

Agenda Item No. 2, Part 3

2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Date: March 14, 2022

Date: _____

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Mapping Procedures – From the Vice Chair - March 11, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Map 1A from Q2 Data and Research – March 9, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>COI Listening Session Presentation v7– February 16, 2022</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received March 4 through 10, 2022</u> |

Due to packet size constraints, the following communications are available through links to the RTF website:

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 26 through March 4, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 19 through 25, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 11 through 18, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received February 4 through 11, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 28 through February 4, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 21 through 28, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received January 13 through 21, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received Dec 23, 2021 through Jan 13, 2022</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received December 3 through 23, 2021</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Communications Received Nov 17 through Dec 3, 2021</u> |

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: March 11, 2022

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: _____

Task Force (TF) Mapping Procedures

Principles:

- The TF will provide Q2 with specific instructions for a starting point for the first maps to be presented to the Task Force on 3-2-22 the first mapping meeting of the TF.
- Mapping requests and changes will be initiated by the TF and they will work with Q2 who will draw the next requested iterative map.
- Discussions will be focused on Communities of Interest, Neighborhoods, District Boundaries, Census Data and compliance with The Voting Rights Act.
- Allow Task Force to explore multiple paths or maps.
- Offer clear forward direction and clarity for the mapping process status at the end of each meeting.

Communication

Liaisons on process, clarification or info/questions between TF and Q2 for mapping will be Members Matt Castillon and Chasel Lee. Members Castillon and Chasel Lee will be in charge of coordinating the process and keeping a written log of what feedback or input is incorporated in each map. This feedback should be reflected in the public record and in the Final Report.

Task-Force Centralized Mapping for Follow Up Maps

TF members will initiate all requests for maps or mapping changes.

After the members have shared their comments there will be a recommendation to advance one of the prior maps with specific changes.

Where possible the TF will attempt to advance the next stage of the mapping process off a chosen specific and hopefully single map. If needed multiple map will be advanced but the goal will be to always advance off of one specific map.

As the new map is selected the TF will ask Q2 to come back with that map as the basis with 2 or 3 new/different versions on the next iteration.

After the TF has provided their direction to Q2 there will be public comment.

The members may again discuss the maps and any changes they would like to see if any.

The map may then be advanced without further changes or with changes recommended and approved by the TF. If consensus has been reached then the mapping request will be advanced to Q2. If there is no consensus, a formal vote may be taken.

This will be the process the TF will follow at each mapping meeting until the final map is selected on or before April 14th.

Groups and the public may submit their maps to the TF through the public website, and TF members may pick parts or all of a map to incorporate into their mapping requests. In order to maintain fairness, all outside feedback will be evaluated independently and no outside individual will be given preferential treatment over any other member of the public.

- Specifically, non-TF generated maps are a form of public comment, and no publicly generated maps will be directly presented to a member of the TF by an interest group or non-task force member.

Sample Mapping Advancement and Naming Sequence:

-Mapping Day 1 (3-2-22): TF provides parameters to Q2 to create Map 1 A

-3-9-22 Q2 provides Map 1 A to the TF for discussion on 3-14-22

-Mapping Day 2(3-14-22): TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 1 A

Q2 will return next mapping day with the changes to Map 1 A which will be returned named: Maps 2 A & 2 B

-Mapping Day 3(3-23-22): Q2 will return on next mapping day with the changes requested by TF on Maps 2 A & 2 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move Map 2 B forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 2 B which will then be returned named: Maps 3 A, 3 B and perhaps a 3 C.

*From here on in mapping days will be numbered but not dated as dates may be added as we go along.

-Mapping Day 4: Q2 will return on next mapping day with the changes requested by TF on Maps 3 A, 3 B, & 3 C

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move Map 3 C forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 3 C which will then be returned named: Maps 4 A & 4 B.

-Mapping Day 5: Q2 will return on next mapping day with the changes requested by TF on Maps 4 A & 4 B

- TF motions through consensus or vote to move map 4 A forward
- TF provides new mapping instructions of boundary changes to Map 4 A which will then be returned named: Maps 5 A & 5 B

The mapping continues as noted above until a final map is voted on.

Live Line Drawing

Line drawing is conducted during public sessions at officially agendized task force meetings. Line drawing may also occur at any other designated mapping meetings if the TF decided to do so. Combination of mapping and line drawing meetings may occur at the discretion of the TF.

Two specific dates, because of Q2 turn around requirements have been designated as line drawing days. They are 3-26-22 and 4-9-22.

Consultants (Q2) will be present with a mapper and digital maps at the ready.

The Task Force can start with existing lines, or with someone's proposed new lines, or with a blank map. In some cases the task force may work up "visualizations," which may be rough-sketch districts, and proceed from there.

The Chair or designee will focus on a particular area and then begin taking input from other Task Force members about particular lines.


TF members are free to give whatever reasons they think germane to their proposals, whether it were public input, or balancing populations, or known neighborhood boundaries.

1. Only one change could be considered at a time (though mappers could undo past changes as needed).

Line mapping will be done by consensus.


It's all iterative: after an area is finished (an area could be a district, multiple districts or any area of San Francisco) then public input would come in, and the TF might also do further research, and then revisit that area and make changes

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



District 6A detail


This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A




This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**


San Francisco Redistricting Task Force Board of Supervisors Redistricting

Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
Board of Supervisors Redistricting
Map 1 A



This preliminary map is not the adopted final map of the Redistricting Task Force. It is a starting point on redrawing San Francisco's supervisorial districts in accordance with The Voting Rights Act. This map shows how the districts would look if they were drawn within 1% deviation of the average district population. The map aims to keep together Communities of Interest, recognized neighborhoods, Community Benefit Districts, and cultural districts while maintaining current district boundaries. **This is a preliminary visualization, not a final draft.**



SAN FRANCISCO 2022 REDISTRICTING

Public Input and Communities of Interest

Introduction: What is Redistricting?

1

San Francisco has 11 supervisorial districts, used to elect the Board of Supervisors.

Redistricting is the process of adjusting the existing supervisorial district boundaries.

Redistricting happens every 10 years, after the release of the decennial Census data used to balance the populations of the supervisorial districts.

Redistricting provides the opportunity to bring the existing districts into compliance with all redistricting criteria.

No draft maps have yet been created by the Redistricting Task Force.



Introduction: What is Redistricting?

**Mean Population of a
Supervisorial District:
79,545**

District	Population	Percent Deviation
1	72,848	-8.31%
2	76,363	-3.89%
3	72,474	-8.78%
4	72,784	-8.39%
5	80,667	1.53%
6	103,564	+30.35%
7	75,436	-5.05%
8	82,418	+3.73%
9	75,829	-4.56%
10	86,323	+8.65%
11	76,287	-3.98%

0566



What are the criteria for redistricting?

3

- Compliance with US and CA Constitution (*equal population*)
- Compliance with Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) which addresses race and language minorities
- Preserve *recognized neighborhoods*
- Preserve *Communities of Interest (COIs)*
- Contiguity
- Compactness



Recognized Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest (COIs)

Recognized Neighborhoods are generally based on data and geography collected from official sources (like the Planning Department). Neighborhood data may be submitted by members of the public as well. Neighborhoods may vary in size and definition depending on who is defining them.

Communities of Interest are generally described as a population of residents that share common interests (such as social, cultural, and economic interests) that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

COIs are defined by those familiar with the community...

Law does not limit the kinds of interests that may bind a community.

It is up to those who live in or work in a community to identify and establish the interests that unite it.

Interests need not be limited to current situation but can also include common goals.

Communities of Interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



Examples of Common Interests

5

Economic interests:

Current situation -- common employment or economic opportunities (or lack thereof).

Goals -- expanding opportunities, development, job creation

Social interests:

Current -- schools, transportation, parks, housing

Goals -- improving recreational opportunities or public safety, preserving historic resources

Cultural interests:

Current -- holidays, cultural businesses

Goals – cultural-meeting centers, official recognition through cultural districts



Tell us about your Community of Interest

6

What is your Community of Interest? What ties you together? What common interests do you share?

Where is your Community of Interest? What are the boundaries of your community (streets, parks)? Where is it on the map? What is different or the same about the areas around your community?

Why should your community be kept together in one district? Why would it be a problem to split your community?

Other options to tell the Task Force about your COI:

<https://sf.gov/information/community-interest-coi-public-input>

0570



District-Based Input

7

The San Francisco Redistricting Task Force additionally welcomes district-based input:

- Provide feedback about one district, multiple districts, or the whole map
- Let the Redistricting Task Force know how you would like the districts to be drawn
- What would you like to change about San Francisco's current supervisorial districts? What do you like about how they are currently drawn?



Redistricting Mapping Tool

8

The Redistricting Task Force has made a free-to-use online mapping tool available to the public .

The online mapping tool allows members of the public to draw their own district maps.

Proposals developed with the online mapping tool may be submitted to the Redistricting Task Force for consideration.

The mapping tool also allows members of the public to view census geography and data for any area within San Francisco.

<https://redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com/>



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Barbara Pollak-Lewis](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting proposed map
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:38:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Pollak-Lewis <sketchbookpix@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting proposed map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May concern:

I am a homeowner and a longtime resident of Potrero Hill. My property is located on San Bruno Avenue and 18th.

It has come to my attention that there is a proposal to redraw the district, which effectively splits up Potrero hill. If this proposal is accepted, our street would become part of District 9 (Mission), rather than District 10 (Potrero/Bayview).

One reason that I am concerned: The first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potrero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

I fail to understand the reasoning behind the new boundaries and would suggest rethinking the idea to split up neighborhoods, such as ours.

Sincerely,
Barbara Pollak-Lewis

Barbara Pollak-Lewis
#630 San Bruno Ave

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.barbarapollakart.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo0ZjY3NmZhMDM4ZTkYnWE3MWM1NWRjMjEzYzBiNWU3Yzo1OjA2ZDU6OTliMjFYzE5M2ZiYjc4ZjQ4ZWE3Yzg3Nzg2MDZjMTE5MDNiMjc2MmVmYzAxMWZhNDZjZjhmMjUzMGYxMjdiYzpwOk4

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce: victoria underwood](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); [Richard Frisbie](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed Redistricting Map 3/10/2022
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:37:57 AM
Attachments: [Redistricting Map Areas D2 - 3-10-2022.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: victoria underwood <victoria.underwood@att.net>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:07 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; andrew.mullin@sfgov.org; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Subject: Proposed Redistricting Map 3/10/2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please see attached.

March 10, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force

rdtf@sfgov.org

Re: District #2 – Catherine Stephani

Dear Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force:

The letter is in follow-up to my 1/22/2022 letter to you and the Redistricting Task Force Remote Meeting for District 2 on Saturday, February 26, 2022.

Late afternoon today, I received word through my neighbors that you have put together a revised Redistricting Map for which we are to respond by tomorrow. My response to this latest Redistricting Map is that it is contradictory to what you said you look for when assessing and balancing community needs with redistricting criteria. One our call, you explained that you try keep neighborhoods together as a community where they meet in their daily lives such as shared shopping areas and restaurants, entertainment and outdoor activities, places of worship, where they gather as a community to connect in the shared neighborhood experiences, support Neighborhood businesses and support services and we support each other in common interests and goals.

I can't speak for the west most sides of Districts 1 and 2 because they are not our neighborhood. I was surprised to hear that District 1 feel aligned with Sea Cliff and that they share the same neighborhood interests, shopping at the same stores, frequenting the same restaurants and their kids attend the same schools and that they feel strongly that they should be included in the same community because of these shared mutually interest in issues concerning these areas.

However, what I can respond to is the proposed Redistricting lines on the map I received today for our neighborhood in District 2. I am speaking specifically about the redistricting line from Arguello to Fillmore along the southern edge of the California Street corridor of District 2.

The first speaker on our Saturday who identified himself as a Judge, on the board of the PUC, who resides and belongs to the Pacific Height's Residents Association (PHRA) in Pacific Heights (see at the end). He stated clearly how important it was not to make the changes proposed to the redistricting lines of District2 because they would detrimentally impact our neighborhood community.

From this latest map, it looks like you have carved out Jorden Park, Laurel Village, Laurel Height, and it seems parts of Pacific Heights from around Arguello to Presidio Avenue. These neighborhoods are not only very much connected by the two grocery stores at Laurel Village (Cal-mart and Bryans Market) but also by the food uses like Peets Coffee, Starbucks, Susie's Cupcakes. There is the new Proposition Chicken Restaurant along with the New Super-Duper Burger. Panda Express is going in, there is a new furniture store, Walgreens, Ace Hardware, Wells Fargo, Bank of America, Union Bank and Old Republic Bank. There's also Sephora, Chicos Clothing, not to mention the second-floor dental offices at Laurel Village that service the surrounding communities. It is our family-oriented, collective, within walking distance neighborhood shopping area. It is where we all meet during our everyday lives.

These same neighborhoods have another couple of blocks that have and will continue to be shared which you have also cut out. That is the 10-acre site that touches all our neighborhoods known as 3333 California Street. The Judge was very clear that he and other taxpayers in Pacific Heights fought for, supported the City of S.F. to get the project approved as part of their vision for housing, goods and services that will be shared by our neighborhoods get incorporated into our collective community.

The Jewish Community Center was also a big supporter of that redevelopment project which will benefit them and their members in the years to come. I know we won't take kindly to having that entire 10-acre site removed from District 2 and put into District 1 after all the time, effort, sweat and tears we all put into the process. If I'm seeing this correctly, in the same block not only is the S.F.F.D. Credit Union across the street from the JCC carved out but Fire Station 10 at Pine and Masonic on Presidio Avenue has also been carved out into District 1. Why? These members are huge part of our community and we ask that they NOT be removed from District 2.

It appears the redistricting line for District 2 ends at Steiner and California, eliminating all the businesses in that last block of California Street between Steiner and Fillmore on the south side of the street include Mollie Stone's Market which is seen here included in District 3. Why would you consider removing our beloved Laurel Village and the redevelopment project of 3300 California and Fire Department Credit Union along with Fire Station 10 from District 2? They are the center point for our Pacific Heights, Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, and the Lower Pacific Heights neighborhood area. I ask that you to take another look at what has been put before us and reconsider needlessly breaking up our neighborhood and shattering our community. If Sea Cliff wants to be included in District 1, it's not for us but them to sell to you.

Working together and knowing we support common goals and shared interest in public safety, economic issues, housing for the homeless as well as social and culturally diverse issues, we create a more inclusive and productive community we can all share in the Jordan Park, Pacific Heights, Laurel Heights/laurel village neighborhoods.

We maintain a strong bond with the other neighborhoods under the current umbrella of District 2 and have for many years. We are interested in maintaining the diversity we have while meeting similar goals within the current lines of District 2 and do not understand or want to see our sense of community as well as the productive working relationships we have developed and nurture within our community disrupted or altered unnecessarily.

