

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 2

## 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 21, 2022

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 17, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>COI Listening Session Presentation v7– February 16, 2022</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Mapping Tool submissions March 14 through 17, 2022</u>

Due to file size constraints this packet cannot include all mapping tool submissions received by the Task Force. All redistricting tool and COI submissions are available for review on our Airtable Chart:

<https://airtable.com/shrSqWhuZRnaRrNnp>

Prepared by: John Carroll

Prepared by: John Carroll

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: March 18, 2022

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

### **Principles:**

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

### **Communication**

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

### **Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps**

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14<sup>th</sup>.



Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

**Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:**

**-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22):** TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

**-Mapping Day 2 (3-14-22):**

- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A which will then be returned named Maps 2 A and 2 B.

**-Mapping Day 3 (3-23-22):** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move one of the maps let's say Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned, if there are three, named Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

\*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

**-Mapping Day 4:** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move let's say Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 4 A & 4 B.

**-Mapping Day 5:** Q2 will return next mapping day with changes requested by TF - Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map let's say 4 A forward.
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned, if there are two, named Maps 5 A & 5 B.

***The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.***

## **Live Line Drawing**

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendaized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.


TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

**1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).**

Line mapping will be done by consensus.


It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
*Map 1 A*




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




**San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Board of Supervisors Redistricting**  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
*Map 1 A*



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



District 6A detail

This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**




San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts), and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Board of Supervisors Redistricting  
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



# SAN FRANCISCO 2022 **REDISTRICTING**

**Public Input and Communities of Interest**



# Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.

Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.

Redistricting happens every 10 years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

**No draft maps have yet been created by the Redistricting Task Force.**



# Introduction: What is Redistricting?

2

**Mean Population of a  
Supervisory District:  
79,545**

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

0153



# What are the criteria for redistricting?

3

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (*equal population*)
- Compliance with Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) which addresses race and language minorities
- Preserve *recognized neighborhoods*
- Preserve *Communities of Interest* (COIs)
- Contiguity
- Compactness



# Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest (COIs)

4

**Recognized Neighborhoods** are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources (like the Planning Department). Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.

**Communities of Interest** are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, cultural, and economic interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

COIs are defined by those familiar with the community...

Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.

It is up to those who live in or work in a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.

Interests need not be limited to current situation but can also include common goals.

*Communities of Interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.*



# Examples of Common Interests

5

## Economic interests:

Current situation -- common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).

Goals -- expanding opportunities, development, job creation

## Social interests:

Current -- schools, transportation, parks, housing

Goals -- improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources

## Cultural interests:

Current -- holidays, cultural businesses

Goals -- cultural-meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts



# Tell us about your Community of Interest

6

**What is your Community of Interest?** What ties you together? What common interests do you share?

**Where is your Community of Interest?** What are the boundaries of your community (streets, parks)? Where is it on the map? What is different or the same about the areas around your community?

Why should your community be kept together in one district? Why would it be a problem to split your community?

*Other options to tell the Task Force about your COI:*  
<https://sf.gov/information/community-interest-coi-public-input>

0157



# District-Based Input

7

The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force additionally welcomes district-based input:

- Provide feedback about one district, multiple districts, or the whole map
- Let the Redistricting Task Force know how you would like the districts to be drawn
- What would you like to change about San Francisco's current supervisorial districts? What do you like about how they are currently drawn?



# Redistricting Mapping Tool

8

The Redistricting Task Force has made a free-to-use online mapping tool available to the public .

The online mapping tool allows members of the public to draw their own district maps.

Proposals developed with the online mapping tool may be submitted to the Redistricting Task Force for consideration.

The mapping tool also allows members of the public to view census geography and data for any area within San Francisco.

