2.6%	2.4%	1.8%	3.3%



Crocker Amazon	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1129	14.7%	14.3%	3.4%	2.7%	2.2%	3.6%
1131	18.5%	14.9%	4.1%	1.4%	1.7%	
1132	21.1%	11.6%	2.3%	1.7%	2.6%	1.4%
1133	12.1%	8.4%	3.0%	2.5%	2.9%	1.1%
1134	17.4%	6.0%	3.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.1%
1135	18.9%	4.1%	1.6%	2.9%	2.3%	
1136	16.5%	6.7%	3.5%	2.3%	1.1%	1.6%
Excelsior	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
1141	16.3%	7.8%	3.1%	1.2%	2.4%	1.4%
1142	14.3%	6.5%	4.0%		2.9%	1.6%
1143	12.7%	9.3%	4.3%	1.0%	2.7%	2.9%
1144	15.0%	5.8%	3.6%	2.0%	3.9%	2.7%
1145	8.5%	5.6%	3.9%	1.3%	3.1%	
1146	13.3%	9.2%	4.4%	1.95	3.0%	2.6%
1147	13.4%	5.0%	4.2%		3.5%	2.7%
1148	17.2%	4.7%	5.6%	1.8%	1.5%	2.8%
1149	11.1%	6.6%	6.3%	2.4%	2.5%	
1152	15.2%	4.3%	3.1%	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
1153	16.4%	8.8%	3.4%	1.0%	2.8%	1.3%
1154 <sup>2</sup>		40.0%	20.0%			
District-wide	15.88%	7.69%	2.81%	2.03%	1.90%	1.44%
Proposed transfers to District 11	Chinese <sup>1</sup>	Filipino	Salvadoran	Vietnamese	Mexican	Nicaraguan
7874 <sup>3</sup>	2.0%	4.7%	4.9%		2.7%	
7943 <sup>3</sup>	<3.0%	5.3%	3.9%		1.2%	
7948 <sup>3</sup>	14.6%	5.9%	2.5%	1.7%	2.7%	
9001 <sup>4</sup>	8.5%	5.7%	3.5%	1.3%	1.3%	
9747 <sup>4</sup>	11.0%	2.8%	1.1%	1.9%		
9749 <sup>4, 6</sup>	13.6%	4.6%	1.5%	4.4%	1.2%	
9756 <sup>5</sup>	12.7%	7.4%	1.0%	1.5%		
9801 <sup>4</sup>	8.4%	7.3%	2.9%	1.5%	2.9%	2.4%

## NOTES:

<sup>1</sup> Includes registered voters born in China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Macao.

<sup>2</sup> Precinct 1154, the Crocker Amazon Playground, had five homeless voters. The fact that two of the 5 total (40%) are Filipino is not statistically significant. Rather, for redistricting purposes, precinct 1104 should be considered the precinct with the highest percentage of foreign-born Filipinos in District 11 – 16.3% of the registered voters of that precinct, and precinct 1149 should be considered the precinct with the highest percentage of foreign-born Salvadorans in District 11 -- 6.3%.

<sup>3</sup> Proposed by Al Perez, Deanna Volek, Simon Timony, and Community Unity Plan.

<sup>4</sup> Proposed by Coalition for SF Neighborhoods/West of Twin Peaks and Community Unity plans.

<sup>5</sup> Proposed by Elaine Villasper and opposed by METNA.

<sup>6</sup> Approximately 44% of precinct 9747 east of Ashton would be added to District 11. The demographics for that eastern portion are roughly mid-way between those in the Ingleside Terraces portion of precinct 9747 and the demographics of precinct 9749, with precinct 9749 significantly more Asian and Hispanic than the Ingleside Terraces' portion of precinct 9747.

Only where the percentage is 1% or greater of the registered voters of a particular precinct is the percentage for a particular nationality posted.

Of the 41,095 registered voters in District 11, Chinese are the largest foreign-born nationality in District 11. There are 6,525 (15.88%) foreign-born Chinese out of 41,095 total. The 3,160 (7.69%) foreign-born Filipinos are the second largest nationality in the district, followed by 1,153 (2.81%) Salvadorans. 835 Vietnamese (2.03%), 781 Mexicans (1.90%), and 591 (1.44%) Nicaraguans. The 7<sup>th</sup> through 10<sup>th</sup> largest nationalities in the district are: Guatemalans 292 (0.71%), Peruvians 226 (0.55%), East Indians 101 (0.28%), and Russians 59 (0.17%).

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Inbox4Level5@gmx.com](mailto:Inbox4Level5@gmx.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [pratibha@thclinic.org](mailto:pratibha@thclinic.org)  
**Subject:** RE: COMMENTS re CCSROC ENDORSEMENT OF REDISCTRICTING PLAN  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:51:16 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Inbox4Level5@gmx.com <Inbox4Level5@gmx.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** pratibha@thclinic.org  
**Subject:** COMMENTS re CCSROC ENDORSEMENT OF REDISCTRICTING PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Member of the Redistricting Task Force,

As the majority shareholder of Level 5, I offer these comments in support of CCSROC suggestion of redistricting for the Tenderloin area of San Francisco. Level 5 adopted this City as it's home some 15 year ago. This is not merely a classified small business, but a woman owned, minority business with interest in all of San Francisco, but an entity looking forward to conducting more business within both the Tenderloin as well as Chinatown. Notwithstanding is the simple fact that I am also a resident of the Tenderloin. I can appreciate the concerns that have been repeatedly made by residents of both the Tenderloin as well as Chinatown. The history of CCSROC is clear with more than 20 years of dedicated public service efforts to those who have been silence in the many processes of the city. I have seen, first hand, the number of changes attested to by CCSROC within the Tenderloin. There are no other districts better suited that should be considered to align the Tenderloin with.

When originally notified of the need for redistricting was initially discussed, I concluded that the Fillmore would be better fit. After viewing the arguments of both, I am forced to conclude no two district would be better aligned than Chinatown and the Tenderloin. Both continue to address areas such as affordable family housing while attempting to meet concerns of the lack of open spaces for residents. As a resident of the Tenderloin and business owner within the City, I will attest to their susceptibility of speeding vehicles and serious concern for pedestrian safety for families and children. Most often, the voice of those in both areas are drowned out by those who simply want to toot their own horns. This area relies on walking and public transportation to be mobile and in the process must walk through dangerous streets. This redistricting may unify and empower the populations and create a stronger bond of the communities. In my opinion that process has begun - maybe it's time to show support of those efforts. #Tenderloin+Chinatown #OneVoice\_OneSound

Sincerely,

/s/

ADRIENNE C. CARRINGTON  
Owner  
Level 5 Incorporated  
(530) 539-4430  
(530) 539-4435

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Janet Frisbie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:50:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Janet Frisbie <[jan\\_wenn@hotmail.com](mailto:jan_wenn@hotmail.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 5:01 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF RTF member-

As a resident of the Laurel Heights neighborhood, I support the proposed map 2A keeping Laurel Heights in District 2.

We have established a community of interest by successfully working with neighborhood leaders for many years on various issues.

Thank you for considering our request to remain in District 2.

Cordially,

Janet Frisbie. 525 Laurel Street

Sent from my iPad



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Inner Sunset Merchants Association](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Susannah Wise](#); [Angie Petitt-Taylor](#); [stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com](mailto:stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com); [doug](#); [Christian Routzen](#); [Saadi Halil](#); [Shannon De Leon](#); [Andrea Jadwin](#); [Martha ISPN](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Community of Interest: Inner Sunset Merchants  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:50:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Inner Sunset Merchants Association <innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 4:37 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Susannah Wise <susannahlebus@gmail.com>; Angie Petitt-Taylor <angie@sunsetmercantilesf.com>; stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com; doug <doug@underdogstres.com>; Christian Routzen <christian@sanfranpsycho.com>; Saadi Halil

<saadi@sfhometowncreamery.com>; Shannon De Leon <sipteasf@gmail.com>; Andrea Jadwin <ajadwin@me.com>; Martha ISPN <Martha@inner-sunset.org>

**Subject:** Re: Community of Interest: Inner Sunset Merchants

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

On behalf of the Inner Sunset Merchants Association, we are reiterating the below request dated 2/28/22 to keep the Inner Sunset merchant corridor intact. The boundaries of the merchant corridor are Arguello to 19th Avenue (east to west) and Lincoln to Kirkham (north to south). The two maps proposed to date through the Task Force show the Inner Sunset Merchant corridor being divided at 7th Avenue.

Thank you,

Susannah Wise  
President  
Inner Sunset Merchants Association

On Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 4:40 PM REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to

*disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Inner Sunset Merchants Association <[innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com](mailto:innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 3:28 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Susannah Wise <[susannahlebus@gmail.com](mailto:susannahlebus@gmail.com)>; Angie Petitt-Taylor <[angie@sunsetmercantilesf.com](mailto:angie@sunsetmercantilesf.com)>; [stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com](mailto:stevenjonhendrix@gmail.com); doug <[doug@underdogstres.com](mailto:doug@underdogstres.com)>; Christian Routzen <[christian@sanfranpsycho.com](mailto:christian@sanfranpsycho.com)>; Saadi Halil <[saadi@sfhometowncreamery.com](mailto:saadi@sfhometowncreamery.com)>; Shannon De Leon <[sipteasf@gmail.com](mailto:sipteasf@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Community of Interest: Inner Sunset Merchants

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

As a Community of Interest, the priority of the Board of Directors of the Inner Sunset Merchants Association (ISMA) is to keep the merchant corridor intact and to not let it be divided.