Respectfully submitted,

Victoria Underwood

Victoria Underwood
510 Presidio Avenue @ California Street

Cc: Catherine Stefani Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Mr. Andrew Mullin Andrew.Mullin@sfgov.org
Ms. Dominica Donovan Dominica.Donovan@sfgov.org
Mr. Richard Frisbie frfbeagle@gmail.com

Pacific Heights Residents Association



About Us

What is PHRA?

The Pacific Heights Residents Association (PHRA) was founded in 1972 by a small group of neighbors dedicated to preserving the unique neighborhood character of Pacific Heights. Since then, PHRA's outreach has grown to include over 600 individuals residing or

operating businesses within the area bound by Union and Bush Streets north to south, and Van Ness and Presidio Avenues east to west.

The Pacific Heights Residents Association is one of the most respected neighborhood associations in San Francisco.

PHRA remains one of the most effective and influential neighborhood associations in San Francisco, having lead many successful efforts to preserve neighborhood quality including removal of the noisy and unsightly auxiliary power generator from the California Pacific Medical Center Buchanan Street entrance, limiting the size and pace of enrollment expansion at the Schools of the Sacred Hearts campus on Broadway, and securing approval of extension of the “Slow Streets” program into Pacific Heights during the COVID-19 pandemic.

What we do

We maintain ongoing dialog with members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors whose districts (2 and 5) encompass Pacific Heights, as well as with staff at numerous City agencies including the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Police Department, and the Departments of Recreation and Parks, Planning and Zoning, and Building and Inspection. Our members participate with other neighborhood, civic, and preservationist organizations to advocate for neighborhood quality of life and character. We attend public meetings and hearings to ensure your voice is heard during important planning processes, and we work with City staff and elected officials to develop acceptable solutions on issues as varied as public health and safety, urban design, and transportation.

Through informational forums, outreach to members and neighbors, activism in city planning, and advocacy for worthy projects and causes, PHRA engages and informs residents, developers, merchants, elected officials, and agency staff to address issues of importance to the neighborhood.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dgolden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:37:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Golden <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:53 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent David Golden

Email

dgolden@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I live in the Inner Richmond. I totally support putting Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. These are my neighbors, and have much more in common with District 1 than other district.

Regards, David

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: leslie@podell.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:37:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leslie Boin Podell <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Leslie Boin Podell**

Email

leslie@podell.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to "create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason.
Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cindysf2@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:36:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sydney Samucha <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Sydney Samucha

Email

cindysf2@aol.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to "create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason.
Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: simonho977@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Friday, March 11, 2022 8:36:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Simon Ho <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:12 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Simon Ho

Email

simonho977@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to "create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason.
Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Potion the Band](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: In support of the Community Unity map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:06:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Potion the Band <potionmusic@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 8:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: In support of the Community Unity map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Hello Redistricting Task Force,

I'm a long-time resident of the Richmond District. I live on Geary in one of those 100 year old 16 unit studio apartment buildings designed to house the working class back in the 1920's. District one has always maintained its working class, immigrant roots with apartments above shops, small restaurants, and plenty of good authentic grocery stores catering to our Russian, Asian, and immigrant populations.

I'm writing in support of the Community Unity Map, which would add enough new population to the district and avoid diluting Asian American and renter communities. Sea Cliff has it's own community with its own interests that concern largely wealthy homeowners and the move to fold this area into our district would harm our community and favor the white and the wealthy yet again.

Please support the lines proposed in the Community Unity map—it's best for the Richmond and district 1.

Thank you,

A. Maley
District 1 resident
16th and Geary

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lindsay Ames](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Proposal - Potrero Hill Resident (D9 / D10)
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:05:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lindsay Ames <lindsay.t.ames@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 7:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Proposal - Potrero Hill Resident (D9 / D10)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

All,

I have recently learned of a plan discussing the possible redistricting which includes my specific street address and community. I am a homeowner on Vermont Street (531) and we are currently part of District 10 and wish to remain part of District 10. Having a strange carve out to grab additional population for the Mission cuts a community into pieces. If a line needs to be drawn, the logical division is the highway, not a few blocks on the other side. These blocks are literally on Potrero Hill - to be divided from that community that clearly has shared interest is a disservice. The blocks in question are also not extremely connected with District 9 making the residents disconnected from what may be best for the district. Please note:

The first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

We do not support this new district map.

Ames Family (Lindsay, Barry, Isabel & Cooper) - 531 Vermont Street

From: [Susan McDonough](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:05:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Susan McDonough

Email susan@mcdfaa.com

I am a resident of District 1

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I support your decision to put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park from District 2 back into the Richmond in District 1. What doesn't make sense is to have the Sea Cliff neighborhood, which is clearly part of the Richmond, be physically separate from the rest of District 2. Since it is a legal requirement to "create geographically compact and contiguous districts," Sea Cliff must be included in D1. In addition, Sea Cliff is part of the Community of Interest that is the Richmond District. Residents who live in Sea Cliff shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Crissy Field and Fort Mason.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [DPH-alec.bash](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Supporting D3 United Neighborhood Plan
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:05:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alec Bash <alec.bash@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 6:05 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: Supporting D3 United Neighborhood Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairperson Townsend and Redistricting Task Force Members,

I'm writing to support the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan. I am a resident of the Gateway Apartments and Townhomes on the waterfront just north of the Embarcadero Center between Jackson and Washington Streets, built under the Golden Gateway - Lower Embarcadero Redevelopment Plan of the 1960s. Our multi-racial, multi-ethnic and multi-generational waterfront community is closely connected with the other neighborhoods in the northeast corner of San Francisco shown in the D3 United Neighborhoods Map and have common interests in this corner of the city.

This is historic San Francisco. The city grew rapidly here following the Gold Rush. Buildings on Van Ness Avenue, our natural boundary to the west, were blown up to create the firebreak to stop the spread of the great fire following the 1906 earthquake. In my 25 years with the San Francisco Planning Department, this very northeasterly part of San Francisco was always considered distinct.

We are both a community and top tourist destination. Our "Main Street" is Columbus Avenue. The Barbary Coast Waterfront, Jackson Square, North Beach and Telegraph Hill are on the east, and Chinatown, Nob Hill and Russian Hill on the west. Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39 and Pier 45 are on the north, and Market Street and Union Square on the south.

These areas are important to the city's history and its gradually returning tourist economy. We must have a supervisor who understands the relationships in this community of varied but very related interests. These residential neighborhoods often have a common voice on issues. The necessary geographic enlargement of our district is welcome, as it would allow adding the missing corner along north Van Ness Avenue.

Some competing maps before you would split us up, tear us apart. They would dramatically remake our representation when it would be so simple just to extend our NW corner to Van Ness Avenue. Unite the Russian Hill neighborhood and keep us and our neighbors together. Please keep it simple. Reaching the required population in D3 does not have to be complicated.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Alec Bash
155 Jackson St Apt 2501
415.999.6273 c

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jennifer Herman](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 3
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:05:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jennifer Herman <jkherman44@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 5:14 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Keep District 3 Neighborhoods United

The D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED Plan will:

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square).
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Please keep District 3 united.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sal Ahani](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting of district 2
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:05:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sal Ahani <bajimoto@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 5:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting of district 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear RDTF,

In review of the tentative map for redistricting, it appears that the committee has completely ignored the Task Force's own criteria for Community of Interest. As a member of Jordan Park community, we have been torn away from our communities to the north of us, which we had worked so hard to establish relationships with, and this redistricting IS NOT in our best interest. Please revise your map to include Jordan Park into district 2, as it has been for decades.

I am not sure what the committee believes our community will gain by excluding our neighborhood from district 2. But I can guarantee that it is not in OUR best interest.

Please reconsider your efforts into leaving Jordan Park in district 2. This is what our neighborhood wants and needs.

Respectfully,

A. Sal Ahani
Jordan Park resident.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Katherine French](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10 comments
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:04:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Katherine French <kfrench2000@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 5:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 10 comments

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello,

I am a resident of Potrero Hill and am writing with a comment regarding the redistricting of our District 10.

The first draft of the new District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potrero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

If you need to reduce the population of D10, I suggest you look at the most obvious neighborhood line - the 101 highway - and keep our contiguous walking neighborhood whole. I strongly oppose moving the area from 17th to 22nd St and Kansas to San Bruno to D9. The D10 blocks to the west of 101 are immediate neighbors to D9 and would be a better addition to D9 than any section to the east of 101.

Katherine

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: pbelden@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: keep Potrero intact in D10
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:04:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Belden <pbelden@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 5:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: keep Potrero intact in D10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Please keep Potrero intact. The first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

Peter Belden
519 Vermont St, San Francisco, CA 94107
650-387-2218

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Louk Stephens](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 10 map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:04:31 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Louk Stephens <louk.stephens@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 4:55 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 10 map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear sir, madam, or them:

The first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood."

I am a 47 year SF native and 17 year homeowner and active member of my neighborhood community in Potrero Hill and, given our location, do not wish to have our home redistricted to the Mission.

Sincerely,

Loukas Stephens
567 Vermont St, San Francisco, CA 94107

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Peggy Lopipero-Langmo](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Tore Langmo](#)
Subject: RE: Please do not split up District 10
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:04:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peggy Lopipero-Langmo <peggy.lopipero.langmo@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 4:40 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Tore Langmo <tlangmo@ccsf.edu>

Subject: Please do not split up District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Colleagues,

The proposed changes that resemble a strange and illogical "slice" of district 10 in Northwest Potrero and adding it to District 9 makes no sense.

First of all, the first draft of the District 10 map has a population of 78,967. Keeping Potero Hill whole—that is, restoring the area between 16th and 22th, Potrero and Kansas to District 10—would add 1,480 people to the district. The resulting population of 80,447 is only 1.13% above the target district population and well within the 5% deviation allowed by law to maintain a Community of Interest such as a recognized neighborhood.

Also please note that the proposal would disrupt the existing green benefit district boundaries. My family and I don't want this relationship compromised in any way as we have been happily paying more in property taxes to be a part of this novel and forward thinking project.

Please maintain existing boundaries of District 10.

Thank you,

Peggy Lopipero-Langmo and Tore Langmo

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Laurie Marks](#)
Cc: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting D2
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:04:09 PM
Attachments: [2020 D2 MAP001.pdf](#)
[D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES \(2\).pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Laurie Marks <lauriemarks18@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 3:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS)

<andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fwd: Redistricting D2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I am resending my previous email given the preliminary map that was just released that removes Jordan Park from D2. I strongly prefer to remain in D2. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood, Jordan Park.

Sincerely,
Laurie Marks
32 & 34 Parker Avenue

Begin forwarded message:

From: Laurie Marks <lauriemarks18@gmail.com>

Subject: Redistricting D2

Date: February 4, 2022 at 3:17:06 PM PST

To: rdtf@sfgov.org

Cc: Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org, Andrew.Mullan@sfgov.org, Richard Frisbie
<frfbeagle@gmail.com>

Dear Redistricting Task Force:

I strongly prefer to remain within D2 as shown on the attached map and boundaries. I believe these best serve my personal Community of Interest as well as the Community Interest of my neighborhood, Jordan Park.

Sincerely,
Laurie Marks

32 & 34 Parker Avenue



Map
of the
2nd Supervisorial District
City and County of
San Francisco, California



Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2019

— Precinct Boundary



D2 2020 PROPOSED STREET BOUNDARIES

STARTING ON THE WEST SIDE

Pacific Ocean south along the Eastern Border of Lincoln Park to California St.

East on California to 25th St.

North on 25th to Lake St.

East on Lake to Arguello Blvd.

South on Arguello to Geary Blvd.

East on Geary to Masonic Ave.

South on Masonic to Turk St.

East on Turk to St. Joseph Ave.

North on St. Joseph to Geary Ave.

North from Geary to Post St. on Baker St.

West on Post to Presidio Ave.

North on Presidio to Bush St.

East on Bush to Baker St.

North on Baker to California St.

East on California to Steiner St.

South on Steiner to Sutter St.

East on Sutter to Webster St.

North on Webster to Bush St.

East on Bust to Van Ness Ave.

North on Van Ness to Broadway.

East on Broadway to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to Union St.

East on Union to Mason St.

North on Mason to Columbus Ave.

Northwest on Columbus to Leavenworth St.

North on Leavenworth to San Francisco Bay.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Casey Goldman](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed D10/D9 boundary
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:03:34 PM
Attachments: [22_03_10_GBD_and_Redistricting_Map.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Casey Goldman <casegoldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 3:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Celeste Goldman <celeste.a.goldman@gmail.com>
Subject: Proposed D10/D9 boundary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I live at 542 Vermont St and was recently made aware of the odd configuration between Districts 9 and 10.

Can you please share the reasoning behind this and why we would now be excluded from D10 even though we are clearly on the Potrero side on any maps and given the highway positioning?

Thank you,
Casey


--

Casey Goldman
casegoldman@gmail.com
(c) 347.949.0488

Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District

D9

D10



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Marcy FRASER](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D 9 & 10
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:03:11 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Marcy FRASER <marcyfraserinsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 3:47 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D 9 & 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear friends,

If the proposed boundary change is actually enacted, it will force us to change districts by one block. This makes no sense to me and to my neighbors- a civic minded group who care for a Green Benefit District, are active regarding neighborhood needs and manage multiple safety and crime issues.

Please leave the boundary for the northwest Potrero Hill neighborhood as it is. It's working. Please.

Marcy Fraser

Vermont Street

Potrero Hill

Sent from my iPad

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Al Wong](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: No TL in D3
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:02:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Al Wong <alwong@alwong.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 3:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: No TL in D3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern

I would like to register my opposition to reistrict the tenderloin into D3. I would like it to stay where it is.

Concerned citizen and long time San Franciscan.

Al Wong

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jeffrey Huang](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Proposed D10/D9 boundary and the Vermont Street block
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:02:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeffrey Huang <beautifultomorrow@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:56 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Proposed D10/D9 boundary and the Vermont Street block

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello,

My name is Jeffrey Huang, and I live at 515 Vermont St, San Francisco, CA 94107. (Vermont and Mariposa intersection)

I saw the new proposed D10/D9 boundary, and the “cutout” of D10 around my block seems very odd to me. Can I get an explanation of why this redistricting for my block and surrounding blocks is being proposed?

Thank you,

Jeffrey Huang
515 Vermont Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
206-383-5333
Beautifultomorrow@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Joe Treinen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE:
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:02:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joe Treinen <joetreinen55@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:43 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Please do not divide our district up. District 10 should have border at 16th St. And Potrero Ave. Our district as boundaries are now having been working together on regional issues for decades. Don't split us up.