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>

0159





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031620222146\_district 8

Plan name: district 8

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments:

Submitted by: Pam Rex

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	8.36	
7	-5.46	
1	-8.42	
2	-4.0	
6	30.03	
4	-8.5	
3	-8.89	
11	-4.05	
8	3.74	
5	3.31	
9	-6.11	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -8.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : -4.0

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : -6.11

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : 3.74

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : 3.31

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031520222140\_Community Coherence and representation

Plan name: Community Coherence and representation

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: This plan would ensure racially and socially diverse districts while also keeping neighborhoods whole. The biggest changes from the current district map would be that District 5 would now include the Haight, Castro, Mission Dolores, Duboce Triangle, Cole Valley, and the Inner Sunset. District 6 would now include Tenderloin and Western Addition/Fillmore and Hayes Valley and Japantown, District 9 would include the Mission and SoMa, while District 8 would shift to include Noe Valley and Glen Park but would now include Bernal Heights and Portola formerly in District 9. This District arrangement would create fewer majority white Districts yet while also improving neighborhood continuity.

Submitted by: District 6 Resident

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
D 10	-0.31	
D 4	0.39	
D 6	0.58	
D 2	0.63	
D 3	-0.84	
D 9	0.05	
D 11	-0.24	
D 8	0.17	
D 5	-0.11	



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors


**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 3

% Deviation : -0.84

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 9

% Deviation : 0.05

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 10

% Deviation : -0.31

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 11

% Deviation : -0.24

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 8

% Deviation : 0.17

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 6

% Deviation : 0.58

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 2

% Deviation : 0.63

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 5

% Deviation : -0.11

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : D 4

% Deviation : 0.39

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031520222139\_Upper Noe

Plan name: Upper Noe

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: Upper Noe is one of San Francisco's oldest neighborhoods, encompassing the southern part of the valley. "Upper" refers to the higher addresses of Church Street, from Cesar Chavez Street south beyond 30th Street to Fairmount Street. Upper Noe overlaps and includes much of the Fairmount Tract, which runs south of 30th Street. Thus, the neighborhood of Upper Noe presently straddles the administrative boundary of Noe Valley and Glen Park and residents south of 30th Street consider themselves residents of Upper Noe. As a matter of history, in 1959 the Upper Noe Valley Neighborhood Council defined its boundaries as: Clipper and Douglas to Dolores, over Dolores to 25th St., down to Mission, out Mission to San Jose, south on San Jose to Miguel, west on Miguel to Laidley Street, over Laidley to 30th street, to Castro, over Castro to Valley, Valley to Diamond and back to Clipper Street. In this century, we have recognized the eastern boundary as San Jose Avenue, which has kept our entire neighborhood within District 8. The people of Upper Noe look to Church Street shops, Upper Noe Recreation Center (and its dog park), and St. Paul's Church as community centers and depend upon the J Church MUNI line. We share similar politics and ideals. Most of all, we are a walkable community where we socialize casually on sidewalks and cafe's. We recognize our neighbors and work together to make Upper Noe a better, safer, more friendly place to live. Upper Noe Neighbors (UNN), our neighborhood advocacy group, was reestablished in 1988, resuming a neighborhood association that dates back to the mid-20th Century, at least. Our mission is to promote the collective interest of the people living in or running a business or institution in Upper Noe. We act to inform the public of matters important to our residents, to seek their input, and to present a forceful collective voice in dealing with civic, social, and safety issues. We take an inclusive approach to improving life in the southern part of Noe Valley and the surrounding areas. We meet every other month at Upper Noe Recreation Center and keep our neighbors updated through our newsletters and website. <https://uppernoeneighbors.com/>

Submitted by: Chris Faust

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	8.36	
7	-5.46	
1	-8.42	
2	-4.0	
6	30.03	
4	-8.5	
3	-8.89	
8	-8.25	
9	-4.6	
11	-4.05	
5	13.79	



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -8.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : -4.0

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : -8.5

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : -8.25

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : 13.79

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031520222134\_District 4 redistrict

Plan name: District 4 redistrict

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: Proposed D-4 redistrict map to include the commercial section of inner Sunset along Irving Street to 7th Avenue; and also Lowell High School and abutting residential blocks north of Lowell.

