Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 21, 2022
Date:

☒	☐	Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022
☒	☐	Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022
☒	☐	COI Listening Session Presentation v7– February 16, 2022
☒	☐	Communications Received March 11 through 17, 2022

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all communications received by the Task Force. The following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

☒	☐	Communications Received March 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022
☐	☐	Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021
☐	☐	Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021

Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:** March 18, 2022
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**
Prepared by: John Carroll **Date:**

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-**Mapping Day 1** (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-**Mapping Day 2** (3-14-22):

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

-**Mapping Day 3** (3-23-22): Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-**Mapping Day 4**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-**Mapping Day 5**: Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.

TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.

It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



District 6A detail

This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

SAN FRANCISCO 2022

REDISTRICTING

Public Input and Communities of Interest

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.

Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.

Redistricting happens every 10 years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

No draft maps have yet been created by the Redistricting Task Force.

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

Mean Population of a Supervisorial District: 79,545

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

0566

What are the criteria for redistricting?

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (*equal population*)
- Compliance with Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) which addresses race and language minorities
- Preserve *recognized neighborhoods*
- Preserve *Communities of Interest* (COIs)
- Contiguity
- Compactness

Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest (COIs)

Recognized Neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources (like the Planning Department). Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.

Communities of Interest are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, cultural, and economic interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

COIs are defined by those familiar with the community...

Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.

It is up to those who live in or work in a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.

Interests need not be limited to current situation but can also include common goals.

Communities of Interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Examples of Common Interests

Economic interests:

- Current situation -- common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).
- Goals -- expanding opportunities, development, job creation

Social interests:

- Current -- schools, transportation, parks, housing
- Goals -- improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources

Cultural interests:

- Current -- holidays, cultural businesses
- Goals – cultural-meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts

Tell us about your Community of Interest

What is your Community of Interest? What ties you together? What common interests do you share?

Where is your Community of Interest? What are the boundaries of your community (streets, parks)? Where is it on the map? What is different or the same about the areas around your community?

Why should your community be kept together in one district? Why would it be a problem to split your community?

Other options to tell the Task Force about your COI:
https://sf.gov/information/community-interest-coi-public-input

District-Based Input

The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force additionally welcomes district-based input:

- Provide feedback about one district, multiple districts, or the whole map
- Let the Redistricting Task Force know how you would like the districts to be drawn
- What would you like to change about San Francisco's current supervisorial districts? What do you like about how they are currently drawn?

Redistricting Mapping Tool

The Redistricting Task Force has made a free-to-use online mapping tool available to the public .

The online mapping tool allows members of the public to draw their own district maps.

Proposals developed with the online mapping tool may be submitted to the Redistricting Task Force for consideration.

The mapping tool also allows members of the public to view census geography and data for any area within San Francisco.

https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Ivan Corado-Vega
Subject:	FW: The OMI Neighborhood Must Stay In District 11
Date:	Friday, March 18, 2022 11:52:30 AM
Attachments:	Redistricting Taskforce - Solidarity Letter from LTF.docx.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ivan Corado-Vega <ivan@mlvs.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 11:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: The OMI Neighborhood Must Stay In District 11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Redistricting Taskforce:

The Latino Task Force (LTF) **stands in solidarity with INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI Coalition** in rejecting any consideration for Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside (OMI) neighborhood to be placed in District 7. **The OMI neighborhood must stay in District 11.** Lakeview-OMI is a historical African American community that was once home to more than 70 percent African American homeowners but with discriminatory policies, government interference, and the cost of living in San Francisco skyrocketing in the last two decades, cultural pockets like OMI have dramatically changed or disappeared. This neighborhood is now roughly 10 percent African American. If the OMI is moved to District 7, the African American voice and voting power would die and cease to exist while the Latino and Filipino voting power would be extremely weakened.

Moreover, the OMI neighborhood is not connected or similar to District 7 demographics in any stretch of the imagination. District 7 racial demographics is white and English speakers while the OMI residents are largely immigrants who speak English as a second language. Over 50 percent of District 7 residents have a bachelor's degree or higher while over 50 percent of the OMI residents report their highest level of education is a high school diploma. The homes for sale in District 7 are at a minimum 50 percent higher than the homes in OMI. In addition, the median income for District 7 residents is exceedingly greater than OMI residents and District 7 voter turnout is also exceedingly higher than District 11 residents.

In closing, The Latino Task Force (LTF), restates our rejection to any consideration for Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside neighborhood to be placed in District 7. If this was to happen, there would be a clear and convincing argument that San Francisco Redistrict Taskforce gerrymandered this neighborhood in the interest of weakening community of colors voice for political gains that were not in the best interest of San Francisco voters. Oceanview, Merced Heights, Ingleside must remain in District 11 and connected to the Excelsior community. **INVEST BLACK Lakeview-OMI Coalition supports March 10, 2022 Redistricting Map "SF Rising" has composed for District 11 because it leaves the OMI whole and it restores Historical Black spaces on Ocean Avenue lost 2010 Redistricting process but to District 11.**
https://www.figma.com/file/74mW7pLAPqu3zXWoqF7fyG/Community-Unity-Map?node-id=0%3A1

Ivan M. Corado-Vega
LTF Manager
Mission Language & Vocational School (MLVS)
2929 19th St
San Francisco, CA 94110
Cell: 415-574-9918

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; carolannrogers@prodigy.net
Subject:	FW: Letter to the Task Force Members from Russian Hill Neighbors via email attachment
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 9:47:51 PM
Attachments:	image002.png Russian Hill Neighbors Letter to Redistricting Task Force Members 3.17.2022.pdf image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter to the Task Force Members from Russian Hill Neighbors via email attachment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello Mr. Carroll,
Per your outgoing greeting at the phone number listed on the RTF website, I am writing to you to request that the attached letter addressed to the RTF Members be circulated to them. This is a supplementary communication to the COI statement that RHN filed on February 11 to provide additional information on why RHN believes that its neighborhood of Russian Hill should be united in D3.

I would very much appreciate your advice and assistance in making sure that the attached gets to each Task Force member. Normally, I would email it individually to each member, but I do not have those direct email addresses. If you do have those addresses and would advise that I send this directly to the members, could you share those addresses to me? Being unfamiliar with the process, I look forward to hearing from you any information or advice regarding the most effective way to provide this kind of information to the Task Force Members.

Thank you for your invaluable efforts and service in this redistricting process.
Carol Ann Rogers

Carol Ann Rogers, President
Russian Hill Neighbors
415-902-3980

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: Redistricting Madness
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:54:04 PM

Forwarding to RTF on BCC.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 8:38 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Redistricting Madness

From: Mira Martin-Parker <tartarthistle@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Madness

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

D5 and its boundaries by calling the **Redistricting Task Force on March 16**, **5:30pm**, agenda item 2.

Dear Masters of all things civic-related,

I am a District Five resident and I do not wish to be subject to any sort of shenanigans during your redistricting adventures today. Please do not disturb the qualitative goodness that presently exists in my district. The people of District Five, while a complicated and confused and often crazy set (myself included), actually benefit from this odd mixture within our composition. This is a good thing, a very good thing, for life in general, but specifically life in San Francisco, as this encourages a state of peace and happiness, please do not trouble it with meddlesomeness. The fairies will get angry, the trolls will too, and I will become even more unstable than I am at present.

Thank you for considering my position and respecting the rights of those who live on breadcrumbs and other discarded tidbits.

Respectfully (a tipping of the hat),
Mira Martin-Parker
Inner Sunset

From:	Carroll, John (BOS)
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; geoffrea morris
Subject:	FW: Gerrymandering of Lakeview-OMI
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:53:38 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: geoffrea morris <msgeoffrea@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:27 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Gerrymandering of Lakeview-OMI

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello All,

Please share this Redistricting Taskforce. Lakeview-OMI has created a video that clearly states their objection about being placed in District 7.

Here is the two minute video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zuIugcPRjeA

In addition, we want the video to be played for public comment. It is 2minutes.

Can this happen for Monday, March 21 at 5:30pm meeting?

Geoffrea (Jah-free-ah) Morris

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Paul Wells; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: D10 Potrero Hill
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:52:13 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Paul Wells <paul@flowstream.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10 Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To the RDTF,

Drawing a straight line on Potrero Avenue is so logical, even Nextdoor does it for their map of Potrero Hill:



It's logical, and simple. Adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population to the district.

As J.R. Eppler pointed out, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

Request your consideration. As the D10 Bicycle Advisory Committee Representative, have the Bike Path on Potrero Ave stay within the district seems to make the most sense.

Thank you,
Paul Wells

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sophie Hays; Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: PESKIN CONSTITUENT OPPOSES REDISTRICTING
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:52:06 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sophie Hays <sophiecdhays@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 9:42 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: PESKIN CONSTITUENT OPPOSES REDISTRICTING

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco,

I **am opposed to the Tenderloin being included in District 3. Our preference would be to include a wider Russian Hill boundary. We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan.**

We already have high density rates for our residents. Exacerbating the problem with more residents is not a good plan.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you,

Sophie

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Leslie Terry
Cc:	Prager, Jackie (BOS); Sophie Constantinou
Subject:	FW: Redistricting along the Bernal Cut re: District 8
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:51:58 PM
Attachments:	CHNA_D8_031422.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Terry <renterry@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 8:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Sophie Constantinou <sophie@citizenfilm.org>
Subject: Redistricting along the Bernal Cut re: District 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am a San Francisco resident living at 31 Milton Street, between Bosworth Street and San Jose Avenue. My husband and I purchased our home in 1988, and raised our family here. Over the years, we have come to know our neighbors, and have worked together to create a more green and livable environment. We have met with SF Supervisors, CalTrans, and the SFMTA to look for ways to improve San Jose Avenue and make it a safe and friendlier thoroughfare for pedestrians, bicycles, streetcars and vehicles. It's been a challenge!

Living along the east side of the "Bernal Cut '' between San Jose Avenue, Mission Street, and the 280 Freeway, one can feel cut-off from the neighborhood that we are most adjacent to: Glen Park. In 2012, *both* sides of San Jose Avenue became part of District 8, and we were finally represented by one Supervisor rather than two. This made a *noticeable* difference in creating a more cohesive community that works together and efficiently gets things done!

Being in the same district has fostered alliances and city support for a variety of projects. I am attaching a letter from the College Hill Association that addresses the positive actions that have transpired through the city's support. and an active neighborhood group. San Jose Avenue should *not* be seen as a boundary, but rather a part of a whole: **The whole Bernal Cut.**

Please keep Glen Park and College Hill in District 8. Specifically, I am addressing the eastern properties that border San Jose Avenue from Bosworth and along the Bernal Cut path. From what I can tell, the proposed plan includes *some* of that land, but not *all* of it.

Community pathways, community gardens, clean-up days, planting days, and murals are the tangible improvements that can be attained when we work together with the City; as one community, with one District Supervisor to make things happen on *both* sides of the Bernal Cut! We appreciate the challenges that you are tasked with. Please consider this as you draw final lines for District 8.

Thank you,
Leslie Ren Terry
415-640-6879

cc: office of Supervisor Mandelman

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

This is a letter from the College Hill Neighborhood Association explaining the importance of keeping our community in one district. Our neighborhood was brought to District 8 in 2012 through redistricting, and this has allowed us to more effectively coordinate important infrastructure, safety, and community improvements across adjoining streets.

Currently our neighborhood is fondly referred to as the Bernal Cut—the nickname for San Jose Avenue—and is on Google Maps as its historic name of College Hill; neighbors collaborate with City staff (and each other!) under the umbrella of the College Hill Neighborhood Association. We are largely a residential neighborhood with a large percentage of senior citizens mixed with families with children of mixed economic backgrounds. We have an incredibly large group of neighbors who are third and fourth generation San Franciscans and even a few fifth. Most homes are zoned RH2, many with two to four families living under each roof.

The boundaries of our neighborhood are Randall Street at the north, Bosworth at the south, and Mission Street at the east.

On the west side of our slender neighborhood, our community feels connected with the Glen Park neighborhood, as we share many common opportunities and concerns—most significantlySan Jose Avenue, the massive transportation corridor at our western edge that both divides us physically from Glen Park and bonds us: Three bridges cross this “Bernal Cut” crevasse, linking one residential neighborhood to another.Together we have added lighting along the Bernal Cut Path and plantings along both the east and west sides of San Jose Avenue. Together we work to steward the bridges—physical structures that touch down on both sides of San Jose Avenue—by addressing the garbage that accumulates underneath them and the safety of neighbors who walk and drive upon them.

As a community, we were able to advocate for a crosswalk and traffic chicane installed along Richland Bridge in 2012. In 2021, we were able to get a similar crosswalk, traffic light, and red no-parking zones along Highland Street and at the Highland Bridge.

As a community, we have beautified the neighborhood with several murals—with more to come. In 2013, OEWD and Invest In Neighborhoods funded a mural on the DPW waystation at the mouth of the Bernal Cut Path by Appleton and Mission. In March 2022, after working closely with each other to design a mural called Bridging the Bernal Cut, both piers of the Richland Bridge were covered in murals: one on the College Hill side, and the other on the Glen Park side.

As a community, we worked with our District 8 Supervisor to address public safety and were able to collaborate with SFPD and the SF District Attorney’s office to identify a known arsonist and put in place a civil restraining order for three years.

As a community, we have planted over 200 trees and over 1,000 native shrubs. We have installed 40 new sidewalk gardens and 50 permanent solar lights. And now 6 neighbors have installed over 400 feet of lighting along their back fences to illuminate the Bernal Cut Path, to improve the night walkability of our neighborhood. We have recently added solar lighting on the Bernal Cut Path between Highland and Mission to improve the after dark walkability between San Jose Avenue, Mission Street and the Bernal Heights neighborhood.

As a community, we have monthly work days with an average of 50 volunteers turning out to clean and green along both the College Hill and Glen Park sides of San Jose Avenue.

We hope you can see our shared challenges and the ways that we, as a community, have bridged a physical gap and come together to build resilience, social cohesion, and environmental stewardship.

If you were to just look at a map and divide us into separate and fractured districts based only on cartography, you'd be ignoring our social fabric—and we are confident that our momentum will be hampered and our unity severed.We know from experience that it takes many years to build trust and a knowledge base within a supervisory district about neighborhood concerns and capacities. Looking at this new proposed district map, we are very concerned that the division of our neighborhood into north and south pieces along Richland and Mission will mean that for the northern part of our neighborhood, years of trust building within our supervisory district will be lost. Moreover, we fear that our unity as a neighborhood will be severed

Please strongly consider our request to stay united in District 8, for the health of our community.

Thank you,

College Hill Neighborhood Association

CC:
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Staff

From: Venk Reddy
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: Fwd: Public comment re: D1/D2 border
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2022 2:36:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

First of all, thank you for this opportunity to submit a commit in writing, as I was unable to attend the meeting on Friday for more than 45 minutes due to school dismissal and work commitments. Unfortunately, I was only notified that the meeting was taking place the morning before, so last minute rescheduling was not possible.

That said, I wanted to share my view as to why the D1/D2 border **should be changed** to include Seacliff and the stretch along Lake and California to the north of the current border.

I am a resident of the block of 32nd Avenue north of California Street which is in District 2, so this issue affects me personally. Though our primary issues are the same as those of our District 1 neighbors (more on this below), our voice is diluted by SF residents in District 2 who do not share the same issues. This results in a feeling of disenfrachisement and a lack of representation. We look forward to seeing the Task Force address this issue and right the wrong that took place 10 year ago for ideological reasons.

By the task force's own definitions, there does not appear to be a reasonable argument for leaving the border where it is:

Preserve Recognized Neighborhoods
It would be impossible to argue that, geographically, our corner of the Outer Richmond is recognized alongside the Marina, for example, as a single neighborhood. The fact that, when we moved into our house 11 years ago, we were told there is a debate as to whether we were considered the Outer Richmond or Seacliff shows that the borders are vague and the two are largely intertwined.

Furthermore, the SFPD, SFFD and US Postal Service all recognize Seacliff to be part of the greater Richmond district of San Francisco. If we have a safety issue, we call the Richmond police station. If we have a fire issue, we call the Richmond firehouse. Our family and school community volunteer at the Richmond Neighborhood Center. We do not do the same for the Marina/Cow Hollow area because we don't consider those residents to be our neighbors. All of our school carpools are with residents in the Richmond district.

Put simply, if one of the mandates of the Task Force is to preserve recognized neighborhoods based on data and geography and to keep districts contiguous and compact, there does not seem to be a reasonable non-partisan argument for the district borders as they exist now. The Presidio is a natural border which makes this extremely obvious - so much so that I'm surprised this is even an issue we are facing in 2022!

Community of Interest

The portion of the Richmond which is found on the north side of Lake and California Streets does not materially differ from that on the south side of the same streets. There will be natural variances as one moves toward the park, but the line as it stands now is arbitrary. For example, culturally, there are many Asian-owned and Asian-supported businesses on either side of the border. This alone is an odd result of the last redistricting that should be rectified. I will reiterate that our neighborhood center is the Richmond Neighborhood Center, located in D1. Every SFUSD school within walking distance of our house is in D1 as well.

However, the single biggest issue in San Francisco facing the Richmond District, now and for the foreseeable future, is transportation. The many voices which have spoken up during the review of the Slow Streets program evidence this. Regardless of which side of the debate a resident may be on, there is no doubt that the impact of the public transportation in and the streets that lead into or out of this neighborhood affect us all equally. The fact that my daughter waits 30 minutes for a bus just to travel from one end of the Richmond where we live and attend school to the other end of the Richmond where my wife works is not an issue shared by the residents of D2 who live east of the Presidio. To that end, if keeping Communities of Interest together is a priority of the Task Force, once again, it would be difficult to make a case to continue to separate our neighborhood into two different districts.

I will also note that the current district borders are not innocent. As a result of my family's inclusion in District 2, our voices have effectively been silenced. Any issues we face are not faced by the majority of the residents in our district, which effectively means that our voices are not represented since our elected supervisor is not incentivized to advocate for a position that at best is for a small minority of her district residents and at worst may even be opposed by other residents in her district. We have essentially been disenfranchised when it comes to representation on the issues that impact us the most.

My wife is a small business owner in the Richmond district as well. In this case, her retail store on Clement Street is in District 1. Though we live and work in the neighborhood, serve residents in the neighborhood, eat and shop in the neighborhood and otherwise conduct all of our family business in the neighborhood, we are unable to have our voices be represented by the supervisor who represents our own business or those that we patronize. We are effectively rendered helpless and voiceless in this matter as well. Put simply, we see no argument for a Community of Interest case to separate our part of the greater Richmond district from the rest of the greater Richmond district currently in District 1.

Economic Interest

Unfortunately, as I mentioned, I had to drop off the call before I could speak publicly because I had a family obligation I could not escape (i.e. school pickup and carpool). However, the primary objection I heard over and over again to redrawing the D1/D2 border was economic. Specifically, one resident expressed that D1 has renters and D2 has homeowners. Another, an elderly gentleman who said he grew up in the Richmond district, described Seacliff as "that tony enclave over there" that he never considered part of the Richmond. I will say that this sort of anecdotal and personal stereotyping of one corner of the Richmond district is at best archaic and highly flawed.

First, the portion of the neighborhood north of the D1/D2 border is not materially different in home ownership than other parts of the Richmond, despite the misguided impressions of some residents in the neighborhood. Our children attended elementary and middle school in the neighborhood, and the families in our school community lived all over the Richmond and

elsewhere in the city. In general, the proportion of renters to homeowners did not vary materially within the Richmond district, regardless of the side of the D1/D2 border one lived on. There are as many homeowners on the south side of the border as on the north side of the border.

Furthermore, due to recent home price increases all over SF, the cost of housing is elevated all over the Richmond district. This is an issue which impacts my family as much as anyone in D1, and we deserve a collective voice to address it. Every neighborhood in San Francisco is suffering from the impact of wealth on its affordability, but the reasons each neighborhood is impacted is different and is largely determined by geography and neighorhood characteristics. For example, the impact on SoMa looks similar but is driven by different factors. Geographically, we are in a unique position which has more in common with the western end of D1.

Put simply, our family is not the same as a tech titan sometimes identified with Seacliff, and to claim so is oversimplifying and problematic, ignoring the real challenges taking place all over the city which cannot be solved by pushing small portions of every neighborhood into other districts. To eliminate our representation because of a clearly partisan objective using the bogeyman of "wealth" to motivate residents to support it is unfair. Admittedly, it could be politically expedient and popular with partisan organizations who benefit from gerrymandered districts. But doing so conveniently ignores the fact that there are more economic similarities than differences, including those related to the local small businesses like ours. I am optimistic that the more reasonable and non-partisan nature of this year's Task Force will right this wrong that took place 10 years ago.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the right decision regarding the D1/D2 border seems clear based on the required or preferred considerations: geographical continuity; the clear similarities of issues and culture that drive the definition of communities of interest (including the most important issue of transportation); and the flaws in the case others have presented for economic segregation. Every factor would seem to mandate that the greater Richmond district be reunited so that we can be represented collectively with our shared interests and issues and so we can be a part of the neighborhood we love, support and live in every day.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	BP; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Diamond Heights- D-8 redistricting
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:51:25 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: BP <brpeskin@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 11:14 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Diamond Heights- D-8 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

I am reaching out to you to urge you to retain as much of the DIamond Heights boundaries as you can in District 8 during this important redistricting process. Dividing our unified community by significantly changing our neighborhood's boundaries would not serve our best interests, and would separate us in ways that would harm the long established connections that have kept us highly engaged in our duties and interest in building a better Diamond Heights.

I have been a longtime resident of District 8, and for the last 27 years i have lived in Diamond Heights (DH), where I have actively participated in our community. I also serve as a DHCA Board Member (Diamond Heights Community Association), a Community of Interest.

Very early in my residency here, we learned of a plan by then Mayor Brown to move the Police Academy out of San Francisco, and onto Treasure Island. It was then that I joined with my newly-acquainted neighbors to participate in our "fight" to keep the Police Academy in San Francisco. Ultimately the Mayor reversed his position based on our coordinated community efforts and the cogent arguments we presented. He congratulated us not only for the passionate engagement we embraced for our neighborhood cause, but also for the manner in which we advocated for it. That spirt continues to be the heart of our association's work.

Personally, that initial community effort launched my commitment to actively participate in issues of importance to my DH community. This dynamic neighborhood, bound not only by geography, has a wide range of housing facilities that serve a population with a broad range of our neighbors' economic needs.

Like all neighborhoods, DH has small and large rental apartment complexes, many configurations of condominiums developments, and single family homes of all sizes. However, unlike some nearby neighborhoods, DH has low-to-moderate income requirement co-op ownership housing, as well as subsidized HUD housing rentals in our neighborhood, bringing a welcomed economic diversity to DH. This diversity has allowed us to team up with residents who have needs and concerns that our association has helped to support.