### **ISMA & Commercial Corridor Boundaries**

ISMA is composed of representatives from retail, professional services, restaurants, nonprofits and other businesses in the Inner Sunset Neighborhood Commercial District. ISMA has been in existence since 1928. The Inner Sunset is defined by the following boundaries: from Arguello Blvd. west to 19th Avenue, and from Fulton Street south to Moraga Street.

The Inner Sunset merchant corridor itself is primarily contained within District 5, namely Arguello to 17th Avenue and between Lincoln and Kirkham, with a small segment in District 4 from 17th-19th Avenues between Lincoln and Kirkham. There are several other pockets of commercial activity within ISMA'S scope and within District 5 that would otherwise have no representation by a merchant association, namely: the businesses in Millberry Union at UCSF Parnassus; the shops along Hugo Street @ 3rd Avenue; and the shops at the intersection of 6th & Parnassus. The focal intersection of the Inner Sunset is 9th Avenue & Irving Street.

### **Position as a Community of Interest**

Separating the Inner Sunset commercial corridor across more than one Supervisor's purview would cause disruption in communication and activation efforts in support of the small business community.

If division of the commercial district is the only option, ISMA strongly opposes using 9th Avenue as a dividing line. Characteristics of 9th Avenue would add to the challenge of working across two districts, including: Muni (N-Judah and busses) runs on 9th Avenue; 9th Avenue is a pedestrian and vehicle entrance to Golden Gate; the intersection of 9th Avenue & Irving is a prominent small business commercial area and could create imbalance for across-the-street businesses; and the community regularly hosts closed-street events at 9th & Irving intersection. Additionally, the Inner Sunset has long had a connection to Golden Gate Park in terms of visitors moving between park attractions and the commercial district.

On behalf of ISMA, we appreciate the Task Force's efforts and consideration.

Sincerely,

Susannah Wise

President

--

Inner Sunset Merchants Association

P. O. Box 225057

San Francisco, CA 94122

[innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com](mailto:innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com)

[www.innersunsetmerchants.org](http://www.innersunsetmerchants.org)

--

Inner Sunset Merchants Association

P. O. Box 225057

San Francisco, CA 94122

[innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com](mailto:innersunsetmerchants@gmail.com)

[www.innersunsetmerchants.org](http://www.innersunsetmerchants.org)

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Greggory Johnson, III](#)  
**Cc:** [Pratibha Tekkey](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments in Support of CCSROC Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:50:35 AM  
**Attachments:** [Letter to Redistricting Task Force Members.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Gregory Johnson, III <greggorydjohnson@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 4:20 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Pratibha Tekkey <pratibha@thclinic.org>


**Subject:** Comments in Support of CCSROC Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Redistricting Task Force Members,

Attached herewith, you shall find my letter in support of CCSROC efforts regarding proposed redistricting within the Tenderloin. I would like to state that I am the member of 4 generations of my family to have the opportunity to live and work in the City and County of San Francisco - and to fully support the opportunities that within my community. For a number of years now, we have watched hopelessly the TL's and Chinatown become what we see today. I am 61 years of age and as an African American of my community, a building tenant organizer, and a loyal supporter for the betterment of my community, it is without one iota of doubt that the plight of the TL is best understood by those of Chinatown as we have a common interest at hand. The Fillmore does not share anything in common with the Tenderloin as it relates to the questions and concerns of our community. The attached letter shares all of my immediate concerns as it relates to redistricting and ask that every member take a moment and read the contents thereof.

GREGG JOHNSON  
Building Tenant Organizer  
Vincent Hotel - SRO  
415.940.9193



459 Turk Street #330  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.940.9193  
greggorydjohnson@gmail.com

# GREGGORY JOHNSON

---

City & County of San Francisco  
Redistricting Task Force Members

Via Email:  
chasel.lee@sfgov.org  
chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org  
Cc: pratibha@thclinic.org

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force Members

First, as an African American citizen and voting member of my community, I would like to state that I am also a Building Tenant Organizer of the Vincent Hotel which houses 98 individuals, many of which are either African American or of Asian descent. I have resided in the Tenderloin for several years and I am active in my community and believe the priorities of the Tenderloin are much more aligned with that of the residents of Chinatown and as such we share a common interest and goal in many aspects. I would like to submit to the Redistricting Task Force Central City SRO Collaborative proposed outline for district 3 and 6, exemplifying our position to add the Tenderloin into District 3.

The Central City S.R.O. Collaborative was established in 2001 to organize tenants of Single-Room Occupancy hotels in the Tenderloin. In the last 20 years, CCSRO has fought to preserve SRO hotels, which house the most vulnerable population in the city. They have also bridged a connection to SRO residents to advocate for better living conditions in private SRO hotels in the Tenderloin.

SRO hotels have a historic upbringing in San Francisco, following the devastating earthquake of 1906, SROs were built to house numerous San Franciscans who lost their homes. Over the years, SROs have seen many tenants from a varying background, like seasonal workers or sailors who would not spend much of the year at home.


Today, SROs house some of the City's most vulnerable populations like the elderly, recently immigrated individuals looking for a place to stay, families and individuals who, at some point, were experiencing homelessness. Like the Tenderloin, Chinatown is the home of many SRO hotels and small overcrowded apartments. While many districts in San Francisco have SROs, the Tenderloin and Chinatown is home to the majority of SROs in San Francisco. As a result, this community of interest between the Tenderloin and Chinatown are densely packed districts where residents must live in close quarters with one another.





The dense urban district creates a series of complications for the two neighborhoods, like a serious lack of open space for the residents. These enclosed urban spaces are susceptible to speeding vehicles, creating a serious concern for pedestrian safety for the residents. The Tenderloin and Chinatown are home to people who must rely solely on walking and public transportation to be mobile but must walk in dangerous streets.

SRO residents and the many families in the two neighborhoods have many needs yet to be properly addressed. Our hope is to unify and empower the vulnerable populations and create a strong bond between the community of interest in Chinatown and the Tenderloin. These communities have long championed the conservation of hotels as they are essential to protecting one of the last bastions of available affordable housing in the city. To ensure the protection of SROs, and the most vulnerable in the city, we at CCSRO ask that the redistricting task force unify this community of interest by moving the Tenderloin into district 3.

Sincerely,

 3/22/2022  
GREGGORY D. JOHNSON



P.S. In closing I would like to add, that at any given time up until 2018, there was 4 generations of my family residing within the City of San Francisco, I am the only person to remain. I have been unsuccessful at convincing my children to return to this area simply because they have seen very little being done to address the concerns of any given neighborhood, including the Tenderloin and Chinatown. The time is upon us to make the right decision that will allow the residents most impacted by redistricting and their concerns to be heard.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Joshua Shapiro](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Lakeside One - Keep it part of D7  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:50:04 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Joshua Shapiro <Josh.Shapiro@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 4:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Lakeside One - Keep it part of D7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello - It is very important for our community that Lakeside One stay with Lakeside Two and Three as part of District 7. Lakeside is a longstanding neighborhood in San Francisco with homes and the commercial corridor built in the 1930s and 1940s. Lakeside stretches from Sloat on the north side to where 19<sup>th</sup> and Junipero Serra meet on the south side. As a Lakeside One community member, we would like to stay connected because we work together on many projects and priorities together with our District 7 Supervisor and team. Please reconsider!!!

- Josh Shapiro

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** Karen; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:49:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen <[karenthompson1@comcast.net](mailto:karenthompson1@comcast.net)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 4:11 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please keep Potrero Hill whole.

The border of Potrero Ave makes more sense than the draft proposal and would not add significantly to the population.

Thank You  
Karen Thompson

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [T Flandrich](#)  
**Cc:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: District 3 comments March 22, 2022  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:49:42 AM  
**Attachments:** [Letter to Redistricting Task Force 22 March 2022.docx](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** T Flandrich <tflandrich@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:54 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** District 3 comments March 22, 2022

---

Redistricting Task Force,

We, the D3 North Beach Tenants Committee, have looked at the following proposed redistricting maps: Task Force Maps 2A and 3A, the D3 United Neighborhoods and the Community Unity map.

We cannot support these current versions because they split what has traditionally been North Beach in half. We need to keep our historical, geographical neighborhood community whole.

We now have shared resources to meet our D3 needs-hard sought services around language access in assisting seniors, families, childcare, medical services for low-income residents, safe sidewalks and extended time for crossing busy streets like Bay to accommodate all ages, We want this community and our Chinatown community to remain whole. Many of the older Italians today still speak their dialect (largely 4 different dialects) with limited English and are still grouped together in different locations within D3 and although I cannot distinguish between Cantonese, Mandarin, Toisanese, Shanghainese, I have been told that this is often the case for our Chinese neighbors-shared language, shared housing location when one has a choice. I do know that the suggestion of cutting off one part -the Mason to Vallejo section of the “community unity” map- means cutting out many of these above seniors & families.

You and others may call this Russian Hill, but those who live here will tell you this is North Beach, as the historical line was once drawn at Hyde Street. Many of them, retired working class, have been living here for 60 yrs, have a shared history and utilize services in both North Beach & Chinatown. Many of our shared community services are at least partially funded by The City, so what happens to them if district boundary changes bring them into D2?

For example, last year, the NB Merchants Association, Norman Fong, CCDC & I met with D3 legislative aide Angulo to work on funding in the City budget for The Noodle Fest, last done in 2011, to support our Chinese neighbors facing horrible hate-crimes and the merchants, in reviving this unifying event. This involves ALL of us in the current D3 boundaries.