Submitted by: Wai Ching Kwan

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	8.36	
3	-8.89	
1	-8.42	
2	-4.0	
6	30.03	
7	-7.71	
9	-4.6	
8	4.05	
11	-4.05	
5	-5.42	
4	0.65	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors


**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : -7.71

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -8.42

Comments :



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors


**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : -4.0

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force**  
**Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : 0.65

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : -5.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222121\_Increasing equity

Plan name: Increasing equity

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: This map builds on existing and proposed districts but does more to keep contiguous communities linked together while ensuring diverse racially and socially diverse districts

Submitted by: District 6 resident

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
3	-0.81	
10	-0.81	
1	-0.58	
2	0.24	
7	0.89	
6	-0.71	
4	0.81	
9	0.92	
11	-0.27	
5	0.43	
8	-0.11	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



Map tiles by Stamen Design, CC BY 3.0 -- Map data (C) OpenStreetMap contributors

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : -0.81

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : 0.89

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -0.58

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : 0.24

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : -0.71

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : 0.81

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -0.81

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : 0.92

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : -0.11

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : 0.43

Comments :





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222117\_Proposed D5 Map 2022

Plan name: Proposed D5 Map 2022

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: I believe that the inner sunset has more shared interest and identity with outer sunset than any neighborhood in the core of D5. Of the D5 core neighborhoods (Nopa, Alamo Square, LoHa... They have more shared goals with Lower Pacific Heights. I believe that by expanding our district north, we will strike a better balance with regard to shared goals, interests, and community engagement. Thank you!

Submitted by: Seymour Smith D5

Compliance check:


- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
6	30.03	
3	-8.89	
2	-11.1	
4	4.39	
10	8.36	
7	-5.46	
1	-8.42	
9	-4.6	
8	4.05	
11	-4.05	
5	-4.3	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 8.36

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : -5.46

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -8.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : -11.1

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 30.03

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : 4.39

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -8.89

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : -4.6

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : 4.05

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : -4.3

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : -4.05

Comments :





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222114\_Pacific Heights Residents Association

Plan name: Pacific Heights Residents Association

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: blank\_map

General comments: We have previously submitted a Community Of Interest Statement for the Pacific Heights Residents Association. That submission included a description and pictures of our boundaries. This submission shows the boundaries of the Pacific Heights Residents Association using the redistricting Mapping Tool, in case that is of use to the Task Force.

Submitted by: Carla Hashagen (PHRA Board)

Compliance check:


- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
Pacific Heights Residents Association	-62.99	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Pacific Heights Residents Association

% Deviation : -62.99

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222102\_Community Unity Map V1

Plan name: Community Unity Map V1

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: The SF Unity Map Coalition is a coalition of community based organizations in San Francisco and we are proud to submit Version 1 of the Community Unity Map. This Community Unity Map was created by community, for community. In October 2021, we came together because we saw a need for advocacy for our communities in the redistricting process. Historically, redistricting has been used to dilute the power of low-income communities and communities of color. We decided to come together and put our time and resources into ensuring that marginalized communities in San Francisco have an equal voice in the local redistricting process. The SF Unity Map Coalition reached out to collaborate with and engage organizations that are aligned with our principles to protect the voting rights of low-income communities and communities of color. The input we've received is reflected in the Community Unity Map which you can learn about more below. The proposed new district lines in this map are the result of convening and consulting over 74 organizations across all districts of San Francisco through a communities of interest survey, multi-district community meetings, one-on-one conversations, listening to public comments at Redistricting Task Force meetings, and collective decision making from October 2021 to the present. The individuals and organizations who have been a part of this process represent the following communities of interest: Southeast Asian Samoan Working-class Black Latinx Chinese Filipinx LGBTQIA + Transgender Nonbinary Youth of color Native American SRO residents Middle-income Russian Artists Pacific Islanders People who live in affordable housing Families living in the OMI Latinx immigrant families Arab and Muslim immigrant community in D6 People actively opposing displacement and privatization of the public realm Commuters Immigrant Chicanx Japanese Students Transitional-aged youth Low-income Renters Undocumented Unhoused Seniors People with disabilities Nonprofit workers Arab Families with school age children People who use public transit Chinatown SRO residents Families living in the Excelsior Inner Sunset Neighborhood mixed renter/homeowner community Low-income LGBTQIA seniors Retirees Women Our guiding principles for creating this map include: Fulfilling requirements of the Voting Rights Act and making minimal changes to district lines while: Keeping communities of interest together based on common socio-economic interests like tenants and single-family homeowners, labor households, cultural heritage, historical district boundaries, and demographic changes like out migration Maintaining the voice and representation of marginalized communities Keeping cultural districts and historical neighborhoods whole Rejoining communities divided in previous redistricting efforts Our coalition, as well as endorsing organizations who support the Unity Map, urge the Redistricting Task Force to adopt this map because it works to fulfill the legal requirements of redistricting while keeping all cultural districts whole, respecting neighborhood boundaries, and communities of interest, and is a product of months of input collection, community conversations, and feedback. The West side districts mostly keep the same boundaries, adding minimum population. The major boundary changes on the East side center around District 6 and ripple out to the other districts. Our coalition and all of our endorsers believe this is the best option for communities in San Francisco because it works to maintain our representation equally across districts. NOTE: Due to an error in the Redistricting Tool, the existing boundary between D10 and D11 from Geneva Ave to the San Mateo County border incorrectly throws a contiguity warning due to including Census Tract 263.02 Block 3004 in District 10.