There's many projects that we've worked on over the decades that our association (DHCA) has been established:

We've advocated for park upgrades, sewer pipe maintenance (as over the years DH has had more sewer pipe failures than most other neighborhoods in the City), crosswalk striping, sidewalk and traffic safety measures, neighborhood anti-crime watch trainings, improved street lighting, resiliency and earthquake readiness training, litter clean-up, street signage for stairways, The Gateway Sculpture upcoming renovation, many neighborhood maintenance and beautification projects and

holiday celebrations, to name some of our many efforts to improve the quality of life in our neighborhood.

The newly renovated George Christopher Park with its upgrades is a recent accomplishment we greatly appreciate. Over the last few years we've actively participated with input from our neighbors, and with engagement with City leadership to see this project through.

We've had a much needed and supportive working relationship with the management of the business anchor of our community: The Diamond Heights Shopping Center with whom we've partnered for years, from planned neighborhood celebrations to concerns about safety, sufficient lighting and cameras in the parking lot.

Since its inception, our community association (DHCA) has teamed up with other groups within DH (Resilient DH, NERT for example) and with residents here to address our local concerns. We've established liaisons as a neighborhood that are determined mostly by geography, and continue through our commitment to causes we share bound by this geography.

We've have a long track record to improve the lives of our residents by of working closely with City Departments and especially with our supervisors,

Being bordered by Glen Park Canyon, a jewel of green space that separates us by a canyon, makes for a natural separation of our two neighborhoods. It's important that we remain in D-8 and continue the collaborative work we do among our neighbors. Simultaneously, we have a long history of working closely with our nearby D-8 neighbors (in the Castro, Noe Valley and Glen Park), with whom we share similar concerns and work collaboratively towards solutions.

These liaisons take time to establish, and we've worked hard to make our neighborhood thrive. We've had a long history of being an active, involved community, open to all residents who come together to voice their concerns and share ideas about how to improve Diamond Heights.

For these reasons I ask that the Task Force support the SF Coalition of Neighborhoods' map proposal and urge you to keep as much of the borders of DIamond Heights intact in District 8.

Thank you for serving on the Task Force and for your dedicated work on this important matter.

Sincerely,

Betty Peskin
DHCA Board Member

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Awbrey; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Delivery Status Notification (Delay)
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:51:01 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Awbrey <weegreenmea@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 10:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Delay)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Good Evening San Francisco Task Force Members:
Here is the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council's letter regarding our neighborhood boundaries and our Community of interest. Thanks for all of your hard work to hear the many caring San Franciscans who will be impacted by the new redistricting.
very truly yours,
Lisa Awbrey
President, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Wed, Mar 16, 2022 at 6:13 PM
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Delay)
To: <weegreenmea@gmail.com>



Delivery incomplete

There was a temporary problem delivering your message to **rdtf@sf.gov**. Gmail will retry for 23 more hours. You'll be notified if the delivery fails permanently.

LEARN MORE

The response was:

```
The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at
https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720 [sf.gov 2620:12a:8001::3: timed
out] [sf.gov 2620:12a:8000::3: timed out] [sf.gov 23.185.0.3: timed out]
```

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Lisa Awbrey <weegreenmea@gmail.com>
To: rdtf@sf.gov
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 17:54:39 -0700
Subject: Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council's letter regarding Community of Interest and neighborhood inclusiveness
----- Message truncated -----

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Michael Sullivan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Chris Bowman map
Date: Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:50:55 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Michael Sullivan <michaelsullivan415@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 8:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Chris Bowman map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ladies and gentlemen: I'm writing to let you know that I support 100% the redistricting map created by Chris Bowman. It perfectly adapts to the natural boundaries of San Francisco neighborhoods. Please adopt this map for our future districts.

Mike Sullivan

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Eleanor Cox; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Public Comment for District 4/5 redistricting discussion
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:50:47 PM
Attachments:	image004.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanor Cox <eleanor_ruth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 7:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for District 4/5 redistricting discussion

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the redistricting task force,

I am a renter and I live at the western edge of District 5 in the Inner Sunset neighborhood. My block is one that would be moved into District 4 per the recently released revised district map.
Per the newly proposed boundaries, three neighborhoods in District 5 would be divided in half: my neighborhood, the Inner Sunset, the Fillmore/Western Addition neighborhood, and the Castro/Upper Market Street neighborhood. I would like to see the boundaries reconsidered so as not to divide these neighborhoods and I believe that could be accommodated by retaining the entirety of the Inner Sunset and the Western Addition -including Japantown- in District 5 and moving Duboce Park back into District 8. These neighborhoods are clearly anchored within the boundaries of our city's existing neighborhood commercial districts, which will be a major factor in the current update to our city's Housing Element.

For example, there is a vacant lot and three vacant commercial storefronts on my corner. I do not think that putting this intersection under different supervision from the rest of the neighborhood commercial district will be helpful in addressing this situation.

Below are snips of the Inner Sunset, Fillmore, and Upper Market Street Commercial Districts (outlined in turquoise) for reference, with orange/red lines drawn right through the middle NCDs that align with the proposed district boundaries -




District 2
District 3
District 5
Upper Fillmore Neighborhood Commercial District
Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District
Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District
Western Addition
Cottage Row Mini Park
Raymond Kimbell Playground
Golden Gate & Steiner Mini Park
Buchanan Street Mall
Margaret S. Hayward Playground
Alamo Square
Hayes Nbd
The Regency Ballroom
Sutter Health CPMC Van Ness Campus
Lower Polk Street Neighborhood Commercial District
Moderate-Scale Neighborhood Commercial Transit District
San Francisco
California St
Pierce St
Austin St
Bush St
Fern St
Post St
Geary Blvd
Myrtle St
Olive St
Willow St
Larch St
Larch Way
Ellis St
Eddy St
Turk St
Golden Gate Ave
McAllister St
Fulton St
Birch St
Grove St
Hayes St
Gough St
Franklin St
Octavia St
Buchanan St
Webster St
Steiner St
Fillmore St
Scott St
Divisadero St
Baker St
St Joseph's Ave
Gough St



I think the task force could adjust these three areas within the proposed boundaries of District 5 to lesson neighborhood division and engage more fully with parallel housing and development strategies.

In sum, I believe the entirety of my neighborhood – extending all the way to 19th Ave. per the inner sunset neighborhood commercial district boundary – should be included in District 5. As a community of interest, we are connected to District 5 via transportation and bike routes, parks and green space, and maybe most importantly, socio economic status, especially when you consider renting vs. home ownership. That being said, if we have to divide the inner sunset, i would prefer to be moved into District 4 than District 7 for the same aforementioned reasons.

Thank you for your attention and for your work on this task force.

Eleanor Cox

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kadi Miura; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: D4 and D5 Redistricting Comment
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:50:38 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kadi Miura <dashyouri@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 7:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D4 and D5 Redistricting Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi. I’m just someone who was raised in D5. I wasn't able to make a comment because the Webex software would not connect me.

San Fransico’s Black & Japanese Communities of the D5 have a long and shared history predating WWII. We’ve been a target for “redevelopment”—forcible evictions, gentrification, etc. You don’t need a history lesson to see it, you just need to walk around the district.

Today, the Japanese Community Youth Council maintains long-standing partnerships with Western Addition organizations, the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center, and Buchanan YMCA. Redistricting should not split any of our community organizations apart.

We’ve worked and fought hard for the Western Addition. We work to serve our families of Western Addition.

It is unacceptable for the Redistricting Task Force to split Japantown even further. We are a historic ethnic community, one of the oldest in the country, one that keeps our culture intact and alive. I hope you understand how that would trouble our community. Numerous Community of Interests forms *of your priority* have been submitted highlighting the above points.

The importance of redistricting does not outweigh keeping our communities together. We’re already struggling as it is.

Thank you.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Barbara Roos; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Trying to enter my vote
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:50:32 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Roos <barbarajroos@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Trying to enter my vote

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear task force, I'm attempting to enter by Zoom, but, no response. I do want to place my vote for the Community Unity Map, which I have also supported online in another format. I'm a happy progressive voter, and I want to keep the voting block that elected Supervisor Preston. I'm a tenant on rent control, a senior, and I've lived happily in District 5 for 34 years.

Barbara Roos
1050 Fell St, San Francisco, CA 94117
415 770 5054

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Home; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Support for the Community Unity Map
Date:	Thursday, March 17, 2022 1:50:20 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Home <sjss85@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 4:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for the Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the Community Unity Map.

Suzanne Smith
1400 Geary Blvd. 1801
San Francisco, CA 94109

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Luisa Antonio
Subject:	FW: Districts 4 & 5 Redistricting Task Force Meeting, 3/16/2022
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 4:14:10 PM
Attachments:	LTR to RTF for March 16 Meeting 4&5.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Luisa Antonio <luisa.antonio@sfbec.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Districts 4 & 5 Redistricting Task Force Meeting, 3/16/2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Clerk,

My name is Luisa Antonio. I am submitting a statement regarding D4 and D5 boundaries for this afternoon's meeting.

Please feel free to let me know if I should forward this letter to a different email address.

Sincerely,
Luisa Antonio

--

Luisa M. Antonio | Executive Director
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers (What's this?)
Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103
Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org
Facebook | Instagram | Twitter

March 16, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Districts 4 and 5 Boundaries, Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

I am writing this letter to express my comments on the first draft of the redistricting map specifically on Districts 4 and 5.

District 4

I am in solidarity with the API Council's support of the taskforce's decision to incorporate Inner Sunset up to 12th Avenue and Kirkham Street.

District 5

I stand with the Japantown community to urge the taskforce to move Japantown back to District 5. Japantown and the African American Community in the Fillmore and Western Addition have have had a long history of institutional racism, forced relocation, working relationships and collective advocacy. Please reunite Japantown with Western Addition and the Fillmore back into District 5.

Thank you for your time.

Very truly yours,

Luisa M. Antonio
Executive Director

1010 Mission Street, Suite C, San Francisco, CA 94103 || Phone: (415) 255-2347 || Fax: (415) 255-2358

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	tesw@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: COI for D5 "Price Controlled Housing v2
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 4:13:50 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 2:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: COI for D5 'Price Controlled Housing v2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Community of Interest of D5 of Residents in Maintaining "Price Controlled" Housing v2

Calvin Welch, Housing and Land Use Member, Board of the Haight Ashbury Council

While folks are familiar with rent controlled apartments, many are unaware that San Francisco has other forms of housing that are under various "price controls". Estimates indicate that in D5 perhaps a simple majority of the housing units in the district are subject to various forms of "price controls".

City wide, in 2015 some 63% of the total housing inventory was, in one form or another, "price controlled. Some 242,000 of the 382,000 housing units in the City have price controls: 174,000 rent controlled units, 10,000 units receiving Section 8 vouchers, 6,000 public housing units, 31,000 permanently affordable non-profit units, 3,000 Below Market Rate Units and 19,000 Single room occupancy (SRO) units.

District 5 has a total of about 49,000 housing units. Some 80% of all multi-unit apartment buildings are rent controlled. In 2020, D5 had approximately 20,000 units in multi-unit apartment buildings [five or more units]. Almost all multi-unit apartment buildings were built before 1970 and thus are covered by rent control. In addition there are some 3,600 units in the former redevelopment areas of the Western Addition all of which have restricted rents. There are approximately 2,500 permanently affordable non-profit housing units in D5, all of which are price controlled. There are some 1,100 public housing units in D5 and about an equal number of BMR's and Section 8, combined. Thus nearly 60% of the housing in D5 is under either federal or local price restrictions.

In drafting new district lines areas with purely market rate housing, such as the HUB (the area currently in D6 at Van Ness and Market) , which has high rise, high density market rate housing in which the developers were allowed to "fee out", that is, provide no on site below market rate units, would not be in the interest of the current residents of D5.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Gerard Gleason; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force: Communication on District 1 Map
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 4:13:00 PM
Attachments:	image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gerard Gleason <papergg@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 1:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force: Communication on District 1 Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force:

No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No

Life-long resident of the Richmond District, since 1964.

Just reviewed what appears to be latest version of proposed redistricting map for District 1.

In the 1990s the Districting Task Force removed many of the 100-199 blocks of the Avenues in the Richmond District from District 1. That situation was continued by the Redistricting Task Force in 2011-2012.

The 100-199 blocks of 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th and 8th Avenues ARE part of the Richmond District. That mistake, which is now 20+ years should NOT continue.

The Redistricting Task Force should make correction of that mistake their #1 Priority when redistricting District 1. I was shocked and flabbergasted to see the recent release District 1 map, that the ERROR was further continued, given the population realignment required by District 6 growth. The Redistricting Task Force will have failed at their job if that error is not corrected.

Discussion of Jordan Park, Anza Vista, $45,000 per-year tuition USF students …all that should take a back seat to correcting the 100-199 blocks of the Avenues issue.

Areas of North of Lake Street (14th – 25th Avenues, including West Clay Park) are populated by residents who enjoy the isolation of living on *cul de sacs*, sending kids to private schools and in short, have little in common with the residents who live on avenues between California and Lake Streets. Put them in District 2.

My building on 2nd Avenue contains family members who work for non-profits, my wife a SFUSD public school teacher, brother who is in Union Local 2, another brother a musician, disabled senior citizen mother-in-law, and another tenant who is a retired City of SF employee. We are not part of Pacific Heights. We have nothing in common with District 2 residents who begrudge a group home moving in, or the homeless or less fortunate. We have suffered 20+ years of *radio silence* from our District 2 representative. We are the Siberia of District 2.

We live in the Richmond District. We shop on Clement Street, use Richmond Library, attended Washington High School. We have been jacked around for 20+

years. It is someone else's turn to have representation which is NOT representation. Move that line on Arguello to 8th Avenue from California Street back to Lake Street.

Map attached in case you are unfamiliar with the job.

Thanks for listening.

Gerard Gleason
100 2nd Avenue



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Alexi Chialtas; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Flo Chialtas
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill Neighbor wishing to stay in District 10
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:17 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alexi Chialtas <alexichialtas@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 6:54 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Flo Chialtas <flo.chialtas@gmail.com>
Subject: Potrero Hill Neighbor wishing to stay in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

We have lived in Potrero hill since 2013 and live at 2321 19th st. We are aware that a portion of our neighborhood is being considered to move into the Mission District.

Given the historical connection to potrero hill and the freeway separating the two neighborhoods, we are strongly opposed to this change.

It is also strange the the potrero hill community garden would and the adjacent park that is frequented by many throughout the neighborhood would be moved as well.

Thank you,
Alexi

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	lgpetty; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Adopt the Community Unity Map
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:13 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: lgpetty <lgpetty@juno.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 2:39 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Adopt the Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to support the Community Unity Map and to urge the Task Force to adopt it as the fairest and most equitable way to balance districts and preserve long-standing communities of interest and culture at the same time.

In the case of my own District 5, as a low-income senior who lives in the Fillmore neighborhood, it's important to me that Japantown. the Fillmore/Western Addition, all of the Haight, and the Inner Sunset remain together in District 5. As one, they are the core of the city, and have always been the center of my life.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Petty

D5 senior

voter

low income renter

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Pam Dubier; Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Haney, Matt (BOS); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: PESKIN CONSTITUENT OPPOSES REDISTRICTING
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pam Dubier <pamdubier@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:51 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: PESKIN CONSTITUENT OPPOSES REDISTRICTING

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Redistricting Task Force of San Francisco,

I am opposed to the Tenderloin being included in District 3. Our preference would be to include a wider Russian Hill boundary. We are in support of the proposed D3 United Neighborhood Plan.

We already have high density rates for our residents. Exacerbating the problem with more residents is not a good plan.

Please consider the D3 United Neighborhood Map as a way to further unify our neighborhoods and common interests while maintaining our high level of diversity.

Thank you.
Pam Dubier
2106 Larkin (A)
SF CA 94109

--
Pam Dubier
Estate Broker
CA License#01057585

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Corey Smith; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 5 Lines
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:20:02 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Corey Smith <cwsmith17@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 8:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 5 Lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Corey Smith and I'm a resident of the Haight Ashbury neighborhood in District 5. I'm reaching out because I'm concerned about the maps proposed by consultants and am uncertain whether I will be able to attend tomorrow's meeting. I've been a District 5 resident for almost 10 years, founded a neighborhood association, been a member of others, and have been involved in a variety of district activities over the years.

Splitting up the historic Western Addition neighborhood would be repeating the same, racist mistakes of the past. It is crucial that the African American community in San Francisco is represented after years of efforts to push Black residents out of San Francisco. For District 5, please ensure the maps are redrawn to include the entire Western Addition (created by Geary on the north, Fell on the south, Van Ness on the east and Masonic on the west).

Thank you,
Corey Smith
94117

--
Thanks,
Corey Smith 陈锐 I Pronouns: he/him
Cell: (925) 360-5290
LinkedIn I @CoreySmith_17

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Aisling Ferguson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aisling Ferguson <aferguson@guaranteemortgage.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 5:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to you to implore to put the entire Valencia Corridor in district 8. I was just shown a map of the potential districting and it looks like if that happens our supervisor who actually lives on Valencia would be forced to move because my understanding is you must live in the district you represent.

I have spoken to several merchants business owners and occupants of Valencia Street and we were all hoping to get the corridor into one district and that would be district 8. Honestly it's not as much about where we get district it's more about the supervisors who run those districts Hilary Ronan has single-handedly destroyed the mission and Mandelman is doing a really good job of trying to do the best that he can. If you take people out of his district and put them into her district they don't stand a chance. My Neighbours are out there fighting daily for help their calls and and emails go unanswered. Right now the Valencia corridor is split down the middle there is an apparent difference between Ronans side and Mandelmans side. Ronan appears to only want to take care of the homeless and the less fortunate which I understand but please take care of your taxpayers too. The quality in life in the mission has deteriorated substantially.

I don't understand why a supervisor would have to move because the district he lives in is being altered.

Please listen to us a reconsider the redistricting you are considering. Thanks

--

Email: Aferguson@guaranteemortgage.com

Website: www.guaranteemortgage.com

To begin your application: Apply with Aisling

See what my customers are saying about me online

Need to send me a file securely? Click Here

Confidential: This electronic message and all contents contain information from Guarantee Mortgage which may be privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. The information is intended to be for the addressee(s) only. If you are not an addressee, any disclosure, copy, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies. Thank you

Alert: For your protection, we remind you that this is an unsecured email service that is not intended for sending confidential or sensitive information. Please do not include your social security number, account number, or any other personal or financial information in the content of the email.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kate Blumberg; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Kate Blumberg <kate@acmetron.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 4:58 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. While we are glad to get to keep the key district neighborhoods together (Potrero, DogPatch, Bayview and Hunter's Point) and the addition of Mission Bay seems like a good fit, since it is very interconnected with Potrero and Dogpatch. But I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map cutting off the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Potrero Ave. is the most reasonable boundary, with Potrero to Bryant falling into the Mission.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

Other things to consider that could make for a more coherent map (but are not dealbreakers). Visitation Valley COI seems to be split into 3 districts, with a remnant left in D10. That COI was very disconnected from the rest of our neighborhood and it doesn't seem like a bad idea to keep it together in another district. Mission Bay is a logical addition to our district, as there are many interconnections. On the other hand, at the north end the inclusion of SOMA north / west of Townsend does not seem necessary.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Kate Blumberg

2002 22nd. St.
415-640-6352

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susan Leung; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Petition to stay with same district
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Leung <susansleung@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 3:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Petition to stay with same district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Redistricting Task Force,

I live on 19th St. (cross-street San Bruno Ave) in Potrero Hill, SF. I'm writing to strongly voice my desire for my home address to stay in POTRERO HILL DISTRICT (remain in District 10) of SF.

Thank you,
Susan Leung
2331 19th St. SF 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kellysf@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:36 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matt Kelly <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 3:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Matt Kelly

Email	kellysf@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Denise Zietlow; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting: District 5
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:31 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Denise Zietlow <dmzietlow@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 2:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting: District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 15, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Task Force:

I have lived for 30-plus years in the same flat just north of the Panhandle in District 5. Because I live on the edges of District 5 I am concerned that my street could be moved to another district, which I do not want. I identify with District 5 and the Haight Ashbury neighborhood. I consider it my community home. It is here that I go about my daily life, shopping, visiting the library, exercising and, more importantly, participating in various neighbor community groups. It is through these community groups I feel connected to my neighbors, the neighborhood and the district. Their willingness to fight for improvements in the area, the district and the city at large while keeping in mind all citizens of the district, whether they be homeowners, renters or people without homes, is something I love about the Haight Ashbury neighborhood. It is the heart of District 5.

It is important to me to keep District 5's boundaries as intact as possible while meeting the goals of redistricting. Therefore, I think the Community Unity Map best addresses the issues of both population and communities of interest.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Denise Zietlow
1968 Hayes Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Erin Huie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Recommendations from API Council
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:25 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Huie <erin.huie@apicouncil.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 1:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Erin Huie <erin.huie@apicouncil.org>
Subject: Recommendations from API Council

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Good afternoon,

I'm writing to the Task Force as Director of Public Policy of API Council. We as a united front - representing nearly 60 member organizations of the Asian and Pacific Islander community - strongly recommend the task force consider:

District 1: API Council supports the taskforce's move to expand District 1 eastward into the Anza Vista and Laurel Heights neighborhoods.

District 3: We urge the taskforce to alter the district 3 boundaries to include: 1) moving the boundaries in the Northwestern area along Columbus further west to include the blocks bound by Jones Street and Lombard Street 2) moving the now incorporated blocks in the Transbay area further down in to include the blocks surrounded by Second St, Folsom St, First St, Harrison St, and Beale Street; and 3) moving the district lines between District 2 and District 3 along Broadway to also include the blocks surrounded by Van Ness Ave, Vallejo St, Polk St, and Jackson St; as well as the block surrounded by Broadway, Mason St, Pacific Ave and Powell St API Council membership organizations have senior housing projects, early childcare service center, as well as future housing project in the pipeline in these specific blocks; many of their clients living in these projects share many common cultural backgrounds, service needs, and socioeconomic background with residents of the greater Chinatown area. We strongly urge the taskforce to reincorporate these areas back into District 3.

District 4: API Council supports the taskforce's move to incorporate Inner Sunset up to 12th Ave and Kirkham Street

District 5: The API Council is here in solidity with our members in Japantown to demand the taskforce to move Japantown back to District 5. Japantown and the African American community in the Fillmore and Western Addition have had a long history of institutional racism, forced relocation, working relationships, and collective advocacy. We urge the Taskforce to reunite Japantown with Western Addition and the Fillmore back into District 5 in any iteration of future draft maps

District 6: API Council supports the taskforce's move to keep the Tenderloin and Western SOMA together in District 6 (also see comments for District 3)

District 7: See comments for District 11

District 10: The Council strongly disagrees with the taskforce's decision to remove Visitacion Valley from District 10. Visitacion Valley is an emerging working-class neighborhood with a strong presence of multigenerational API families. There is a strong alliance between Vis. Valley/Bayview-Hunter's Point/and Third Street corridor. Many low-income API families in the Bayview and rest of District 10 receive services from Council member organizations located in Visitation Valley and vice versa. Removing Visitation Valley from District 10 will dampen the voices of API families in District 10 and

their voice to collectively advocate for the resources they need.