We also had the first ever Italian-Chinese Poetry protest at Washington Square to support Diego De Leo-100 neighbors showed up. Shared displacement, shared history, shared struggle to hold on to what we have. One of our major goals right now is to get truly affordable housing built in the very few spaces we have left in D3, because we need to house our workers, ie.the restaurant workers in both Chinatown & North Beach, and try to bring back all the workers who were forced out w/ evictions and now travel from Antioch to return to their long time jobs from the Wharf and Chinatown. This is our goal, this is why we fought a hotel going up on Columbus & Bay, why we fought for housing to meet our community needs, not the luxury condo projects that are at Planning's door today.

For these reasons, I implore you not to diminish who we are. If we need to stretch our D3 boundary to include part of D6, one suggestion is to expand to Turk. We welcome an area that fits along our boundary which may share some of our history as immigrants & geographic relocation, as well as our goals to preserve our housing stock & build truly affordable housing for our retired workforce and our working class families.

Thanks for taking the time to read & consider!

Theresa Flandrich  
(40 yr North Beach resident and community advocate)  
North Beach Tenants Committee

Attachment: Letter as word doc



Redistricting Task Force,

We, the D3 North Beach Tenants Committee, have looked at the following proposed redistricting maps: Task Force Maps 2A and 3A, the D3 United Neighborhoods and the Community Unity map.

We cannot support these current versions because they split what has traditionally been North Beach in half. We need to keep our historical, geographical neighborhood community whole.

We now have shared resources to meet our D3 needs-hard sought services around language access in assisting seniors, families, childcare, medical services for low-income residents, safe sidewalks and extended time for crossing busy streets like Bay to accommodate all ages, We want this community and our Chinatown community to remain whole. Many of the older Italians today still speak their dialect (largely 4 different dialects) with limited English and are still grouped together in different locations within D3 and although I cannot distinguish between Cantonese, Mandarin, Toisanese, Shanghainese, I have been told that this is often the case for our Chinese neighbors-shared language, shared housing location when one has a choice. I do know that the suggestion of cutting off one part -the Mason to Vallejo section of the "community unity" map- means cutting out many of these above seniors & families.

You and others may call this Russian Hill, but those who live here will tell you this is North Beach, as the historical line was once drawn at Hyde Street. Many of them, retired working class, have been living here for 60 yrs, have a shared history and utilize services in both North Beach & Chinatown. Many of our shared community services are at least partially funded by The City, so what happens to them if district boundary changes bring them into D2?

For example, last year, the NB Merchants Association, Norman Fong, CCDC & I met with D3 legislative aide Angulo to work on funding in the City budget for The Noodle Fest, last done in 2011, to support our Chinese neighbors facing horrible hate-crimes and the merchants, in reviving this unifying event. This involves ALL of us in the current D3 boundaries.

We also had the first ever Italian-Chinese Poetry protest at Washington Square to support Diego De Leo-100 neighbors showed up. Shared displacement, shared history, shared struggle to hold on to what we have. One of our major goals right now is to get truly affordable housing built in the very few spaces we have left in

D3, because we need to house our workers, ie.the restaurant workers in both Chinatown & North Beach, and try to bring back all the workers who were forced out w/ evictions and now travel from Antioch to return to their long time jobs from the Wharf and Chinatown. This is our goal, this is why we fought a hotel going up on Columbus & Bay, why we fought for housing to meet our community needs, not the luxury condo projects that are at Planning's door today.

For these reasons, I implore you not to diminish who we are. If we need to stretch our D3 boundary to include part of D6, one suggestion is to expand to Turk. We welcome an area that fits along our boundary which may share some of our history as immigrants & geographic relocation, as well as our goals to preserve our housing stock & build truly affordable housing for our retired workforce and our working class families.

Thanks for taking the time to read & consider!

Theresa Flandrich  
North Beach Tenants Committee

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Michael Coholan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [dominica.donovan@sfgov.gov](mailto:dominica.donovan@sfgov.gov); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:49:12 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Michael Coholan <michael@hilltopllc.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:47 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; dominica.donovan@sfgov.gov; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Once again I ask that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2.** I am a long time resident of the Laurel Heights neighborhood and over the years, I have joined with my neighbors in other nearby D2 neighborhoods to work on issues and projects that directly affected our homes and our lives. We have formed strong relationships and I truly value the bond my neighbors and I have formed with the Legislative Aide in our Supervisor's office.

I would appreciate your consideration.

Michael Coholan  
53 Wood Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor  
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani  
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani  
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jonathan Allen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Park  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:49:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jonathan Allen <[jonathan-allen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jonathan-allen@sbcglobal.net)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:31 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jordan Park should remain in D2. Thank you for your consideration.

Jonathan Allen

116 Jordan Avenue

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Barbara Stewart](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed map of district boundaries  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:48:51 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Barbara Stewart <bldstewart@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 2:56 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Proposed map of district boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I live at 550 Davis Street and I oppose the “March 18th Map 2B. The division of historic neighborhoods ignores and dilutes their cultural cohesion.

I **do support *District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan***, because the neighborhoods of North Beach, Russian Hill, Chinatown, the Downtown and Barbary Coast ***should not be split*** into two different districts ***but retained as integral geographic and cultural entities***.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [DeAnn Work](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:01:08 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** DeAnn Work <deannfwork@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 1:32 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!

Thank you. I would like to update my comment to thank you for addressing the Potrero Hill issue in the most recently updated maps, and to say that Map 2A is preferable as it includes Mission Bay in

District 10. Given that Potrero, Mission Bay and Dogpatch share many of the same concerns with traffic, transportation, new developments and UCSF expansion, I believe including Mission Bay in District 10 will be helpful to all of us.

On Tue, Mar 22, 2022 at 11:07 AM REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** DeAnn Work <[deannfwork@gmail.com](mailto:deannfwork@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:21 AM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Committee,

I am a resident of Potrero Hill, on Kansas Street between 19th and 20th. The draft redistricting map cuts off a small portion of Kansas Street in the middle of Potrero Hill and groups it with the Mission. This would result in my neighbors across the street having different supervisor, and would make it literally impossible for us to collaborate on street safety issues and other neighborhood concerns. We are separated from the balance of the Mission District by the freeway and have no particular connection to most of that district, and I cannot imagine that the supervisor representing that district will pay any attention to a few isolated blocks across the freeway. We would effectively be disenfranchised. Instead of including the bizarre eastward divot shown in the map below, the district boundary should simply follow Potrero Avenue north consistently, or as a fallback, at least follow the curve of the freeway, which is a natural boundary of sorts, consistent with the neighborhood.

Adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population to the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

Please address this bizarre anomaly in future versions of the map, and do not cut off our small area from the rest of our neighborhood!

Thank you.

--

DeAnn Work

[deannfwork@gmail.com](mailto:deannfwork@gmail.com)

Cell: 415.706.1343

--

DeAnn Work

[deannfwork@gmail.com](mailto:deannfwork@gmail.com)

Cell: 415.706.1343

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Carol Parlette](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition to Map of March 18, Map 2B  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:01:01 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Carol Parlette <[cparlette@me.com](mailto:cparlette@me.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 1:32 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Opposition to Map of March 18, Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, I live on the northern waterfront, close to the Ferry Building.

I am opposed to this map (noted in the subject line) but I support the district 3 United Neighborhoods' Plan. I cannot imagine splitting up the neighborhood consisting of North Beach, Russian Hill, Barbary coast, and Chinatown.

Using Columbus Avenue as a boundary is ridiculous as it cuts right through the neighborhood of North Beach.

I also like the natural boundary of Van Ness and especially the flow of keeping the northern waterfront intact.

I question how map 2B was drawn up, because it seems to totally miss the essence and cohesion of the neighborhoods.

Please adopt the District 3 United Neighborhoods' Plan/Map.

- Carol Holland Parlette  
Sent from my iPad

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Micki Jones](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Oppose changes to District 3 Voting boundaries  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:00:50 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Micki Jones <[sffd22@aol.com](mailto:sffd22@aol.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 1:19 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Oppose changes to District 3 Voting boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

As a resident and property owner in North Beach for 32 years, I strongly oppose the plan to divide District 3 at Columbus Avenue and I object to extending District 3 south to include the Tenderloin. "North Beach" includes many of the blocks that lie west of Columbus Avenue and it makes little sense to divide it. If anything, it should be extended westward to include more of the Russian Hill neighborhood.

Your current redistricting proposal is a very bad idea!

Micki Jones

Mason Street resident, one block west of Columbus Ave.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** MJ Thomas; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Dick and Janet Frisbie](#); [Kathryn Devincenzi](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Stay in District 2  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 2:59:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** MJ Thomas <mjfogcity@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 12:37 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Dick and Janet Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Kathryn Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Stay in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Carroll,

I have lived in Laurel Heights for 24 years during which time ( and before then!)  
Laurel Heights and Jordan Park has been part of District 2.

As a neighborhood, we often work with Pacific and Presidio Heights on issues  
which affect all of us, such as the planned development of 3333 California Street ( UCSF site) and  
that of 3700 California ( CPMC site ). These are 2 projects which have not yet started.

As we are and will be better represented by remaining in District 2 , I urge that Task Force  
to keep us there!