Joe Treinen
575 San Bruno

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Irina; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public has been ignored by you- we will question this decision
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:02:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Irina <irinam67@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:29 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Irina <irinam67@yahoo.com>
Subject: Public has been ignored by you- we will question this decision

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are a president of Jordan park, we are very disappointed that Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista will be removed from D2, this is disturbing that Task Force did not pay any attention to the public comments we were simply ignored.

The map overall fails every criteria except for the 1% criteria.

We were vocal to protest that Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista to be moved out of D2 and have no COI, alignment or allegiance to D1.

I would suggest the Task Force apply the criteria it has on its website, or we will continue fighting this unjustified time waste and waste of resources.

Why did we have all those meetings, if you ignore 100% of what was asked. Looks like a dictatorship and not a democracy.

We better see the positive results.

Irina Mirkina

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Julie Christensen](#)
Subject: FW: Divided Benefit district
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:02:02 PM
Attachments: [22_03_10 GBD and Redistricting Map.pdf](#)
[SF Residential Security Map 1937 s.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Julie Christensen <julie@greenbenefit.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Divided Benefit district

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Task Force:

A significant piece of information seems to have been left out of the considerations in establishing the proposed boundaries of D9 and D10. The boundary as drawn splits the Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District (which is managed by Public Works and not OEWD) between 2 supervisorial districts in a most awkward way. Please see the attached map indicating the proposed district boundary and the boundaries of the Green Benefit District.

The odd jog in the boundary is unpleasantly reminiscent of the part of Potrero Hill redlined in the 1930s.. That map is also attached.

Please assure us that this condition will be remedied as the boundaries are refined.


Thank you,
Julie Christensen

Julie Christensen, Executive Director
DOGPATCH & NW POTRERO HILL GREEN BENEFIT DISTRICT
1459 18th St. San Francisco CA 94107
W: GreenBenefit.org E: julie@greenbenefit.org
W: 4151.851.1570. C: 415.269.1948

Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District

D9


D10



THOMAS BROS.
Map of the
CITY AND COUNTY
OF
SAN FRANCISCO



SCALE IN FEET



RESIDENTIAL SECURITY MAP

— LEGEND —

- A - FIRST GRADE
- B - SECOND GRADE
- C - THIRD GRADE
- D - FOURTH GRADE
- SPARSELY SETTLED (Color indicates grade)
- INDUSTRIAL & COMMERCIAL

PREPARED BY
DIVISION OF RESEARCH & STATISTICS
WITH THE CO-OPERATION OF THE APPRAISAL DEPARTMENT
HOME OWNERS' LOAN CORPORATION APRIL 15, 1937

Block	Grade	Notes
A1	A	
A2	A	
A3	A	
A4	A	
A5	A	
A6	A	
A7	A	
A8	A	
A9	A	
A10	A	
A11	A	
A12	A	
A13	A	
A14	A	
A15	A	
A16	A	
A17	A	
A18	A	
A19	A	
A20	A	
A21	A	
A22	A	
A23	A	
A24	A	
A25	A	
A26	A	
A27	A	
A28	A	
A29	A	
A30	A	
A31	A	
A32	A	
A33	A	
A34	A	
A35	A	
A36	A	
A37	A	
A38	A	
A39	A	
A40	A	
A41	A	
A42	A	
A43	A	
A44	A	
A45	A	
A46	A	
A47	A	
A48	A	
A49	A	
A50	A	
A51	A	
A52	A	
A53	A	
A54	A	
A55	A	
A56	A	
A57	A	
A58	A	
A59	A	
A60	A	
A61	A	
A62	A	
A63	A	
A64	A	
A65	A	
A66	A	
A67	A	
A68	A	
A69	A	
A70	A	
A71	A	
A72	A	
A73	A	
A74	A	
A75	A	
A76	A	
A77	A	
A78	A	
A79	A	
A80	A	
A81	A	
A82	A	
A83	A	
A84	A	
A85	A	
A86	A	
A87	A	
A88	A	
A89	A	
A90	A	
A91	A	
A92	A	
A93	A	
A94	A	
A95	A	
A96	A	
A97	A	
A98	A	
A99	A	
A100	A	
B1	B	
B2	B	
B3	B	
B4	B	
B5	B	
B6	B	
B7	B	
B8	B	
B9	B	
B10	B	
B11	B	
B12	B	
B13	B	
B14	B	
B15	B	
B16	B	
B17	B	
B18	B	
B19	B	
B20	B	
B21	B	
B22	B	
B23	B	
B24	B	
B25	B	
B26	B	
B27	B	
B28	B	
B29	B	
B30	B	
B31	B	
B32	B	
B33	B	
B34	B	
B35	B	
B36	B	
B37	B	
B38	B	
B39	B	
B40	B	
B41	B	
B42	B	
B43	B	
B44	B	
B45	B	
B46	B	
B47	B	
B48	B	
B49	B	
B50	B	
B51	B	
B52	B	
B53	B	
B54	B	
B55	B	
B56	B	
B57	B	
B58	B	
B59	B	
B60	B	
B61	B	
B62	B	
B63	B	
B64	B	
B65	B	
B66	B	
B67	B	
B68	B	
B69	B	
B70	B	
B71	B	
B72	B	
B73	B	
B74	B	
B75	B	
B76	B	
B77	B	
B78	B	
B79	B	
B80	B	
B81	B	
B82	B	
B83	B	
B84	B	
B85	B	
B86	B	
B87	B	
B88	B	
B89	B	
B90	B	
B91	B	
B92	B	
B93	B	
B94	B	
B95	B	
B96	B	
B97	B	
B98	B	
B99	B	
B100	B	
C1	C	
C2	C	
C3	C	
C4	C	
C5	C	
C6	C	
C7	C	
C8	C	
C9	C	
C10	C	
C11	C	
C12	C	
C13	C	
C14	C	
C15	C	
C16	C	
C17	C	
C18	C	
C19	C	
C20	C	
C21	C	
C22	C	
C23	C	
C24	C	
C25	C	
C26	C	
C27	C	
C28	C	
C29	C	
C30	C	
C31	C	
C32	C	
C33	C	
C34	C	
C35	C	
C36	C	
C37	C	
C38	C	
C39	C	
C40	C	
C41	C	
C42	C	
C43	C	
C44	C	
C45	C	
C46	C	
C47	C	
C48	C	
C49	C	
C50	C	
C51	C	
C52	C	
C53	C	
C54	C	
C55	C	
C56	C	
C57	C	
C58	C	
C59	C	
C60	C	
C61	C	
C62	C	
C63	C	
C64	C	
C65	C	
C66	C	
C67	C	
C68	C	
C69	C	
C70	C	
C71	C	
C72	C	
C73	C	
C74	C	
C75	C	
C76	C	
C77	C	
C78	C	
C79	C	
C80	C	
C81	C	
C82	C	
C83	C	
C84	C	
C85	C	
C86	C	
C87	C	
C88	C	
C89	C	
C90	C	
C91	C	
C92	C	
C93	C	
C94	C	
C95	C	
C96	C	
C97	C	
C98	C	
C99	C	
C100	C	
D1	D	
D2	D	
D3	D	
D4	D	
D5	D	
D6	D	
D7	D	
D8	D	
D9	D	
D10	D	
D11	D	
D12	D	
D13	D	
D14	D	
D15	D	
D16	D	
D17	D	
D18	D	
D19	D	
D20	D	
D21	D	
D22	D	
D23	D	
D24	D	
D25	D	
D26	D	
D27	D	
D28	D	
D29	D	
D30	D	
D31	D	
D32	D	
D33	D	
D34	D	
D35	D	
D36	D	
D37	D	
D38	D	
D39	D	
D40	D	
D41	D	
D42	D	
D43	D	
D44	D	
D45	D	
D46	D	
D47	D	
D48	D	
D49	D	
D50	D	
D51	D	
D52	D	
D53	D	
D54	D	
D55	D	
D56	D	
D57	D	
D58	D	
D59	D	
D60	D	
D61	D	
D62	D	
D63	D	
D64	D	
D65	D	
D66	D	
D67	D	
D68	D	
D69	D	
D70	D	
D71	D	
D72	D	
D73	D	
D74	D	
D75	D	
D76	D	
D77	D	
D78	D	
D79	D	
D80	D	
D81	D	
D82	D	
D83	D	
D84	D	
D85	D	
D86	D	
D87	D	
D88	D	
D89	D	
D90	D	
D91	D	
D92	D	
D93	D	
D94	D	
D95	D	
D96	D	
D97	D	
D98	D	
D99	D	
D100	D	

LEGEND

- STREETS
- HIGHWAYS
- RAILROADS
- LIBRARIES
- ELEVATION
- SCHOOLS
- FIREHOUSES
- STREET NUMBERS
- PUBLIC PARKS
- RAIL LINES
- STREET CAR LINES
- U.S. MILITARY RESERVE
- LAGUNA DE LA MERCED
- SAN FRANCISCO AND COUNTY OF MARIN
- OLYMPIC CLUB

INDEX TO ORIGINAL RECORDS & EXEMPTIONS

Block	Grade	Notes
A1	A	
A2	A	
A3	A	
A4	A	
A5	A	
A6	A	
A7	A	
A8	A	
A9	A	
A10	A	
A11	A	
A12	A	
A13	A	
A14	A	
A15	A	
A16	A	
A17	A	
A18	A	
A19	A	
A20	A	
A21	A	
A22	A	
A23	A	
A24	A	
A25	A	
A26	A	
A27	A	
A28	A	
A29	A	
A30	A	
A31	A	
A32	A	
A33	A	
A34	A	
A35	A	
A36	A	
A37	A	
A38	A	
A39	A	
A40	A	
A41	A	
A42	A	
A43	A	
A44	A	
A45	A	
A46	A	
A47	A	
A48	A	
A49	A	
A50	A	
A51	A	
A52	A	
A53	A	
A54	A	
A55	A	
A56	A	
A57	A	
A58	A	
A59	A	
A60	A	
A61	A	
A62	A	
A63	A	
A64	A	
A65	A	
A66	A	
A67	A	
A68	A	
A69	A	
A70	A	
A71	A	
A72	A	
A73	A	
A74	A	
A75	A	
A76	A	
A77	A	
A78	A	
A79	A	
A80	A	
A81	A	
A82	A	
A83	A	
A84	A	
A85	A	
A86	A	
A87	A	
A88	A	
A89	A	
A90	A	
A91	A	
A92	A	
A93	A	
A94	A	
A95	A	
A96	A	
A97	A	
A98	A	
A99	A	
A100	A	
B1	B	
B2	B	
B3	B	
B4	B	
B5	B	
B6	B	
B7	B	
B8	B	
B9	B	
B10	B	
B11	B	
B12	B	
B13	B	
B14	B	
B15	B	
B16	B	
B17	B	
B18	B	
B19	B	
B20	B	
B21	B	
B22	B	
B23	B	
B24	B	
B25	B	
B26	B	
B27	B	
B28	B	
B29	B	
B30	B	
B31	B	
B32	B	
B33	B	
B34	B	
B35	B	
B36	B	
B37	B	
B38	B	
B39	B	
B40	B	
B41	B	

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Maria Mariotto](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting - District 2 - Objection
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:02:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Maria Mariotto <mb.mariotto@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 1:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting - District 2 - Objection

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Good afternoon,

Removing Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista out of D2 indicates that the Task Force has ignored all the criteria listed on their website regarding Community of Interest, etc. The map overall fails every criteria except for the 1% criteria.

Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista have no wish to be moved out of D2 and have no COI, alignment or allegiance to D1.

I would suggest the Task Force apply the criteria it has on its website or it should delete the criteria it chooses to ignore and simply draw imaginary lines in the sand.

Maria B. Mariotto
13 Wood St, San Francisco, CA 94118

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Chris Carlsson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please adopt the Community Unity Map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:02:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chris Carlsson <carlsson.chris@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 12:37 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please adopt the Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I just spent about 20 minutes perusing the proposed Community Unity Map. As it happens I spent a good deal of time drawing boundaries around neighborhoods back in the mid-1990s for our earliest version of Shaping San Francisco. I know how hard it is to accommodate a lot of those weird corners and edge zones into one neighborhood or another.

I have to say, these folks have done an outstanding job! Not only is it sensible in terms of cultural and urban flows, but it is well balanced in terms of population and demography, creates political boundaries that make sense, and generally is a thoughtful and detailed plan that can and should be implemented.

Please use this map!
thank you,
--Chris Carlsson

--

My most recent book (2020): [Hidden San Francisco: A Guide to Lost Landscapes, Unsung Heroes, and Radical Histories](#)

<http://www.chriscarlsson.com>

Bay Cruises! http://www.shapingsf.org/boat_tours.html

Shaping San Francisco, Tours and Talks: <http://www.shapingsf.org>

Shaping San Francisco's digital archive is at <http://FoundSF.org>.

The Nowtopian (my blog): <http://www.nowtopians.com>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Richard Frisbie](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Kathy Devincenzi](#); [Owen Hart](#); [Mullan, Andrew \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: March 14 Preliminary Map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 2:02:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 12:31 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Kathy Devincenzi <krdevincenzi@gmail.com>; Owen Hart <ohart120@gmail.com>; Mullan, Andrew (BOS) <andrew.mullan@sfgov.org>; Stefani,

Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>

Subject: March 14 Preliminary Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ripping Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista out of D2 indicates that the Task Force has ignored all the criteria listed on their website regarding Community of Interest, etc.

The map overall fails every criteria except for the 1% criteria.

Laurel Heights, Jordan Park and Anza Vista have no wish to be moved out of D2 and have no COI, alignment or allegiance to D1.

I would suggest the Task Force apply the criteria it has on its website or it should delete the criteria it chooses to ignore and simply draw imaginary lines in the sand.

Disappointed,

Richard Frisbie

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce; tesw@aol.com](#)
Subject: FW: COI attached.
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:14:31 AM
Attachments: [COI - D5 Mutual Aide, Food, more.doc](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: COI attached.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Name (Optional) D5 Mutual Aide

What is your community's mutual interest?

In District 5, we have a large number of mutual aid programs, volunteers, and not-for-profits that help our neighbors with basics such as food and shelter. For example, in the Haight, there are at least four food programs distributing groceries and meals. They include the Haight Ashbury Food Program, serving hot meals and groceries, the San Francisco-Marín Food Bank, which has a pop-up pantry for groceries in Kezar parking, and also supplies other food programs, the FoodRunners program, collecting excess food from corporate events, restaurants, and grocery stores, St. Agnes Church's food pantry, and the Homeless Youth Alliance, which also provides hot meals and snacks to youth and other unhoused people five days a week. Most of the programs rely heavily on neighborhood volunteers to collect food, cook food, deliver groceries, and more. When there was a city-sponsored Safe Camping Site at 730 Stanyan, more neighbors came out to provide homemade feasts, collect and distribute neighbor-donated clothing and other resources.

Where is your community located?