District 11: The Council strongly disagrees with the taskforce decision to remove the Oceanview, Merced Heights, and Ingleside (OMI) area from District 11. The OMI neighborhood is a multicultural working-class neighborhood with a strong presence of API families; unfortunately, there are very few API focused service organizations in District 7, and many API families in the OMI rely on service providers situated in the Mission/Geneva corridor and Excelsior neighborhood in District 11.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Erin

--
Erin Huie [she/her/hers]
Policy Director
API Council
925-917-8887
www.apicouncil.org

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify API Council immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by API Council one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. apicouncil.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Erin Huie; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 5
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:21 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Erin Huie <erin.huie@apicouncil.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 1:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Erin Huie <erin.huie@apicouncil.org>
Subject: District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Good afternoon,

I'm writing to the Task Force as Director of Public Policy of API Council. We as a united front - representing nearly 60 member organizations of the Asian and Pacific Islander community - strongly recommend the task force consider:

- **Moving Japantown back to District 5.** Japantown and the African American community in the Fillmore and Western Addition have had a long history of institutional racism, forced relocation, working relationships, and collective advocacy. We urge the Taskforce to reunite Japantown with Western Addition and the Fillmore back into District 5 in any iteration of future draft maps.

See our additional recommendations below:

- **District 1:** API Council supports the taskforce's move to expand District 1 eastward into the Anza Vista and Laurel Heights neighborhoods.

- **District 3:** We urge the taskforce to alter the district 3 boundaries to include: 1) moving the boundaries in the Northwestern area along Columbus further west to include the blocks bound by Jones Street and Lombard Street 2) moving the now incorporated blocks in the Transbay area further down in to include the blocks surrounded by Second St, Folsom St, First St, Harrison St, and Beale Street; and 3) moving the district lines between District 2 and District 3 along Broadway to also include the blocks surrounded by Van Ness Ave, Vallejo St, Polk St, and Jackson St; as well as the block surrounded by Broadway, Mason St, Pacific Ave and Powell St API Council membership organizations have senior housing projects, early childcare service center, as well as future housing project in the pipeline in these specific blocks; many of their clients living in these projects share many common cultural backgrounds, service needs, and socioeconomic background with residents of the greater Chinatown area. We strongly urge the taskforce to reincorporate these areas back into District 3.

District 4: API Council supports the taskforce's move to incorporate Inner Sunset up to 12th Ave and Kirkham Street

District 6: API Council supports the taskforce's move to keep the Tenderloin and Western SOMA together in District 6 (also see comments for District 3)

District 7: See comments for District 11

District 10: The Council strongly disagrees with the taskforce's decision to remove Visitacion Valley from District 10. Visitacion Valley is an emerging working-class neighborhood with a strong presence of multigenerational API families. There is a strong alliance between Vis. Valley/Bayview-Hunter's

Point/and Third Street corridor. Many low-income API families in the Bayview and rest of District 10 receive services from Council member organizations located in Visitation Valley and vice versa. Removing Visitation Valley from District 10 will dampen the voices of API families in District 10 and their voice to collectively advocate for the resources they need.

District 11: The Council strongly disagrees with the taskforce decision to remove the Oceanview, Merced Heights, and Ingleside (OMI) area from District 11. The OMI neighborhood is a multicultural working-class neighborhood with a strong presence of API families; unfortunately, there are very few API focused service organizations in District 7, and many API families in the OMI rely on service providers situated in the Mission/Geneva corridor and Excelsior neighborhood in District 11.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Erin

--
Erin Huie [she/her/hers]
Policy Director
API Council
925-917-8887
www.apicouncil.org

NOTICE: The information and any attachments contained in this message may be privileged, confidential, and/or protected from disclosure or unauthorized use. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify API Council immediately by replying to this message and then deleting it. All emails sent to this address will be received by API Council one of its subsidiaries/affiliates and may be archived or reviewed. apicouncil.org accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from this email, any virus transmitted, or its attachments.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kevin Cooke; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Kevin Cooke <kevin.cooke@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 12:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Kevin Cooke
2036 22nd Street.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Bryan Liu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: D10/D9 boundary
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bryan Liu <bliu08@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 12:19 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D10/D9 boundary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi redistricting task force,

I live on 19th St. (cross-street San Bruno Ave) in Potrero Hill, SF. I'm writing to strongly voice my desire for my home to stay in POTRERO HILL DISTRICT (remain in District 10) of SF.

Thank you,
Bryan Liu
2331 19th St. SF 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Andrea Chan Liu; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Proposed D10/D9 boundary
Date:	Wednesday, March 16, 2022 12:19:04 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrea Chan Liu <andreacchan@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 12:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed D10/D9 boundary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I live on 19th St. (cross-street San Bruno Ave) in Potrero Hill, SF. I'm writing to strongly voice my desire for my address to stay in POTRERO HILL DISTRICT (remain in District 10) of SF.

Thank you,
Andrea Chan
2331 19th St. SF 94107

From: Carroll, John (BOS)
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: FW: Document to be displayed in Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:34:03 AM
Attachments: Eppler 3-14 Redistricting Task Force Slides.pdf

From: J.R. Eppler <jreppler1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:40 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Document to be displayed in Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Carroll,

Please find attached a PDF document to be displayed during my public comment this evening.

Thank you as always for your work with the Task Force,
J.R. Eppler

From the 1903 George Cram Map of San Francisco

The area from around 16th and Potrero Avenue to the Bay, and from the rail lines to Cesar Chavez (then Army) are all part of the Potrero District.

(Note the light overlay of the Greater Potrero Community of Interest)



The Draft Map Ignores the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District ("GBD").

- The GBD is organized under the same laws as other community benefit districts.
- The GBD is managed by Public Works rather than OWED.
- Oversight should be fixed in the benefit district analysis.



Potrero Can Be Made Whole Without Violating the Law.

- 1,480 people live in the missing part of Potrero Hill. Adding it back results in a District 1.13% above idea.
- 2,677 people live in Showplace (following current District boundaries). Completing the Area results in a District 4.5% above ideal.
- **This is within the 5% permitted by law.**



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Sophie Constantinou
Cc:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Prager, Jackie (BOS); Thornhill, Jackie (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Redistricting the Bernal Cut request
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:32:03 AM
Attachments:	CHNA_D8_031422.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sophie Constantinou <sophie@citizenfilm.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 9:51 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Thornhill, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.thornhill@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting the Bernal Cut request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

This is a letter from the College Hill Neighborhood Association explaining the importance of keeping our community in one district. Our neighborhood was brought to District 8 in 2012 through redistricting, and this has allowed us to more effectively coordinate important infrastructure, safety, and community improvements across adjoining streets.

Currently our neighborhood is fondly referred to as the Bernal Cut—the nickname for San Jose Avenue—and is on Google Maps as its historic name of College Hill; neighbors collaborate with City staff (and each other!) under the umbrella of the College Hill Neighborhood Association. We are largely a residential neighborhood with a large percentage of senior citizens mixed with families with children of mixed economic backgrounds. We have an incredibly large group of neighbors who are third and fourth generation San Franciscans and even a few fifth. Most homes are zoned RH2, many with two to four families living under each roof.

The boundaries of our neighborhood are Randall Street at the north, Bosworth at the south, and Mission Street at the east.

On the west side of our slender neighborhood, our community feels connected with the Glen Park neighborhood, as we share many common opportunities and concerns —most significantlySan Jose Avenue, the massive transportation corridor at our western edge that both divides us physically from Glen Park and bonds us: Three bridges cross this “Bernal Cut” crevasse, linking one residential neighborhood to another.Together we have added lighting along the Bernal Cut Path and plantings along both the east and west sides of San Jose Avenue. Together we work to steward the bridges—physical structures that touch down on both sides of San Jose Avenue—by addressing the garbage that accumulates underneath them and the safety of neighbors who walk and drive upon them.

As a community, we were able to advocate for a crosswalk and traffic chicane installed along Richland Bridge in 2012. In 2021, we were able to get a similar crosswalk, traffic light, and red no-parking zones along Highland Street and at the Highland Bridge.

As a community, we have beautified the neighborhood with several murals—with more to come. In 2013, OEWD and Invest In Neighborhoods funded a mural on the DPW waystation at the mouth of the Bernal Cut Path by Appleton and Mission. In March 2022, after working closely with each other to design a mural called Bridging the Bernal Cut, both piers of the Richland Bridge were covered in murals: one on the College Hill side, and the other on the Glen Park side.

As a community, we worked with our District 8 Supervisor to address public safety and were able to collaborate with SFPD and the SF District Attorney’s office to

identify a known arsonist and put in place a civil restraining order for three years.

As a community, we have planted over 200 trees and over 1,000 native shrubs. We have installed 40 new sidewalk gardens and 50 permanent solar lights. And now 6 neighbors have installed over 400 feet of lighting along their back fences to illuminate the Bernal Cut Path, to improve the night walkability of our neighborhood. We have recently added solar lighting on the Bernal Cut Path between Highland and Mission to improve the after dark walkability between San Jose Avenue, Mission Street and the Bernal Heights neighborhood.

As a community, we have monthly work days with an average of 50 volunteers turning out to clean and green along both the College Hill and Glen Park sides of San Jose Avenue.

We hope you can see our shared challenges and the ways that we, as a community, have bridged a physical gap and come together to build resilience, social cohesion, and environmental stewardship.

If you were to just look at a map and divide us into separate and fractured districts based only on cartography, you'd be ignoring our social fabric—and we are confident that our momentum will be hampered and our unity severed.We know from experience that it takes many years to build trust and a knowledge base within a supervisory district about neighborhood concerns and capacities. Looking at this new proposed district map, we are very concerned that the division of our neighborhood into north and south pieces along Richland and Mission will mean that for the northern part of our neighborhood, years of trust building within our supervisory district will be lost. Moreover, we fear that our unity as a neighborhood will be severed

Please strongly consider our request to stay united in District 8, for the health of our community.

Thank you,

College Hill Neighborhood Association

_____

Sophie Constantinou
22 Richland Ave
San Francisco CA 94110
415-378-6085

www.bernalcut.org

March 15, 2022

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

This is a letter from the College Hill Neighborhood Association explaining the importance of keeping our community in one district. Our neighborhood was brought to District 8 in 2012 through redistricting, and this has allowed us to coordinate important infrastructure, safety, and community improvements more effectively across adjoining streets.

Currently our neighborhood is fondly referred to as the Bernal Cut—the nickname for San Jose Avenue—and is on Google Maps as its historic name of College Hill; neighbors collaborate with City staff (and each other!) under the umbrella of the College Hill Neighborhood Association. We are largely a residential neighborhood with a large percentage of senior citizens mixed with families with children of mixed economic backgrounds. We have an incredibly large group of neighbors who are third and fourth generation San Franciscans and even a few fifth. Most homes are zoned RH2, many with two to four families living under each roof.

The boundaries of our neighborhood are Randall Street at the north, Bosworth at the south, and Mission Street at the east.

On the west side of our slender neighborhood, our community feels connected with the Glen Park neighborhood, as we share many common opportunities and concerns—most significantlySan Jose Avenue, the massive transportation corridor at our western edge that both divides us physically from Glen Park and bonds us: Three bridges cross this “Bernal Cut” crevasse, linking one residential neighborhood to another.Together we have added lighting along the Bernal Cut Path and plantings along both the east and west sides of San Jose Avenue. Together we work to steward the bridges—physical structures that touch down on both sides of San Jose Avenue—by addressing the garbage that accumulates underneath them and the safety of neighbors who walk and drive upon them.

As a community, we were able to advocate for a crosswalk and traffic chicane installed along Richland Bridge in 2012. In 2021, we were able to get a similar crosswalk, traffic light, and red no-parking zones along Highland Street and at the Highland Bridge.

As a community, we have beautified the neighborhood with several murals—with more to come. In 2013, OEWD and Invest In Neighborhoods funded a mural on the DPW waystation at the mouth of the Bernal Cut Path by Appleton and Mission. In March 2022, after working closely with each other to design a mural called Bridging the Bernal Cut, both piers of the Richland Bridge were covered in murals: one on the College Hill side, and the other on the Glen Park side.

As a community, we worked with our District 8 Supervisor to address public safety and were able to collaborate with SFPD and the SF District Attorney's office to identify a known arsonist and put in place a civil restraining order for three years.

As a community, we have planted over 200 trees and over 1,000 native shrubs. We have installed 40 new sidewalk gardens and 50 permanent solar lights. And now 6 neighbors have installed over 400 feet of lighting along their back fences to illuminate the Bernal Cut Path, to improve the night walkability of our neighborhood. We have recently added solar lighting on the Bernal Cut Path between Highland and Mission to improve the after dark walkability between San Jose Avenue, Mission Street and the Bernal Heights neighborhood.

As a community, we have monthly work days with an average of 50 volunteers turning out to clean and green along both the College Hill and Glen Park sides of San Jose Avenue.

We hope you can see our shared challenges and the ways that we, as a community, have bridged a physical gap and come together to build resilience, social cohesion, and environmental stewardship.

If you were to just look at a map and divide us into separate and fractured districts based only on cartography, you'd be ignoring our social fabric—and we are confident that our momentum will be hampered and our unity severed.We know from experience that it takes many years to build trust and a knowledge base within a supervisory district about neighborhood concerns and capacities. Looking at this new proposed district map, we are very concerned that the division of our neighborhood into north and south pieces along Richland and Mission will mean that for the northern part of our neighborhood, years of trust building within our supervisory district will be lost. Moreover, we fear that our unity as a neighborhood will be severed

Please strongly consider our request to stay united in District 8, for the health of our community.

Thank you,

College Hill Neighborhood Association

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Rosalyn Tonai
Subject:	FW: PUBLIC COMMENT from Nat"l Japanese American Historical Society- Japantown- District 5
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:31:20 AM
Attachments:	NJAHS - District 5 Response.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rosalyn Tonai <rosalyn@njahs.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG -

Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1 <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT from Nat'l Japanese American Historical Society- Japantown- District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find public i comment in writing.
March 14, 2022
Redistricting Task Force: rdtf@sfgov.org

Commissioners:
"Townsend, Arnold (REG)" <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>
"Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor)" <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>
"Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor)" <lily.ho@sfgov.org>
"Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor)" <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>
"Cooper, Raynell (REG)" <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>
"Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor)" <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>
"J.Michelle Pierce1" <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>
"Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor)" <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>

RE:
District 3 and 5, RTF proposal to reassign the entire Japantown area out of District 5 and into District 3

To the Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

On behalf of National Japanese American Historical Society, based in San Francisco's Japantown, I would like to provide public comment in writing. I am writing to urge that your REDISTRICTING map honor Japantown boundaries and keep Japantown with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, in District 5. Please add this public comment letter to the public record.
First, the first iteration of your Redistricting map showed the complete separation of Japantown from our neighbors in the Western Addition and the Fillmore Districts AND moved Japantown out of District 5 and into District 3. This original proposal is TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE.

As members of our community who attended your January 22 meeting on District 5. You heard our historical synopsis and personal testimony from at least a dozen Japanese American

community members and about the wholesale, unjust displacement of the Japanese American community not only at the onset of World War II, but also in the 1960s and 1970s with Redevelopment that uprooted Japanese American households and businesses over community objections to make way for commercial malls without any community input. It appears that you have IGNORED my and others' valuable input.

Second, please accept the boundaries in the attached maps. Instead. Attached is the map of Japantown's boundaries that has been a part of our decades-long civic engagement process resulting in the endorsement of the Japantown Community Cultural and Economic Sustainability Strategy JCCHESS by the City and County of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors. This map illustrates results of our community-City planning process to correct the mistakes of post-redevelopment. Please honor these boundaries as we have honored our lasting and historical bonds between the Japanese American and the black community in the Western Addition.

It is CRITICAL that we include our culturally relevant social services, community institutions, and cultural assets within these boundaries. One example is Rosa Parks Elementary School, our proud institution and excellent model of a racially diverse neighborhood school, where Japanese American, Black, other Asian, Muslim, LatinX, and other students learn and grow up together.

I urge you to redraw the lines so that Japantown remains with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities.

Third, more specifically, we are in discussions with Community Unity and are in **full support of the Community Unity Map IV version**, that is included below.

Thank you for your attention and diligence in making San Francisco a more equitable and just city for all.

Sincerely yours,

Rosalyn Tonai,
Executive Director, NJAHS

ATTACHED:
MAP From Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCCHESS)

--
Rosalyn Tonai
Executive Director
National Japanese American Historical Society, Inc.

1684 Post Street
San Francisco CA 94115
rosalyn@njahs.org
415.921.5007 x 104 -phone
415.921.5087- fax
www.njahs.org

NJAHS

National Japanese American Historical Society

1684 Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94115-3604
PHONE (415) 921-5007
FAX (415) 921-5087
EMAIL njahs@njahs.org
WEB www.njahs.org

March 14, 2022
Redistricting Task Force: rdtf@sfgov.org

Commissioners:
"Townsend, Arnold (REG)" <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>
"Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor)" <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>
"Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor)" <lily.ho@sfgov.org>
"Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor)" <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>
"Cooper, Raynell (REG)" <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>
"Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor)" <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>
"J.Michelle Pierce1" <j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>
"Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor)" <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>

RE:
District 3 and 5, RTF proposal to reassign the entire Japantown area out of District 5 and into District 3

To the Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

On behalf of National Japanese American Historical Society, based in San Francisco's Japantown, I would like to provide public comment in writing. I am writing to urge that your REDISTRICTING map honor Japantown boundaries and keep Japantown with the Western Addition and the Fillmore District, in District 5. Please add this public comment letter to the public record.

First, the first iteration of your Redistricting map showed the complete separation of Japantown from our neighbors in the Western Addition and the Fillmore Districts AND moved Japantown out of District 5 and into District 3. This original proposal is TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE.

As members of our community who attended your January 22 meeting on District 5. You heard our historical synopsis and personal testimony from at least a dozen Japanese American community members and about the wholesale, unjust displacement of the Japanese American community not only at the onset of World War II, but also in the 1960s and 1970s with Redevelopment that uprooted Japanese American households and businesses over community objections to make way for commercial malls without any community input. It appears that you have IGNORED my and others' valuable input.

Second, please accept the boundaries in the attached maps. Instead. Attached is the map of Japantown's boundaries that has been a part of our decades-long civic engagement process resulting in the endorsement of the Japantown Community Cultural and Economic Sustainability Strategy JCCHESS by the City and County of San Francisco's Board of Supervisors. This map illustrates results of our community-City planning process to correct the mistakes of

post-redevelopment. Please honor these boundaries as we have honored our lasting and historical bonds between the Japanese American and the black community in the Western Addition.
It is CRITICAL that we include our culturally relevant social services, community institutions, and cultural assets within these boundaries. One example is Rosa Parks Elementary School, our proud institution and excellent model of a racially diverse neighborhood school, where Japanese American, Black, other Asian, Muslim, LatinX, and other students learn and grow up together.
I urge you to redraw the lines so that Japantown remains with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities.
Third, more specifically, we are in discussions with Community Unity and are in **full support of the Community Unity Map IV version**, that is included below.

Thank you for your attention and diligence in making San Francisco a more equitable and just city for all.

Sincerely yours,



Rosalyn Tonai,
Executive Director, NJAHS

ATTACHED:
MAP From Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCCHESS)

National Japanese American Historical Society
1684 Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94115-3604
PHONE (415) 921-5007
FAX (415) 921-5087
EMAIL njahs@njahs.org
WEB www.njahs.org

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.



District Population

District	Population	Deviation
D1	75,577	-4.99%
D2	79,383	-0.20%
D3	78,365	-1.48%
D4	75,827	-4.67%
D5	81,542	2.51%
D6	83,305	4.73%
D7	75,644	-4.90%
D8	78,417	-1.42%
D9	80,240	0.87%
D10	83,253	4.66%
D11	83,443	4.90%

Target	Population	Deviation
Ideal	79,545	
Minimum	75,568	-4.99%
Maximum	83,522	+4.99%

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susan Kase; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Stefani, Catherine (BOS); StefaniStaff, (BOS); Mullan, Andrew (BOS); frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Jordan Park Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:30:52 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Kase <sckase@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; frfbeagle@gmail.com; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Jordan Park Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of Jordan Park-Arguello, Geary, Parker/Spruce, California, and I request that my neighborhood remain in Supervisorial District 2 which has been the case for many, many years. My neighborhood has a strong Community of Interest with the other D2 neighborhoods, Laurel Heights, Cow Hollow, The Marina, Pacific Heights, Pacific Heights, and has worked with them on social, cultural, and economic issues and projects over the years. This Community of Interest includes Public Safety, Housing for the Homeless, and several major housing developments: the former CPMC Hospital at 3700 California St; the former UCSF campus at 3333 California St, as well as the Lucky Penny and Firestone sites on Geary Blvd.

I, along with my neighborhood, have formed a strong bond and productive working relationships with the public organizations within D2, especially with our Supervisor Catherine Stefani and do not wish to see these disrupted.

Thank you for your consideration and your service on the RTF.

Sincerely,

Susan C. Kase
Ronald H. Kase
7 Jordan Avenue

CC: The Honorable Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor
Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani
Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Stefani
Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Richard Frisbie, Vice President Laurel Heights Improvement Association
frfbeagle@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Lisa Napoli; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Gregg Napoli
Subject:	RE: Redistricting
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:30:43 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lisa Napoli <naplisa123@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Gregg Napoli <greggnapoli@gmail.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
We would like to see the configuration for D7 include all of Lakeside (as is currently the case), not redrawn to put half of Lakeside into D4. We work better together! It feels very arbitrary and doesn't serve our purposes in trying to build a cohesive community and revitalize our business district. Please keep this in mind.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Lisa and Gregg Napoli
110 Junipero Serra Blvd, San Francisco, CA 94127
District 7 residents

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Heidy Braverman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Redistricting Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:30:34 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Heidy Braverman <habraverman@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:57 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My husband and I live at 200 Brannan St #428, San Francisco, CA 94107 and are currently part of District 6 and are happy with the move to District 10. We understand many people are taking exception to this move but the move is an improvement as we feel it is important to be part of a district which contains waterfront and will be concerned with the waterfront. District 6 has many concerns but very little interest in the waterfront. District 10 is a waterfront based district.