Sincerely,

M.J. Thomas  
556 Spruce St.  
SF94118

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Kath Tsakalakis](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 7 redistricting question - and comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 2:59:24 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kath Tsakalakis <kath@trefo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** District 7 redistricting question - and comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear redistricting team,

Thank you for all your hard work on rebalancing the districts. Last night, I found it fascinating to listen to a few hours of the public comment and learn so much San Francisco history, particularly for District 11.

I'm writing to you as a resident of Lakeside since 2001, also representing the nonprofit resident organization and business council, "[Friends of Lakeside Village](#)".

My question and comment relate to Lakeside One (between Sloat-Junipero Serra-Ocean-19<sup>th</sup>) and Lakeside Village (the commercial corridor on Ocean between 19<sup>th</sup> and Junipero Serra). I suspect that we are a rounding error for our population size. I'm hoping that this might work in our favor because we don't make a difference to either the District 4 or District 7 total numbers.

From the map that was on screen last night during public comment, **did I understand correctly that it has been redrawn so that Lakeside One and Lakeside Village remain part of District 7?**

If YES, I would like to update our community via email with the good news. If NO, since I couldn't stay on the call past 8PM last night to make a public comment, I would like to re-register our request to stay in District 7. Here's why:

1. We would like to stay with the rest of Lakeside 2 and 3 as a contiguous neighborhood from Sloat on the north side to where 19<sup>th</sup> and Junipero Serra meet towards I280. For decades, we have worked with the homeowner association and residents in Lakeside 2 and 3 on projects of mutual interest.
2. In particular, we work on many community projects with our District 7 supervisor's team and the small businesses, religious and other organizations, and schools such as SFUSD Commodore Sloat on Ocean in [Lakeside Village](#) (including just East of Junipero Serra). An example is the creation of a public park on Ocean from an underutilized parking lot where everything is offered to families at no charge. "[Lakeside Landing](#)" is inclusive and welcoming, bringing bands, poets, volunteers, and families from far and wide in San Francisco. Another example is a District 7 participatory budgeting grant from 2019-20 where we will be working with SFUSD Commodore Sloat teachers for the Kindergarten classes to grow suitable plants in their garden to plant in new sidewalk gardens on Ocean.

Please let us know the latest status for the map and Lakeside One.

Many thanks,

Kath Tsakalakis

415-636-2421

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jonathan Golden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Note: Request for Jordan Park to stay in D2  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:01:51 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jonathan Golden <jpg.golden@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 1:52 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Note: Request for Jordan Park to stay in D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident and homeowner at 91 Commonwealth Ave in the Jordan Park neighborhood (Arguello, Geary, Parker/ Spruce, California). I request that it remain in District 2. Jordan Park has strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods (Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Presidio Heights) and has worked with them on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years. As a former resident of Cow Hollow, I can personally attest to the cooperation and common interest across these neighborhoods. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and a number of major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St, the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary.

I, along with my neighbors, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationship with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani that I do not wish to see disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Thank you,  
Jonathan Golden

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [GEOFFREY BAUMAN](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Bernal Heights as one  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:02:02 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: GEOFFREY BAUMAN <[geoffreybauman@comcast.net](mailto:geoffreybauman@comcast.net)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 2:18 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Bernal Heights as one

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have been a resident of Bernal Heights since 1994 and am active in the Bernal Heights community. I am concerned that the the redistricting not split up up my neighborhood. Bernal Heights should be treated as an indivisible whole. By Bernal Heights I mean that part of the city bounded on the North by Cesar Chavez, on the east by US 101, and on the south by Alemany Boulevard and at least as far west as Mission Street. Thank you.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Michele Garside](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Audrey Cole](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:02:09 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Michele Garside <[mgarside@comcast.net](mailto:mgarside@comcast.net)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 2:50 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Cc: Audrey Cole <[president@potreroboosters.org](mailto:president@potreroboosters.org)>  
Subject: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am glad to see that the second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill with Dogpatch. Thank you for this work.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

I understand that population growth means somewhere has to give in District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Sincerely, Michele Garside, resident on Potrero Hill

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [DeAnn Work](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** DeAnn Work <deannfwork@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 7:21 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Please Keep Our Neighborhood Together!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Committee,

I am a resident of Potrero Hill, on Kansas Street between 19th and 20th. The draft redistricting map cuts off a small portion of Kansas Street in the middle of Potrero Hill and groups it with the Mission. This would result in my neighbors across the street having different supervisor, and would make it literally impossible for us to collaborate on street safety issues and other neighborhood concerns. We are separated from the balance of the Mission District by the freeway and have no particular connection to most of that district, and I cannot imagine that the supervisor representing that district will pay any attention to a few isolated blocks across the freeway. We would effectively be disenfranchised. Instead of including the bizarre eastward divot shown in the map below, the district boundary should simply follow Potrero Avenue north consistently, or as a fallback, at least follow the curve of the freeway, which is a natural boundary of sorts, consistent with the neighborhood.

Adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population to the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.



Please address this bizarre anomaly in future versions of the map, and do not cut off our small area from the rest of our neighborhood!

Thank you.

--

DeAnn Work

[deannfwork@gmail.com](mailto:deannfwork@gmail.com)

Cell: 415.706.1343

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Karen Melander Magoon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Don'y split up North Beach  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:57 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Karen Melander Magoon <karenmmagoon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:13 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Don'y split up North Beach

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Columbus IS North Beach

And don't start splitting up Fisherman's Wharf, either.

We are what we are historically and culturally. Don't mess us up.

Thanks,

Karen

[www.karenmm.com](http://www.karenmm.com)

Karen Melander-Magoon, D.Min.

600 Chestnut St. #410

San Francisco CA 94133

415 652 2670

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [emily wells](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Opposed to Redistricting  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** emily wells <emilymwells46@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:49 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Opposed to Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is the backbone of our neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half.

-Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance the population. We support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan", backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3.

-We share numerous traits and have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

--

Emily Wells

<http://www.101surfsports.com/>



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Pride Commons](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:47 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Pride Commons <jessica@jperla.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 7:58 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am glad to see that the second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill with Dogpatch. Thank you for this work.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Please, I live at 1010 16th st. Don't split me up.

I understand that population growth means somewhere has to give in District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Sincerely,

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Elaine Villasper](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public Comment for D11  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:42 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Elaine Villasper <elaine@filipinocc.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 7:38 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Public Comment for D11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Good evening task force members,

My name is Elaine Villasper and I work for the Filipino Community Center in D11. I've been serving the D11 community for 15 years years, and my children go to school in the district.

I attended the previous D11 Redistricting Task Force meeting.

One of the matters we advocated for was the inclusion of the OMI and Lakeview into D11, and we're happy to see that the task force has reflected this in the current draft of the map. The Filipino community's cultural, economic, and social lives can be found throughout the Mission Street corridor, the Excelsior, OMI, and Crocker Amazon, and the current map keeps our community whole.

I will defer comment about the inclusion or exclusion of Sunnydale into D11 to the Sunnydale community. I believe it is important to prioritize their voices on what district they want to be a part of.

I look forward to following the development of the map beyond D11, as we strive to move towards an equitable map.

Thank you,

Elaine Villasper  
*Associate Director*

**Filipino Community Center**

4681 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94112

[415-333-6267](tel:415-333-6267) | [www.filipinocc.org](http://www.filipinocc.org)

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Katrina Liwanag](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Task Force Mtg (Districts 7 and 11) Public Comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:38 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Katrina Liwanag <[katrina@filipinocc.org](mailto:katrina@filipinocc.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 7:01 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Task Force Mtg (Districts 7 and 11) Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hi, my name is Katrina Liwanag and I live in the Excelsior District. I also work at the Filipino Community Center - located in the heart of the Excelsior.

I am born and raised in San Francisco, and am part of the Filipino community in D11, and I represent the interests and concerns in the previous D11 redistricting meeting.

Regarding the draft maps that the Task Force has put out:

I am happy to see the task force's map include the OMI in D11. The Filipino community has several businesses, residents, and cultural institutions throughout the Mission Street corridor, the Excelsior, OMI, and Crocker Amazon and that keeps our community whole.

We share the interest of preserving the diverse, working class, multi-ethnic, multilingual and multigenerational character of our district.

Thank you,

Katrina Liwanag

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Rosario Cervantes](#); [MARY GOODNATURE](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Rosario Cervantes <jazz\_latinlady@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 7:00 PM  
**To:** MARY GOODNATURE <mgoodnat@pacbell.net>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Mary, it sounds good.

There are a lot of our community that are attending and are there in person in Room 406 and will speak. I will listen to their comments as well that are asking for them to approve the community unity map.