Please be as specific as possible – what streets, highways, rivers, etc., make up the boundaries of your COI?

Between Fulton, Divisadero, 17th St., and Arguello

Why should your community be kept together?

We are an inclusive, welcoming, compassionate community, accepting of differences, who help our neighbors in need. This makes for strong ties in D5.

Please be as specific as possible – what streets, highways, rivers, etc., make up the boundaries of your COI?

Between Fulton, Divisadero, 17th St., and Arguello

Why should your community be kept together?

We are an inclusive, welcoming, compassionate community, accepting of differences, who help our neighbors in need. This makes for strong ties in D5.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Jamie Allen](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6 => District 10A
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:14:13 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jamie Allen <jamie@trallena.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:01 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 => District 10A

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I am a 15 year Mission Bay resident and homeowner.

I am pleased to see the latest draft map has Mission Bay, Potrero Hill, and Dogpatch in the same district. We are closely stitched neighborhoods with many common interests.

I would like to see the Design District included in this grouping, extending the new district north to Brannon Street and east to Vermont Street.

On the other hand, we have little in common with Bayview/Hunters Point. By grouping Bayview/Hunters Point with Mission Bay/Potrero Hill/Dogpatch, our supervisors will have to choose to focus on the issues either north or south of Cesar Chavez -- the issues are so different.

This is exactly the situation we've had in the past, with our supervisors attention being divided between the Tenderloin/SOMA to the north and Mission Bay/South Beach to the south. Unfortunately, Tenderloin/SOMA tended to have the more news worthy issues and therefore got most of the attention.

In order to get the populations of the new districts to balance out, it might make more sense to group Bayview/Hunters Point with neighborhoods to the west, and to also expand the Mission Bay/Potrero Hill/Dogpatch grouping westward into the eastern edge of the Mission.

Jamie Allen
+1.408.398.5009

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pamela Tau](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D1&2 Redistricting Task Force Meeting
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:14:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pamela Tau <ptlee14@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 9:32 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D1&2 Redistricting Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

To Members of the Redistricting Task Force,

My name is Pamela Tau Lee, my husband and I are long time residents and homeowners living in the Richmond District on 16th Ave near Cabrillo. (My husband grew up in the Richmond District.) I am a retired public school educator and am grateful to be living in this historic neighborhood.

I identify with my working class neighbors (homeowners and renters alike). I love our longtime small businesses, many of which cater to the diverse needs of the community, especially Chinese and Russian. As a 74 year old Chinese American, I am especially grateful for our community spaces such as the Golden Gate Park Senior Center. Their senior art program transformed me to become an art-tivist.

My son and daughter-in-law are part of the 60% renters who thankfully live in a rent controlled unit. They now live close so they can assist us with our needs as we age. We are still living in a pandemic and both my husband and I are immune-compromised. If they were not able to find their rent controlled unit, I doubt we could be together in this. During this pandemic and anti-Asian violence moment, which I directly experienced, young working class renters and student neighbors stepped forward to help me with our grocery shopping and be a walking buddy.

This is how the values, shared backgrounds, experiences and identities of D1 have enhanced my quality of life. I strongly urge you to support the Community Unity map. The Unity Map satisfies the need to add residents to D1.

Changing district lines to include the Sea Cliff makes no sense. I sense ulterior motives. The proposal to include the Sea Cliff is in contradiction to how district lines should be drawn. Including the Sea Cliff will dilute the voices and representation of Asian American residents like me and renters in the district. The income level of the Sea Cliff is significantly higher which will make community services that we need more difficult to secure and maintain. And to those who claim reverse racism, please; our people have had to endure these claims time after time whenever our voices are uplifted for racial justice.

I am a veteran of the 1970's International Hotel struggle to protect and preserve the historic neighborhoods of Manilatown/Chinatown. We fought the wealthy predators and the enablers who sought to totally wipe out these communities. I see the same dynamic happening here and I join with my Richmond District neighbors in urging you to support the Community Unity Map and protect and preserve our historic neighborhood.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Pamela Tau](#); [Kay Nomura](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: No on redrawing Richmond District lines
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Pamela Tau <ptlee14@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 6:49 AM

To: Kay Nomura <kayknomura@gmail.com>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: No on redrawing Richmond District lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I agree with you.
Great statement!

On Thu, Mar 10, 2022 at 6:36 AM Kay Nomura <kayknomura@gmail.com> wrote:

Don't you think it's suspicious of Sea Cliff residents wanting new district border lines?

I live on California Street and 32nd Avenue, across the street from Sea Cliff. I walk around the Sea Cliff and marvel at their beautiful front gardens and lawns. I guess the drought doesn't affect them or they don't care about water for the rest of the City.

The present District 1 residents are concerned about the community and City. I vote for individuals for their positions on helping the Richmond District as a working class area and for the City as a whole. Sea Cliff is presently not part of the Richmond District, physically or emotionally.

NO on including Sea Cliff in District 1.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kay Nomura](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: No on redrawing Richmond District lines
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:51 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kay Nomura <kaynomura@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 6:37 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: No on redrawing Richmond District lines

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Don't you think it's suspicious of Sea Cliff residents wanting new district border lines?

I live on California Street and 32nd Avenue, across the street from Sea Cliff. I walk around the Sea Cliff and marvel at their beautiful front gardens and lawns. I guess the drought doesn't affect them or they don't care about water for the rest of the City.

The present District 1 residents are concerned about the community and City. I vote for individuals for their positions on helping the Richmond District as a working class area and for the City as a whole. Sea Cliff is presently not part of the Richmond District, physically or emotionally.

NO on including Sea Cliff in District 1.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: ortzbrett@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:44 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brett Ortiz <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 10:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Brett Ortiz**

Email

ortizbrett@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce: cheryl.thornton](#)
Subject: FW: Community Map Workshop.heic
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:38 AM
Attachments: [Community Map Workshop.heic](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: cheryl thornton <cherylthornton@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 7:54 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Community Map Workshop.heic

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Linda Marks](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Kathleen Courtney](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Linda Marks <lindamarkssf2@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 7:25 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@xdm.com>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My husband and I have lived at Green and Hyde for 50 years and I have been deeply involved with the Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA) and a member of Russian Hill Neighbors (RHN). I understand that you are collecting input for the redistricting and I want to express a sincere desire that all of Russian Hill be included in District 3. We love working with the other neighborhood groups and are much more connected to North Beach and Chinatown than to other neighborhoods around.

Thank you so much for your consideration of this.

Sincerely,
Linda Marks
1177 Green Street, SF
Cell: 415-706-4705

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Borruso](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: A request to keep RHCA and all of Russian Hill in District 3
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John Borruso <borruso@mindspring.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 6:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: A request to keep RHCA and all of Russian Hill in District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force,

My name is John Borruso. I live in Russian Hill and am a member of the Russian Hill Community Association (RHCA).

I am writing to request that RHCA's boundaries remain in District 3, and all of Russian Hill be included in District 3.

RHCA boundaries extend from Van Ness to Jones and from Filbert to Washington. We have longstanding ties to neighborhood associations in North Beach, Chinatown, and Nob Hill, as well as here in Russian Hill. Our groups work together across neighborhoods on issues we all have in common, from housing to transit to community safety.

I see the diversity of the northeast quadrant as its strength, and ask that this interconnectedness be reinforced by keeping all these neighborhoods together in District 3, and extending the district's boundary to Van Ness to include all of Russian Hill.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John Borruso

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [George Wooding](#)
Cc: [Geroge Wooding](#)
Subject: FW: West of Twin Peaks Council (WTPCC) endorses Coalition For San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) Redistricting Proposal and Map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:13:02 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force \(1\).docx](#)
[CLB 2020 MAP City-wide with BLACK BOUNDARY LINES.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: George Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 5:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Geroge Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>

Subject: West of Twin Peaks Council (WTPCC) endorses Coalition For San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) Redistricting Proposal and Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached is the transmittal letter from Dena Aslanian-Williams, President, of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council (WTPCC), an umbrella group of more than twenty neighborhood organizations, endorsing and supporting the redistricting proposal submitted by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods. Together these forty-two neighborhood organizations endorse and support the redistricting proposal submitted by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods.

Best Regards,

**George Wooding
President
Midtown Terrace Home Owners Association**



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC


ng Tool



Languages



Help



① OVERALL WITH BOUNDARIES

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce: Westoftwinpeaks](#)
Subject: FW: D7 map WTPCC community of interest redistricting recommendation
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:12:38 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Westoftwinpeaks <President@westoftwinpeaks.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 5:02 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D7 map WTPCC community of interest redistricting recommendation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for your consideration

Warmest Regards,

Dena

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President West of Twin Peaks Central Council

O [415 766 6601](tel:4157666601)

C [415 407 4381](tel:4154074381)

Dena.Williams@Compass.com

denawilliams@msn.com

Cal BRE # 01355644



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Forest Knolls](#)
Cc: [Forest Knolls](#)
Subject: FW: West of Twin Peaks Council (WTPCC) Endorses Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) Redistricting Proposal and Map
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:12:24 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Forest Knolls <forestknolls@comcast.net>

Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 4:42 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Forest Knolls <forestknolls@comcast.net>

Subject: West of Twin Peaks Council (WTPCC) Endorses Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN) Redistricting Proposal and Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached is the transmittal letter from Dena Aslanian-Williams, President, of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council (WTPCC), an umbrella group of more than twenty neighborhood organizations, endorsing and supporting the redistricting proposal submitted by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods. Together these forty-two neighborhood organizations endorse and support the redistricting proposal submitted by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods.



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Crowder, Dona](#)
Cc: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: [WTPCC Delegates] Please send letter out Re redistricting D7
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:11:57 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 4:04 PM
To: Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <lila.carrillo@sfgov.org>; Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Cc: RedistrictingSF@gmail.com; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: [WTPCC Delegates] Please send letter out Re redistricting D7

Dear Reverend Townsend,

We are just forwarding this letter and it is in no way a position or endorsement of the content of this letter. Just want to make that clear! Thank you.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <lila.carrillo@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 3:32:23 PM
To: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Cc: RedistrictingSF@gmail.com <RedistrictingSF@gmail.com>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: [WTPCC Delegates] Please send letter out Re redistricting D7

Good afternoon Dona,

I am adding the contact emails that we have for the redistricting task force so they receive your correspondence directly (attached) and for you to have their correct email address.

RDTF, please confirm that the email(s) are the ones constituents can use to submit their correspondence (and that you were able to retrieve the attached correspondence from this constituency).

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Kindly,

Lila Carrillo
Legislative Aide
Supervisor Myrna Melgar
San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 7
Pronouns: she,her,ella/ hers ([What's this?](#))
SIGN UP TO RECEIVE OUR [NEWSLETTER!](#)

From: Crowder, Dona <Dona.Crowder@cbnorcal.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 12:28 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <lila.carrillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: [WTPCC Delegates] Please send letter out Re redistricting D7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Apparently WTPCC director cannot get our letter through to the designated email. Could you assist please? Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

Dona Crowder
Senior Broker Associate
Coldwell Banker
415-310-5933

dona@donacrowder.com

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.donacrowder.com___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYjg4ZDU1MWMzNzIxZDA1ZTlhNDE3MjFiZWQ2ZmNkYTo1OmVhMGE6YjFhOTNkZjAwZTFmYzIzZDI2MWY2ZDNkZTY5ODgzODUwZTg4MTE2ZmExOWNlNzQ0MWVlYTViODBlMDE5ODMyMjp0Ok4

Begin forwarded message:

From: Westoftwinpeaks <President@westoftwinpeaks.org>

Date: March 9, 2022 at 12:18:22 PM PST

To: WTPCC-delegates@googlegroups.com

Subject: [WTPCC Delegates] Please send letter out Re redistricting D7

Dear Delegates,

Enclosed please find the letter that endorses the coalition plan.

I have tried three different emails and it keeps bouncing back.

I am asking for each individual neighborhood and delegates who wishes to send it out to do so on their own.

The letter is written, signed and is on our letterhead ready to be sent out.

The next meeting for D7 Redistricting is on March 21 and we will make sure you each get the information and zoom link, it will most likely be a hybrid meeting.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dena

P.S. I will put a copy in the mail

O [415 766 6601](tel:4157666601)

C [415 407 4381](tel:4154074381)

Dena.Williams@Compass.com

denawilliams@msn.com

Cal BRE # 01355644

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "WTPCC-Delegates" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to WTPCC-delegates+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/WTPCC-delegates/45BFBEBE-9AD0-4A29-B79B-A7B7899DB234%40westoftwinpeaks.org>.

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Calvin Lau](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Southern Boundary of District 2
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:11:04 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Calvin Lau <calvinlau@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 3:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Southern Boundary of District 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I live in the Lower Pacific Heights neighborhood of District 2. The southern boundary of D2 is currently a jagged and crooked line that cuts through our neighborhood. This boundary makes no sense but creates confusion more than anything else.

Please straighten out this southern boundary of D2 so that it includes all of Lower Pacific Heights, in other words, everything north of Geary Blvd.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Calvin Lau

2673 Pine Street

San Francisco 94115

cc: Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
hello@d2unite.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [J.R. Bisho Company, Inc.](#)
Subject: FW: Westwood Highlands Supports Map by Coalition of SF Nbhds..
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:10:56 AM
Attachments: [Letter to the Redistricting Task Force.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: J.R. Bisho Company, Inc. <bisho@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 2:44 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Westwood Highlands Supports Map by Coalition of SF Nbhds..

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairpersons:


Pls see the attached letter and map. Thanks for your hours of hard work.

Sincerely,

David Bisho, President

Westwood Highlands Assn.

<http://www.westwoodhighlands.org>



WESTWOOD HIGHLANDS ASSOCIATION
www.westwoodhighlands.org

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-46352
etfr@sfgov.org

May 9, 2022

Re: Strong Support for CSFN Redistricting Map

Dear Chairpersons:

The Westwood Highlands Association (since 1924) strongly support the recommendations and map as prepared by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN).

As a former member of the redistricting task force in 2002, I particularly appreciate the hard work and study that CSFN has done in the meeting the criteria required to properly and responsibly 'redistrict' as required by law.

The Westwood Highlands Association particularly agrees with the Coalition's assessment of the *community* our historic neighborhoods feel, most of which were developed in anticipation of the opening of the West Portal Tunnel in 1917.

Please keep our community together and vote to use the map as specified by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods. (attached).

Thank you all for your dedication and hard work.