Heidy Braverman and David Skinner
415 860-3438
habraverman@gmail.com

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Gloria Li
Subject:	FW: Recommendations from Transbay Parents Group on Map Draft 1
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:30:28 AM
Attachments:	D6 Redistricting Letter - Transbay Parents.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gloria Li <gloriali.hoa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; john.campbell@sfgov.org
Subject: Recommendations from Transbay Parents Group on Map Draft 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Redistricting Task Force Members

My name is Gloria Li, I'm a resident of D6, founder of Transbay Parents Group, co-founder of IDEATE SF, and D6 representative for TJPA CAC. Thank you for your work on this taskforce. After a review of the maps recently released by the taskforce (Map 1A), I do not see our previously submitted recommendation considered at all. I would like to reiterate and continue to advocate that the Areas South of Market including East Cut, South Beach, Mission Bay and Treasure Island stay together as a key Community of Interest. This narrative is represented in a recently submitted COI map named Emerging Communities (see attached) which clearly outlines the borders of the area we are advocating for.

To reiterate, Market Street serves as a natural separation or boundary. The neighborhoods North of Market are mostly established neighborhoods. Whereas neighborhoods South of Market have pockets of established/new housing however are mixed with dense PDR areas transitioning to denser residential mixed-use areas or are former/current redevelopment areas.

Specifically, the completed or active redevelopment areas are; Yerba Buena, Transbay District, Rincon Hill, South Beach, Mission Bay and Treasure Island which is connected by the bridge to Eastern SOMA.

The expansive development plan areas are: Mission Rock, Central SOMA and Western SOMA. The latter two transitioning to mixed use plans including dense residential market rate & affordable housing.

With this as the backdrop, there are synergies for our Community of Interest and significant needs for joint advocacy best represented by one Supervisor. The need for and attention to the following are critical:

a. Need for Public Schools
b. Transition streets from extensions of freeway on/off ramps to residential/community streets
c. Need for Open Space and public realm amenities
d. Reimagining how public transportation serves and connects these neighborhoods
e. Affordable, neighborhood-serving retail and services where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs
f. Establishment of interdependent neighborhood commercial corridors
g. Environmental concerns; transportation-related air quality which can have impact on the health of residents/worker/visitors
h. Threats due to sea level rise

Best,

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent

Diem Tran - D6 resident, parent

February 16, 2022

SF Department of Elections
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.campbell@sfgov.org

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The Transbay Parents group is a group of parent volunteers that reside in the Salesforce Transit Terminal vicinity. The group's mission is to create a supportive space for our next generation of San Franciscans through advocating for safety, education, community building and more focusing on the children and families.

Redistricting has a direct impact on the outcomes of many decisions that can affect the quality of life for children and families that hope to stay in the city. There are many challenges to raising children in a downtown environment and the topic of redistricting D6 is a high priority in our discussion. We are writing to highly recommend the task force to see that the South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and the most **affordable** housing units (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so

requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade … and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest**. Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

Transbay Parents

Gloria Li - D6 resident, parent, working mom, TJPA CAC D6 Representative

Tao Tao Wang - D6 resident, parent

Alexey Rivkin - D6 resident, parent


Emerging Communities
Nob Hill
Chinatown
Financial District
Embarcadero
Ferry Building Gate G
I 80
Folsom
Transbay
Bus Crossover Signal
Rincon Hill
Bus Storage Signal
Brannan
South Beach
2nd & King
Oracle Park
Caltrain
Mission Rock Construction Site
San Francisco Pier 48
Mission Rock
Mission Bay
Campus Way
UCSF/Chase Center
16th Street
UCSF Medical Center
Mariposa Street
16th Street Mission
US 101
James Lick Freeway
John E Ross Freeway
Mission Creek
Channel Street
Bryant Street
Harrison Street
Folsom Street
Mission Street
Potrero Avenue
Guerrero Street
Valencia Street
Mission Street
Van Ness
Hayes Valley
Fell Street
Civic Center
Tenderloin
O'Farrell Street
Union Square
Post Street
Bush Street
Pine Street
Sutter Street
Sacramento Street
Clay Street
Jackson Street
Washington Street
Montgomery Street
Powell Street
Mason Street
Taylor Street
Hyde Street
Leavenworth Street
Jones Street
Franklin Street
Gough Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
South of Market
Moscone North South
West SoMa
Folsom Street
Howard Street
Harrison Street
Bryant Street
Brannan Street
Townsend Street
Freeway
Stillman Street
Colin P Kelly Jr Street
Terry A Francois Boulevard
Illinois Street
Third Street
Fourth Street
Fifth Street
Sixth Street
Seventh Street
Eighth Street
Ninth Street
Tenth Street
Eleventh Street
Twelfth Street
Thirteenth Street
Fourteenth Street
Fifteenth Street
Sixteenth Street
Seventeenth Street
Eighteenth Street
Nineteenth Street
Twentieth Street
Twenty-Second Street
Twenty-Third Street
Twenty-Fourth Street
Twenty-Fifth Street
Twenty-Sixth Street
Twenty-Seventh Street
Twenty-Eighth Street
Twenty-Ninth Street
Thirty-First Street
Thirty-Second Street
Thirty-Third Street
Thirty-Fourth Street
Thirty-Fifth Street
Thirty-Sixth Street
Thirty-Seventh Street
Thirty-Eighth Street
Thirty-Ninth Street
Fortieth Street
Forty-First Street
Forty-Second Street
Forty-Third Street
Forty-Fourth Street
Forty-Fifth Street
Forty-Sixth Street
Forty-Seventh Street
Forty-Eighth Street
Forty-Ninth Street
Fifty-First Street
Fifty-Second Street
Fifty-Third Street
Fifty-Fourth Street
Fifty-Fifth Street
Fifty-Sixth Street
Fifty-Seventh Street
Fifty-Eighth Street
Fifty-Ninth Street
Sixty-First Street
Sixty-Second Street
Sixty-Third Street
Sixty-Fourth Street
Sixty-Fifth Street
Sixty-Sixth Street
Sixty-Seventh Street
Sixty-Eighth Street
Sixty-Ninth Street
Seventieth Street
Seventy-First Street
Seventy-Second Street
Seventy-Third Street
Seventy-Fourth Street
Seventy-Fifth Street
Seventy-Sixth Street
Seventy-Seventh Street
Seventy-Eighth Street
Seventy-Ninth Street
Eighteenth Street
Nineteenth Street
Twentieth Street
Twenty-Second Street
Twenty-Third Street
Twenty-Fourth Street
Twenty-Fifth Street
Twenty-Sixth Street
Twenty-Seventh Street
Twenty-Eighth Street
Twenty-Ninth Street
Thirty-First Street
Thirty-Second Street
Thirty-Third Street
Thirty-Fourth Street
Thirty-Fifth Street
Thirty-Sixth Street
Thirty-Seventh Street
Thirty-Eighth Street
Thirty-Ninth Street
Fortieth Street
Forty-First Street
Forty-Second Street
Forty-Third Street
Forty-Fourth Street
Forty-Fifth Street
Forty-Sixth Street
Forty-Seventh Street
Forty-Eighth Street
Forty-Ninth Street
Fifty-First Street
Fifty-Second Street
Fifty-Third Street
Fifty-Fourth Street
Fifty-Fifth Street
Fifty-Sixth Street
Fifty-Seventh Street
Fifty-Eighth Street
Fifty-Ninth Street
Sixty-First Street
Sixty-Second Street
Sixty-Third Street
Sixty-Fourth Street
Sixty-Fifth Street
Sixty-Sixth Street
Sixty-Seventh Street
Sixty-Eighth Street
Sixty-Ninth Street
Seventieth Street
Seventy-First Street
Seventy-Second Street
Seventy-Third Street
Seventy-Fourth Street
Seventy-Fifth Street
Seventy-Sixth Street
Seventy-Seventh Street
Seventy-Eighth Street
Seventy-Ninth Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Kath Tsakalakis
Cc:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Lisa Napoli (naplisa123@gmail.com)
Subject:	FW: Request for Lakeside Village to stay in Lakeside with District 7
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:29:12 AM
Attachments:	2022-03-14 Redistricting map.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kath Tsakalakis <kath@trefo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Lisa Napoli (naplisa123@gmail.com) <naplisa123@gmail.com>
Subject: Request for Lakeside Village to stay in Lakeside with District 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Thank you again for contacting Lakeside Village about the redistricting. Having focused on the map, we were dismayed to see that the current recommendation is for Lakeside One, the Lakeside Village commercial district on Ocean, and a sliver of Lakeside Two to be carved out and moved from District 7 to District 4.

Lisa and I both live in Lakeside One and we represent the nonprofit "Friends of Lakeside Village", a community group of residents and businesses working together to improve the commercial corridor on Ocean Avenue between 19th and Junipero Serra.

Lakeside is a community of interest, a widely recognized neighborhood, with three parts, Lakeside One-Two-Three, running South from Sloat on the North side between Junipero Serra and 19th Avenue to the freeway entrance for 280 at Daly City. There is a substantial amount of history for Lakeside as noted on our website, at this link.

Please see the attached mark-up to the map. We would like Lakeside 1-2-3 to stay connected as part of District 7.

Please let us know if you have any questions. My mobile is 415-636-2421.
With best regards,
Kath Tsakalakis
Friends of Lakeside Village
Website: lakeside.mainfare.com
Instagram: @friendsoflakesidevillage

Please reconnect Lakeside 1 with Lakeside 2 & 3 as part of District 7



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	E Medrano; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:28:20 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: E Medrano <medranoea@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and **Community of Interest** submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a **Community of Interest.**
So I was very disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map which severely gerrymandered my northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a very different district. That's not ok. We live in Potrero Hill, please don't remove us from our Community.

Adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district, and I think it is necessary for our Community of Interest to stay together: our neighbors, friends, organizations, firehouses, our newspaper, gardens, parks, merchants, our NextDoor and other community blogs. After all we are on a HILL, not the flats.

Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I **urge** you to keep please our neighborhoods together.

Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.
In POTRERO HILL, on the east side of the 101 freeway, NW Potrero Hill, with all the neighbors.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Medrano

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Ruby Tsang; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Anza Vista Should Remain in District 2
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:28:14 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ruby Tsang <rubytsang1227@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Anza Vista Should Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force,
Thank you all for your service. I am Ruby Tsang. I am a 3rd generation San Franciscan born and raised. I have lived in the Anza Vista neighborhood for almost 30 years. I do my all of my banking, and shopping in Laurel Village. I also shop at the Marina Safeway. I walk my dog in Crissy Field. My children went to school at Marina. (Lots of sports at the park and rec there too as well), and Wallenberg. Both I consider my stomping grounds. Every single doctor that my family has ever had is my neighborhood (from birth to death and vet too!). Please do not change the district.

Sincerely,
Ruby Tsang

Subject: Anza Vista Should Remain in District 2
To: <rdtf@sfgov.org>

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mark Platosh; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: redistricting affecting my block - 500 Vermont - we are district 10, not Mission/D9
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:28:05 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mark Platosh <mark@platosh.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 5:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: redistricting affecting my block - 500 Vermont - we are district 10, not Mission/D9

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for reading my mail. I am writing as I saw the redistricting plan, and for some odd reason you have extended D9 over 101 to include my blocks on Vermont Street. This makes no sense. First of all, this is not needed due to balancing the populations in each group, as we are within the target size already. Then we live on Potrero Hill, and changing that will affect my property value. Finally, we will not get any representation from D9 being not in the mission. We feel that we are being treated as a second class citizen. I urge with the strongest words that 500 Vermont stay on D10, and if there is some notion of change, it must come through asking neighbors what they want, not some random decision. The boundary should be and needs to be what it has always been, 101. Please stop the mistake before it happens.

Thanks -Mark
529 Vermont St.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Bruce Agid
Subject:	FW: Redistricting Taskforce - Feedback on Map 1A
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:27:57 AM
Attachments:	02092022_D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bruce Agid <bruce.h.agid@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 5:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Taskforce - Feedback on Map 1A

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Task Force Members

My name is Bruce Agid, I'm a Mission Bay resident and a Board member of the South Beach/Rincon/Mission Bay NA. First I would like to thank you for your service and work on this taskforce. With that said, after a review of the maps recently released by the taskforce (Map 1A), I was disappointed to see that the input provided by the South Beach/Rincon/Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (see attached) seems to have been thoroughly discounted. I would like to reiterate and continue to advocate that the Areas South of Market including Mission Bay and Treasure Island stay together as a key Community of Interest. This narrative is represented in a recently submitted COI map named Emerging Communities (see attached) which clearly outlines the borders of the area we are advocating for.

To reiterate, Market Street serves as a natural separation or boundary. The neighborhoods North of Market are mostly established neighborhoods. Whereas neighborhoods South of Market have pockets of established/new housing however are mixed with dense PDR areas transitioning to denser residential mixed-use areas or are former/current redevelopment areas.

Specifically, the completed or active redevelopment areas are; Yerba Buena, Transbay District, Rincon Hill, South Beach, Mission Bay and Treasure Island which is connected by the bridge to Eastern SOMA.

The expansive development plan areas are: Mission Rock, Central SOMA and Western SOMA. The latter two transitioning to mixed use plans including dense residential market rate & affordable housing.

With this as the backdrop, there are synergies for our Community of Interest and significant needs for joint advocacy best represented by one Supervisor. The need for and attention to the following are critical:

a. Need for Public Schools
b. Transition streets from extensions of freeway on/off ramps to residential/community streets
c. Need for Open Space and public realm amenities
d. Reimagining how public transportation serves and connects these neighborhoods
e. Affordable, neighborhood-serving retail and services where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs
f. Establishment of interdependent neighborhood commercial corridors
g. Environmental concerns; transportation-related air quality which can have impact on the health of residents/worker/visitors
h. Threats due to sea level rise

Thank You!

Bruce Agid

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade … and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest**. Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnestock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director


Emerging Communities
Nob Hill
Chinatown
Financial District
Embarcadero
Ferry Building Gate G
I 80
Folsom
Transbay
Bus Crossover Signal
Rincon Hill
Bus Storage Signal
Brannan
South Beach
2nd & King
Oracle Park
Caltrain
Mission Rock Construction Site
San Francisco Pier 48
Mission Rock
Mission Bay
Campus Way
UCSF/Chase Center
16th Street
UCSF Medical Center
Mariposa Street
16th Street Mission
US 101
James Lick Freeway
John E Ross Freeway
Mission Creek
Channel Street
Bryant Street
Harrison Street
Folsom Street
Mission Street
Potrero Avenue
Guerrero Street
Valencia Street
Mission Street
Van Ness
Hayes Valley
Fell Street
Civic Center
Tenderloin
O'Farrell Street
Union Square
Post Street
Bush Street
Pine Street
Sutter Street
Sacramento Street
Clay Street
Jackson Street
Washington Street
Montgomery Street
Powell Street
Mason Street
Taylor Street
Hyde Street
Leavenworth Street
Jones Street
Franklin Street
Gough Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
South of Market
Moscone North South
West SoMa
Folsom Street
Howard Street
Harrison Street
Bryant Street
Brannan Street
Townsend Street
Freeway
Stillman Street
Colin P Kelly Jr Street
Terry A Francois Boulevard
Illinois Street
Third Street
Fourth Street
Fifth Street
Sixth Street
Seventh Street
Eighth Street
Ninth Street
Tenth Street
Eleventh Street
Twelfth Street
Thirteenth Street
Fourteenth Street
Fifteenth Street
Sixteenth Street
Seventeenth Street
Eighteenth Street
Nineteenth Street
Twentieth Street
Twenty-Second Street
Twenty-Third Street
Twenty-Fourth Street
Twenty-Fifth Street
Twenty-Sixth Street
Twenty-Seventh Street
Twenty-Eighth Street
Twenty-Ninth Street
Thirty-First Street
Thirty-Second Street
Thirty-Third Street
Thirty-Fourth Street
Thirty-Fifth Street
Thirty-Sixth Street
Thirty-Seventh Street
Thirty-Eighth Street
Thirty-Ninth Street
Fortieth Street
Forty-First Street
Forty-Second Street
Forty-Third Street
Forty-Fourth Street
Forty-Fifth Street
Forty-Sixth Street
Forty-Seventh Street
Forty-Eighth Street
Forty-Ninth Street
Fifty-First Street
Fifty-Second Street
Fifty-Third Street
Fifty-Fourth Street
Fifty-Fifth Street
Fifty-Sixth Street
Fifty-Seventh Street
Fifty-Eighth Street
Fifty-Ninth Street
Sixty-First Street
Sixty-Second Street
Sixty-Third Street
Sixty-Fourth Street
Sixty-Fifth Street
Sixty-Sixth Street
Sixty-Seventh Street
Sixty-Eighth Street
Sixty-Ninth Street
Seventieth Street
Seventy-First Street
Seventy-Second Street
Seventy-Third Street
Seventy-Fourth Street
Seventy-Fifth Street
Seventy-Sixth Street
Seventy-Seventh Street
Seventy-Eighth Street
Seventy-Ninth Street
Eighteenth Street
Nineteenth Street
Twentieth Street
Twenty-Second Street
Twenty-Third Street
Twenty-Fourth Street
Twenty-Fifth Street
Twenty-Sixth Street
Twenty-Seventh Street
Twenty-Eighth Street
Twenty-Ninth Street
Thirty-First Street
Thirty-Second Street
Thirty-Third Street
Thirty-Fourth Street
Thirty-Fifth Street
Thirty-Sixth Street
Thirty-Seventh Street
Thirty-Eighth Street
Thirty-Ninth Street
Fortieth Street
Forty-First Street
Forty-Second Street
Forty-Third Street
Forty-Fourth Street
Forty-Fifth Street
Forty-Sixth Street
Forty-Seventh Street
Forty-Eighth Street
Forty-Ninth Street
Fifty-First Street
Fifty-Second Street
Fifty-Third Street
Fifty-Fourth Street
Fifty-Fifth Street
Fifty-Sixth Street
Fifty-Seventh Street
Fifty-Eighth Street
Fifty-Ninth Street
Sixty-First Street
Sixty-Second Street
Sixty-Third Street
Sixty-Fourth Street
Sixty-Fifth Street
Sixty-Sixth Street
Sixty-Seventh Street
Sixty-Eighth Street
Sixty-Ninth Street
Seventieth Street
Seventy-First Street
Seventy-Second Street
Seventy-Third Street
Seventy-Fourth Street
Seventy-Fifth Street
Seventy-Sixth Street
Seventy-Seventh Street
Seventy-Eighth Street
Seventy-Ninth Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Sana Sethi
Subject:	FW: Please adopt the Community Unity Map submitted by a diverse SF coalition
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:27:44 AM
Attachments:	Letter to RDTF_ Community Unity Map.pdf Community Unity Map Atlas Slides for RDTF.pdf UnityMapV1.png HeaderCommunityUnityMap.png image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sana Sethi <redistricting@sanfranciscorising.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 5:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Please adopt the Community Unity Map submitted by a diverse SF coalition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

Please see the attached Community Unity Map, letter submitted on behalf of the SF Unity Map Coalition, and atlas slides which detail the changes in the Community Unity Map.

Please don't hesitate to reach out if you have any questions.

Thank you for your time and your work so far on the Redistricting Task Force.

Sincerely,
SF Unity Map Coalition



SF UNITY MAP COALITION

March 14th, 2022

Via email to: rdtf@sfgov.org

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

c/o Clerk of the Board's Office

City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Please adopt the Community Unity Map submitted by a diverse SF coalition

Dear members of the Redistricting Task Force,

The SF Unity Map Coalition is a coalition of community-based organizations in San Francisco and we are proud to submit Version 1 of the Community Unity Map. This Community Unity Map was created by community, for community. In October 2021, we came together because we saw a need for advocacy for our communities in the redistricting process. Historically, redistricting has been used to dilute the power of low-income communities and communities of color. We decided to come together and put our time and resources into

ensuring that marginalized communities in San Francisco have an equal voice in the local redistricting process.

The SF Unity Map Coalition reached out to collaborate with and engage organizations that are aligned with our principles to protect the voting rights of low-income communities and communities of color. The input we've received is reflected in the Community Unity Map which you can learn about more below.

The proposed new district lines in this map are the result of convening and consulting over 74 organizations across all districts of San Francisco through a communities of interest survey, multi-district community meetings, one-on-one conversations, listening to public comments at Redistricting Task Force meetings, and collective decision making from October 2021 to the present.

The individuals and organizations who have been a part of this process represent the following communities of interest:

- Southeast Asian
- Samoan
- Working-class
- Black
- Latinx
- Chinese
- Filipinx
- LGBTQIA+
- Transgender
- Nonbinary
- Youth of color
- Native American
- SRO residents
- Middle-income
- Russian
- Artists
- Pacific Islanders
- People who live in affordable housing
- Families living in the OMI
- Commuters
- Immigrant
- Chicanx
- Japanese
- Students
- Transitional-aged youth
- Low-income
- Renters
- Undocumented
- Unhoused
- Seniors
- People with disabilities
- Nonprofit workers
- Arab
- Families with school age children
- People who use public transit
- Chinatown SRO residents
- Families living in the Excelsior
- Inner Sunset Neighborhood mixed

- Latinx immigrant families
- Arab and Muslim immigrant community in D6
- People actively opposing displacement and privatization of the public realm
- renter/homeowner community
- Low-income LGBTQIA seniors
- Retirees
- Women

Our guiding principles for creating this map include:

- Fulfilling requirements of the Voting Rights Act and making minimal changes to district lines while:
    - Keeping communities of interest together based on common socio-economic interests like tenants and single-family homeowners, labor households, cultural heritage, historical district boundaries, and demographic changes like out migration
    - Maintaining the voice and representation of marginalized communities
    - Keeping cultural districts and historical neighborhoods whole
    - Rejoining communities divided in previous redistricting efforts

Our coalition, as well as endorsing organizations who support the Unity Map, urge the Redistricting Task Force to adopt this map because it works to fulfill the legal requirements of redistricting while keeping all cultural districts whole, respecting neighborhood boundaries, and communities of interest, and is a product of months of input collection, community conversations, and feedback. The West side districts mostly keep the same boundaries, adding minimum population. The major boundary changes on the East side center around District 6 and ripple out to the other districts. Our coalition and all of our endorsers believe this is the best option for communities in San Francisco because it works to maintain our representation equally across districts.

Please see brief descriptions of why we surpassed 1% deviation for the following districts. In addition to the reasons listed below, we also heard testimony at Redistricting Task Force meetings as well as received written and verbal input about these neighborhood and COI boundaries and the importance of keeping them whole :

District 1 (-4.99%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**

- Our proposed District 1 boundaries put the population 4.99% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep surrounding existing neighborhoods intact including Sea Cliff, Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Haight Ashbury, NoPa. Seacliff is excluded from D1 in the Task Force's first draft map which used the 1% deviation rule.