Submitted by: SF Unity Map Coalition

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
4	-4.67	
1	-4.99	
3	-1.48	
6	4.73	
11	4.9	
2	-0.2	
7	-4.9	
10	4.66	
5	2.51	
9	0.87	
8	-1.42	



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 4.66

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : -4.9

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -4.99

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 2

% Deviation : -0.2

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 4.73

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : -4.67

Comments :





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -1.48

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : 0.87

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : -1.42

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : 2.51

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : 4.9

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222055\_NEW SF

Plan name: NEW SF

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: This map represents the idea of preserving the most important communities of interest across the city. This map also acknowledges the overpopulation of Districts 6 and 10.

Submitted by: DeAnthony Jones

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
10	4.87	
2	-2.85	
5	3.91	
3	-2.16	
1	-2.71	
7	-3.54	
4	-3.73	
9	4.71	
6	4.24	
8	-1.97	
11	-0.75	



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 10

% Deviation : 4.87

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 7

% Deviation : -3.54

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 1

% Deviation : -2.71

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 6

% Deviation : 4.24

Comments :






**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 4

% Deviation : -3.73

Comments :





**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 3

% Deviation : -2.16

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 9

% Deviation : 4.71

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 8

% Deviation : -1.97

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 5

% Deviation : 3.91

Comments :




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : 11

% Deviation : -0.75

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222108\_COI - Emerging Communities

Plan name: COI - Emerging Communities

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: The South of Market neighborhoods, including The East Cut (Rincon, Transbay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent – Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area – our core needs are shaped by common influences. Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation, and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea-level rise. Together, we are building socially, culturally, and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest. For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses change markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or – where the bulk of the growth has happened – are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above. Within this context, we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade - and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest. Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Submitted by: Chris Chang

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222107\_COI - High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods v2

Plan name: COI - High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods v2

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: The East Cut, South Beach, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent, our core needs are shaped by common influences. Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation, and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea-level rise. Together, we are building socially, culturally, and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

Submitted by: Chris Chang

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods	-52.14	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods

% Deviation : -52.14


Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
Emerging Communities	-13.04	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**




**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : Emerging Communities

% Deviation : -13.04

Comments :



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

Submission ID: MyBoardSupervisors\_SD\_031420222106\_COI - High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods

Plan name: COI - High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods

District type: Supervisorial District

Starting Map: current\_draft

General comments: The East Cut, South Beach, Mission Bay, and Treasure Island all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent, our core needs are shaped by common influences. Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation, and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea-level rise. Together, we are building socially, culturally, and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

Submitted by: Chris Chang

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the Redistricting Task Force : Y



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name	% Deviation	Comments
High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods	-52.1	

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**



**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

**Submitted by the Public to San Francisco Redistricting Task Force  
Not Produced or Endorsed by the Redistricting Task Force**

District name : High Density Waterfront Neighborhoods

% Deviation : -52.1

Comments :