Rosario

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 5:58 PM, MARY GOODNATURE  
<[mgoodnat@pacbell.net](mailto:mgoodnat@pacbell.net)> wrote:

- Hi, my name is Mary Goodnature. I have lived in district 11 of San Francisco for 24 years.
- I'd like you to keep my community of Oceanview-Merced Heights-Ingleside (OMI) & Lakeview together in district 11.
- I agree with SF Rising's proposal which the Redistricting Task Force has thus far appeared to ignore.
  - I want District 11
    - **to remain and be restored as a multi-ethnic, multigenerational, and multilingual district made up of diverse, working class communities, including black communities in the OMI, Chinese communities throughout the district, Filipino/a communities around Mission St corridor, Excelsior, and OMI; Latina/o communities along Mission Street corridor and throughout the district, as well as working class property owners and tenants, families, elders, and children.**
    - **We want to keep our communities whole, and that includes retaining the OMI and Lakeview neighborhoods in order to keep our communities geographically and culturally connected.**
  - I adamantly oppose the Redistricting Task Force Hearing proposal for District 11, which *makes significant changes to the boundaries of District 11 despite the fact that it was one of the districts with the least significant population changes. It also inexplicably moves the Lakeview & OMI out of the district despite these communities' shared history of struggle and solidarity and despite their clear feedback to the task force that they are communities of interest and want to remain together in District 11. This draft map does not reflect the input from D11 constituents.*

**Please advise me that you have read and considered this comment from me.**



**Sincerely,  
Mary Goodnature  
926 Sargent Street  
San Francisco, CA 94132  
415-713-5677  
[mgoodnat@pacbell.net](mailto:mgoodnat@pacbell.net)**

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** Karen Scarr; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Diana Taylor](#); [james.seff@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:james.seff@pillsburylaw.com)  
**Subject:** RE: OPPOSE March 18th Map 2B & SUPPORT District 3 Unified Neighborhoods Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:26 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Karen Scarr <kpscarr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 6:25 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Diana Taylor <dianataylor50@gmail.com>; [james.seff@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:james.seff@pillsburylaw.com)  
**Subject:** OPPOSE March 18th Map 2B & SUPPORT District 3 Unified Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I write on behalf of myself and the Golden Gateway Commons I ("GGCI") Residential Association to express our deep disappointment in and strong opposition to Map 2B published on March 18, 2022.

Map 2B is an extreme proposal to carve up the city's Northeastern neighborhoods, which are united by mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services. It would cut North Beach in half at Columbus Avenue, its heart, and remove parts of Russian Hill, Chinatown, Nob Hill, and Financial District. More devastating for our residents, the plan would separate the Barbary Coast neighborhood from the Ferry Building and Sue Bierman Park (including our beloved children's playground), a critical open space for the people who live here. Map 2B completely ignores natural and historical boundaries including Van Ness, Market Street, and the northern waterfront, which have traditionally defined an area with a multitude of interests in common.

**We strongly support the "[District 3 United Neighborhood Plan](#)," backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3. It is coherent, simple, and meets all of the redistricting criteria.**

We urge you to abandon the drastic proposal that is Map 2B. Thank you for your attention.

Yours sincerely,  
Karen Scarr  
President, GGCI Residential Association  
550 Davis Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [MARY GOODNATURE](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Rosario Cervantes](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Input from District 11 for redistricting committee  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:20 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** MARY GOODNATURE <mgoodnat@pacbell.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 5:58 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Rosario Cervantes <jazz\_latinlady@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Input from District 11 for redistricting committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- 
- Hi, my name is Mary Goodnature. I have lived in district 11 of San Francisco for 24 years.
  - I'd like you to keep my community of Oceanview-Merced Heights-Ingleside (OMI) & Lakeview together in district 11.
  - I agree with SF Rising's proposal which the Redistricting Task Force has thus far appeared to ignore.
    - I want District 11
      - **to remain and be restored as a multi-ethnic, multigenerational, and multilingual district made up of diverse, working class communities, including black communities in the OMI, Chinese communities throughout the district, Filipino/a communities around Mission St corridor, Excelsior, and OMI; Latina/o communities along Mission Street corridor and throughout the district, as well as working class property owners and tenants, families, elders, and children.**
      - **We want to keep our communities whole, and that includes retaining the OMI and Lakeview neighborhoods in order to keep our communities geographically and culturally connected.**
    - I adamantly oppose the Redistricting Task Force Hearing proposal for District 11, which *makes significant changes to the boundaries of District 11 despite the fact that it was one of the districts with the least significant population changes. It also inexplicably moves the Lakeview & OMI out of the district despite these communities' shared history of struggle and solidarity and despite their clear feedback to the task force that they are communities of interest and want to remain together in District 11. This draft map does not reflect the input from D11 constituents.*

**Please advise me that you have read and considered this comment from me.**

**Sincerely,  
Mary Goodnature  
926 Sargent Street  
San Francisco, CA 94132  
415-713-5677  
[mgoodnat@pacbell.net](mailto:mgoodnat@pacbell.net)**

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Angela Ball](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); [laurelheights2016@gmail.com](#); [krdevincenzi@gmail.com](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Laurel Heights needs to remain in District 2  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**

**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Angela Ball <ball\_angela@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 5:54 PM

**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS)

<andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>;

laurelheights2016@gmail.com; krdevincenzi@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfibeagle@gmail.com>

**Subject:** Laurel Heights needs to remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

I am a resident of Laurel Heights-Parker/Spruce, Geary, Masonic, California.

I strongly request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods and has worked with them on social, cultural and economic issues and projects over the years. Our Community of Interests are not well served by a move to D1 and we would be disenfranchised by this move as there is no COI with D1 nor is there any relationship with the Supervisor of D1.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Sincerely,

Angela Ball

CC:

The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor  
[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)

Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani  
[Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org](mailto:Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org)

Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani

[Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org](mailto:Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org)

Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association  
[frfbeagle@gmail.com](mailto:frfbeagle@gmail.com)

M.J. Thomas, [laurelheights2016@gmail.com](mailto:laurelheights2016@gmail.com)  
Kathy Devincenzi3, [krdevincenzi@gmail.com](mailto:krdevincenzi@gmail.com)



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting District 7 Comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:04 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Glen & Reiko Hatakeyama <ragtime217@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 5:45 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting District 7 Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Glen Hatakeyama, and I am on the Merced Extension Triangle Neighborhood Association (METNA) executive board. I want to express that I and the board wish to remain in District 7. The Merced Extension Triangle (MET) is a triangular area of land bordered by Freeway 280 on the south, Junipero Serra on the west, and Brotherhoodway Blvd. on the north.

Our reasoning for remaining in District 7 is that: 1) we have a good working relationship the District Supervisor Melgar and her staff; 2) Supervisor Melgar's office has provided the Merced Extension Triangle (MET) with many safety features that have been neglected for several years i.e. sidewalks, radar signs, street signs, etc.; 3) more importantly District 7 has looked upon the MET as a cohesive and resilient area of residents and supports our efforts to work with the Neighborhood Empowerment Network (NEN) by forming a series of Block Champions and hub to assist neighbors in case of a catastrophic event.

Thank you for your time and service to the city of San Francisco,

Glen Hatakeyama

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Casey Whalen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: March 18 Map 2B  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:06:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Casey Whalen <caseywhalen9@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:51 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** March 18 Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hi,

I am writing to you in regards to the suggested March 18 Map 2B. I strongly believe this move would hurt all of the neighborhoods involved. Instead, I agree with District 3 United Neighborhoods.

Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is **the backbone** of our neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half. And cut it at the worst part. Again, Columbus Ave. is essential to the north beach identity and community.

Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance population.

We share numerous traits and have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

I myself live on the corner of Filbert and Mason. I walk my dog up Russian Hill every morning and live, eat, and breathe every other moment in North Beach. These two neighborhoods are meant to be together. They are so similar in their tourism and plethora of small businesses, and most importantly their needs.

Please do not pass March 18 Map 2B and instead institute District 3 United Neighborhoods.

Thank you,  
Casey Whalen  
4157301552

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Arlene](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [dominica.donovan@sf.gov](mailto:dominica.donovan@sf.gov); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Arlene <arlenefilippi@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:32 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; dominica.donovan@sf.gov; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Supervisorial District 2/Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Once again I ask that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2.** I am a long time resident of the Laurel Heights neighborhood and over the years, I have joined with my neighbors in other nearby D2 neighborhoods to work on issues and projects that directly affected our homes and our lives. We have formed strong relationships and I truly value the bond my neighbors and I have formed with the Legislative Aide in our Supervisor's office.

I would appreciate your consideration.

Arlene Filippi  
42 Wood Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [MB Porfido](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: New Map  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:47 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** MB Porfido <mareporfido@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** New Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I'm writing to state my opposition to the "March 18th map 2B". I have reviewed and support the map for "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan"

I have been a resident of North Beach for many years. I love it because of its community and history. I believe the "March 18th map 2B" splits North Beach and its Italian history. Our community brings together the Russian Hill and Nob Hill communities frequently. It makes more sense to include these areas in District 3 and NOT split North Beach. I hope you will reconsider the mapping for District 3.

Thank you  
MaryBeth Porfido

--

Make it a great day!!!

Mary



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [John Kuehne](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition to District Map 2B - Support For District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:42 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** John Kuehne <jkkuehne@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Opposition to District Map 2B - Support For District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Task Force,

While I sincerely appreciate all of the work you are doing to create equitable district maps, I cannot support the proposed the Map 2B that was presented on March 18th.

My strong belief is that North Beach, Russian Hill, Chinatown, the Downtown, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods are best served as a cohesive group in representation.

Please strongly consider the District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan.

This plan adjusts District 3's boundaries by simply extending the existing western boundary of Van Ness northward all the way to the Bay. This approach incorporates the missing "notch" bounded by Van Ness, Union St, Jones-Columbus-Leavenworth, and the Bay into D3. The remaining boundaries of D3 are unchanged.