Sincerely,

David Bisho, President
Westwood Highlands Association
120 Brentwood Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94127

Email: bisho@pacbell.net;

Phone: 415-290-7267

Attached: Map as prepared by the Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods


ng Tool



Languages



Help



① OVERALL WITH BOUNDARIES

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [MPIC - Zoning & Planning Committee](#)
Cc: President@westoftwinpeaks.org; [MPIC President](#)
Subject: FW: West of Twin Peaks Central Council_Supporting the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Redistricting Plan
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:10:36 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force \(1\).docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: MPIC - Zoning & Planning Committee <miralomapark.zap@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 2:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: President@westoftwinpeaks.org; [MPIC President <mpicpresident@gmail.com>](mailto:mpicpresident@gmail.com)
Subject: West of Twin Peaks Central Council_Supporting the Coalition for San Francisco

Neighborhoods Redistricting Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon:

On behalf of the Miraloma Park Improvement Club, I am attaching for your review the West of Twin Peaks Central Council statement of support of the “Incremental/Minimal Change Redistricting Plan” proposed by the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods. The Miraloma Park Improvement Club, a member organization of the Council, fully supports this Plan. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Breslin

Board of Directors Zoning and Planning Committee

Miraloma Park Improvement Club

350 O'Shaughnessy Boulevard

San Francisco, CA 94127

www.miralomapark.org



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Stephen Roditti](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting proposal for District
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:10:03 AM
Attachments: [2022.03.08 - Letter to Redistricting Task Force.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Stephen Roditti <rorocks100@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 2:04 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting proposal for District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635

Monterey Heights Homes Home Owners Association supports the attached letter from West of Twin Peaks Central Council (WPTCC) stating its preferred plan for re-districting District 7

Sincerely

Steve Roditti
Monterey Heights Homes HOA Vice-President



West of Twin Peaks Central Council

A Resource for Neighborhood Organizations West of Twin Peaks in San Francisco since 1936

PO Box 27112
San Francisco, CA 94127

<http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/>

March 8, 2022

Task Force on Redistricting
c/o Department of Elections - City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48
San Francisco, CA 94102-4635
etfr@sfgov.org

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force members:

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council redistricting committee met and examined the redistricting plan presented by the "Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods" which keeps most of District 7 intact and gives us enough population to qualify until the next census. The issue was presented to the delegates representing multiple neighborhoods in District 7 and on the West Side and the majority have agreed to endorse the plan as presented.

Preserving "communities of interest" is a vitally important criteria for redistricting. A community of interest is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically, like people who share the same bus lines, live in a similar type of housing or speak the same language.

District 7 is an area that shares social, historical, and geographical features. Further identified by landmarks, main roads and natural boundaries, neighborhoods with historic homeowner associations and integrity, churches, schools and other unique other characteristics. District 7 is a "core" district with shared communities of interest. Our district is contiguous, compact and can be equally populated without a lot of changes to the existing district.

Generally speaking, we are aware that there will be tweaks in the mapping process to achieve your directive to balance the population in each district, we feel that map submitted keeps D7 intact with minimal disruption.

Reasons for the endorsement and desire to keep D7 as intact as possible by, Forest Hill Association, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization, Ingleside Terraces Homes Association, Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club, Merced Manor Property Owner's Association, Midtown Terrace Homeowner's Association, Monterey Heights Homes Association, Mount Davidson Manor, Miraloma Park Improvement Club, Pine Lake Park Neighborhood Association, Saint Francis Homes Association, Sherwood Forest Homeowner's Association, Twin Peaks Improvement Association, Westwood Highlands Homeowner's Association, Westwood Park Association and The Woods of San Francisco Homeowners Association are as follows:

1. There are geographical and topographical borders that warrant keeping the neighborhoods on the West side together such as Twin Peaks.

2. We are communities of interest and that is a vitally important criteria for the redistricting commission. A " Community ties of Interest "is a concept defined in the California Constitution as a group of people that share a common social or economic interest that can be understood geographically.
3. Most of our neighborhoods have existing and long-standing neighborhood associations that are very active even today. Breaking us up into different supervisory districts makes no sense and dilutes fair representation in City Hall.
4. Public Safety such as fire protection etc. makes it prudent to keep us together as the topography is defined by open space, hills, flatlands, narrow roads and limited access to imbedded neighborhoods.
5. D7 is racially diverse as the last census proves.

For all the reasons stated above, the West of Twin Peaks Central Council endorses the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods Plan as submitted and urges the commission to consider it as it provides minimal impact to our defined community of interest under the law.

Warmest Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dena Aslanian-Williams". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
President, WTPCC

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Janine Shiota](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:07:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janine Shiota <janine.shiota@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 11:46 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force and Supervisor Aaron Peskin,

Please use the D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED Plan which will:

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Thank you!

D3 Resident for 20+ years

Janine Shiota

415-425-4579

janine.shiota@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Brandon Bert](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2022 11:07:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brandon Bert <amazinggrasshead@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 9, 2022 11:43 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr Peskin,

I support a sensible redistricting plan for our neighborhood and strongly urge you to support it too.

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Thank you for your support.

Brandon Bert

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Howard Lockshin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 2, Anza Vista, and Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:58:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Howard Lockshin <hllockshin@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 6:35 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 2, Anza Vista, and Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

All,

I first moved to the Anza Vista neighborhood in Oct 1958, moved away for college, then moved to the Marina district after college (I lived there for 13+ years) and then finally moved back to the home I grew up in, in Anza Vista, after my mother passed away in 2001. In all of those 63 years, my and my family's association with District 2 has been one that is inseparable with our way of life. Most everything about my and my family's daily activities have been centered in District 2: our cleaners, shoe makers, grocery stores, pharmacy, even my daughter's high school was and remains in District 2. Most importantly, our political and socio-economic viewpoints are most closely aligned with our neighbors in District 2.

I am absolutely NOT interested in being hustled into another district. Our Supervisor is out and about, available, and reasonably supports our neighborhood in a more than positive way. They have been very instrumental in helping the City Center grow and flourish. I can't imagine a successful outcome for the Laurel Heights redevelopment, UCSF, and an enhanced Kaiser without support from OUR Supervisor.

Please don't ruin a very good thing by reassigning us to a different district.

Thanks,
Howard Lockshin

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [John Crittenden](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT FOR D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:58:15 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: John Crittenden <johnwcrittenden@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 6:25 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association <bcna@bcnasf.org>

Subject: SUPPORT FOR D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

I'm a resident of San Francisco's Northeast Waterfront Historic District. I write to urge you to adopt the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan for the redistricting of District 3.

Our neighborhood sits between Telegraph Hill and The Embarcadero, but my wife and I feel a close connection to our nearby neighborhoods of North Beach, Chinatown, Russian Hill, and Fisherman's Wharf/Ghirardelli Square.

We're retired, and often find ourselves on walks through these neighborhoods and enjoying their shops and restaurants. Also, the entire arc along the northeast and north shore of the City comprises a key, and unified, center of San Francisco tourism.

I am aware that at least one plan would separate us from our close neighbors and connect us with the Tenderloin. The Tenderloin is a neighborhood that deserves, and needs, much attention and support from the City. But its needs are very different from ours. I fear that if the Northeast Waterfront were placed in a district with the Tenderloin, our very different concerns and needs would be overlooked.

The D3 Neighborhoods United Plan is a logical and rational approach to setting boundaries for our district, as it simply adds to current District 3 the "notch" bounded on the Bay on the north and Van Ness Avenue on the west that isn't already in our district.

Please adopt the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan.

Thank you for your attention.

John Crittenden

John W. Crittenden

101 Lombard St., #801W

San Francisco, CA 94111

+1 415 216-9705

johnwcrittenden@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: GSchnair@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:58:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gene Schnair <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 6:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Gene Schnair

Email

GSchnair@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [schouest family](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:36:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: schouest family <theschouestfamily@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:23 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hi,

We live in Anza Vista and have heard there is some discussion about moving our neighborhood to a new district. Our desire would be to stay in District 2. Our children go to school in District 2, we do most of our shopping in District 2 and our understanding is that the SF City Center is likely to be in District 2. The Target and City Center significantly impacts our neighborhood and we feel it is critical to ensure our neighborhood has a significant voice as to what happens at the City Center and have a vote as to what supervisor impacts this area.

Thank you,

The Schouests in Anza Vista.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Ossama Hassanein](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:36:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ossama Hassanein <ossama@rtf.vc>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:20 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dears: we ask you to please:

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Warmest Regards,

Ossama Hassanein, PhD

Chairman, [Rising Tide VC](#)



From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: dick.keenan@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:52 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Richard Keenan <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 4:27 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Richard Keenan

Email

dick.keenan@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: jkendall301@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jamie Kendall <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 4:06 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Jamie Kendall**

Email

jkendall301@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: idomeneo@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Art lam <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Art lam

Email

idomeneo@mindspring.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: turbo-z@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:30 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: COLEY POGOSIAN <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent COLEY POGOSIAN

Email

turbo-z@mindspring.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: turbo-z@mindspring.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ararat Pogolian <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:55 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Ararat Pogolian**

Email

turbo-z@mindspring.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: grant.ingram@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:17 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grant Ingram <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:38 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Grant Ingram

Email

grant.ingram@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: Eddysapiro@yahoo.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eddy Sapiro <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:00 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Eddy Sapiro

Email

Eddysapiro@yahoo.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: melinda@hendersonsf.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:35:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mindy Henderson <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 2:49 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Mindy Henderson

Email

melinda@hendersonsf.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: diane.sargent@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:34:54 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Diane Sargent <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 2:42 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Diane Sargent

Email

diane.sargent@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 1

Please reunite The Richmond in D1!

Message to the
Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please move Sea Cliff, West Clay Park, North of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in the Richmond and these neighborhoods are a distinct community that walks, shops, dines and recreates in the Richmond. We all know the merchants on Clement Street, not Chestnut Street.

It also doesn't make geographical sense that areas like Sea Cliff and North of Lake are in D2 when they share streets, parks and schools and the police station with the Richmond, and are physically separate from the bulk of D2 neighborhoods.

Please reunite our Sea Cliff, north of Lake, Jordan Park and Laurel Village neighborhoods in D1.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rula Tamer](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:34:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Rula Tamer <rulatamer@aol.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 2:37 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force and Supervisor Peskin,

We are writing to urge you to support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan. We support the plan because:

- It maintains the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- It enhances District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- It supports communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- It maintains population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Thank You for your consideration.

Rula and Ford Tamer

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Rose, Jo-Ann](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:34:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.


By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information—that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*


From: Rose, Jo-Ann <Jrose@firstrepublic.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 2:03 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I support the proposed plan made by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association. I want to Keep District 3 Neighborhoods United.

I support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan.

Jo-Ann M. Rose
150 Lombard Street
San Francisco, CA 94111



upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer. This message cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free.

First Republic Bank and its related entities do not take responsibility for, or accept time-sensitive instructions sent by email including orders, funds transfer instructions or stop payments on checks. All instructions of this nature must be handled by direct communication, not email.

We reserve the right to monitor and review the content of all email communications sent or received. Emails sent to or from this address may be stored in accordance with regulatory requirements.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: sherryruskin@sbcglobal.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:34:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: sherryruskin@sbcglobal.net <sherryruskin@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 12:59 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a long-time resident of the Gateway apartments, I am writing to urge you to implement the District 3 redistricting plan submitted by the BCNA and other D3 neighborhoods.

I've reviewed the plan as it is described in an email from the BCNA, and agree with them that it clearly meets the RTF criteria to maintain population equity, communities of interest, and neighborhood unification. Granted, this is an issue that I would not ordinarily have strong feelings about (or even pay any attention to), but we've been told of an alternative plan that does not meet the last two criteria, so I feel it is important to express my opinion on this matter. The redistricting needs to keep the cohesion among neighborhood groups that have a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with each other and neighborhood merchant organizations.

Thank you,
Sheryl Ruskin

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gail; REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: D3 Neighborhood United Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:55 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail <guilkema@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 12:51 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: D3 Neighborhood United Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Please maintain the existing complimentary neighborhoods.

The Barbary Coast Association has worked hard to develop a sense of community; please do not undo it.

Thank you, Gail Uilkema, resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cbush8@comcast.net; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Bush <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 12:08 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent Charles Bush

Email

cbush8@comcast.net

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kaye Woods](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:39 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kaye Woods <kwoods@sffinehomes.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 11:30 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

As a homeowner at 733 Front St., in District 3, I have seen good changes in increased population and improvements within this community.

When considering redistricting, please take into account the growth in population, and the integrity of a plan that would include like-minded neighborhoods such as Russian Hill, North Beach, Nob Hill and Telegraph Hill.

I see no benefit to District 3 by incorporating us into a Plan that would include the Tenderloin and Chinatown.

Thank you for allowing me to voice my concerns for the benefit of District 3.

Kaye

Kaye Woods

kwoods@sfinehomes.com

(c) 415-519-1549

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Karen Keese](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Keese <karen@keese coaching.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 11:27 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force:

I am emailing to express my very strong support for the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan. As a resident of District 3 for 25 years, and after carefully reading your requirements and their and others' proposals...that plan makes the most sense to me...maintaining the community of interest, balancing population, and with easy to describe and easily understood borders. Adding a section of Russian Hill to the existing D3 is actually an improvement...keeping that neighborhood intact...and it keeps the "classic" tourism/tourist areas together. As a resident of Lombard Street living at the base of Telegraph Hill, with a 15 min walk to Chinatown and a 20-minute walk to Ghiradelli Square, this plan makes sense to me.

I appreciate the inclusion of community members' voices and hope that you hear the message that the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan is the best solution for District 3.

Thank you.

Karen Keese

Keese Coaching and Consulting

415-294-0625

karen@keese coaching.com

www.keese coaching.com

Transformational change occurs when we shift limiting beliefs, change our perspective, and live aligned with life purpose and values.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: cvaron@icloud.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: christine varon <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 11:17 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent christine varon

Email

covaron@icloud.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: wrb100@gmail.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 5:33:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Billy Brandreth <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:58 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdrtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Restricting Task Force

From your constituent **Billy Brandreth**

Email

wrb100@gmail.com

I am a resident of

District 2

Please reunite neighbors and put all of the Richmond in D1!

Message to the Redistricting Task Force

To the members of the Redistricting Task Force:

Please put Jordan Park, Laurel Heights, Presidio Heights, North of Lake, West Clay Park, and Sea Cliff from District 2 back into the Richmond District in District 1. I live in D2 and it makes no sense that these neighborhoods are connected to the Marina, Cow Hollow and Pacific Heights. Clearly, residents who live in those neighborhoods shop at Laurel Village and on Clement Street more than they do on Chestnut Street and Fillmore Street. And they recreate around where they actually live—Mountain Lake Park and Golden Gate Park, more than they do at Chrissy Field and Fort Mason.

The official redistricting map should keep these neighborhoods together — this makes the most sense for our community.

Thank you!