District 2 (-.2%)

- Within 1% deviation

District 3 (-1.48%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 3 boundaries put the population 1.48% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep surrounding existing neighborhoods intact including the Tenderloin below Geary and Central SOMA , as well as the SOMA Pilipinas Cultural District and the Transgender Cultural District

District 4 (-4.67%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 4 boundaries put the population 4.67% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep surrounding existing neighborhoods intact and with the District that they have the most shared interests with including Merced Manor, and to avoid diluting the voice of immigrant communities in D4 by adding blocks that did not have shared interests with immigrant communities

District 5 (+2.51%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 5 boundaries put the population 2.51% over the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep existing neighborhoods and COIs within the district intact to make Japantown whole, which is currently split between 2 districts. We also went over 1% deviation to keep the Western Addition whole which is split up in the Redistricting Task Force's first draft map.

- In the Redistricting Task Force's Draft Map, Japantown is in D3. Our map puts Japantown in D5, which it has more shared interests with

District 6 (+4.73)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 6 boundaries put the population 4.73% over the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep existing neighborhoods within District 6 intact including the Tenderloin and the SOMA Pilipinas Cultural District, Leather and LGBTQ Cultural District, Little Saigon, Central SOMA, and Treasure Island

District 7 (-4.9%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 7 boundaries put the population 4.9% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep surrounding existing neighborhoods intact include the OMI and returned it to D11 which it has shared interests with
  - We heard testimony at Redistricting Task Force meetings as well as received written and verbal input about these neighborhood and COI boundaries and the importance of keeping them whole

District 8 (-1.42%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
  - Our proposed District 8 boundaries put the population 1.42% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep the American Indian Cultural District between 2 districts which serves this cultural district and to move Twin Peaks above Market Street into D7, which it has more shared interests with than D8. District 8 is also more than 1% below target population to keep Laguna Honda together in District 7; the Redistricting Task Force's first draft map splits up Laguna Honda even though it is a community of interest.

District 9 (+.87%)

- Within 1% deviation

District 10 (+4.66%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
    - Our proposed District 10 boundaries put the population 4.66% over the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep existing neighborhoods within District 10 intact including Visitacion Valley, the Bayview, and Potrero Hill as well as the African American Arts and Cultural District. Potrero Hill is split up by the Task Force's first draft map.

District 11 (-4.9%)

- **Why it's over 1% deviation**
    - Our proposed District 11 boundaries put the population 4.9% below the target population. We surpassed 1% deviation to keep surrounding existing neighborhoods intact and avoided adding too much population to prevent the dilution of the working-class community of interest in D11.

Endorsers

Arab Resource and Organizing Center

Bindlestiff Studio

California Alliance for Retired Americans - S.F. Chapter

Chinatown Community Development Center

Chinese Progressive Association

Coleman Advocates For Children & Youth

Filipina Women’s Network

Filipino Community Center

Filipino Community Development Corporation

Galing Bata Bilingual Program at the Filipino Education Center

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

KULINTANG ARTS INC

LEATHER & LGBTQ Cultural District

People Organizing to Demand Environmental & Economic Rights (PODER)

Richmond District Rising

SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural Heritage District

San Francisco Rising

Senior and Disability Action

South of Market Community Action Network

Tenderloin Filipino-American Community Association

Tenderloin People's Congress

The Transgender District

San Francisco Redistricting Community Unity Map

March 2022

Introduction

What is redistricting?

And why does it matter?

Every 10 years, after Census data is released, cities, counties, and states redraw their district lines to reflect population changes so that every district has an equal voice, equal resources and equal representation.

In San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors districts, or supervisorial districts, are being redrawn in the 2021–2022 local redistricting process, which must be finalized by **April 15, 2022**.

Where district lines are drawn will have huge impacts on the distribution of community resources and representation in City Hall.

Redistricting has often been used to diminish the power of communities of color. That's why we have invested in working together — low-income families, people of color, immigrants, renters, low-income residents, the LGBTQIA+ community, and the unhoused community from across the city — bringing a united and powerful voice to the redistricting process.

Atlas

Community Unity Map Atlas

INCLUDES

- A citywide view of the Community Unity Map showing our proposed boundary changes.
- A cultural district map overlay for the Community Unity Map.
- Demographic and Economic map overlays for the Community Unity Map.
- A map of each Supervisorial District with:
  - The Community Unity Map’s proposed boundary changes with street-level detail.
  - Why we made the decision.
  - How the changes affect demographics.
  - How the changes keep together communities of interest.

How will redistricting change the current supervisor districts?

The 2020 census reported an increase of 70,000 people in San Francisco — mostly on the East side.

The current supervisor districts **must change their boundaries** to match the ideal population of 79,545 per district.

The law allows a 5% variation from ideal population, so each district has a range of population to gain or lose.

NEXT — The proposed boundary changes of the Community Unity Map will be shown on the next slide.

Existing district boundary

Which Supervisor Districts Must Change?



By law, which districts must grow by how much?

District 1 must add at least 2,700 people.
District 3 must add at least 3,000 people.
District 4 must add at least 2,800 people.
District 7 must add at least 130 people.

Which districts must shrink by how much?

District 6 must remove at least 20,000 people.
District 10 must remove at least 2,800 people.

What changes are in the Community Unity Map?

This map shows the proposed redistricting lines for the Community Unity Map.

The new districts are drawn with pink lines.

Boundary line changes from the existing supervisorial districts are shaded in green.

NEXT — Detailed maps for each district will be shown in the next slides.

District boundary

Boundary change

Community Unity Map

The West side districts mostly keep the same boundaries, adding minimum population.

The green areas show boundary line changes that bring each district within the legal population limit.

The major boundary changes on the East side center around District 6 and ripple out to the other districts.



To view a version of this map that allows you to zoom into street level details, click here.

What changes are there to District 1?

By law, District 1's boundaries must grow.

<u>Community voice strengthened:</u>

District 1 adds the bare minimum population to avoid diluting **API** and **renter communities**

The blocks added above California St. include a significant **Chinese** and **Vietnamese majority-renter** population

<u>Communities of interest remain whole:</u>

API community, renter community

District boundary

Boundary change

Three blocks above California are added from District 2.

Anza Vista is added from District 2.



72,848	75,577	42%	60%
-8.42% *	-4.99%	No change	No change
CURRENT POPULATION	PROPOSED POPULATION	ASIAN COMMUNITY	RENTER-OCCUPIED

* exceeds legal limit

What changes are there to District 2?

<u>Communities of interest made whole:</u>

Part of Russian Hill is added from D3 to make **Russian Hill** whole in D2

The few blocks of Japantown that are in District 2 are moved into District 5 to make **Japantown** whole



76,363	79,383
-4.00%	-0.20%
CURRENT POPULATION	PROPOSED POPULATION

District boundary

Boundary change

What changes are there to District 3?

By law, District 3's boundaries must grow.

Community voice strengthened:

District 3 increases its proportion of **working-class tenants** by taking some of the **Tenderloin** and giving up half of **Russian Hill** to District 2

Northern SOMA is added which contains a diverse population and **Below Market Rate housing**

Communities of interest remain whole:

API communities, SRO communities, and **Chinatown**

District boundary

Boundary change



What changes are there to District 4?

By law, District 4's boundaries must grow.

<u>Community voice maintained:</u>

District 4 adds the bare minimum population to avoid diluting **API communities**

Moving the boundary into the Inner Sunset adds census tract blocks with a significant **Chinese ancestry** population, 30% **foregin born** and 70% **renter**

<u>Communities of interest remain whole:</u>

API community, **immigrant community**

District boundary

Boundary change



Inner Sunset adds a few blocks from District 5 and District 7.

72,784
-8.50% *
CURRENT POPULATION

75,827
-4.67%
PROPOSED POPULATION

* exceeds legal limit

What changes are there to District 5?

<u>Communities of interest become whole:</u>

District 5 makes **Japantown** whole by adding a few blocks from District 2

<u>Neighborhoods kept whole:</u>

The Haight, **NOPA**, **Hayes Valley**, and **the Fillmore**



What changes are there to District 6?

By law, District 6's boundaries must shrink.

<u>Communities of interest remain whole:</u>

Most of the **Tenderloin**, **Central SOMA**, **Treasure Island**, **API**, **Black**, **Arab** and **Latinx** communities

<u>Cultural districts and historical neighborhoods remain whole:</u>

The Transgender District, **Filipino Community Heritage District**, **Leather & LGBTQ Cultural District**, and **Little Saigon**

District boundary

Boundary change



What changes are there to District 7?

By law, District 7's boundaries must grow.

<u>Historical boundary restored:</u>

Ocean Avenue

<u>Communities of interest kept whole:</u>

The **OMI** is kept whole and moves into D11



One block above Kirkham is moved into D5.

Six blocks above Kirkham are moved into District 4.

Twin Peaks above Market Street is added from District 8.

The OMI is moved completely into District 11.

75,436
-5.17% *
CURRENT POPULATION

75,644
-4.90%
PROPOSED POPULATION

* exceeds legal limit

District boundary

Boundary change

What changes are there to District 8?

<u>Cultural districts remain where they are on the current map:</u>

The **Castro LGBTQ Cultural District** in D8

The **American Indian Cultural District** remains between D8 and D9 to maximize representation in 2 districts

<u>Community neighborhoods remain whole:</u>

Noe Valley, **Glen Park** and **Diamond Heights**

<u>Community voice strengthened:</u>

District 8 adds **The Hub,**which has a diverse makeup, from District 6

District boundary

Boundary change



Twin Peaks above Market Street is moved into District 7.

Part of the Hub is added to D8 from D6.

82,418
+3.61%
CURRENT POPULATION

78,417
-1.42%
PROPOSED POPULATION

Bosworth to Alemany moves into District 11.

What changes are there to District 9?

Cultural districts remain whole:

The Latino Cultural District

Community neighborhoods remain whole:

Bernal Heights, **Portola** and the **Mission**

Historical boundary restored:

Highway 101 and includes **Potrero del Sol Park** (La Raza)

District boundary

Boundary change

Part of the Hub is added to D9 from D6.

St. Mary's Park is moved into District 11.

Some blocks of the Portola are moved into D11.



Part of SOMA to Brannan and 6th is added to D9 from D6.

Eastern Mission and the Design District are added to D9 from D10.

Potrero del Sol Park (La Raza) is added to D9.

75,829	
-4.67%	
CURRENT POPULATION	
80,240	
+0.87%	
PROPOSED POPULATION	

What changes are there to District 10?

By law, District 10's boundaries must shrink.

Communities of interest remain whole:

African American/Black, API and Pacific Islander communities

Community neighborhoods remain whole:

Visitacion Valley, the Bayview, and Potrero Hill

Historical boundary restored:

Highway 101

District boundary

Boundary change

Eastern Mission and the Design District are moved into District 9.

The southern half of Mission Bay is added from District 6.

Chase Center is added to D10.



16.7%
+0.4%
BLACK COMMUNITY

86,323
+8.52% *
CURRENT POPULATION

83,253
+4.66%
PROPOSED POPULATION

* exceeds legal limit

What changes are there to District 11?

Communities of interest kept whole:

The **OMI** is kept whole and moves into D11

Community voice strengthened:

D11 maintains its **working-class** diversity and adds **Filipino** communities of interest from D8 and D9

District boundary

Boundary change



What changes are there to our Cultural Districts?

The Community Unity Map's boundary changes reflect the desires of cultural districts that wanted to be kept whole in one supervisorial district.

District 6, though losing 20,000 population, was able to keep all three cultural districts intact as well as Little Saigon.

Japantown is made whole inside of District 5 by moving the boundary north to California.

District boundary

Boundary change

Cultural Districts



What changes are there to our Asian population?

This map shows the Asian population of San Francisco according to the 2020 Census.

The Community Unity Map boundaries maintain the same percentage of Asian population for all of the districts except District 7 and District 3.

District 7's addition of Twin Peaks and giving up part of the OMI and Inner Sunset reduces district's Asian population by 1.6%. District 3's removal of Russian Hill increases its Asian Population by 1%.

District 4 and District 11 remain majority Asian.

District boundary

Boundary change

Asian Population

36%

ASIAN COMMUNITY CITYWIDE

Which districts have the highest proportion of Asian residents?

District 4	**55%**	
District 11	**53%**	
District 3	**46%**	+1% Change
District 1	**42%**	



Dark blue census blocks have the highest number of Asian residents.

What changes are there to our Black population?

This map shows the Black population of San Francisco according to the 2020 Census.

The Community Unity Map boundary changes significantly increase District 3's Black population by adding blocks of the Tenderloin and Northern SOMA while giving up White & Asian part of Russian Hill.

District 10 and District 6 slightly increase their proportion of Black people by giving up majority White and Asian population blocks.

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

District boundary

Boundary change

Black/African American Population

5.8%

BLACK COMMUNITY CITYWIDE

Which districts have the highest proportion of Black/African American residents?

District 10	**16.7%**	+0.4% Change
District 6	**10.0%**	+0.6% Change
District 5	**9.4%**	



Dark blue census blocks have the highest number of Black residents.

What changes are there to our Latinx population?

This map shows the Latinx population of San Francisco according to the 2020 Census.

The districts with the highest population of Latinx residents are District 9, District 11 and District 10.

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

Latinx Population

15.7%

LATINX COMMUNITY CITYWIDE

Which districts have the highest proportion of Latinx residents?

District 9	**32%**
District 11	**27%**
District 10	**22%**



Dark blue census blocks have the highest number of Latinx residents.

District boundary

Boundary change

What changes are there to our Pacific Islander population?

This map shows the Pacific Islander population of San Francisco according to the 2020 Census.

The Community Unity Map boundary changes slightly increase District 10's percentage of Pacific Islanders by giving up White & Asian blocks of the Design District.

The other districts maintain the same percentage of Pacific Islander population with the new boundary changes.

District boundary

Boundary change

Pacific Islander Population

0.4%

PACIFIC ISLANDER COMMUNITY CITYWIDE

Which districts have the highest proportion of Pacific Islander residents?

District 10	**1.94%**
District 6	**0.51%**
District 9	**0.34%**
District 11	**0.34%**



Dark blue census blocks have the highest number of Pacific Islander residents.

What changes are there to our American Indian population?

This map shows the American Indian population of San Francisco according to the 2020 Census.

The districts with the highest population of American Indian residents are District 6 and District 8.

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

American Indian Population

2%

AMERICAN INDIAN COMMUNITY CITYWIDE



District boundary

Boundary change

What changes are there to our Chinese population?

This map shows the Chinese ancestry population of San Francisco according to the 2019 American Community Survey.

Census tracts can be small or large, making it hard to see density on this map.

The most populated Chinese census tracts are in District 3, District 4 and District 11.

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

District boundary

Boundary change

Chinese Ancestry

Dark blue census tracts have the highest number of Chinese residents.



What changes are there to our Filipino population?

This map shows the Filipino ancestry population of San Francisco according to the 2019 American Community Survey.

Census tracts can be small or large, making it hard to see density on this map.

The most populated Filipino census tracts are in District 6 and District 11

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

District boundary

Boundary change

Filipino Ancestry

Dark blue census tracts have the highest number of Filipino residents.



SOMA and Tenderloin stay together in D6.

The OMI along Ocean Avenue moves into D11 from District 7.

Bosworth to Alemany moves into D11 from D8.

St. Mary's Park moves into D11 from D9.

What changes are there to our Southeast Asian population?

This map shows Vietnamese, Thai, Cambodian, Burmese, Laotian and Hmong ancestry population of San Francisco according to the 2019 American Community Survey.

Census tracts can be small or large, making it hard to see density on this map.

The most populated Filipino census tracts are in District 6 and District 4.

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

District boundary

Boundary change

Southeast Asian Ancestry

Dark blue census tracts have the highest number of Southeast Asian residents.



What changes are there to our Arab population?

This map shows the Arab ancestry population of San Francisco according to the 2019 American Community Survey.

Census tracts can be small or large, making it hard to see density on this map.

The most populated Arab census tracts are in District 6 and District 5

The new boundary changes keep these communities whole.

Arab Ancestry

Dark blue census tracts have the highest number of Arab residents.



District boundary

Boundary change

What changes are there to our homeowners?

This map shows percentage of homeowners per voting precinct according to PDI analysis of the 2021 voter file.

Where the striped boundary changes color is white or light blue, the Community Unity Map is including majority renter census blocks in the proposed boundary changes.

District boundary

Boundary change

Homeowners

Dark blue precincts have the highest proportion of homeowners.



Part of SOMA moves from D6 into D3.

The OMI along Ocean Avenue moves into D11 from District 7.

Community Unity Map V1

Proposed District Boundary

Current District Boundary





From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; John Mara
Subject:	FW: Redistricting Japantown from District 5 to Distrct 3
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:27:10 AM
Attachments:	JCHESS Japantown Map.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Mara <24jmaloha@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 4:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Japantown from District 5 to Distrct 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear RedistrictingTask Force,

Please reconsider the District map moving Japantown from District 5 to District 3.

This map splits Japantown away from its historic ties with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts and moves Japantown from Supervisorial District 5 where we have established many long-time relationships to District 3.

Please help:

1) Maintain the Japantown borders established in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS), adopted by the San Francisco Planning Commission in 2013, and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Guidelines that was adopted by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019, and

2) Maintain the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods, especially the Black community. As communities of color that have suffered institutional racism, we have a shared history and deep allyship.
Thank you.

Regards,
John Lavette

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Letter from Supervisor Preston Regarding District 5 Boundaries
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:26:54 AM
Attachments:	Preston March 14, 2022 Letter to RDTF.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 4:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter from Supervisor Preston Regarding District 5 Boundaries

Honorable Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Please see the attached letter from Supervisor Dean Preston (CC'd) regarding District 5 boundaries.

Best,

Melissa G. Hernandez
Legislative Aide, District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston
Cell: 210.323.7695
Pronouns: She / They
Sign up for our newsletter!

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 5

City and County of San Francisco

DEAN PRESTON

March 14, 2022

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca 94122
(415) 554-4445
rdtf@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend, Vice-Chair Reiner, and Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I would first like to thank you for your work on this Task Force and for your engagement with the public in this important process. We understand the gravity of the task before this body, which requires thoughtfulness, care, and patience. We have been heartened to see the Task Force and the Clerk's Office take their duties and outreach efforts so seriously throughout the past few months.

I am reaching out today to indicate my strong support for keeping District 5 intact throughout the redistricting process. District 5 had the most minimal population deviation from all supervisorial districts, with a total deviation of 1.53%. We understand that other supervisorial districts' populations have shifted more significantly; nevertheless, as the district with the smallest population changes, I believe that any changes to District 5 should be minimal.

In addition, District 5 has strong and well-defined communities of interest that should be preserved or made whole. I strongly urge this Task Force to respect the resounding wishes of Fillmore and Japantown residents, who have relayed to you their shared histories of struggle, culture, and solidarity in connection with internment, urban renewal, and the years that followed. I support the clear request of these communities to stay together within District 5. I also strongly support the request from community members that the entire Japantown Cultural District be included within District 5, which requires the addition of some of the blocks that currently are in District 2.

Similarly, I urge the task force to ensure that the neighborhoods of the Haight, Cole Valley, the Panhandle, Alamo Square, and Hayes Valley be kept whole within District 5, as they have each built up community resources, neighborhood groups, and support systems within their respective neighborhoods. The Haight, Cole Valley, and Panhandle identify as one broader neighborhood. In addition to other shared struggles and history, this area came together as part of the freeway revolt to reject extension of the freeway through the Panhandle. Meanwhile, the Fillmore, Alamo Square, and Hayes Valley, are united by a history of resistance to redevelopment and urban renewal which displaced thousands of people, homes, and businesses, and its impacts are felt to this day.

Similarly, the Inner Sunset neighborhood is an integral part of District 5 and should remain intact in District 5 to the greatest extent possible. In prior redistricting, the western boundary was pushed in from 19th Avenue to 17th Avenue. We understand the Task Force is considering a further pushing of that line to Funston. While we are open to a western edge somewhere between Funston and 19th, we would strongly oppose any movement east beyond Funston, as that would directly undermine the integrity and cohesion of the Inner Sunset neighborhood. Our preference would be for the boundary to remain at 17th.

The current line in the Lower Haight should be adjusted slightly to restore residents north of Duboce Park to District 5. They are clearly part of the Lower Haight neighborhood and the reasons they were redistricted out last time are entirely unclear.

Lastly, I must make a brief comment on the first draft map released by the Task Force. We have serious concerns about many aspects of the map, and will reserve comments for now as we know this is merely a first cut, but we do need to note our strong concern with the proposed division of the Western Addition and removal of Japantown from our District. These changes divide communities of interest and are wholly unjustified. We hope those changes will be removed from the next draft as this conversation continues. That said, we were pleased to see that the draft map kept St. Mark's and its senior housing (the block between Gough and Franklin, and O'Farrell/Ellis), as well as the block that contains the Unitarian Church, in District 5, as they are an important part of our district.

Thank you again for your consideration. Please feel free to reach out if you have any questions, comments, or concerns.

Sincerely,



Dean Preston
Supervisor, District 5

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 274 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689 • (415) 554-7630
Fax (415) 554-7634 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: Dean.Preston@sfgov.org

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sheldon Trimble; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Jeffrey Titterton
Subject:	RE: PLEASE keep our Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together!!!!!
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:26:27 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sheldon Trimble <sheldonkt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 4:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jeffrey Titterton <jtitterton@gmail.com>
Subject: PLEASE keep our Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together!!!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

We have seen the initial redistricting for our home and we are very concerned that our neighborhood has been gerrymandered out of our current neighborhood.
Sheldon has been on The Gateway Park committee for close to ten years working to revitalize the area from Mariposa/San Bruno Ave-under the 101 freeway at 17th Street and along Vermont Street from 17th back up to Mariposa Street. Our Northwest Potrero Hill neighborhood is also part of the Green Benefits District and was instrumental in making that happen. The SF Design Center has also been helpful with donations for The Gateway Park as they know how we are all tied together with our condition of having 101 through our neighborhood. I very much want to continue the work and plans we have put into helping our neighborhood come together.

Please keep our neighborhoods together by adding Northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Trimble and Jeff Titterton

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Emily Murase
Cc:	Sandy Mori; Glynis Nakahara; Jeremy Chan; Susie Kagami; DPH-josaki; Cally Wong; Jenny Bach
Subject:	FW: [Redistricting Map] Honor Japantown Boundaries + Keep Jtown with Western Addition/Fillmore Districts
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:26:17 AM
Attachments:	JCHESS Japantown Map.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Emily Murase <emurase@japantowntaskforce.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 4:14 PM
To: Townsend, Arnold (REG) <arnold.townsend@sfgov.org>; Reiner, Ditka (REG - Contractor) <ditka.reiner@sfgov.org>; Ho, Lily (REG - Contractor) <lily.ho@sfgov.org>; Castillon, Matthew (REG - Contractor) <matthew.castillon@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (REG) <raynell.cooper.reg@sfgov.org>; Lee, Chasel (REG - Contractor) <chasel.lee@sfgov.org>; J.Michelle Pierce1

<j.michelle.pierce1@sfgov.org>; Hernandez Gil, Chema (REG - Contractor) <chema.hernandezgil@sfgov.org>
Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>; Glynis Nakahara <gnakahara@yahoo.com>; Jeremy Chan <jeremy.lee.chan@gmail.com>; Susie Kagami <skagami@japantowntaskforce.org>; DPH-josaki <josaki@jcyc.org>; Cally Wong <cally.wong@apicouncil.org>; Jenny Bach <jenny.bach@apicouncil.org>
Subject: [Redistricting Map] Honor Japantown Boundaries + Keep Jtown with Western Addition/Fillmore Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

On behalf of the Japantown Task Force, I am writing to urge that your map honor Japantown boundaries and keep Japantown with the Western Addition and the Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities, in District 5. I am sorry that I am unable to provide oral testimony at your meeting tonight. Please add this message to the public record.