This plan is similar to the one previously submitted by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association, North Beach Neighbors, Russian Hill Neighbors and other signatory organizations. It meets the Task Force's redistricting criteria while offering significant benefits, which include: • Uniting the Russian Hill neighborhood, which is currently split between D3 and D2. The Russian Hill Neighbors sent a letter to the Task Force on February 11 requesting a plan that unifies their

neighborhood and combines it with their peer neighborhoods in District 3. • Maintaining the integrity of existing neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, Polk Street, and Barbary Coast). This proposal does not create new splits in any neighborhood nor any new divisions in D3 racial/ethnic populations under the city charter. • Meeting the district population requirement within 1% of “ideal.” The population of D3 with the current boundaries is 72,474 or 8.9% below the ideal number of 79,545. By extending the northwestern boundary to Van Ness, D3’s population would be 78,908 a mere 0.8% below the ideal.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

John Kuehne  
550 Battery St. #1019  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
650-743-3222

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Leland Faust](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Laurel Heights  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:37 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Leland Faust <lfaust@taylorfaust.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:14 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Laurel Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I am a long-time resident of Laurel Heights. I think we are better represented by being part of District 2. I urge you to keep us there.

Sincerely,

Leland H. Faust  
49 Heather Ave  
SF 94118

Confidentiality: This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail [or at (415) 421-9535] and delete all copies of this message. In accordance with Treasury Regulations Circular 230, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Felisia Thibodeaux](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 11 Redistricting  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Felisia Thibodeaux <[fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org](mailto:fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:03 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** District 11 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

My name is Felisia Thibodeaux, and I work in District 11's Lakeview-OMI area. I have worked in the OMI for over 4 years. As a member of the INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI Coalition, I was noticeably upset with the first map published by this taskforce in the early part of March, which moved the OMI to District 7. District 7 encompasses neighborhoods like West Portal, St. Francis Woods, Sunnyside, and Lakeside. District 7 neighborhood's needs, demographics, and socio-economic levels are not comparable to the OMI which is largely composed of immigrants and communities of color. OMI was once over 70 percent African American residents but is now around 10 percent. Lakeview-OMI health disparities, food insecurity, and heavy reliance on public benefits requires it to remain connected to similar neighborhoods like the Excelsior, Outer Mission, and Crocker Amazon. Moreover, zip code 94112 needs to be under one supervisor based on the areas' complex needs.

INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI is extremely pleased and happy that you all have heard our concerns. We endorse the Redistricting Taskforce 2B map that was released on March 18, 2022. This map restores the OMI's investment along the Ocean Avenue Corridor that we lost in 2012 and returns Lakeview-OMI back to District 11. INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI also endorses SF Rising's Unity Map for District 11 which is nearly identical to this body's 2B Map. Both maps meet the needs of the Lakeview-OMI neighborhoods

**Felisia Thibodeaux, Executive Director**

Southwest Community Corporation

I.T. Bookman Community Center

446 Randolph Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 586-8020 x110

[fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org](mailto:fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org)

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Mary Harris](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: What D11 Wants RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mary Harris <maryharris\_sf@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 4:00 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** What D11 Wants RE: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



---

Thank you Honorable Task Force members,

My name is Mary C. Harris and I'm calling to voice my support for redrawing the District 11 maps as recommended to the Task Force by Advocates 11 and the Filipino American Community Forum.

It is important that we do our best to keep communities of interest intact so that friends and families are not split up unfairly.

We would first like to advocate for District 11 to be reunited with the northern half of Ingleside, from Ashton Avenue to Harold Avenue up to Ocean Avenue. We believe that it would benefit the Ocean corridor to have two supervisors working together to represent one of our most important cultural and commercial corridors.

Secondly, we believe that the mission of the Task Force is clear and that is to keep like communities together in a way which signifies the City's mission of equality. We support the area of St. Mary's Terrace – a heavily Filipino populated area – to be included and not sever the greater whole of the Filipino community.

In closing, we would like District 11 to acquire precincts 1112, 7874, 9749, 9801, and 9901. Thank you for your time.

*Mary C. Harris*

Sincerely,

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Sal Ahani](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Jordan Park  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:05:16 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Sal Ahani <bajimoto@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:55 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Jordan Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

The members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I know for a fact that Laurel Heights will be better served by remaining in a District (District 2), where we have strong connections with other neighborhoods that have taken years to develop, and with whom we have worked effectively on many occasions, and are known to our Supervisor, Catherine Stefani. In District 1 we would be the furthest outlying neighborhood sharing no past connections with any of the neighborhoods in D1, and totally unknown to the Supervisor. We would have a greatly diminished voice in what happens around us, and to us. Jordan Park residents need to decide where our preference lies, and the I would strongly urge you to leave Jordan Park in district 2.

Respectfully,

A. Sal Ahani, DDS, MD  
Jordan Park Resident.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [BATEL LIBES](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** BATEL LIBES <batel\_libes@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:53 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

Last week I listened-in to almost the entire session discussing the preliminary map proposed. I would like to compliment you on the time vested and your listening to all those who spoke, it was a very long night and you managed to stay awake, so thank you and for your efforts.

Now that a second map has been proposed, and as someone who has lived on Potrero Hill since 1984 and specifically on Vermont St. since 1990, I am glad to see and want to thank you for this version which puts me back on The Hill I love, and that the Potrero Hill area will be kept as a whole, though still not in its entirety.

This map, though an improvement on the previous version, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. The result is that our neighbors at Daggett Place are placed in a different district. This boundary splits the California College of the Arts' facilities. And, most importantly, it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

I understand that population growth means that something has to give in District 10. However, those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Thank you for considering my input and thank you for working on this difficult task,

Sincerely,  
Batel Libes  
Vermont St.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Rachel Strickland](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 11:07:12 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Rachel Strickland <[rachel@flipturn.net](mailto:rachel@flipturn.net)>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 10:28 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Keep Showplace with Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

The second version of maps you have prepared keeps the whole of Potrero Hill together with Dogpatch. Thank you for this excellent modification.

The maps, however, continue to separate Showplace Square from Potrero Hill at 16th Street. This puts our neighbors at Daggett Place in a different district. It splits the California College of the Art's facilities. And it dilutes the voices of our neighbors who continue to advocate for the promises of the Showplace/Potrero Hill Area Plan of the Eastern Neighborhoods.

Population growth means somewhere has to be parted from District 10. But those areas of Showplace Square closest, and most reliant on Potrero Hill and Dogpatch (especially the areas south of Division and King Street) should continue to be a part of our greater neighborhood, and a part of the same District.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Strickland, Homeowner and Architectural Designer  
615 Rhode Island Street  
San Francisco, CA

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [fareastcafe@pacbell.net](mailto:fareastcafe@pacbell.net); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 3  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:54:05 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Far East Cafe <[fareastcafe@pacbell.net](mailto:fareastcafe@pacbell.net)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 3:37 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <[matt.haney@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.haney@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: District 3



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco,

We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan.  
Our preference would be to include a wider Russian Hill boundary.

The current neighborhoods are more aligned for small businesses, hotels and tourism, all of which have been hard hit by the pandemic. We also encompass the all important Financial District which is another key component to bringing our neighborhoods back to life.

The top concerns for out of town visitors to San Francisco is the level of crime and the homelessness. People don't feel safe and the City streets are filthy.

We work very hard in our neighborhoods to combat these perceptions. We try to keep the crime rates down and are working hard to keep our areas clean and inviting.

We already have high density rates for our residents. Perhaps, the highest in the City. To acerbate the problems with the possibility of more residents is not a good master plan for our City and its resources.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you.

Bill Lee

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [George Wooding](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: RTD redistricting plan for Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:54:02 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** George Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:23 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Geroge Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** RTD redistricting plan for Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

March 21, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting  
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635  
[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association (in D7) wants to keep most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district. Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Home Owner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.
2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A "Community ties of Interest" is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, The Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association (MTHOA) endorses the "Coalition

for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan" as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest.

Respectfully,

George Wooding, President, MTHOA, 415 695-1393

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Patrick Devlin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Keeping Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights together in d1  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:59 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Patrick Devlin <patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:48 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Patrick Devlin <patrick.devlin@sbcglobal.net>  
**Subject:** Keeping Jordan Park, Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights together in d1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear RDTF,

Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Patrick devlin

Resident of Jordan Park (140 Jordan Avenue)

Patrick A. Devlin 415-990-9625

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Mary Rose Cassa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 7 Redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mary Rose Cassa <[mcassa@earthlink.net](mailto:mcassa@earthlink.net)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:29 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** District 7 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Regarding today's redistricting meeting for Districts 7 and 11:

The latest map divides Golden Gate Heights neighborhood into four different supervisor districts.

Whether we want help with a park, stop sign, bus route or crime, Our neighborhood needs to stay united to have any influence with our supervisor. This is a clear case of gerrymandering designed to benefit certain politicians.

Inner Sunset should be united in Supervisor District 7. The biggest population adjacent to merchants of the Inner Sunset is up the hill in Golden Gate Heights. It makes sense to unite the merchants and their largest source of customers under one supervisor in D7. We don't want slices of our neighborhood pared off to be included in Supervisors Mandelman's, Mar's and Preston's districts.

Please include all of the Inner Sunset in one district, from Golden Gate Park south, and from 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue east.

Best regards,

Mary Rose Cassa  
820 Ortega Street



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Paul Magee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: district 2  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 3:53:52 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Paul Magee <paulmagee22@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:26 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** district 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello,

I am a resident of Laurel Heights. I would like to voice my opinion that we would like to remain in district 2 due to continuity of interests with other neighborhoods currently within district 2. Thanks,  
Paul Magee

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [DPH - mousecollector](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting boundaries District 11  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:14:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Barbara Fugate <mousecollector@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:55 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Redistricting boundaries District 11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

The Cayuga Neighborhood Improvement Association stands with the OMI. We do not agree with the "Community Unity Map" currently under review. The CNIA does not believe the OMI should be lumped into the same district and St Francis Woods.