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Conor Myhrvold](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:48:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Conor Myhrvold <conor.myhrvold@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:52 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force and Aaron Peskin,

As a condo owner in 733 Front St (near Sydney Walton park) since 2018, I am writing in support of Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association's (BCNA's) Neighborhoods United Plan: <https://www.bcnasf.org/letter-from-bcna-re-district-3-united-neighborhoods-proposed-plan-map/>

BCNA's plan preserves neighborhoods which are complementary to each other in the same district, which are within walking distance of each other. To the many tourists and people passing through for a day or half a day, they are practically speaking contiguous to each other without a clear demarcation:



Ghirardelli Square, Fisherman's Wharf, North Beach, the Exploratorium, Jackson Square and the Ferry Building all share similar focuses and needs with respect to tourism, what drives the economy, and to be frank some of the challenges that have been associated with COVID related foot traffic and revenue declines for many of the small businesses in the area.

I for one have spent a lot of time walking in and among these neighborhoods first hand, and there are many business ties and shared commonalities between this section of San Francisco. It was part of this appear which led me to move to this area from the Mission District, after a number of years of living in SF.

Having a tourism oriented district by modifying just a portion of Russian Hill in D2 to D3, exercises a minimal viable change, that fulfills a district's criteria for population equity, communities of interest, and neighborhood unification.

I will sincerely hope that you take into account these factors, and consider the prudence of simplicity

of this district plan change, which can support the next decade of growth and COVID recovery for an important part of San Francisco that is heavily dependent on tourism. And that as of now, is still a far cry from where it was in 2019 before COVID hit.

Thank you for your consideration,
Conor Myhrvold

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [KAM JALILI](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Remaining in Dist. 2
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:53 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: KAM JALILI <kamjalili@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:47 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Remaining in Dist. 2

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I own a property on Barcelona Ave. in Anza Vista neighborhood and would like to remain in District 2. We have been in district 2 as far back as I remember and don't see any reason to have it changed.

Kam Jalili

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Phyllis Goodman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:48 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Phyllis Goodman <plgoodman7@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 9:00 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I am in favor of the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan, which meets the RTF criteria of population equity, communities of interest, and neighborhood unification.

Thank you.

Phyllis Goodman

Tenant at The Gateway apartment complex

--

Phyllis L. Goodman

Editorial Services

415-875-9762 (office)

925-708-9595 (mobile)

plgoodman7@gmail.com

www.phyllis-goodman.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Monica Clemens](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Continuity - District Two
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:40 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Monica Clemens <clemensmonica@ymail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 8:06 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Continuity - District Two

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Committee,

I am a resident of District Two, namely the property on the backside of the old Sears, starting on Anza Vista facing Turk. I moved into our neighborhood in 1960 and have resided between the Sears area and western Addition (and some years in Los Angeles). At one point during the covid drama, there were three generations of family in the house. Thus, we are very familiar with the Laurel community. I have always been in the Laurel community, and would not want to be separated from our important group by line adjustments. Please keep our family property in District Two.

Thank you!

Monica Clemens

101 Anza Vista Ave

San Francisco Ca 94115

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Leo Gordon](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: please keep us in District two
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Leo Gordon <jeangordon701@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 7:11 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: please keep us in District two

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

On behalf of the majority of home owner's in AnzaVista-
We've been in District 2 forever and each District 2 Supervisor has actively lobbied for us, participated in our General Membership meetings.
We would like that to continue and stay in District two.

thanks and sincerely,
homeowner at 60-66 Encanto Avenue. 94115

Leonard Jean Gordon

Cheers,
LEO
www.leojeangordon.carbonmade.com

--

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Deborah Parker Wong](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Don't divide, unify the Inner Sunset
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:24 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Deborah Parker Wong <deborahparkerwong@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 6:25 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Don't divide, unify the Inner Sunset

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

To the members of the **Redistricting Task Force**,

As a 30-year resident in District 7, I strongly object to the proposed split of the neighborhood blocks in the Irving Merchant Corridor near Golden Gate Park that would place them in District 4. This neighborhood is the heart of the Inner Sunset and doing so would ignore the obvious boundaries of our neighborhood of 19th Avenue and Golden Gate Park. As D7 must expand because of the census, consider expanding to include all of the Inner Sunset so merchants and residents who patronize their local businesses have unified representation under one Supervisor.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Parker Wong
559 Ortega Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Kathleen McNulty](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:47:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen McNulty <kmmcnulty7447@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 10:26 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for D3 Neighborhoods United Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. I am a resident of District 3. I find many attributes of this District especially attractive, having lived for many years in District 2, previously.

It is my hope that in the redistricting project underway that you maintain the integrity of District 3 as it currently exists insofar as possible. I recognize that population shifts during the past 10 years necessitate changes to balance Districts citywide. However, I want to endorse the proposal that changes the borders of our District as little as possible.

District 3 has a unique waterside identity that is tied not only to San Francisco's history and heritage, but also to tourism, which supports so many divergent activities and groups throughout our city.

I support the D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED Plan and urge you to accept this as the most appropriate for our District.

Thank you.

Kathleen M. McNulty

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Gary Pegueros](#)
Cc: [GARY PEGUEROS](#); [SBRMBNA](#)
Subject: FW: Redistricting / District 6 Public Comment 3/7/22
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:46:40 AM
Attachments: [D6 Redistricting Letter sbrmbna.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

I'm truly sorry that we didn't receive your comments last night, in spite of your best efforts to provide them. We are operating with a new system and with numerous new staff on hand for our remote access/hybrid meeting system, and there must have been some oversight on our part while running the public comment for agenda item number 2.

I am forwarding your message now to the members of the Task Force, and we hope to hear from you at a future Task Force meeting.

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 8:08 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; GARY PEGUEROS <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net>; SBRMBNA <sbrmbna@gmail.com>

Subject: Redistricting / District 6 Public Comment 3/7/22

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force and Mr. Carroll,

I was on tonight's Webex call for Public Comment on Redistricting of District 6 (Agenda Item #2).

My hand was raised for over 2 hours but I was never called upon to comment.

I sent messages via the chat as well to let you know.

Incredibly disappointed that I was not given the opportunity to speak.

I sent an email on 2/24 (below) but I also wanted to speak during the Public Comment portion at tonight's meeting.

Had you called on me, here is what I was planning to say:

Good evening Task Force Members.

My name is Gary Pegueros.

I have been a resident of South Beach for the past 18 years and have served on the Board for the Neighborhood Assoc for South Beach / Rincon / Mission Bay since it began in 2008. I have also been the volunteer NERT Coordinator for these same neighborhoods since 2009.

It's over these last few decades that we've seen the considerable planning and development of South Beach and our adjacent neighborhoods including SoMa, Mission Bay and the East Cut, among others. These neighborhoods have been transformed over these many years due in part to the sizeable influx of residents, and there's much development still to continue.

We share many of the same issues and challenges – some of which are:

- the need for improved public transit based on growing number of residential bldgs*
- concern over increasing street congestion based on our proximity to the Bay Bridge and nearby freeways*
- the need for parks and open spaces, as well as local school options*
- the need for affordable, neighborhood-serving retail and services*

- *a concern over sea level rise given our proximity to the bay*

Whereas neighborhoods north of Market Street are older and established, our neighborhoods South of Market are emerging, still in the process of planning and developing. Our district 6 South of Market neighborhoods still have a 'To Do' list, so we ask that the Redistricting Task Force take these comments under advisement and keep our neighborhoods together.

Gary Pegueros
Secretary, SBRMB Neighborhood Assoc.
South Beach NERT Coordinator

On Thursday, February 24, 2022, 07:53:34 PM PST, Gary Pegueros <garypegueros@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

As a resident of South Beach since 2004, I have been, for the majority of these 18 years, a volunteer for the SFFD Neighborhood Emergency Response Team, focusing on building community and responding during a disaster.

I have also served on the Board of the Neighborhood Association for South Beach, Rincon, and Mission Bay since it began in 2008. We've made it a priority to grow, develop, and plan our diverse neighborhoods into a stronger, more cohesive unit by working together.

Along with our surrounding neighborhoods, as outlined in the attached letter, we ask that we be kept together as a Community of Interest in order to continue the work of community building that began so many years ago.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards

Gary Pegueros

1 Federal Street, unit 21

San Francisco CA 94107

GaryPegueros@sbcglobal.net

17 February 2022

SF Department of Elections via email: rdtf@sfgov.org; john.carroll@sfgov.org
2020 Census Redistricting Task Force

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

The South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home--are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our neighborhoods to thrive – work that is easier to accomplish together than apart.

This exponential growth from Treasure Island to the Embarcadero to Mission Bay to Western SoMa is the desired result of a cluster of redevelopment projects that are now emerging as densely populated, diverse communities working to establish ourselves as fully realized neighborhoods, integrated into the city fabric.

We are keenly aware that District 6 grew more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **What we ask is that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We all share a common urban form and common challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by common influences.

As the South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association (founded in 2008), we recognized the need early on for a cohesive voice to represent these fledgling redevelopment areas at City Hall, and the equally pressing need to build a sense of community and pride of place as new neighbors moved into the area.

Our neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these new neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood

South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association

streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

For the many reasons above, we see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established. Although the issues there are acute and variable depending on specific blocks, there are many similarities in these established neighborhoods. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that we have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen. **Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.**

Respectfully,

The South Beach | Rincon | Mission Bay Neighborhood Association Membership and Board of Directors

Alice Rogers, President
Katy Liddell, Vice President
Gary Pegueros, Secretary
Peggy Fahnstock, Treasurer
Bruce Agid, Director
Mike Anthony, Director

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gail Baugh](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [TesW@aol.com](#); [Barbara Thompson](#); [Jim Warshell](#); [Jennifer Laska](#)
Subject: RE: D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest (COI)
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:46:15 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gail Baugh <gailbaugh40@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 6:49 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: TesW@aol.com; Barbara Thompson <deborahndavidmom@gmail.com>; Jim Warshell <jimwarshell@yahoo.com>; Jennifer Laska <jennlaska@me.com>

Subject: D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest (COI)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This COI was submitted to the online site tonight, March 7, 6:45 pm.

Pg 1/2

Name: D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest

What is your community's mutual interest?

Our mutual interest is bringing together and sustaining communities that bind our diverse D5. We are together as children, as families, and those residents and others who visit and celebrate our diversity. We'll highlight what needs to remain in D5 and areas on our boundaries that could be included:

What's here now: HIGHLIGHTS:

1. Cultural Celebrations: The Fillmore Jazz Festival, Juneteenth Celebration and parade, Japantown Numerous festivals and parades in Golden Gate Park.
2. Institutions: African American Art and Culture Center, SF Jazz, SF Symphony, Herbst Theater, SF Opera House, SF Conservatory of Music
3. Churches: over 12 African American Christian churches, some of them in historic buildings, Japanese churches, Korean churches, Eritrean Church, Russian Orthodox Church, Korean Cultural Center, Zen Buddhist Center, a variety of spiritual centers
4. Parks , Playgrounds, and Natural Areas: Margaret Hayward Playground, with courts, fields and a community center, Patricia's Green, Hayes Valley Playground, Clubhouse and Courts, Koshland Park, Rose Mini Park, Page Street Community Garden, Alamo Square, the Fillmore Mini Park between Eddy and Golden Gate, southern Golden Gate Park along Lincoln Avenue to 14th Avenue, and JFK Drive south to Lincoln, Whiskey Hill Natural Area [GGP], Panhandle Green and playground, Inner Greenbelt Natural Area [which also provides access to the Sutro Reserve] , Jefferson Square, Alamo Square, Kimball Playground, Hamilton Rec Center, Japantown Peace Center
5. Family-focused Organizations: Boys and Girls Club and their regional headquarters, SFUSD headquarters, Ella Hill Hutch Community Center and Magic Zone, Rosa Parks Senior Center, After School programs at Hayes Valley Playground, Booker T Washington, and at Ella Hill Hutch
6. Pubic schools: many located within our boundaries already. They offer more play space for our community, which doesn't have enough based on number of children and families in our boundaries. Want to retain Ben Franklin School at Geary and its programming connections to Booker T. Washington.
7. Special Areas: AIDS Memorial Grove [GGP]
8. Community-serving Institutions: UC Dental School Community Clinic, Booker T. Washington Community Center, Alchemy Apartments' Community Garden, and the Haight Street Art Center (currently in D8), and is part of Hayes Valley LGBTQ COI

9. Rent controlled, Limited Equity, and Price Controlled Housing: Co-ops including St. Francis Square Cooperative, [Frederick Douglas Haynes Garden](#), Freedom West cooperative, and Laguna Heights Cooperative Corporation. Community Land Trusts [Grove and Central, Oak and Scott]. Other Low-income housing at Booker T. Washington Community Center.

Where is your community located?

Our D5 Diverse Social and Cultural Communities of Interest includes all of D5, and we want to highlight specific boundaries to be included within your redistricting work: Haight Street Art Center & Alchemy Community Garden: Laguna, Waller, Buchanan, and Haight Streets

Why should your community be kept together?

We know diverse communities are brought together by their cultural celebrations and community centers. We've highlighted what D5 has, but also what is missing or should be kept within the D5 boundaries. Not all of these highlights will include population shifts, but the boundaries will bind our social and cultural communities further together in our continued efforts to make our District one that serves its residents, including children and their families.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Christopher Pond](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Watershed redistricting suggestion
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:46:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Pond <crispond@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 6:16 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Watershed redistricting suggestion

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I'm a resident of San Francisco and a digital cartographer and I wanted to promote my idea for drawing the city's new districts. I created an automated piece of code that uses the city's watersheds to achieve this goal.

I was able to submit my suggested district lines to redrawmysf.publicredistricting.com. My compliments to the developers! The site worked quite well, thank you.

Please consider my suggestion, as it provides an entirely non-partisan basis to determine regions of equal population and those populations are logically linked together through water as a shared common resource.

A video explanation is here:

www.youtube.com/watch?v=MqnhItsEpnM

If anyone is interested in the code, it is here:

sourceforge.net/projects/watershed-districts

Thanks for your attention.

-cris pond

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Eleanore Fernandez](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment for 3/07/22 Redistricting Meeting, 5:30 p.m.
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:46:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Eleanore Fernandez <elfernaio@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 6:05 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Public Comment for 3/07/22 Redistricting Meeting, 5:30 p.m.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Resent without typos below. Thanks much!

Hello Task Force Members,

My name is Eleanore Fernandez with the SOMA Pilipinas Community Workers, Service Providers, Volunteers and Faith Based Advisory Group. I am also the Executive Board Member at Manilatown Heritage Foundation.

I am connected to the neighborhood in D6 because I have frequented the different non-profits, businesses, organizations, institutions, and public Arts groups which is the largest concentration of Filipinos.

I am asking you to keep the Filipino Communities of Interest together in D6 to preserve our shared culture, traditions, and history

My family members, friends and myself have often visited and utilized the Bayanihan Center, watched a show at Bundlestiff Theater, volunteered to read to kids at Bessie Carmichael School as part of the Galing Bata program and I have been a part and helped organize the Heritage Pavilion for the Pistahan Parade and Festival.