I was ABSOLUTELY SHOCKED to see that the first iteration of your map split Japantown from our neighbors in the Western Addition and the Fillmore Districts AND moved us out of District 5 and into District 3. This proposal is patently UNACCEPTABLE.

Members of our community attended your January 22 meeting on District 5. You heard heartfelt testimony from about a dozen Japanese American community members and their allies about the wholesale, unjust displacement of the Japanese American community not only at the onset of World War II, but also in the 1970s with Redevelopment that uprooted Japanese American homes and businesses over community objections to make way for structures designed without any community input. A Japantown Task Force Board Member Glynis Nakahara and I submitted testimony on our Community of Interest. It appears that you have IGNORED all of our input!

Attached is the map of Japantown's boundaries that has been adopted by the Planning Commission AND the Board of Supervisors. Please honor these boundaries.

Please also honor the shared history between the Japanese American and Black communities. Part of Japantown, Rosa Parks Elementary School is a great example of a very racially diverse school, where Japanese American, Black, other Asian, Muslim, LatinX, and other students learn and play together.

I urge you to redraw the lines so that Japantown remains with the Western Addition and Fillmore Districts, especially the Black communities.

Emily

Emily M. Murase, PhD

ムラセ エミリー
Executive Director
Japantown Task Force, Inc.

1765 Sutter Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94115
www.japantowntaskforce.org
415.346.1239 (Office)

415.297.3975 (Cell)

Map



Map of Japantown showing the Japantown Special Use District, Japantown Neighborhood Commercial District, and JCHESS/Japantown Cultural District.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Stefanie Small; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please keep Mission Bay in D6
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:25:42 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stefanie Small <sjsmall560@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 4:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please keep Mission Bay in D6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force Members,

After reviewing the proposed redistricting map, I'm writing to ask that you reconsider pulling Mission Bay into D10. Our community has strong alliances with the South Beach and Rincon Hill in particular, having built neighborhood alliances with each other as emerging districts.

Pushing Mission Bay into D10 only makes it substantially more challenging for those of us, especially within the Mayor's Office of Housing program, to establish alliances and continue the progress we have made within the city's infrastructure to improve basic infrastructure that wasn't planned for when the housing planning was being made. We have limited affordable neighborhood retail where people of diverse income levels can shop for groceries and other essentials. There aren't any schools here to support the thousands of family housing units that have been, and continue to be, built.

Developing this infrastructure for this area for the entire South of Market area, including Mission Bay, unites us in mission and goals, as well as current alliances.

Moving us to D10 would be detrimental in our development of the neighborhood in terms of our work and advocacy within the city.

We ought to be considered and Community of Interest unit and I ask that you keep our neighborhoods together.

Thank You,

Stefanie Small - Mission Bay Resident

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Crowder, Dona
Subject:	FW: Clarendon Hts & district 7
Date:	Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:25:30 AM
Attachments:	Clarendon Hts in district 7.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Clarendon Hts & district 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

We were asked for comment from our neighborhood regarding district 7. We do not want to be moved out of district 7. See attached letter and proposed boundary. Thank you.

Dona Crowder
Clarendon Heights
Twin Peaks Improvement Association
dona@donacrowder.com
Mobile: 415.310-5933

Wire Fraud is Real. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions. Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

Thank you for the opportunity to express the voices of Clarendon Heights Twin Peaks Improvement Association in regards to redrawing the district maps in San Francisco to comply with the requirements put before the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force.

Our neighborhood includes the homes that lie between Twin Peaks Blvd at Clarendon to Burnett on the east, Clarendon to Christopher at Forest Knolls on the west, Palo Alto to the south and boundaries along the edge of the forests surrounding Sutro Tower roughly to the north. These streets are Twin Peaks, Clarendon, Mountain Spring, Glenbrook and Palo Alto, a group of approximately 200 homes close in on three sides by forests and open space which form natural geographic boundaries and encircling roadways.

I am here to urge you to preserve this neighborhood into its community of interest, which is District 7. We share common social and economic interests that are related to the neighborhoods west of Twin Peaks. These are historic in nature relating also to churches and landmarks and other cultural attachments, such as Mt Davidson and the Armenian community, West Portal shopping and Portola Drive and the churches and institutions surrounding that area, Stern Grove as well as the Irish Cultural Center and churches. Each culture with special relation to district 7 are represented in our group. Our main roads of ingress and egress into and out of San Francisco lie mostly within district 7.

There are older homeowner associations with meeting places in common, similar housing types, schools and many shared characteristics in what is currently mapped as district 7, to which Clarendon Heights certainly relates to very strongly.

District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district. It is best illustrated within a map supported by 42 neighborhoods seeking to meet the population criteria without destroying our community of interest.

Please support keeping Clarendon Heights within District 7 together as represented by the San Franciso Coalition of Neighborhoods map submitted to the Task Force and also supported by West of Twin Peaks Central Council. This map represents minimum changes to each district that currently exists, keeps Clarendon Heights in district 7 and would not move our entire community out of district 7 and into district 8 which is disimilar.

Thank you for your attention and consideration

Clarendon Heights TPIA

Dona Crowder



(1) OVERALL WITH BOUNDARIES

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; sishii@Kimochi-Inc.org
Subject:	FW: Redistricting Letter
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:29:41 PM
Attachments:	Redistricting Letter.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: sishii@Kimochi-Inc.org <sishii@Kimochi-Inc.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Letter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

Please see the attached letter.
Thank you.

Steve Ishii
Executive Director
Kimochi, Inc.
1715 Buchanan Street
San Francisco, CA. 94115
Tel: (415) 931-2294
Fax: (415) 931-2299
Email: sishii@kimochi-inc.org

Web: www.kimochi-inc.org

Kimochi celebrates 50 years of service and advocacy for Bay Area seniors and their families.

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply and destroy all copies of the original message.

KIMOCHI

CONTINUING THE JAPANESE TRADITION
OF CARE AND SUPPORT FOR SENIORS

Kimochi, Inc.
1715 Buchanan Street
San Francisco, CA 94115
Fax (415) 931-2299
www.Kimochi-Inc.org

- *Administration*
  (415) 931-2294
- *Social Services*
  (415) 931-2275
- *Club Nikkei*
  (415) 931-2294
- *Volunteer Services*
  (415) 931-2294

Kimochi Home
1531 Sutter Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
(415) 922-9972
Fax (415) 922-6821

- *Adult Day Program*
- *Residential Care*
- *Respite Care*

Kimochi Senior Center
1840 Sutter Street, #101
Issei Memorial Hall
San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 931-2287
Fax (415) 931-2299

- *Community Dining*
- *Home Delivered Meals*
- *Senior Center Activities*
- *Transportation*

Kimochi Lounge
1581 Webster Street, #202
San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 563-5626
Fax (415) 931-2299

- *Information and Referral*

Kimochi San Mateo
453 N. San Mateo Drive
San Mateo, CA 94401
(650) 388-7130
Fax (650) 340-0256

- *Residential Care*
- *Home Delivered Meals*

March 14, 2022

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Vis: email

To Whom It May Concern,

On behalf of Kimochi, Inc. we are opposed to the redistricting of the Japantown Community. We align ourselves with the Japantown Task Force with the two-fold objective to ensure that the new redistricting map:

1) Maintains the Japantown borders established in the Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy (JCHESS), adopted by the San Francisco Planning Commission in 2013, and reinforced by the Japantown Special Area Guidelines that was adopted by San Francisco Planning Commission in 2019, and

2) Maintains the historical and physical connection with the Western Addition and Fillmore neighborhoods, especially the Black community. As communities of color that have suffered institutional racism, we have a shared history and deep allyship.

Thank you.

Steve Ishii
Executive Director

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Francois Carrara; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	peter_abraham@hotmail.com; Scott Pitkethly
Subject:	RE: Northwest Corner of Potrero Hill mist remain in D10
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:29:16 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Francois Carrara <francoiscarrarasf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: peter_abraham@hotmail.com; Scott Pitkethly <scott.pitkethly@gmail.com>
Subject: Northwest Corner of Potrero Hill mist remain in D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a long-time resident of San Bruno Avenue, between 19th and 20th street.
The current proposal to move our neighborhood to the Mission District makes zero sense.

Our area is separated from the Mission by the freeway. Our street is part of several Potrero Hill neighborhood associations with aligned interests like car traffic, police activity and beautification.

As a long-time resident and active voter, I urge you to prevent this remapping of our districts.

Thank you

Francois Carrara
709 San Bruno Ave.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Michele Garside; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:29:11 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Michele Garside <mgarside@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

Please retain the current connections with Potrero Hill in the re-setting of District 10 Boundaries. The first draft simply doesn't work for residents in District 10. You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Michele Garside, Potrero Hill home owner and resident

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Robert Bush; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	DTNA DTNA; Stephen Torres; CBD Castro/Upper Market Community Benefit District; Tina Aguirre; MandelmanStaff, [BOS]; Cathy Liu
Subject:	RE: Ojection to changing Duboce Triangle from District 8 in District 5.
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:29:03 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Bush <rabush@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: DTNA DTNA <dtna-board@dtna.org>; Stephen Torres <stephen.torres@castrolgbtq.org>; CBD Castro/Upper Market Community Benefit District <andrea@castrocbd.org>; Tina Aguirre

<taguirre@castrolgbtq.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Cathy Liu <cathy@cathyliu.com>
Subject: Ojection to changing Duboce Triangle from District 8 in District 5.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

As a life long member of the Upper Market Community and resident of the Duboce Triangle for the last 40 years, I ask that the Duboce Triangle remain in District 8 and not be moved into District 5.

Separating the Duboce Triangle from District 8 disrupts the Upper Market Community made up of the Eureka Valley, the Castro, and the Duboce Triangle. The Duboce Triangle's southern commercial businesses are part of the Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial District whose businesses merged with the Castro Merchants to form a single Castro Merchants organization. The Castro/Upper Market Community Benefit District includes the Duboce Triangle's southern commercial properties as well as the Castro and Church Street businesses. The LGBQT Cultural District includes portions of the Duboce Triangle as well as the Castro. The Dubose Triangle, like the Eureka Valley, is the bedroom of the Castro and Upper Market Community, including the LGBTQ community.

I ask that you keep the Duboce Triangle in District 8 and not disrupt the functioning Upper Market Community where one can live, work, and play.

Thank you for considering my objection to the current proposal to move the Duboce Triangle from District 8 into District 5.

Best Regards,

Bob Bush
Beaver Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	DPH-workplaces-419; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Peskin, Aaron (BOS)
Subject:	RE: Opposed to adding Tenderloin to District 3
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:58 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sarah Bacon <sbacon@nourishcafesf.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposed to adding Tenderloin to District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I was made aware that the boundaries of District 3 may be reconfigured as a result of the 2020 Census. Chinatown, Fisherman's Wharf, North Beach, Union Square and Russian Hill are communities of common interests, tied together by small businesses that rely on tourism. These areas should be included in an updated District 3.

However, I am OPPOSED TO THE PROPOSAL TO ADD THE TENDERLOIN TO DISTRICT 3. Combining this area with Russian Hill would stretch the resources of the District even more. As a restaurant owner in Russian Hill, I deal DAILY with homelessness, feces, excessive garbage, mental illness theft and more. To add the needs of the Tenderloin to District 3 resources that already fall short when addressing these issues would be disastrous.

I ask your Task Force to consider my concerns and not add the Tenderloin to District 3 when you redraw.

Thank you,

Sarah Bacon
Owner, Nourish Cafe
1030 Hyde Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John deCastro; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:53 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John deCastro <2jbdecastro@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

This area has been historically part of Potrero Hill (see Potrero Booster Bylaws filed in 1926) and long before US 101 tore us apart. It is connected to Dogpatch as part of the Green Benefit District.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please keep NW Potrero Hill and Showplace in D10 with rest of our neighborhood.

If this US 101 driven arbitrary division remains it will be just like what happened with Police Districts. We now have to work with 3 separate and distinct Police Districts (Mission, Bayview and Southern).

These islands do not have much in common with the Mission or SOMA. Many of the active neighbors in our community groups and associations live in these islands that are part of Potrero Hill.

Keep Potrero Hill, Dogpatch and Showplace together!



Sincerely,

John deCastro
Past President Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Assn
Co-Chair Dogpatch & Potrero Neighborhood Associations Livable Streets Team

Titles for ID Purposes Only

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sapna Mahwal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting - Please include my feedback for Potrero Hill
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:43 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sapna Mahwal <sapna.mahwal@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 1:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - Please include my feedback for Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

I am really hoping you will register our strong preference on the matter below.

The area bounded by 17th-22nd and Potrero - Kansas streets must remain in D10! Please!!

- This area where we live is Potrero Hill Neighborhood and is served by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association
- It contains NW Potrero which is part of and depends on the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

NW Potrero Hill needs to be identified as part of both of these communities which are a larger community of interest and need to be in the same supervisorial district.

*** Both NW Potrero Hill and Dogpatch communities address neighborhood issues because freeways divide the neighborhoods.

In appreciation

Sapna Mahwal
632 Vermont St. 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Stephen Torres
Subject:	FW: Official Castro LGBTQ Cultural District response to Preliminary Redistricting Map
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:36 PM
Attachments:	2022.03.13 Redistricting Task Force Letter of Opposition.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Torres <stephen.torres@castrolgbtq.org>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 1:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Official Castro LGBTQ Cultural District response to Preliminary Redistricting Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Please register this letter of opposition to the preliminary reconfiguration of Supervisorial District 8 from the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District.

Sincerely,

--
Stephen Torres
Executive Co-Chair
Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
San Francisco, California
415.489.8761
pronouns: he, him, his

Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94122

March 14, 2022

Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
1800 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94110

Dear Task Force Members,

This letter is to address the recently released preliminary map of the new district lines, which shift the boundary between Districts 5 and 8 south to Market Street and shifts district boundaries in both the west and to the south. The Castro LGBTQ Cultural District strongly opposes the shifting of the northern boundary southward to Market Street. The new district lines are a complete erasure of the Duboce Triangle neighborhood as a culturally and historically cohesive part of the Castro. Many members of the LGBTQ community that call the Castro home reside in the Duboce Triangle. Many historic and culturally significant businesses and sites are in this area as well. Indeed, the late Supervisor Harvey Milk lived on Henry Street when he was assassinated along with the late Mayor George Moscone in 1978.

Accurate representation of the districts was a major reason for leadership reflective of those communities in the 1977 election of supervisors like the late Ella Hill Hutch, Gordon Lau, Roberto Gonzales and others and that representation is all the more imperative now. In a time when decades of progress due to LGBTQ activism are being rolled back, with laws like Florida's "Don't Say Gay" bill set to harm and traumatize another generation of queer youth, it is all the more disheartening to face potential erasure and silencing of voters who have historically been an integral part of the Castro community. We agree with District 8 Supervisor Rafael Mandelman that this will shift the district in a decidedly more conservative, affluent and white direction.

Furthermore, the preliminary map released by the Task Force disregards the boundaries of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District and is likely to hamper the Cultural District's ability to advocate and properly represent community interests and preserve important LGBTQ cultural landmarks and spaces if District 8 is fragmented as proposed.

The District urges the Task Force to reconsider the preliminary map released and that District 8 be redrawn to include the Duboce Triangle neighborhood as an indispensable part of the greater Castro community and to preserve intact within one District, the boundaries of the Cultural District.

Sincerely,
Stephen Torres, Executive Co-Chair
Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
Cc: Corey Fusco, Executive Co-Chair
Jesse Oliver Sanford, Land Use Chair
Tina Valentín Aguirre, District Manager

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	peter abraham; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: "17th-22nd and Potrero - Kansas" must remain in D10 as part of larger communities of interest because
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:22 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: peter abraham <peter_abraham@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 1:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: "17th-22nd and Potrero - Kansas" must remain in D10 as part of larger communities of interest because

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello….As long time resident of Potrero Hill, I am very concerned and upset.

- (A) Is in the Potrero Hill Neighborhood and is served by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association
- (B) Contains NW Potrero which is part of and depends on the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

NW Potrero Hill needs to be identified as part of (A) and (B) which is a larger community of interest and needs to be in the same supervisorial district.

NW Potrero Hill and Dogpatch communities address neighborhood issues because freeways divide the neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Peter Abraham
711 San Bruno Ave. (since 1994)

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Vivian Anthony; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: District Six
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:15 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Vivian Anthony <vivian.anth@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 12:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District Six

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

When redrawing the map for District 6, PLEASE keep intact the developing neighborhoods of Mission Bay, South Beach, and Rincon Hill. My husband and I have lived in South Beach for 16 years and are active participants here. Our neighborhood has great affinity with both Mission Bay and with Rincon Hill, and we feel strongly that since we share many of the same challenges, we can best solve them by working together.

Again, please do NOT break up these three neighborhoods, as we stand together!

Thank you,

Vivian Anthony
88 King Street
San Francisco, CA 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Marianne Horine; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep Potrero Hill District 10 together
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:28:07 PM
Attachments: image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marianne Horine <marneh@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 12:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero Hill District 10 together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

The first re-districting map puts our neighborhood in D9, not D10. This removes us from the rest of Potrero Hill and Dogpatch. This draft design is ignoring some facts:

1. The Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill GBD is a Benefit District community of Interest and should all be in the same district. Don't put part of it in FD9.
2. The projects, Potrero Gateway, Fallen Bridge and Benches Garden and and Plaza are the work of a community of interest and should be in Potrero Hill
3. Our neighborhood is part of the Potrero Hill neighborhood and needs to remain in the same district as the rest of Potrero Hill.

If the green line were the border of D10 that would correct that problem and we would not be in D9



Kind regards,

Marianne Horine

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Mary Ann Godwin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: STOP redistricting in POTRERO HILL
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:27:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Ann Godwin <maryann.godwin@zs.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 12:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: STOP redistricting in POTRERO HILL

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. **So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.**

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

PLEASE KEEP OUR NEIGHBORHOOD TOGETHER! The issues and challenges we face are far more in common than tying us to the Mission which we are separated from by 101.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Godwin
Potrero Hill resident since 2007
San Francisco resident since 1996

MaryAnn Godwin
Principal
ZS Associates
M | +1 415 235 8757
www.zs.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ed Schummer; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:27:42 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed Schummer <ed.schummer@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 11:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Ed Schummer +3
791 San Bruno

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Victoria Offenhartz; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Please Consider Revising the District Map
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:27:29 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Victoria Offenhartz <victoriapoffenhartz@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:21 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Consider Revising the District Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Hi -

I am a longtime homeowner on Potrero Hill - specifically, at 611 San Bruno - between 18th/19th Streets. I've lived here since 2003 and my husband has lived at the address since 1996 when he bought our home. We have seen the community change many times over at this point and still love the diversity and community pride throughout Potrero Hill but also in Dogpatch, Bayview and Hunters Point.

My commitment to District 10 has been extensive from working with the school district to save Daniel Webster Elementary School where both my kids attended (2011 - 2019) to being a marketing consultant for several small businesses in the district as well as, actively supporting community events, history nights, festivals, cleanups and fundraisers.

The redistricting that will potentially cut our block out of 10 will also cut out a lot of efforts that are actively taking place with the Green Benefit District. My immediate neighbors (and me) have worked so hard with the entire district to green areas that were once abandoned & filled with needles and garbage to now little oasis that are beautiful & safe. Cutting out our area and placing it in 9 is inconsiderate of these efforts and will most likely be more challenging for our spaces to continue to thrive.

It is my understanding that keeping the lines to include the homes up to Potrero Street are still within a districting threshold so I ask you to please consider keeping our blocks in District 10.

Thank you -

Victoria Offenhartz
611 San Bruno Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94107
1-415-203-8571

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Alfred Sodini; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Anza Vista Should Remain in District 2
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:27:23 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alfred Sodini <ducha931@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 10:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Anza Vista Should Remain in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

My name is Al Sodini and I've lived in District 2 my whole life growing up on the last block of Chestnut Street in the Marina by the Presidio. I moved to Anza Vista in 1956 and am President of our Neighborhood Association.

I spoke at your February 26th Task Force meeting in support of Anza Vista remaining in District 2 and also monitored your District1/District 2 Task Force meeting on March 11th. I wasn't able to pull up the Community Unity Map referred to in the March 11th meeting but I was nonetheless surprised that there was such a strong push in the meeting to not only transition Jordan Park and Laurel Heights into District 1 but, apparently, Anza Vista as well and, by extension, the City Center Mall. I think that would be a mistake.

Neighborhood Associations in District 2 including those in Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Pacific Heights and Cow Hollow have common interests and we work extremely close together. Breaking them up would destroy the synergies and comradery that have taken years to develop. **Moreover, it's imperative that both Anza Vista and the City Center Mall remain in District 2 so that the long history of working hand-in-glove with District 2 Supervisors over the years can continue.**

As far back as I can remember, each District 2 Supervisor has taken a special interest in the Mall and developed personal relationships with Mall management. We, in turn, are their "Go To" neighborhood association and they periodically fly out here from New York specifically because they value our review and input on their plans and future visions for the Mall. I can say with certainty that we have had an impact on their final decisions. **The three of us: Mall Management, our Association and, in particular, the District 2 Supervisors are a team and shouldn't be broken up.**

On a more personal level and based on feedback I've received from our membership, the vast majority of our residents strongly prefer to remain in District 2. Like myself, they predominately shop at the City Center Mall, Trader Joe's on Masonic Ave, Laurel Village and very often Safeway in the Marina. Many of our residents are members and exercise at the Jewish Community Center at the corner of California and Presidio Avenue.

They walk their dogs at Alta Plaza Park on Jackson Street and in the Presidio. A contingent of our members frequents Chrissy Field almost every day and at least two seniors I'm aware of get their exercise by climbing the Presidio Heights hill and then continuing into the Marina and back.

We're a small five square block neighborhood that sits on a hill. We have no retail or other services and the only reason anyone passes through is normally just to get to the City Center Mall or Kaiser Hospital. Nobody stops to visit. We just happen to be a bump along their way.

I thought one of the comments in the March 11th meeting from a District 1 resident was most appropriate. He said he couldn't understand why District 1 boundaries had to move so far east and specifically mentioned that he had no idea who the Anza Vista residents were.

I think that said it all. Moving us into any other district would basically just be shoving us in

where we never belonged in the first place.