As the representative for the CNIA I, and other members of our Board will be attending this evenings' meeting. But just to be clear I want to make sure the task force is aware that we DO NOT LIKE OR APPROVE of this so called "Community Unity Map". This map ignores the fact that District 11 is made up of folks who have worked together successfully for over 30 years. Giving up part of DII last redistricting was always meant to be a temporary measure.

District 11 is the only district that has monthly meetings for all the community groups within the district. We have included reps from City College to the YMCA. Our neighborhood groups include long standing associations such as New Mission Terrance (NMTIA), Outer Mission Residents and Merchants (OMMRA), and Cayuga Neighborhood Improvement Association est. 1937(CNIA). We intend to join the other organizations in DII and fight for the OMI.

Regards  
Barbara Fugate

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Catherine Magee](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Laurel Heights D2  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:14:10 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Catherine Magee <catherine.a.carr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 12:48 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Laurel Heights D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force Team,

Thank you for your hard work on getting the city districts redone with the population shifts. I am writing to you to ask that you leave Laurel Heights in District 2.

I am a resident of Laurel Heights - born and raised in this neighborhood. I chose to continue to reside here raising my own family, as I love my neighbors, faith community, neighborhood amenities, and have a community of interest with the other D2 neighborhoods.

Over the past several years (and decades!), we have worked with our neighbors in Alta Vista, Presidio heights, Pac heights, cow hollow (and more!), to support development in our community, have neighborhood block parties, join together in faith and fundraising, patronize small businesses in D2, and to support our D2 supervisor.

I, and our laurel heights neighbors, would strongly prefer to remain in D2 and not be stripped away from our established relationships and community of interest that has grown strong over the years. Our community rallied together so supportively these past 2 years with covid lockdowns, checking in on the elderly and healthcare workers, sharing PPE, and making sure our small businesses were supported. We have never interacted with D1 on neighborhood events, etc. Moving us to D1 would truly break apart our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Catherine Carr Magee  
63 Lupine Ave, SF

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Martha Ehrenfeld](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: ISPN asks that the Inner Sunset NOT be split esp. in the business district, unhappy with current draft of map  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:54:07 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Martha Ehrenfeld <martha@inner-sunset.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:50 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** ISPN asks that the Inner Sunset NOT be split esp. in the business district, unhappy with current draft of map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Committee:

The board of the Inner Sunset Park Neighbors (ISPN) is unhappy with the current map. We do not want the Inner Sunset to be split into three districts and not right in the middle of our merchant corridor which the current map does at 7th Ave. Please consider moving all of the Inner Sunset into D7.

Thank you for your time and service,  
Martha Ehrenfeld,  
ISPN President

***Although the Inner Sunset is an informally-identified neighborhood without official boundaries, its borders are often considered to be the west side of Arguello Boulevard, the east side of 19th Avenue, the south side of Lincoln Way, and the north side of Moraga Street.***

<https://www.inner-sunset.org/about-ispn/about-the-inner-sunset/>

--

Board President  
Inner Sunset Park Neighbors  
[www.inner-sunset.org](http://www.inner-sunset.org)  
(415) 297-2623



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [trishirish1@msn.com](mailto:trishirish1@msn.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: New Proposed Maps  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:54:01 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** patricia herman <[trishirish1@msn.com](mailto:trishirish1@msn.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:44 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** New Proposed Maps

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Committee Members,

As a Board member of North Beach Neighbors, a native San Franciscan and a child of a produce company owner when the produce market was on Washington Street to the Waterfront, I support the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan.

Why is this Committee intent on splitting neighborhoods? Historically North Beach, Chinatown and Russian Hill have always worked as a destination and collectively worked on many projects affecting all three neighborhoods. While I realize that the redistricting is necessary due to the information related to the Census, it should not destroy the very essence of community.

I implore the Committee to maintain Chinatown, North Beach and Russian Hill up to and including Van Ness Avenue. This would result in the numbers being of value as is required.

The idea of splitting North Beach down Columbus Avenue demonstrates that the Committee does not understand how the neighborhoods in the City work together and have similar interests, concerns and goals.

Please cancel March 18 Map 2B which would divide the neighborhood down the middle. It is critical that you value the comments and recommendations of the neighborhood groups and support District 3 United Neighborhood Plan.

Regards,

Trish Herman

(415) 601 -0635

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jay: REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: No to March 18th Map 2B...Yes to District 3 United Neighborhood Plan  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay <[jayernst57@comcast.net](mailto:jayernst57@comcast.net)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 1:40 PM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: No to March 18th Map 2B...Yes to District 3 United Neighborhood Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Re-Districting Task Force,

As a concerned neighbor currently residing in District 3, I am writing to offer my opposition to the March 18th Map 2B, the proposal to break apart the current District 3 boundaries. I am sure this is not an easy decision and there are reasons for proposing the March 18th Map 2B but I cannot see any benefit coming from this and the idea of using Columbus Avenue as a boundary line and splitting North Beach is weak and takes little account of District 3's constituents preferences. Furthermore, you seem to be completely ignoring the historical assimilation and collaboration we have had with our northern and western neighbors and neighborhoods in shared services and business. As I understand it, you could add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance the population. As for the haphazard nature of Map 2B boundary lines, why would you not consider the natural and historical boundaries such as Van Ness, Market Street and the northern waterfront. Those boundary streets allow for the continuation of my previous comment on the traditional shared interests of the neighborhoods within (hospitality, tourism, shopping, overlapping schools, police and other community services). Hopefully you will listen to the many citizens alarmed by the Map 2B proposal and vote to endorse the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan. This would accommodate the needed population growth of District 3 by including all of Russian Hill, a community that is currently split between two districts. The aforementioned shared traits between Russian Hill and the rest of District 3 are best served together while the combination of portions of my neighborhood with areas stretching to the Tenderloin and the splitting of North Beach are just plain incongruous.

Thank you for listening and remember that your decision (any re-districting decisions) will have a major impact on us residents for the foreseeable future.

Respectfully,

Herbert J. Ernst  
57 Ironship Plaza, 94111

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Kathy Mallegni](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting in Lakeside  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:45 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kathy Mallegni <[kmallegni@gmail.com](mailto:kmallegni@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:23 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Redistricting in Lakeside

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Task Force Members,

I was recently notified that the City's redistricting plan for Supervisorial District includes a proposal to sever Lakeside One and Ocean Avenue between Junipero Serra and 19th Avenue from District 7. This would result in Lakeside II and Lakeside III being in a different district from Lakeside I.

Please reconsider and vote against this proposal. Lakeside I, II and III were built at approximately the same time for middle income families and have the same or very similar community of interest. They have many features in common, such as boundaries (19th Avenue and Junipero Serra), house style ( which include being detached, a feature not common throughout San Francisco and District 4), parking easements in Lakeside I and II, similar noise issues, a common retail area on Ocean Avenue between Junipero Serra and 19th Avenue, the same transportation amenities and issues, and underground utilities. In addition, Sloat Boulevard has historically been the roadway that separated Lakeside from the Parkside. While that's not compelling, there seems to be no valid reason to change it now.

Given the above, I believe it would be a serious mistake to move any of the three Lakeside Districts which separate them for City governance and supervisorial representation. Please vote down the proposal to move Lakeside District 1 to Supervisorial District 4.

Thank you for your assistance and good judgment.

Kathy Mallegni

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Lee Robbins](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Very distressed about new maps re District 3  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:40 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Lee Robbins <leerobbins@sonic.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:15 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Very distressed about new maps re District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

As a long-term resident of District 3 I am very distressed by the interim maps 2A and 2B which ignore the natural cultural boundaries of our District and separate us from our neighbors with whom we have common perspectives. One issue may be that some people may think that NOTHING matters except race or color. Of course these DO matter and I have been an activist for equity issues, for the treatment of Black people to the Vietnam war to the recent anti-Asian prejudice, all of my adult (and somewhat earlier) life. However, acting as if these are race and color ONLY thing that matters, which MAY be the reason for this poor boundaries, which I understand have often focused on these issues, is another and probably worse form of discrimination as if the nature of a person, a human being, was only determined by their race or color. Those of us who live in San Francisco and will be affected by the boundaries have for the major part lived and grown up here in a society and with connections and, to some degree choice of home, more by out cultural connections with others that what is their race or color.

Our local neighborhood association, Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) has submitted a proposed map adhering closely to the population size dictated by the census and keeping similar neighborhoods together. Please respect our efforts and the natural contours of our local neighborhood culture.

*Lee Robbins* Cell: 415-713-1341

- **NOTE:** [LeeRobbins@post.Harvard.edu](mailto:LeeRobbins@post.Harvard.edu) is my **PERMANENT** email address
- please use this in your address book as I may change ISPs and their email addresses from time to time; note auto-reply goes to [LeeRobbins@Sonic.net](mailto:LeeRobbins@Sonic.net) (my current ISP) which is OK but may be changed sometime.



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Cc:** [Richard Frisbie](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Laurel Heights in District 2  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 12:42 PM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Richard Frisbie <frfibeagle@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Laurel Heights in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

The Laurel Heights neighborhood association supports maintaining the Laurel Heights neighborhood in District 2, which is shown on proposed map 2A.

Over the years, we have developed a community of interest with other neighborhood leaders in District 2. We have worked with them on various land use and safety issues.

We appreciate your consideration of our communication and hope you will heed our request to maintain our community of interest with other neighborhood leaders we have come to know.