Please keep the Filipino Community Together. We look forward to your valuable support.

Best,

Eleanore

Eleanore Fernandez

415-424-5619

www.manilatown.org

www.somapilipinas.org

On Mon, Mar 7, 2022, 5:54 PM Eleanore Fernandez <elfernaio@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Task Force Members,

My name is Eleanore Fernandez with the SOMA Pilipinas Community Workers, Service Providers, Volunteers and Faith Based Advisory Group. I am also the Executive Board Member at Manila Town Heritage Foundation.

I am connected to the neighborhood in D6 because I have frequented the different non-profits, businesses, organizations, institutions, and public Arts groups which is the largest concentration of Filipinos.

I am asking you to keep the Filipino Communities of Interest together in D6 to preserve our shared culture, traditions, and history

My family members, friends and myself have often visited and utilized the Bayanihan Center, watched a show at Bundlestiff Theater, volunteered to read to kids at Bessie Carmichael School

as part of the Galing Bata program and I have been a part and helped organize the Heritage Pavilion for the Pistahan Parade and Festival.

Please keep the Filipini Community Together. We look forward to your viable support.

Best,

Eleanore

Eleanore Fernandez

415-424-5619

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); joy.ng@sfbec.org
Subject: FW: Letter of Support for Community Unity Map
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:45:55 AM
Attachments: [Joy Ng Letter of Support for Community Unity Map.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joy Ng <joy.ng@sfbec.org>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 5:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter of Support for Community Unity Map

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Clerk,

My name is Joy Ng and I am submitting the attached letter to express support for the Community Unity Map Coalition, for today's D6 Task Force Meeting.

Please confirm receipt of this email.

Thank you!

Best,
Joy

Joy Ng | Housing Caseworker

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)



March 7, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 224
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: District 6 Boundaries, Neighborhoods, and Communities of Interest

Dear Members of the SF Redistricting Task Force,

The Bayanihan Equity Center (formerly known as the Veterans Equity Center) has served low-income seniors and adults with disabilities through direct services and advocacy since 1999. Our services were formed in response to the needs of low-income seniors, immigrants, and Filipino World War II Veterans, who were ineligible for veteran benefits. Many became dependent on Supplemental Security Income, soup kitchens, and the help of community volunteers.

Presently, BEC continues to provide services to Filipino seniors and adults with disabilities, who call District 6 their home. The Filipino population in D6 relies on services provided by BEC, and is a main community of interest. **The Community Unity Map Coalition reflects the interest of our COI, and we are in solidarity with the map's outlined boundaries.**

As both a worker and resident of D6, I strongly advocate for preservation and fair representation of low-income seniors and adults with disabilities in our district. I urge you to adopt the recommendations outlined by this community-generated map.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joy Ng".

Joy Ng

Housing Caseworker, Bayanihan Equity Center 1010 Mission St. STE C San Francisco, CA 94103	Resident Address: 500 Folsom St. #816 San Francisco, CA 94105
---	---

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Saul Rockman](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6: Central SoMa and the Tenderloin belong together
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:45:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Saul Rockman <saul@rockman.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 5:18 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District 6: Central SoMa and the Tenderloin belong together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

To the Redistricting Task Force:

My wife and I have lived in Central SoMa since January, 2009, moving downtown from Noe Valley. For 25 years, I worked in offices downtown before retiring two years ago. Since moving to Central SoMa, I enjoyed walking to the financial district for work and to Union Square for shopping. Increasingly, we are concerned about the nature of the neighborhood. Our friends from around the city are reluctant to visit for dinner or social events, or attending the neighborhood theaters. They worry about being accosted, having their car damaged. They wonder why we moved to this neighborhood. Walking through crowds of drug-dealers and users to get carry-out or to go to the movies troubles us.

We became engaged in the neighborhood over the development of 5M, the displacement of the Filipino residents, and the likely disruption of traffic in the area. We also shop and seek services in the Central SoMa area. I'm writing to support the need to keep Mid-Market whole and ensure that Central SoMa and the Tenderloin remain together. These two areas share commonalities that should be clearly acknowledged in any redistricting considerations.

Central SoMa shares, with the Tenderloin, the same populations: aging, marginalized communities of color and low-income individuals and young families, the unhoused, many organizations for supportive services, numerous SROs, new housing for residents with specific needs (e.g., unhoused families, aged, recovering drug dependent, etc.), non-English and non-Spanish speakers, drug influenced (drying centers for alcohol and drugs). And both border on Civic Center, both Central SoMa and the Tenderloin lack open space and city and private parks. Both have limited local school opportunities and limited affordable housing. And both house city, state, and national offices, with people from around the city going to work, from around the state attending meetings, and from around the country going to courts and offices.

As an example of how common political representation is important to this area, note that the Tenderloin and Southern Police districts split the Col, diminishing service along the border. Tenderloin Police Service covers the Tenderloin, across Market, to the northern side of Mission Street. Across Mission Street, a similar Col operates freely: Tents, drug sales and open use, SRO-residents blocking the sidewalk, sales of stolen goods, and other problematic behavior. We need common representation to extend the Tenderloin Police district to Folsom, or the Southern District further north beyond Market. Only a representative for both Communities of Interest could engage this problem.

How the district lines emerge from this review will influence the use and availability of community resources, of political representation, of solutions to the challenges in this neighborhood. We want to see efforts to make this combined residential and commercial area succeed, something that can happen when it is seen as a unified part of the city. Efforts to improve Mid-Market can only succeed when these communities are represented as one.

Market street isn't a dividing line, it is the integral backbone of the area. Market Street growth and revitalization helps both the Tenderloin and Central SoMa.

Saul Rockman
1160 Mission Street, Unit 2008
San Francisco, CA 94103

mobile: 415-407-7033

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Alfonso S. Perez, Jr.](#)
Cc: [Marilyn Mondejar](#)
Subject: FW: From AL PEREZ — Public Comment to keep the Filipino Community in District 6 intact
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:45:21 AM
Attachments: [Filipino Population by 2010 Census Tracts, San Francisco 2019 2.7.22.png](#)
[2022 D6 Map v5.pdf](#)
[D6 Testimonial.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alfonso S. Perez, Jr. <alsperez@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 4:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Marily Mondejar <marily@marilymondejar.org>

Subject: From AL PEREZ — Public Comment to keep the Filipino Community in District 6 intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello! My name is Al Perez, from the Filipino American Arts Exposition. We are the organizers of the annual Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival at the Yerba Buena Gardens and many other Filipino and Asian cultural events in San Francisco. I also serve as a Commissioner of the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. I have been a volunteer with the Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival for 20 years. I'm writing to advocate for the Filipino Community of Interest in D6.

Many of you might not know that the Filipino community has a long history of displacement and being negatively impacted by urban renewal and redevelopment in San Francisco — from the 1977 midnight forcible eviction of Filipino residents out of the historic International Hotel on Kearny Street, and the displacement of 4,000 Filipino families to make way for the redevelopment of the Moscone Convention Center and the Yerba Buena Gardens Complex 2 decades later. Unfortunately, that history of displacement continues to this day, with the gentrification of the SOMA in the last 10 years.

Since the early 70s, Filipino families found refuge in South of Market to advocate for equality and equity, pursue the American Dream, raise their families, and to put down roots there – living side-by-side and in harmony with our Chinese, Vietnamese, African American, LGBT and multi-racial neighbors.

I ask this Commission to keep the Filipino community together in District 6. There are 3,159 Filipino residents in the SOMA, 1,460 in the Tenderloin, and 293 in The Hub who share the same history, culture and language.

As you'll see in the Community of Interest Map that I submitted, there are over 22 nonprofit organizations serving the Filipino community, 20 Filipino-owned businesses, 15 public spaces, 10 cultural events and festivals, 3 murals and even a beautiful park named after Victoria Manalo Draves, who was born and raised in the SOMA and was the first Asian American to win Olympic Gold medal at the 1948 Summer Olympics in London.

Thank you, Commissioners for keeping the Filipino community intact and preserving D6 as one of the most diverse and dynamic districts in all of San Francisco.

Respectfully,

Al Perez



President

Filipino American Arts Exposition

Pistahan Parade and Festival

https://url.avanan.click/v2/_www.Pistahan.net_.YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzo3ZTYwZmQyZWRkNGM3N2NjNDg5NzBmZGFhZjl4ODVknzo1OmY2MWU6MmRjNjc5ZTBjZDljOGZhYTVmNmJhNWO1NDNiYjlnNWl3ZTI1Y2E3NjRIYTUxOWRiMmViZTM2MjJjNWU2ZGZmOTp0Ok4

Filipino Residents by 2010 Census Tracts, San Francisco (2019)




Source: US Census ACS 2019 5-year estimate, Google Maps
 Prepared for the Filipino Community Forum by Lauren Hiller, 2022

SAN FRANCISCO District 6 Filipino Community of Interest Map

SUBMITTED ON 03/07/2022

LEGEND

- MUNI Metro / BART routes
- Current district border
- Proposed expanded 2022 border



D6 FILIPINO POPULATION:

SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District:	3,169
Tenderloin:	1,460
The Hub:	293
Eastern Waterfront:	268
Mission Bay:	191

Total Filipino Population in District 6: 5,687

Source: 2019 American Community Survey

COMMUNITY LANDMARKS

- Bank of America
- Bayanihan Community Center
- Bessie Carmichael Elementary School
- Filipino American Center, SF Main Library
- Filipino Education Center
- Gene Friend Recreation Center
- "Jose Rizal" Plaque at Palace Hotel
- "Lipi ni Lapu Lapu" Mural
- Martuni's
- Mendelsohn House
- Mint Mall
- San Lorenzo Luis Center
- San Francisco City College
- St. Patrick Church
- "Tuloy Po Kayo" Mural
- Tutubi Park & Plaza
- Victoria Manalo Draves Park
- Wolf House Apartments
- Yerba Buena Gardens
- Zuni

FILIPINO CULTURAL EVENTS

- Barrio Fiesta
- FACINE
- Filipino American History Month
- Celebration at the Asian Art Museum
- Filipino American International Book Festival
- Filipino Heritage Night @ GS Warriros
- Filipino Heritage Night @ SF Giants
- Kalayaan SF: Independence Day Festival
- Filipino American History Month Celebration at City Hall
- Parol Festival
- Pistahan Parade and Festival

FILIPINO BUSINESSES

- Ady Barber
- Arkipelago Bookstore
- AsiaSF
- Celia's In and Out Cleaners
- District Six
- FilAm Star Newspaper
- Gurfinkel Law Office
- JT Restaurant & Catering
- Kusina ni Tess
- Lucky Money
- Mango Tours
- Manila Bowl
- Mirage Medicinal
- Mosser Hotel
- Natalini Flowers by Crispy
- Stiizy SoMa
- Tancinco Law Office
- The Market
- Unimark
- Victory Hall and Parlor

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

- Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach
- Bindlestiff Studio
- Bill Sorro Housing Program
- Canon Kip Senior Center
- Center for Asian American Media
- Filipina Women's Network
- Filipino American Development Foundation
- Gran Oriente Masonic Lodge
- International Hotel
- Kearny Street Workshop
- KulArts
- Mabuhay Health Center
- Manilatown Center
- Mid-Market Neighbors
- Pilipino Equity Center
- Pilipino Senior Resource Center
- San Francisco Filipino Cultural Center
- SOMCAN
- St Joseph's Art Society
- Tenderloin Filipino American Cmty Assoc.
- United Playaz
- Westbay Pilipino Multiservice Center

TRANSPORTATION HUBS

- BART Stations
- MUNI Metro Stations
- MUNI Central Subway Stations

March 7, 2022
San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA



RE: Keep the Filipino Community of Interest in District 11 Intact!

Hello! My name is Al Perez, from the Filipino American Arts Exposition. We are the organizers of the annual Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival at the Yerba Buena Gardens and many other Filipino and Asian cultural events in San Francisco. I also serve as a Commissioner of the San Francisco Entertainment Commission. I have been a volunteer with the Pistahan Filipino Parade and Festival for 20 years. I'm writing to advocate for the Filipino Community of Interest in D6.

Many of you might not know that the Filipino community has a long history of displacement and being negatively impacted by urban renewal and redevelopment in San Francisco — from the 1977 midnight forcible eviction of Filipino residents out of the historic International Hotel on Kearny Street, and the displacement of 4,000 Filipino families to make way for the redevelopment of the Moscone Convention Center and the Yerba Buena Gardens Complex 2 decades later. Unfortunately, that history of displacement continues to this day, with the gentrification of the SOMA in the last 10 years.

Since the early 70s, Filipino families found refuge in South of Market to advocate for equality and equity, pursue the American Dream, raise their families, and to put down roots there – living side-by-side and in harmony with our Chinese, Vietnamese, African American, LGBT and multi-racial neighbors.

I ask this Commission to keep the Filipino community together in District 6. There are 3,159 Filipino residents in the SOMA, 1,460 in the Tenderloin, and 293 in The Hub who share the same history, culture and language.

As you'll see in the Community of Interest Map that I submitted, there are over 22 nonprofit organizations serving the Filipino community, 20 Filipino-owned businesses, 15 public spaces, 10 cultural events and festivals, 3 murals and even a beautiful park named after Victoria Manalo Draves, who was born and raised in the SOMA and was the first Asian American to win Olympic Gold medal at the 1948 Summer Olympics in London.

Thank you, Commissioners for keeping the Filipino community intact and preserving D6 as one of the most diverse and dynamic districts in all of San Francisco.

Respectfully,
Al Perez
President

Attachments:

- » SF Filipino Ancestry Map
- » D6 Filipino Community of Interest Map

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Derek Chau](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Task Force - Email for call in
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:44:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Derek Chau <derek.s.chau@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 4:34 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Task Force - Email for call in

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello...My name is Derek and I've been living in Mid-Market since 2018. I live on Market street between 6th and 7th.

Every single day I constantly feel endangered by what is going on in Mid-market/TL. I use Muni and BART, and always have to run to/from my home. Also, my building is constantly being broken into. Residence belongings are stolen and vandalized. No one should be living like this.

I have worked hard to work with the community to come together and get my voice heard. The progress the community has made cannot be undone by redefining D6. We need to stay as one.

I urge you not to change D6...Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Matt Dorsey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Chuck Obermeyer](#)
Subject: RE: Please keep Mid-Market intact
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:44:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matt Dorsey <mattdorsey@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 4:21 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Chuck Obermeyer <chuck@midmarketneighbors.org>
Subject: Please keep Mid-Market intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Matt Dorsey, I'm a 12-year resident of District 6 and a founding board member of Mid-Market Neighbors.