Please look favorably on Anza Vista’s request to remain in District 2.

Thank you.

Al Sodini

415 290-9937

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Cesar Martinez; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 3:27:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cesar Martinez <cesar.martinez@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force, as a 15 year resident of Potrero Hill, the relationships and community we have built do not belong in a new district. We have a strong community and the redistricting proposal is clearly not taking into consideration the human and community aspects.

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
--
Cesar A. Martinez
Tel: +1 (415) 746-0987
cesar.martinez@gmail.com

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kcremo@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:29:41 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kristen Cremonese <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 9:07 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Kristen Cremonese

Email	kcremo@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	regina sneed; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Community unity redistricting map
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 9:29:30 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: regina sneed <reginasneed@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 7:20 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community unity redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

I have endorsed the community unity redistricting map because it preserves the communities of interest for multiple communities including mine in my district and across the city.

I support the district five map included in the plan because it preserves Japantown and seniors. I live in a senior residence. There is a concentration of seniors living in the District and many are low income and POC. It's important fir seniors to have some voting power too.

Splitting Japantown would destroy a long standing community of interest that has been subjected to past injustices going back to World War II.

Please listen to this representative coalition of organizations and individuals who have the communities pulse.

Thank you,

Regina Sneed

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Forest Knolls
Cc:	Forest Knolls
Subject:	FW: Forest Knolls transmittal supporting CSFN Redistricting Proposal
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 9:29:19 AM
Attachments:	Forest Knolls transmittal supporting CSFN Redistricting Proposal.msg image001.png

---

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Forest Knolls <forestknolls@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:08 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Forest Knolls <forestknolls@comcast.net>

Subject: Forest Knolls transmittal supporting CSFN Redistricting Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FOREST KNOLLS

Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization
P O Box 31387
San Francisco, CA 94131-0387
www.forestknolls.org

Redistricting Task Force
City Hall - 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Endorsement of Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Proposal

Task Force Members,

The Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization speaks on behalf of the residents of Forest Knolls, a neighborhood and "community of interest" comprised of more than four hundred homes built in the early 1960's on the west side of Twin Peaks, situated between the UCSF Parnassus campus and Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center.

Forest Knolls urges you to preserve our community of interest, specifically District 7 as we presently know it. We are a group of racially diverse people sharing common social and economic interests that are understood geographically by our neighborhoods west of Twin Peaks Boulevard to the Pacific Ocean, the natural boundaries.

The neighborhood of Forest Knolls is within District 7 and shares social, historical, and geographical features with surrounding neighborhoods. Further it is identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, similar housing types, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics.

District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact, and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district. It is best illustrated within the map supported by forty-two (42) separate and distinct neighborhood organizations from throughout the city, members of both the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods CSFN and the West of Twin Peaks Central Council WTPCC – all seeking to meet the population criteria of the redistricting process without destroying our community of interest.

Please support keeping District 7 together as represented by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Proposal recently submitted to the Redistricting Task Force.

Thank you,

Walter Caplan

for the Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Proposal



Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Proposal
District 7



From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Aashish Manglik; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 9:28:55 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aashish Manglik <amanglik@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Aashish Manglik


MISSION BAY
Central Basin
Mariposa St
POTRERO HILL
Potrero District
DOGPATCH
Potrero Point
22nd St
CENTRAL WATERFRONT
10A
23rd St
Rhode Island St
Kansas St
Indiana St
3rd St
Bryant St

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Marion Gillen; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill redistricting
Date:	Monday, March 14, 2022 9:28:48 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Marion Gillen <mariongillen@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Committee,

I am writing to you to express my dismay upon hearing the plans to move my neighborhood plus the Showplace Square from D10 to D9. We, Linda Edelstein and Marion Gillen, live on San Bruno Ave between 18th and 19th Streets. We have lived here since 1979, first as renters, then as home owners. We have never identified as anything but Potrero Hill residents. We have lived here from the time when there were very few stores to a time where we don't have to leave Potrero Hill to get most of our shopping done. We are committed to our local businesses. In fact, if we want to shop in D9, we literally have to drive out of Potrero Hill to get to D9 because of the dead-end nature of our street.

I think that it is critical that the area of 17th - 22nd Streets, from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, remain in D10 because this area is a vital part of the Potrero and Dogpatch neighborhoods. Our area is served by the Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association, and we also depend on the Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District. My partner has adopted three drains on our street and is regularly involved in our neighborhood clean-up activities. Her involvement in these activities would not have been possible without the encouragement of our neighborhood groups. I am disabled so support my neighborhood in other ways.

I really can see no benefit to combining NW Potrero with D9. We love the Mission District and are happy to traverse that neighborhood to access Potrero Hill. But for more than 40 years, we have identified as being part of Potrero Hill. It would be seriously disruptive to all of our neighbors if the redistricting plan were to be adopted. Further, I can see no value added benefits to this transition.

Thank you very much for your consideration of my comments and those of all of our neighbors who will be adversely affected by the proposed transition.

Sincerely,

Marion Gillen

Sent from my iPad

From:	Carroll, John (BOS)
To:	Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MrGadget; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: From AllenN@Sat 03/12/2022: Who redistricted my neighborhood & other sections?
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:32:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 10:34 PM
To: MrGadget <aiptech@zoho.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: From AllenN@Sat 03/12/2022: Who redistricted my neighborhood & other sections?

Looping in John Carroll who will convey this message to the Redistricting Task Force.
Aaron

From: MrGadget <aiptech@zoho.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 10:01:30 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; aiptech <aiptech@zoho.com>
Subject: From AllenN@Sat 03/12/2022: Who redistricted my neighborhood & other sections?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

03/12/2022
Hi Spvsr Peskin,
What nut job came up with this redistricting or gerrymandering plan? Japantown moving to Chinatown's District 3? Japantown will be wiped out & so will the community!
I'm in District 5 near UCSF but it seems am being relocated…
Unable to attend the TaskForce meeting on Monday(Rm244), it's my birthday. However, just wanted to give my two cents worth.
BTW, you're one of the few supervisors who has served our city well(my opinion).
Thank you,
AllenN

Mobilis in Mobili
Stand Up to Cancer
https://url.avanan.click/v2/___http://su2c.org___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo2NWM2MDRjNDIzMTk0Mjg2NjgxMzA4N2I5MDQ0MDg3OTo1OjY2OGM6MjliMWZiNzA1YTVkM2YyMzhjMjY3OWQyZTZhYmQ5YjI4ODdhNWRkZTc0MWQzZWU3NTBlZGUyNzc5ZDQzYTViMjp0Ok4

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Kelly Moran; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Task Force - Oppose draft map strongly - South Beach divided
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:30:07 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kelly Moran <moran@sonic.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 7:52 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force - Oppose draft map strongly - South Beach divided

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,
The draft map is completely unacceptable to my neighborhood. It divides the new development area where I live into three separate districts!

We are one single community of strong joint interest. Our community of interest was omitted from the community of interest map. Our neighborhood of new residential towers extends from Market Street down the shoreline to Mission Bay. This area should be represented by a single supervisor.

We have much more in common with SOMA and the rest of our South Beach area than we do with Hunter's Point/Bayview, the majority of the district drawn to include my home.

Hunter's Point/Bayview has a lot of needs and deserves a strong voice of its own on the Board; this should not be diluted by the different voices and interests of the residential towers neighborhoods.

Thank you for your service to our city. We appreciate the opportunity to provide input to help you get these districts right, as our supervisors need to have the right neighborhood focus to serve our city well.

Best regards,

Kelly Moran
239 Brannan Street #4A

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jim Van Buskirk; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:30:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jim Van Buskirk <jimvanbuskirk415@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 7:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Having lived in three locations on Potrero Hill over the past forty years, I have seen lots of changes.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Jim Van Buskirk
763 San Bruno Avenue (renter for over two decades)

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susanna Upton; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Potrero whole
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:49 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susanna Upton <susanna.upton@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 7:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Potrero whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Add back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population to the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.



From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Stephanie Pavone; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:38 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Stephanie Pavone <stephanie.pavone@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 6:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Stephanie pavone

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	gavin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: gavin <gavingmurphy@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 6:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

I know you have a tough task, I get that.
But please reconsider the removal of Showplace Square and surrounding area from the rest of Potrero. That area (it's location and architecture) are emblematic of what Potrero looks and feels like.

I am of the understanding that adding back would not violate the population requirements for the district.

Sincerely,

Gavin Murphy
237 Texas Street
Potrero Hill, California 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	ydigdigan; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep Our Community: Potrero Hill-Dogpatch Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:24 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yuhum Digdigan <ydigdigan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 5:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Our Community: Potrero Hill-Dogpatch Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I have lived in Potrero Hill since before the Loma Prieta earthquake in 1989. No amount of earth-shattering event could scare me about ever abandoning my piece of San Francisco we lovingly call "The Hill". It has a rich history, a melting pot of all kinds of residents from very diverse ethnic, racial, economic, educational backgrounds. Together with Showplace Square and Dogpatch, the Hill historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a **Community of Interest**.
We now have been informed that there is a draft redistricting map severely gerrymandering the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Upon hearing this information, what immediately came to mind was the past action by the members of the SF Board of Education where in the midst of the Covid pandemic and confronting the issues of educating the children of SF, they chose to put their priorities and energy into changing the names of several schools, an action that led to their demise (recall) at a special election this past February 15th.

It is of interest to know that adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in adding only 1.9% more population in the district. As well, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods **TOGETHER**. Please leave northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square where it is and always has been - in **District 10**. It is important to our community psyche, unity, sense of identity, among many reasons. Do **NOT** split the community. Let us put our time, energy, and money to more pressing issues that plague our neighborhood and our City – crimes, homelessness, drug trafficking/drug use.
Do not create a problem where there is none, **UNITE** us not divide us. There is already too much divisiveness and hatred in our City and in America.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Yuhum Digdigan
700 San Bruno Avenue, Potrero Hill

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Asim Siddiqui; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill not part of Potrero Hill
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:16 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Asim Siddiqui <asimbiofx@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 5:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill not part of Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Hi,

I'm a resident of 20th and San Bruno Ave, the NW corner of Potrero Hill.
The latest maps place us with the Mission District, but this location is clearly Potrero Hill.

The first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

Best Regards.

Asim Siddiqui
resident of Potrero Hill

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Calvin Welch
Cc:	Tse, John (BOS); Shiu, Billy (BOS)
Subject:	FW: COI statement on the community of interest in maintain the eastern portion of GGP in D5
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:29:10 PM
Attachments:	D5 and the Community of Interest in the eastern portion of Golden Gate Park.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Calvin Welch <welchsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 4:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: COI statement on the community of interest in maintain the eastern portion of GGP in D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

attached is the statement. please distribute to the Task Force members.

calvin welch

D5 and the Community of Interest in the Eastern Portion of Golden Gate Park

Calvin Welch, member Kezar Advisory Committee*

In the 2012 redistricting boundary adjustments , the then Task Force, at the request of community residents, added the eastern portion of Golden Gate Park to D5. We would request that that line be maintained in the new D5 boundary.

The eastern portion of Golden Gate Park contain the largest year round attractions of the entire park: the Conservatory of Flowers, Kezar Pavilion and Stadium, the Children's Playground and Carrousel, Sharon Arts Center, the Tennis Center, the National AIDS Memorial Grove, the DeYoung Museum, the Academy of Sciences, the Japanese Tea Garden, the Botanical Garden and the County Fair Building. While Bay to Breakers and Hardly Strictly certainly draw huge crowds they do so for a limited period each year. The crowds drawn to the permanent facilities in the eastern portion of the Park are a fact of life for the Haight-Ashbury and Inner Sunset residents and businesses. The constant flow of visitors to these facilities requires objective review and oversight if residents and businesses are to benefit , something the Recreation and Parks Department often fails to provide because of either indifference or actual conflict of interest in its desire to maximize revenue from facilities. It is of great utility to have a Supervisor who district specially include the eastern portion of the Park and is not subject to either this indifference or conflict.

The first draft map of the Task Force put all of Golden Gate Park in D1, as was the case before 2012. Since there is no population involved with that boundary change, it is unclear why that decision was made. Golden Gate Park is one of the largest open spaces in any city in the nation. There is no reason that a single Supervisor should be empowered to oversee it. Indeed, it might make great sense to include the south western portion of the Park in D4, giving three Supervisors reason to pat attention to it.

Certainly the eastern portion of the Park should remain in the same District as the two most impacted neighborhoods -the Haight-Ashbury and the Inner Sunset - directly affected by the large and well used institutions located next door to them.

* for identification purposes only

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Alyse Manglik; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:54 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alyse Manglik <amtalbot@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 2:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've no doubt received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

I reviewed the proposed redistricting maps and wanted to voice my concern in particular over the small section of Potrero Hill (Vermont street from 17th to 20th street) carved out and moved from district 10 to district 9. This is isolating a few contiguous blocks within a recognized neighborhood community and arbitrarily reassigning it to a totally separate neighborhood. Even in your interactive mapping tool, this very odd proposed redistricting line clearly cuts through this portion of the Potrero Dogpatch Community of Interest.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.



Sincerely,

Alyse Manglik

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Dominic Martello; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:48 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dominic Martello <dmartellophoto@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Dominic Martello
752 San Bruno Ave
SF 94107

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Ralph Migdal; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:37 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ralph Migdal <ralph.migdal.consultant@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 1:59 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map taking out a three block section of San Bruno Avenue as well as Vermont Street in the northwest part of Potrero Hill and placing us in the Mission District.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding less than 2% more population in the district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill back to our district—District 10.

Sincerely,

Ralph Migdal
727 San Bruno Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94107
650-759-3561

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Steven Solomon; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keeping the Potrero Hill Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:31 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steven Solomon <wiseguy908@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 1:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keeping the Potrero Hill Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered me and the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

I moved to San Bruno Avenue, across from the Potrero Hill Community Garden because for several years I wanted to live in the Potrero Hill community, actually ON THE HILL. That is the community that I identify with, socialize with, and spend most of my time. I'm a member of the Potrero Boosters and do volunteer activities on the hill. Nobody considers our corner of Potrero Hill to be the Mission District. I understand that the lines have to be drawn somewhere, but it makes much more sense to use Potrero Avenue as the dividing line. Highway 101would seem to be a logical dividing line, except that Utah Street identifies as Potrero Hill and contains the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefits District (GBD) which is an integral part of our Potrero-Dogpatch community.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Steven Solomon
727 San Bruno Ave
POTRERO HILL

Sent from Outlook

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	heather Villaseñor; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:25 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: heather Villaseñor <heather@415clothing.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2022 11:23 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Honorable Members of the Redistricting Task Force:
I am shocked and saddened to see what this board is proposing to do to the district I have lived in for 26 years. I am a homeowner here in the most wonderful district in this city, Potrero Hill. I am shocked by the ridiculousness of this inane proposal, to split our wonderful neighborhood. THIS IS POTERO HILL, you cannot just create a ridiculous imaginary line and put us into the Mission District.

I would like to know how my community interest will be met? We voted to increase our property taxes to support The Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill GBD our Benefit District community of Interest. Does this mean you are kicking us out of our beloved group that WE PAY TAX DOLLARS FOR????? Are you taking all of that money away from our beloved community interest group, by not deducting that from our future property tax? Again I will reiterate, this is a INANE proposal!!

What about our projects that we have completed? The projects, Potrero Gateway, Fallen Bridge and Benches Garden and and Plaza are the work of a community of interest and should remain in Potrero Hill District!

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district!!!!
Sincerely,
Heather Villasenor
19th Street Potrero Hill

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Gordon Mei; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Proposed Redistricting Boundary Splits My Community
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:16 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gordon Mei <gordeon@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 10:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Redistricting Boundary Splits My Community

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Hi SF Redistricting Task Force,

I'm a resident in the East Cut neighborhood, and just saw a proposed redistricting map that cuts my neighborhood unnaturally in half.

We and our neighbors are in District 6, and from what I can tell in the proposal, our neighborhood would be split along Folsom St with District 3 on one side, and District 6 on the other.

For reference:
https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-03/2022.03.14_RTF_Packet_Agenda_Item_No.%202_2_0.pdf

These boundaries appear to disregard this community and its input. What is the best way to escalate these concerns?

Thanks,
Gordon

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	David Henderson; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 9
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:08 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: David Henderson <davidduaneh@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 9:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 9

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just saw the first draft. I like the plan for district 9. Putting the upper part of Vis Valley with Portola makes a lot of sense to me. They share many of the same streets and the same grid.

Sent from my iPad

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Garth Spiller; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:28:01 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Garth Spiller <garthspiller@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 8:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force, You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district. I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely, Garth Spiller

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Calvin Welch
Cc:	Tse, John (BOS); Shiu, Billy (BOS)
Subject:	FW: two COI statements for the Task Force
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:51 PM
Attachments:	Community of Interest of D5 of Residents in Maintaining Price Controlled Housing.docx District 5 Core Neighborhoods Have Formed an effective Community of Interest in Public Transit.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Calvin Welch <welchsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 8:01 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: two COI statements for the Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

attached please find two COI statements in reference to D5 for members iof the task force. please circulate.

calvin welch

Community of Interest of D5 of Residents in Maintaining "Price Controlled" Housing

Calvin Welch, Housing and Land Use Member, Board of the Haight Ashbury Council

While folks are familiar with rent controlled apartments many are unaware that San Francisco has other forms of housing that are under various "price controls". Estimates indicate that in D5 perhaps a simple majority of the housing units in the district are subject to various forms of "price controls".

City wide , in 2015 some 63% of the total housing inventory was, in one form or another, "price controlled, some 242,000 of the 382,000 housing units in the City have price controls: 174,000 rent controlled units, 10,000 units receiving Section 8 vouchers, 6,000 public housing units, 31,000 permanently affordable non-profit units 3,000 Below Market Rate Units and 19,000 Single room occupancy (SRO) units.

Some 80% of all multi-unit apartment buildings are rent controlled and in 2020 D5 had approximately 20,000 units in multi unit apartment buildings of its total of 49,000 almost all built before 1970 and thus covered by rent control. In addition there are some 3,600 units in the former redevelopment areas of the Western Addition all of which have restricted rents. There are approximately 2,500 permanently affordable housing units in D5 all of which are price controlled.. There are some 1,100 public housing units in D5 and about an equal number of BMR's and Section 8, combined. Thus nearly 60% of the housing in D5 is under either federal or local price restrictions.

In drafting new district lines areas with purely market rate housing, such as the HUB (the area currently in D6 at Van Ness and Market) , which has high rise, high density market rate housing in which the developers were allowed to "fee out", that is, provide no on site below market rate units, would not be in the interest of the current residents of D5.

District 5 Core Neighborhoods Have Formed an effective Community of Interest in Public Transit

Calvin Welch, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council Housing and Land Use Board Member

Since the 1996 vote for district elections which created District 5 the five core neighborhoods which are totally within its boundary- the Inner Sunset, the Haight Ashbury, Japan Town, Alamo Square and Hayes Valley- form viable "community of interest" in their dependence on public transit.

There are 14 bus, trolley and rail lines that serve District 5: 5,6,7,21, 22,24,31,33,37,38,43,49,66 and the N line. They nit the core neighborhoods together both east to west and north to south in the most dense public transit network in the City. They link the core neighborhoods to other neighborhoods and to the central business district. District 5 has amongst the lowest car ownership in the City because of this premier public transit system which serves our district. 8 lines-5,6,7,21,22,24,31and the 38- serve the transit dependent Western Addition meeting the needs of low income and elderly riders. Indeed, the 6 and the 21 are identified by MUNI as "routes heavily used by seniors and people with disabilities" and are in the top 15 lines serving that population carrying a combined 14,000 folks a day. The 6,66,7,21 and 38 routes serve the three main hospitals in the district- Kaiser, UCSF and St.Mary's- bringing both workers and patients from every neighborhood in the City to those major service providers and employers in our district.

Such transit service does not happen automatically, but instead require regular advocacy on the part of D5 residents. Twice in the last 10 years SFMTA has proposed cuts in transit service of one or more of these lines. D5 residents have had to ban together and collectively advocate for the continuation of this service. Both times D5 residents have prevailed and transit cuts were reduced or eliminated. Both times the Supervisor from D5 was hard at work saving transit service because of the pressure mounted by our residents. With the core neighborhoods composed of both wealthy and poor this combined action has had both reach and impact and created a truly effective "community of interest" in preserving robust public transit service to the entire district which argues for keeping these core neighborhoods together in a single district.

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Douglas Hanlin; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting task force map
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:33 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Douglas Hanlin <dougsfca@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 7:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting task force map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

As a resident of the Rincon Hill area, I would like to express my extreme disappointment with the proposed redistricting map for our area. You have indicated that a goal is to keep together communities of interest as well as Community Benefit Districts which this plan clearly does not in our neighborhood, splitting the East Cut Community Benefit District into two separate districts. This is unacceptable and needs to be changed.

Thank you,
Douglas Hanlin
403 Main Street Apt 307N
San Francisco, CA

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: laurajofagan@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:27 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laura Fagan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 4:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Laura Fagan

Email	laurajofagan@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Dubbin, Leslie (UCSF); REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill proposed redistricting map
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dubbin, Leslie <Leslie.Dubbin@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 3:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill proposed redistricting map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

I have lived at 700 San Bruno for over 20 years and find it unconscionable the proposal to summarily cut out the NW part of Potrero Hill and make it part of the Mission. We have always been part of PH and active in the community here. What makes more sense is to create the boundary using Potrero Avenue: East of Potrero is Potrero Hill. West of Potrero is the Mission. For the North/south boundary from Division use 21st and that way SFGH and the community surrounding the hospital can stay in the Mission!
BUT LEAVE NW POTRERO HILL ALONE!!
Leslie Dubbin, PhD
700 San Bruno Ave
San Francisco 94107
415-271-0249
Get Outlook for iOS

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sandra Cate; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Proposed split of Potrero Hill neighborhood
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:12 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Sandra Cate <slcate1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 3:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed split of Potrero Hill neighborhood

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Folk,
Regarding the proposed redistricting map, we strongly urge you to restore the 16th-22nd Street/ Potrero-Kansas area to District 10, Potrero Hill. We have lived on Potrero Hill (19th and San Bruno) for nearly 40 years and identify very closely with our neighbors and businesses on this hill. This part of the area is very much “the neighborhood” of Potrero Hill and LITERALLY is on the hill. We do not wish to become a section of the Mission, down in the valley.

Thank you.
Sandra Cate

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Jennifer Thomas; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:27:04 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Thomas <thomas.jennifer.p@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 2:48 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

I've lived on Potrero Hill for 19 years. I love the Mission, and have worked at SFGH for 30 years, but that is not the neighborhood I live in. I know you've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest in a single district.