Thank you,

Laurel Heights Improvement Association of SF, Inc.

By: Kathy Devincenzi, President

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Erin Elliott](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: D3  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Erin Elliott <erin@erins.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 11:59 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Greetings.

I oppose "March 18th Map 2B". I support "D3 United Neighborhoods Plan". It is critically important to me personally that my community of North Beach not be split into two districts!

Thank you,  
Erin Elliott  
D3 resident & home owner

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Patricia Kenney](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Do Not Cut North Beach in Half (Using Columbus Avenue)  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:53:01 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Patricia Kenney <[pjkenney1@aol.com](mailto:pjkenney1@aol.com)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 11:48 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Do Not Cut North Beach in Half (Using Columbus Avenue)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please adopt The District 3 United Neighborhoods Proposed Plan & Map. Do not cut North Beach in half. For the past nearly 4 decades, I have lived on the North Beach side of Russian Hill and consider myself to be living in and affected by North Beach. Drawing the district line down Columbus Avenue to cut my area out of North Beach makes no sense.

Patti Kenney  
44 Macondray Lane #3E  
San Francisco, CA 94133  
415.509.3643

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Mike Paul](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Mike Paul <mikeapaul@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 11:36 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear RDTF,

Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mike Paul  
Resident of Jordan Park since 2007



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Julie Paul](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:45 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie Paul <[juliepaul164@gmail.com](mailto:juliepaul164@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:55 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Jordan Park and Laurel Heights - NO to Map 2A!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF,

Thank you for all of your efforts—I know this is quite a process, but am very concerned about the latest release of map 2A. Jordan Park and Laurel Heights should ABSOLUTELY remain together, and it is nonsensical that Sea Cliff is not included in D1. My main preference has always been that Jordan Park, Laurel Heights AND Presidio Heights remain together as this is what I consider to be my neighborhood—where I bank, eat, shop, recreate, visit the dentist etc. To me, it would make the most sense if ALL of these neighborhoods moved to D1.

Thank you for your consideration,

Julie Paul

Resident of Jordan Park since 2007

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [John Davis](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: NORTH BEACH IS NOT TENDERLOIN  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:30 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** John Davis <[jdavis@davispaul.com](mailto:jdavis@davispaul.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:39 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** NORTH BEACH IS NOT TENDERLOIN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Please leave North Beach boundaries where they are currently. North Beach and Tenderloin have nothing in common. NOTHING! Russian Hill has been a traditional part of the North Beach neighborhood. They belong together.

Yours truly,

John Davis

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** Charles; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: D3 redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 1:52:19 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Charles <[buzzthomas@me.com](mailto:buzzthomas@me.com)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 10:21 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: D3 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Charles Thomas. I'm a former President and current Vice-President of North Beach Neighbors. I have been on the Board for 20 years and took part in the last redistricting of D3 several years ago.

I have looked at both proposed maps (3A and 3B) and believe that 3A is the best boundary for our D3 neighborhoods and the City in general.

I understand the incredibly difficult job you have been tasked with in the parsing up of the City's districts. I also understand that D3 must do its fair share in absorbing the very overpopulated District 6. I feel that absorbing the precincts from Rincon to the Embarcadero is the only practical way this can be achieved without causing major disruptions within the fabric of our D3 neighborhoods.

Additionally, I understand that many groups and citizens would like to absorb most of Russian Hill in order to come up to population par. But I see this as an untenable position given the pressures that have been caused by D6's overpopulation.

Thank you for your time in considering my email in your decision regarding D3.

Charles Thomas  
415-254-0121

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Anthony Abuzeide](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose the "March 18th Map 2B."  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:29 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Anthony Abuzeide <abuzmail@me.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:01 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I oppose the "March 18th Map 2B."

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I **oppose the "March 18th Map 2B."**

Instead, mention that you support the "District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan"

Anthony Abuzeide



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jenna Josephs](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: March 18th Map 2B Opposition  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:21 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jenna Josephs <j.josephs14@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 9:31 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** March 18th Map 2B Opposition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to oppose the March 18th Map 2B. I have lived in North Beach for over five years and do not support Columbus Avenue as a boundary of any sort. It is not an edge but the heart and backbone of our neighborhood.

I am in support of the District 3 United Neighborhood Plan and believe Russian Hill to be part of my neighborhood. Russian Hill is important to balance the population of the neighborhood and we have a mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping school and community services. I believe if you asked anyone who lives in North Beach if they've spent time in Russian Hill (and vice versa!), most, if not all, would undoubtedly reply "yes".

Please do not break up our neighborhood but instead, please keep us united. I've spent all of the pandemic living in North Beach and watching the change - unity is what we need right now to maintain a clean and safe place to live. Not the opposite.

Thank you,  
Jenna Josephs

--

Jennifer 'Jenna' Josephs  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign | Class of 2014  
College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences  
Agricultural and Consumer Economics | Financial Planning

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Erika Gliebe](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Opposing "March 18th Map 2B"  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 10:19:14 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Erika Gliebe <erika.gliebe@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:58 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Opposing "March 18th Map 2B"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Hello there,

I would like to communicate my opposition to the "March 18th Map 2B." I fully support the "District 3 United Neighborhoods Plan." I just can't imagine our district being cut down Columbus as this is a key corridor to a rich community. Additionally North Beach, Russian Hill and Telegraph Hill are complimentary neighborhoods that support each other and should be one district. The new proposed 2B map makes literally no sense to me.

Please strongly consider the united neighborhood plan over 2B.

Best,  
Erika Gliebe

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Kathy Calegari](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathy Calegari <[kcalegari1965@gmail.com](mailto:kcalegari1965@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 7:11 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RDTF Committee,

My name is Kathleen Calegari and I live in Jordan Park with my husband, Greg Calegari, and we strongly urge you to return Jordan Park back into District 2 from D1.

Respectfully yours,

Kathleen and Greg Calegari

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Janet Bidwell](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistributing Bernal Heights  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:47 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Janet Bidwell <[jbidwellbrambila@gmail.com](mailto:jbidwellbrambila@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 7:00 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Redistributing Bernal Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting team

I am a South Bernal Heights long time resident.

Bernal Heights has been losing some of its architectural charm over the past 5 to ten years. I do not want to lose the historical charm it has. Please keep the continuity of a cohesive Bernal Heights neighborhood by not splitting it.

Also I am concerned over the amount of new developments wanting to change the architecture to industrial designs for homes and businesses here. Definitely takes away from historical aspect of this neighborhood.

I would like more information on how your plans may affect me.

Please share info.

Sincerely

Janet Bidwell

331 Park St, San Francisco, CA 94110

559-288-3598



**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [henrymilic@yahoo.com](mailto:henrymilic@yahoo.com); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: redistricting  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:41 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** henry milich <henrymilic@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 6:58 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

please keep all of russian hill together in one district.

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Carol Simmons](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: I oppose the March 18 Map 2B  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:36 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Carol Simmons <[csimm77@yahoo.com](mailto:csimm77@yahoo.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 6:24 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** I oppose the March 18 Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you for all your hard work on redistricting, but I strongly oppose the proposed Map 2B. As a 20 year resident of the Gateway complex, I consider North Beach, Chinatown, the Financial District near me, Russian Hill and the Barbary Coast “my neighborhood”. The District 3 Neighborhood plan is contiguous, and perfectly reflects this neighborhood and our common interests..

The proposed map splits North Beach, doesn’t include the Ferry Building area, particularly my next door park, and includes areas near and beyond D3 that have very different challenges and issues.

Please reconsider your maps and redraw so that D3 is our district.

Best Regards,

Carol Simmons

440 Davis Court, Apt.1221

San Francisco, CA 94111

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Neville Morcom](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: District 3 - March 18th Map 2B  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:28 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Neville Morcom <nmorcom@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2022 2:10 AM  
**To:** REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** District 3 - March 18th Map 2B

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

I reside at 170 Pacific Avenue, in the Barbary Coast section of the city.

I **strongly oppose** the proposed redefinition of District 3, as laid out in the March 18th Map 2B. It makes **NO rational sense**. I urge you to consider the following:

-Columbus Avenue is NOT a boundary. It is the backbone of the neighborhood. Drawing the line there, as it does in Map 2B, would cut North Beach in half.

-Please add all of Russian Hill to District 3 to balance population. This plan utilizes the natural boundaries of Van Ness and contiguous waterfront to Market Street.

-We share numerous traits and have a history of working collaboratively with Russian Hill and the other nearby neighborhoods. We have mutual reliance on hospitality and tourism, shared shopping corridors, and overlapping schools and community services.

-Map 2B completely ignores the natural and historical boundaries such as Van Ness, Market Street and the northern waterfront, which have traditionally defined an area with a multitude of interests in common.

- I support the "District 3 United Neighborhood Plan", backed by more than a dozen community organizations in District 3. It is coherent, simple and meets all of the redistricting criteria.

Neville Morcom

District 3 Resident

**From:** [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**To:** [Jon Schaumberg](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Laurel Heights Must Remain in District 2  
**Date:** Monday, March 21, 2022 8:52:23 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4445

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

*Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.*



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Jon Schaumberg <[jonschaumberg@aol.com](mailto:jonschaumberg@aol.com)>  
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2022 12:21 AM  
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <[rdtf@sfgov.org](mailto:rdtf@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Laurel Heights Must Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please allow Laurel Heights to remain in District 2 as we are more closely aligned with them than with District 1. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Jon and Kathy Schaumberg  
31 Collins Street

Sent from my iPad