It's clear on your map that you've carved out pieces that make no sense in terms of our existing community and terrain. I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

-Jennifer Thomas (612 1/2 San Bruno Ave, between 18th and 19th)

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Libby Morris; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 9 re-districting proposal
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:56 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Libby Morris <libbymorrispersonal@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 1:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 9 re-districting proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Hello
I'd like to contribute a public comment to the proposal to re-district my neighborhood, of Saint Mary's Park, to become part of district 11. We are currently district 9, together with the larger Bernal Heights area, and I would like very much that we stay within district 9.

I have lived here for >10 years in this neighborhood as part of district 9, my son has been raised here, and we feel a very strong affinity to the Bernal Heights neighborhood. The high street - Cortland Avenue - is easy walking distance and has always been a central part of our weekend and often evenings. My son attends martial arts classes on that high street, the grocery store there is a frequent source of shopping, we make it to the Bernal Heights library at least once a week and we support the other local stores regularly. The nearby park - Holly Park - is less than a third of a mile and has been a central point for the playground, birthday parties in the benched areas, and of course the ballpark. Conversely, the amenities in district 11 are much farther away.

Also, very importantly Saint Mary's Park area is contiguous to Bernal Heights and as such pedestrians and families walk freely between the neighborhoods. This cannot be said for district 11 neighborhoods, which are separated from us by a daunting freeway, and whose cultural centers are significantly further. after passing through miles of residential areas.

Therefore, re-districting us would mean we no longer be part of the neighborhood we are contiguously & historically joined to, and would be isolated. Separating communities cannot be the purpose of re-districting. Please keep my neighborhood in district 9.

--

warmly,

Elizabeth Morris
244 Murray Street, 94112,

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Linda Edelstein; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 10/9
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:45 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Edelstein <lfedelstein@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 1:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10/9

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We live on San Bruno Ave between 18th and 19th streets. We are very involved in the Potrero hill/ Dogpatch neighborhood groups. The Green Benefit District represents us and has done an excellent job across our district of pulling the area into into a cohesive neighborhood. E have lived there since 1979 and are deeply tied to this community. To get to the mission district we have to drive out of Potrero Hill as there is no direct access to The Mission. We request that the Districts 10/9 line be at Potrero Ave and let us stay in the district we are strongly a part of.

Thanks so much, Linda

Linda Edelstein
415-845-6815

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Marion Parr; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch community TOGETHER
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:37 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marion Parr <marion@parrcarr.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 1:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch community TOGETHER

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Marion Parr

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Haven Daley; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Potrero Hill residents concerned with redistricting
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:30 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Haven Daley <havendaley@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 12:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Hill residents concerned with redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My wife & I have lived on Potrero Hill since we bought our home here at 785 San Bruno Avenue more than a decade ago.
We love our community and our neighbors.
We were upset to learn, as part of the proposed redistricting plan, our street would move from District 10 and into District 9.
I think the logical border should continue to be Highway 101.
We do not want to be separated from our neighbors and the rest of Potrero Hill just a block away.
We feel our voice will be lost and ignored if we're included in the Mission.
Keeping Potrero Hill whole would still keep District 10's population within the 5% deviation allowed by law.
We ask that you please reconsider the proposed new boundaries and keep San Bruno Avenue with the rest of Potrero Hill where it belongs.

Thank you,
Haven & Diana Daley

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	beth daecher; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: in FAVOR of new mapping district 8
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:21 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: beth daecher <bdaecher@pacbell.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 11:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: in FAVOR of new mapping district 8

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello, I currently live in District 8 and I am voicing full support of the new district map that was published this week.

thank you
Beth Daecher
District 8 homeowner

beth daecher
bdaecher@pacbell.net

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	paul kos; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:13 PM
Attachments:	image002.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: paul kos <paulkos.art@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 11:24 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Fallen Bridge Park is and has been an integral part of Potereo Hill. There is walkway over the freeway used since the freeway was installed and the park itself on Utah Street, transferred to SF Park and Rec by Cal Trans many years ago has a petanque court used by many locals and a dog walking area likewise used by residents of Utah Street. This park was put in using a local architect pro bono, funds raised by Utah Street resident,Jean Bogiages, paid for the retaining wall, and the encircling stone facet extending down 18th Street.

1. The Dogpatch and NW Potrero Hill GBD is a Benefit District community of Interest and should all be in the same district. Don't put part of it in FD9.
2. The projects, Potrero Gateway, Fallen Bridge and Benches Garden and and Plaza are the work of a community of interest and should be in Potrero Hill
3. Our neighborhood is part of the Potrero Hill neighborhood and needs to remain in the same district as the rest of Potrero Hill.

Sincerely,

Paul Kos
590 Utah Street, #102
San Francisco, CA



From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Torben Torp-Smith; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:26:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Torben Torp-Smith <ttorpsmith@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 10:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

TorbenTorp-Smith and Elizabeth Dodd

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	T W; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District redrawing map
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:57 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: T W <togawa@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 8:38 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District redrawing map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Hello

I live in diamond heights and support the redrawing of boundary 8a, which combines diamond heights, twin peaks, Noe valley, and glen park. These neighborhoods are connected by glen canyon, and roughly contained within major transportation arteries of Portola, Oshaunessy, and 280.

I also understand and support that one outcome is Supervisor Mandelman will no longer have a district.

Thank you,

Tina Will
151 Turquoise Way 94131

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sandy Mori; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting map for D5
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:44 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Sandy Mori <sandymori118@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 12, 2022 7:12 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting map for D5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Tô members of RDTF: please keep Japantown within D5 together with the Fillmore and Western Addition. For decades, Japantown and the Fillmore neighborhoods have supported each other in areas of business, festivals, and community organizing. Thank you for your hard work on this Task Force! Warm regards, Jeff and Sandy Mori

Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Sara Ferree; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Redistricting Visitacion Valley
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:34 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Sara Ferree <saraferree@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Visitacion Valley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

In reviewing your recent map, I'm disappointed to see the proposal for Visitacion Valley to be split into three districts. We are a small neighborhood which already lacks sufficient resources and attention from the City. This would increase the likelihood of being a lesser priority to other high need areas within each of those three districts. We have worked toward being a cohesive neighborhood with one voice and this would make it more challenging to undertake unified advocacy and receive coordinated response.

Sara Ferree
Tucker Ave
94134

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Tom Hubbard; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Question for the Task Force
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:25 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tom Hubbard <tjhsfbay@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 5:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Question for the Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello SF Redistricting Task Force,

I am a resident of San Francisco, District 5. I am very interested in the redistricting process and I have learned quite a bit about the requirements, the nine-member task force, the April 15 deadline to submit the final map. I am eager to understand more about the decision making process, and I pose these questions:

1. With the nine-member task force, do the task force bylaws require a simple majority vote? Or do the bylaws require a unanimous decision?
2. Does the BOS have any authority to reject the task force's final map?
3. Is it possible a decision may not be agreed upon by April 15?

I am eager to receive a reply, and I thank you for your service to the SF community.

Tom Hubbard
1002 Shrader Street, SF, CA 94117

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	jeff dauber; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: jeff dauber <jdaubersf@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 5:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

Potrero Hill has been treated like a forgotten after thought for too long.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Dauber

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Celeste Goldman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Casey Goldman
Subject:	RE: Restore Potrero Hill
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:09 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Celeste Goldman <celeste.a.goldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 5:26 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>
Subject: Restore Potrero Hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi

I recently bought a home with my husband after living in Potrero Hill for half a decade. We are proud Potrero Hill residents who participate in potlucks and trash clean-ups with our neighbors.

It saddens me that the recent D10/D9 boundary was drawn in such a way that removes us from our community. We have paid into the green district, helped plant new gardens, and now an arbitrary boundary says that we are not part of our D10 group.

I urge you to reconsider the map so that my family and my street can feel included in our neighborhood. Please restore the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10. This would add only 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

Thank you,

Celeste Goldman
celeste.a.goldman@gmail.com | 650.416.9102

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Sietse Goffard
Subject:	FW: Letter to Redistricting Task Force
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:25:02 PM
Attachments:	Letter to SF RTF 3.11.2022.pdf image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sietse Goffard <sietseg@advancingjustice-alc.org>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 5:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter to Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

Please find attached a letter from Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus that aims to provide some context about the legal requirements around redistricting. Thank you all for your time and service on the task force.

Best,
Sietse

--
Sietse Goffard
Senior Voting Rights Coordinator
Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus
+1 (857) 500-2437 | sietseg@advancingjustice-alc.org

March 11, 2022

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

Thank you all for your continued service on the Task Force and your dedication to San Francisco's redistricting process. In recent meetings, we have observed some confusion and questions about the requirements of the federal Voting Rights Act, the U.S. Constitution, and the San Francisco Charter. These can be complicated topics and we appreciate your efforts to get additional guidance from the City Attorney's office and to provide clarity to the public. As the public continues to give input on the maps, it is helpful for them to understand the legal requirements.

We would like to provide some context about the proper consideration of race and ethnicity when drawing districts. First, decision-makers, working with counsel and demographers, must consider race to make sure they are complying with the federal Voting Rights Act ("VRA").[1] The VRA has been integral to expanding and protecting the right to vote in the United States. In certain situations, it requires that majority-minority districts be drawn in order to prevent unlawful dilution of a minority group's votes. While this is a complicated area of law, the basic rule is that the VRA will apply where there is a racial minority group that tends to vote as a bloc, other voters vote against the minority group's preferred candidates, *and* the minority group lives close enough together that they can make up 50% or more of a district's eligible voting population.[2]

There are many places where the Voting Rights Act will not require that a majority-minority district be drawn, because the minority community is too small to make up 50% of a district or because there is no racial bloc voting. In those situations, it is still permissible to consider race and ethnicity, but race cannot be the *predominant* motivation for how a district is drawn.[3]

This means race can be considered along with a holistic analysis of redistricting criteria, including communities of interest. There are many characteristics that bring communities together. For example, there may be neighborhoods with shared racial demographics that also have shared socioeconomic characteristics, shared needs for social services, shared community spaces such as churches and community centers, shared transit lines and job centers, shared history, shared policy concerns such as displacement and gentrification, shared language needs, and much more.

Both the Task Force and the public, when discussing which communities should be put together in a district, can consider race but should go further and identify communities of interest and other redistricting criteria that support the district configuration. We urge the Task Force to give clear instruction to callers that they need to provide information about the interests, policy concerns, and cultural and socioeconomic traits that bring their communities together.

Finally, we have heard numerous members of the public make incorrect statements about the criteria for redistricting in San Francisco, including which criteria carry the most weight. We strongly urge you to create a summary of the redistricting criteria that is plain-language and accessible to the public.

Thank you again for your service and commitment to this process.

Sietse Goffard
Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus

---

[1] 52 U.S.C.A. § 10101 et seq.
[2] 52 U.S.C.A. § 10301; see *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 48-51 (1986).
[3] See *Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections*, 137 S. Ct. 788, 797, 800-801 (2017).

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John Offenhartz; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:23:34 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Offenhartz <johnoffenhartz@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 4:45 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments, and Community of Interest submissions (including my own) explaining why Potrero Hill and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So it was disheartening to see that this was ignored in favor of a (dare I say it) **gerrymandered** cut out of the Showplace Square, parts of the Green Benefit district as well as **the corner of Potrero hill I happen to live it.**

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, (aka **my neighborhood)** results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
John Offenhartz
611 San Bruno Ave, San Francisco, CA 94107

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Hannah Decker; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Cc:	Paul Decker; Deean Decker
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:23:26 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Hannah Decker <hannahmdecker@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 4:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Paul Decker <pauldmw@yahoo.com>; Deean Decker <deean411@gmail.com>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So we were disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district. We urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,
Paul, Deean & Hannah Decker, homeowners of 554 Vermont Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Richard Frisbie
Cc:	Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Christopher Bowman
Subject:	FW: Comments Presented at the D1/D2 Hearing March 11
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:23:14 PM
Attachments:	RDTF D1 D2 Hearing.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Christopher

Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Comments Presented at the D1/D2 Hearing March 11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

See my final comments attached.
Richard Frisbie

REDISTRICTING HEARING

Good afternoon Chairman Townsend and Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I am Richard Frisbie a long-time resident of Laurel Heights and a proud member of District 2. Our neighborhood and its association has a long, rich history of cooperation with our sister neighborhoods in District 2. We have a strong and vibrant Community of Interest with Jordan Park, The Marina, Cow Hollow, Presidio Heights, Pacific Heights and Anza Vista covering shared cultural, social, economic, academic and spiritual values, interests and activities and we would be very displeased with having these severed.

We have worked long and hard with our D2 neighbors on Safety, Affordable Housing and Homelessness and are adamant about the continuation of these relationships.

I am also Vice President of the Laurel Heights Improvement Association and believe that the District 2 plan submitted by the Laurel Heights Improvement Association is sensible, viable, AND, more importantly, doable. It meets both the needs and the spirit of the Redistricting process. **Leave us in D2.**

We strongly endorse the City-wide plan developed by Chris Bowman in collaboration with the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. It is by far the most thoughtful , complete and least

disruptive plan that is posted on your website and I would strongly encourage you to review it in its entirety.

I appreciate the opening comments by Chirman Townsend but let me add that posting a map that apparently means little does us all a disservice.
Study after study are unequivocal that once something is presented or published it has a very powerful impact on perception that can never be erased. Its impossible to get back to a level playing field. That is very unfortunate!
You cannot un-ring that bell no matter how much is said to the contrary.
In closing, Laurel Heights wishes to remain in District 2.
Thank You.
Richard Frisbie

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Genny McAuley Stich; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:22:38 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Genny McAuley Stich <gennymca@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. **Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.**

Sincerely,

Genny McAuley Stich

658 Vermont Street

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: ayfong1@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:22:14 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alison Fong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Alison Fong

Email	ayfong1@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Alexis Proctor; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Japantown boundaries
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:22:04 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alexis Proctor <alexis26proctor@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Japantown boundaries

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Leave the boundaries for Japantown as they were established in 2019. If you don't live in this district, you don't deserve to re-determine boundaries. You know nothing about us. Leave us alone.
Alexis Proctor

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	John Schwenger; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:21:53 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Schwenger <john.schwenger@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force, You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district. Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district. I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

I will also add that dividing up our neighborhood (Potrero Hill) makes no sense. US HYW 101 is a natural divider and we do not have the issues that are on the other side of the freeway nor do we tend to associate with those neighbors. Living on Vermont Street, I am part of the north side of the hill and want to remain that way and a part of District 10. This also serves us well for tackling neighborhood issues when going to City Hall. With this current map, I in district 9 and my fellow neighbors a couple of blocks over in District 10 have to petition two supervisors, two police captains and other services that are divided when the issue affects my neighborhood.

Please rethink what this map and keep us all together as we were.

Thank you,
John Schwenger
536 Vermont Street

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Richard Frisbie
Cc:	Mullan, Andrew (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Comments to the RDTF Regarding D2
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:21:45 PM
Attachments:	COMMENTS TO TASK FORCE FROM LHIA.docx image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:15 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

Subject: Comments to the RDTF Regarding D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Find attached my comments presented at the D1/D2 RDTF Hearing.
Richard Frisbie
VP-Laurel Heights Improvement Association

Chairman Townsend and Members,

I am speaking to you on behalf of the Laurel Heights Improvement Association concerning the preliminary Redistricting Map just posted.

We find this map incredibly disappointing and disrespectful. All the comments of the residents of Laurel Heights to date have been summarily dismissed in their entirety.
The entire concept of Community of Interest is a mockery if this map is to be believed. Laurel Heights is part of D2 and has been since inception; its Community of Interest-social, cultural, economic, spiritual…is a part of D2 and has been since inception-**LEAVE US IN D2.**
It is painfully obvious that your hired consultants have no understanding, no appreciation and no respect for the bonds that exist between Laurel Heights and its D2 neighbors.
But why should they? What do they know about Laurel Heights and its Community of Interest.?

On your website you are clear that it is the Task Force's responsibility to develop a map that meets the requisite number of persons in each district. However, maintaining Community of Interest is the next criteria listed. So, follow your own website or take it down.
Do not try and mislead us.

Your map shifts 145,000 San Franciscans-**approximately 17%** of the City's **TOTAL** population.
YOU DISPLACE ONE IN EVERY SIX SAN FRANCISCANS DISTRICT WISE.
This is unconscionable.
The public has submitted more thoughtful, fair and equitable plans that cause far less disruption, maintain strong Community of Interest and keep neighborhoods together.
It appears that the public submissions have simply been ignored by the Task Force.

You should be embarrassed that the public can generate more thoughtful, fair and equitable plans. One public plan I am familiar with, the CSFN plan, **DISPLACES 1 IN 12 SAN FRANCISCANS VERSUS YOUR 1 IN 6- a 100% DIFFERENCE.**
Hard to comprehend how the Task Force got it so wrong so soon.

I recommend the Task Force roll up your collective sleeves, arm yourselves with pencils or the computer, and then personally put together the map using the consultants to provide you with supporting data etc.

The Redistricting process should **NOT** consist of the Task Force issuing some broad and ambiguous instructions to a group of consultants-all of whom are unelected, unappointed and unaccountable by the way -and then sit back and wait for this total drivel to appear.
I hear your comments that "this is just a first cut, etc." Frankly that excuse sounds more like the splashing of water as Pontius Pilate washes his hands.

Members of the Task Force it is YOUR job to develop the map.
As a famous football coach would say **"Just do your job."**

Respectfully,

Richard Frisbie

VP-Laurel Heights Improvement Association

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	Susan Chapman; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:21:14 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Susan Chapman <suechap94110@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:13 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

I am a property owner and have lived at my address on 18th Street near Potrero for over 30 years. I am a current resident of District 10 and I consider myself to be a Potrero Hill resident. I raised a family on the hill and my children attended school here on the hill. The 101 Freeway is not a natural boundary and has nothing to do with my sense of community.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Susan Chapman
2419 18th Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: chris@donohoe.org; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:21:06 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Donohoe <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 3:07 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Chris Donohoe

Email	chris@donohoe.org
I am a resident of	District 2
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: sffoxden@aol.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:54 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maureen Fox <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 2:36 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Maureen Fox

Email	sffoxden@aol.com
I am a resident of	District 1
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: juliepaul164@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:46 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Paul <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Julie Paul

Email	juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	ersepke marilyn; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: District 2 Redistricting Task Force
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:41 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: ersepke marilyn <marilynersepke1@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:39 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2 Redistricting Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

My name is Marilyn Ersepke. and I am a resident in the AnzaVista neighborhood which is part of District 2. On February 25 th I sent the Task Force an email requesting our neighborhood to remain within District 2 listing my reasons and concerns. I sincerely hope you are giving great consideration to this request.I join other neighbors who m wish to remain too. Please contact me if you need more information . I have resided in neighborhoods within District 2 over 40 years. Appreciatively, Marilyn Ersepke…A native San Franciscan.

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Carol Sundell; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:23 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----
From: Carol Sundell <casundell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Potrero-Dogpatch Community Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force,

You've received many emails, public comments and Community of Interest submissions explaining why Potrero Hill, Showplace Square and Dogpatch have historically been, are currently, and will continue to be a Community of Interest. So I was disappointed to see that the draft redistricting map severely gerrymandered the northwest part of Potrero Hill and all of Showplace Square into a different district.

Fortunately, adding back the area from Potrero Avenue to Kansas, 17th to 22nd, results in only adding 1.9% more population in the district. Further, adding Showplace Square from Potrero Avenue to the current District 10 boundaries would continue to result in a district within the 5% population deviation allowed under law to keep recognized neighborhoods and communities of interest together in a single district.

I urge you to keep our neighborhoods together. Please add northwest Potrero Hill and Showplace Square back to our district.

Sincerely,

Carol Sundell
Sent from my iPhone

From:	REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To:	carlah@phra-sf.org; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject:	RE: COI Submission, Pacific Heights Residents Association
Date:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:12 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: carlah@phra-sf.org <carlah@phra-sf.org>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 1:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: COI Submission, Pacific Heights Residents Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To The Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

The Pacific Heights Residents Association (PHRA) is a long-established, long-recognized, and continually active Community of Interest. Our boundaries are Union to Bush, and Van Ness to Presidio. It is critical for our functioning and the effective representation of our community for us to be united in one supervisorial district: D2. We appreciate your thoughtful deliberations, and respectfully request that your next maps unite us in D2.

Thank you for your important work for our city, and for your consideration of our critical request.

Carla Hashagen
Vice President, PHRA

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: kielygomes@yahoo.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:20:00 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Schwartz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 12:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Karen Schwartz

Email	kielygomes@yahoo.com
I am a resident of	District 8
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: alinnenbach@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:19:51 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: asheley linnenbach <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 12:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent asheley linnenbach

Email	alinnenbach@gmail.com
I am a resident of	District 2
	Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Message to the Redistricting Task Force	To the members of the Redistricting Task Force: I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to “create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason. Thank you!

From: REG - Redistricting Taskforce
To: Mr. Peter Devine; REG - Redistricting Taskforce
Subject: RE: Keep Anza Vista in District 2
Date: Sunday, March 13, 2022 9:19:41 PM
Attachments: image001.png

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mr. Peter Devine <pdevine@siprep.org>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2022 12:18 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep Anza Vista in District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

As a long time resident of Anza Vista (Encanto Avenue), I want to make a case for our neighborhood **remaining in District 2:**

1) We have worked with the supervisors Catherine Stefani (and her predecessor) and the staff for many years about Kaiser Hospital, the Blood Bank, USF housing, and the old Sears/Mervyn's Shopping Mall. There have been long-standing relationships -- the office and staff know our needs.

2) Both former supervisor Farrell and current supervisor Stefani have come out and spoken to our neighborhood association meetings as well as our expanded meetings that include the Laurel Heights and Presidio Heights associations, so there is a collaborative nature to our meetings with other associations in our vicinity.

3) We have the same interests as Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, and other neighboring communities: the conversion of UCSF/Fireman's Fund property into condos and shopping, the conversion of CPMC/Children's Hospital and Marshall Hale Hospitals into condominiums, the expansion of stores in the City Center Mall, and the Muni transportation Geary, Balboa, and Masonic bus lines.

4) Those same neighborhood associations closely worked with Kaiser Hospital on its expansion plans to ensure traffic flow and safety in our neighbhorhood, something

that does not affect the Inner Richmond neighborhood in the same manner.

The Inner Richmond has special needs with the Clement and Geary Street commercial zones which does not impact Anza Vista, Laurel, etc.

5) USF's continued expansion (purchasing apartment houses in our neighbhorhood for student housing) impacts our neighborhood and Lauren/Presidio most directly, not the Inner Richmond.

If you merge Anza Vista into District 1, our little neighborhood will NEVER have representation ever again -- we will pay high property taxes but have no representation since the businesses on Clement and Geary will gobble up all the resources and time for the supervisor. They have money to influence all decisions and disenfranchise the homeowners and renters.

Thank you,

Peter Devine
57 Encanto Avenue
SF CA 94115
415 928 7521