I'm writing to urge San Francisco Redistricting Task Force members to make sure that the Mid-Market neighborhood remains intact within District 6.

Mid-Market is a fast-growing neighborhood that (notwithstanding its challenges) holds enormous promise. By assuring its success as a unified, thriving neighborhood, Mid-Market can be the long overdue linchpin in the revitalization of Market Street to which our City has been aspiring for more than 70 years.

Our Mid-Market neighborhood is *defined* by Market Street — not divided by it. Market Street is a backbone for our community — not a boundary. On behalf of all Mid-Market neighbors, we appeal to you to not split our community of interest in two.

Please Mid-Market together — within District 6 — and I urge you to accommodate the lines of the Mid-Market Community of Interest submitted for your consideration.

Thank you!

Best,
MATT DORSEY
+1 (415) 640-6288

Sent from [Outlook](#) for iOS

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [chris jaksa](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Mission bay and rincon hill
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:43:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: chris jaksa <chris_jaksa@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 3:50 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Mission bay and rincon hill

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit. Born of light industrial uses, freeway networks, and brown fields, these neighborhoods—our home—are the result of decades of planning. But there is more work to be done for our new neighborhoods to thrive and fully integrate with the neighborhood enclaves that predated these planning efforts – work easier to accomplish together than apart.

We are keenly aware that District 6, by plan, grew and diversified more rapidly over the last decade than any other district--adding the most housing, and most **affordable** housing (30%+in some areas) to the city--and as a result the district must now realign its borders to cede some population to other districts to meet the Redistricting formulas. **We ask that our neighborhoods—those cited in our opening sentence--be kept together.** We share a common urban form and the challenges of not-yet-established San Francisco neighborhoods. Together with the just-emerging City and Port development areas adjacent--Mission Rock and the Central SoMa Plan Area--our core needs are shaped by these common influences.

Our new neighborhoods were built from the ground up (or massively renovated) and require unified attention. All the good planning that went into creating these neighborhoods did not account for some basic and essential needs. We have no local school options in communities where we are building thousands of family housing units. We have limited affordable, neighborhood-serving retail where people across our diverse economic spectrum can shop for groceries, hardware, and other needs. We need to transform streets that were built to give fast arterial access to the Bay Bridge and freeways into safe, pedestrian-friendly neighborhood streets. We share common health and safety risks being situated adjacent to freeways, the Bay Bridge, and the Port. We lack an adequate network of parks, recreation and open space. And looking ahead, we share challenges related to sea level rise.

Together, we are building socially, culturally and economically diverse neighborhoods. We CAN solve for the common challenges we face, but doing so requires a systemic and cohesive response. The synergies between our emerging neighborhoods are clear and we need to move forward together as a recognized community of interest.

We see Market Street as a natural separation or boundary. The street grid and mix of uses changes markedly between north and south of Market Street. The neighborhoods North of Market are established, with acute and specific issues shaped by their complex cultural history and topography. The neighborhoods South of Market, by contrast, are either mostly industrial PDR areas transitioning to denser growth with a more diverse mix of uses, or--where the bulk of the growth has happened--are the former/current redevelopment areas and planning department areas cited above.

Within this context, **we hope you see the clear synergies and needs for the joint advocacy that our emerging neighborhood residents have pursued for more than a decade ... and need to continue going forward as a clearly defined community of interest.** Working together, we hope to bloom into a network of established neighborhoods firmly rooted in our shared history, but it will take another decade or more to make this happen.

Please keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Respectfully,
Chris Jaksa
346 1st

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Marily Mondejar](#)
Cc: [Al Perez](#)
Subject: FW: FROM Marily Mondejar: Public Comment: Please keep the Filipino Community in District 6 intact
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 10:43:42 AM
Attachments: [2022 D6 Map v5.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marily Mondejar <marily@marilymondejar.org>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 3:46 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Al Perez <alsperez@pacbell.net>
Subject: FROM Marily Mondejar: Public Comment: Please keep the Filipino Community in District 6

intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Marily Mondejar, CEO of the Filipina Women's Network, and a long term resident of District 6 since 1995. I was a former commissioner in the 2012 San Francisco Redistricting Task Force appointed by the late Mayor Ed Lee. I also served as president for three years, vice president for two years, and three years as commissioner of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure (CCII), the successor agency of the San Francisco Redevelopment Commission. I was also appointed by the late Mayor Ed Lee.

As you may know, D6 is one of the largest development projects of CCII which displaced thousands of Filipinos to build Yerba Buena, Mission Bay, Transbay Terminal, and the South of Market areas where the SOMA Pilipinas Cultural District and Tenderloin are located.

I write to appeal to the San Francisco Redistricting Task Force to keep the Filipino Community in District 6 intact. The Filipino Communities of Interest in D6 have been developed through the years to serve the unique and cultural needs of the community as well as our allies and neighbors - Chinese, Latinx, African American, Vietnamese and other multi-racial communities.

The Filipino community has suffered evictions and displacements from D3 to D6. **D6 has 5,687 Filipinos** who consider D6 their home, like me. The Tenderloin alone has **1,460 Filipino residents. The entire Filipino population in San Francisco is 52,199.** These numbers are from the 2019 American Community Survey (ACS).

FILIPINO COMMUNITY NARRATIVE:

- Filipino families historically have been displaced from the Manilatown community hub around Kearny and Jackson Streets, a community that thrived from the 1920s to the late 1970s.
- More than 1,000 residents lived in Manilatown plus about 30,000 transient laborers and farmworkers in the agriculture, fishing, construction, and shipyard industries.
- The Filipinos were gradually evicted by the SF Redevelopment Agency headed by Justin Herman to make room for the growth of the financial district, known as "urban renewal."
- The final straw was the I-Hotel midnight eviction by 400 riot police led by Sheriff Richard Hongisto on August 4, 1977. This is considered by historians as "the most offensive action by authorities in San Francisco history."
- Filipinos, a resilient community, then migrated to the Yerba Buena area, SOMA and the Tenderloin areas in D6 which is now the significant hub of Filipino culture, activism, and community.
- Again, the SF Redevelopment Agency displaced over 4,000 Filipinos to make way for the construction of the Yerba Buena Gardens complex, the Moscone Convention

Center, museums, and luxury hotels.

- The Filipinos developed services to suit the needs of Filipino families and children, opening restaurants, small groceries and small businesses.
- Nonprofits were organized in D6 to serve the needs of seniors, and developing after-school programs for the middle and high school kids.
- Significantly gang violence prevention and gun buy-back programs have been successful in helping the Filipino youth live productive lives.
- Many Filipino immigrants were born and raised in D6. Many went to Bessie Carmichael Elementary School, worshipped at St. Patrick's Church, and played sports at small parks and a rec center at the heart of SOMA with other multicultural residents which make up the rich diversity of D6.
- The Filipinos use the Bart and Muni transportation hubs to get to work, and their children to get to schools and colleges.

Now that the Filipino families have established roots, please do NOT split the community. Please keep our community together.

We were told that Mayor London Breed is wanting to move the Tenderloin to D5. Do NOT do that. This will splinter the Filipino community's voting block and we will lose 1,460 Filipinos. With only 5,687 Filipinos in D6, we are working hard to build our votership so we can elect a Filipino supervisor.

The Communities of Interest map shows the many businesses and nonprofits, community centers, churches, markets, parks, schools, transportation hubs and annual cultural events that are critical to our Filipino heritage and families.

The Filipinos have been displaced numerous times. Help us protect and preserve the Filipino, Asian, Latinx, African American communities and other minority groups in D6.

I support the proposed Community Unity Map. There is a move to ADD the Transportation Hubs that are vital to the D6 residents - I also support this motion. It will help the D6 residents who commute and rely on these transportation hubs.

Attached is the D6 Community of Interests Map - The Transportation Hubs (green) as well as the MUNI Metro and BART lines (pink) are highlighted.

=====


Marily Mondejar
She/Her
415.350.1366
@marilymondejar

SAN FRANCISCO District 6 Filipino Community of Interest Map

SUBMITTED ON 03/07/2022

LEGEND

- MUNI Metro / BART routes
- Current district border
- Proposed expanded 2022 border



D6 FILIPINO POPULATION:

SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District:	3,169
Tenderloin:	1,460
The Hub:	293
Eastern Waterfront:	268
Mission Bay:	191

Total Filipino Population in District 6: 5,687

Source: 2019 American Community Survey

COMMUNITY LANDMARKS

- Bank of America
- Bayanihan Community Center
- Bessie Carmichael Elementary School
- Filipino American Center, SF Main Library
- Filipino Education Center
- Gene Friend Recreation Center
- "Jose Rizal" Plaque at Palace Hotel
- "Lipi ni Lapu Lapu" Mural
- Martuni's
- Mendelsohn House
- Mint Mall
- San Lorenzo Luis Center
- San Francisco City College
- St. Patrick Church
- "Tuloy Po Kayo" Mural
- Tutubi Park & Plaza
- Victoria Manalo Draves Park
- Wolf House Apartments
- Yerba Buena Gardens
- Zuni

FILIPINO CULTURAL EVENTS

- Barrio Fiesta
- FACINE
- Filipino American History Month
- Celebration at the Asian Art Museum
- Filipino American International Book Festival
- Filipino Heritage Night @ GS Warriros
- Filipino Heritage Night @ SF Giants
- Kalayaan SF: Independence Day Festival
- Filipino American History Month
- Celebration at City Hall
- Parol Festival
- Pistahan Parade and Festival

FILIPINO BUSINESSES

- Ady Barber
- Arkipelago Bookstore
- AsiaSF
- Celia's In and Out Cleaners
- District Six
- FilAm Star Newspaper
- Gurfinkel Law Office
- JT Restaurant & Catering
- Kusina ni Tess
- Lucky Money
- Mango Tours
- Manila Bowl
- Mirage Medicinal
- Mosser Hotel
- Natalini Flowers by Crispy
- Stiizy SoMa
- Tancinco Law Office
- The Market
- Unimark
- Victory Hall and Parlor

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

- Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach
- Bindlestiff Studio
- Bill Sorro Housing Program
- Canon Kip Senior Center
- Center for Asian American Media
- Filipina Women's Network
- Filipino American Development Foundation
- Gran Oriente Masonic Lodge
- International Hotel
- Kearny Street Workshop
- KulArts
- Mabuhay Health Center
- Manilatown Center
- Mid-Market Neighbors
- Pilipino Equity Center
- Pilipino Senior Resource Center
- San Francisco Filipino Cultural Center
- SOMCAN
- St Joseph's Art Society
- Tenderloin Filipino American Cmty Assoc.
- United Playaz
- Westbay Pilipino Multiservice Center

TRANSPORTATION HUBS

- BART Stations
- MUNI Metro Stations
- MUNI Central Subway Stations

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Theresa Imperial](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: BiSHoP Public Comment re: D6 redistricting
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 2:12:00 PM
Attachments: [D6 Task Force LOS.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Theresa Imperial <theresa@bishopsf.org>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 1:56 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: BiSHoP Public Comment re: D6 redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Hello,

Attached is Bill Sorro Housing Program (BiSHoP)'s public comment re: District 6 boundaries and redistricting. Please submit this to the task force committee members.

Thank you very much.

*Theresa Imperial
Bill Sorro Housing Program
Executive Director*

*Main Line: 415-513-5177
Direct Line: 415-279-9614
Fax number: 415-757-0437
Bill Sorro Housing Program
1110 Howard St. (@7th St.)
San Francisco, CA 94103*

**** This office is a scent-free space; please wear mask if coming to the office and please stay at home if feeling ill.*

*Thank you! ****

www.bishopsf.org

|_____||



1110 Howard St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 513-5177
info@bishopsf.org

March 7, 2022

Dear Task Force Members:

Bill Sorro Housing Program (BiSHoP) was created in 2009 by a group of committed community workers and volunteers inspired by Bill Sorro's work to promote social change. BiSHoP was initially established to provide tenants' rights workshops to the families of WWII Filipino veterans who were primarily living in the South of Market and then expanded its services citywide.

BiSHoP has been at the forefront of assisting San Franciscans in accessing affordable housing and providing tenants' rights counseling. Our service provides case management which encompasses the following: counseling in pre-application process by introducing to DAHLIA, creating an account for DAHLIA, counseling in lottery and post-application processes, advocating in denial processes, counseling in move-in cost and lease agreements, recertification processes and basic tenants' rights especially in these times of pandemic. Moreover, our services expanded to support other populations in South of Market and Tenderloin by serving extremely low to low income families and individuals including immigrants, seniors, people with disabilities, as well as formerly incarcerated, veterans, LGBTQ, the homeless and SoMa workers. In addition, BiSHoP is the only organization with two Arabic-speaking housing counselors where many of our clients reside in Tenderloin area. BiSHoP also receives clientele from Treasure Island area and many of them are part of re-entry programs looking for affordable housing.

BiSHoP also continues to advocate for language and digital access as many housing programs provided by the local and state are now shifting digitally which becomes a big barrier in accessing these services. For this reason, BiSHoP service continues to be a high need especially in District 6.

Moreover, BiSHoP advocated for the creation of Filipino Cultural Heritage District in 2016. During this creation, the community recognized many Ellis Act evictions, OMI evictions and other forms of displacement through unlawful rent increases, harassment, and wrongful evictions which threatened and continues to threaten of now very small Filipino community in South of Market. Both Tenderloin and South of Market are main historical core of District 6 and has historical roots of working class communities. We urge you to keep in mind the most marginalized communities in District 6 despite the high development in this district for the last ten years which led to hyper-gentrification of the most vulnerable.

BiSHoP is a member of SOMA Pilipinas; we urge you to respect the Transgender District, SOMA Pilipinas Filipino Cultural District, and the Leather and LGBTQ Cultural District which should remain in D6. We are also working with the Community Unity Map Coalition, which has engaged over 75 organizations as well as countless individuals in a citywide mapping process that prioritizes working class and low income communities of color. Our process and map seeks to preserve Communities of Interests and historic neighborhoods across all 11 districts.

Thank you for your time and hope you take these comments into consideration.

Sincerely,

Theresa Imperial

Executive Director



1110 Howard St.
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 513-5177
info@bishopsf.org

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Francoise Decatrel](#)
Cc: info@ideatesf.org; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District 6 Redistricting
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 2:11:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Françoise Decatrel <fdecatrel@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 1:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: info@ideatesf.org
Subject: District 6 Redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Francoise Decatrel. I live in District 6 in one of the buildings across from Mint Plaza. I am a working professional as well as a District 6 based business owner. My building is live/work so I spend the bulk of my time in this area. I moved to District 6 (and San Francisco) one year ago. My husband and I chose this area because it reminded us of New York- it feels like a city. I feel there is so much potential to make this area vibrant and a destination for work, nightlife, shopping and dining. Soma, Mission Bay, etc. collectively are a community of interest as it is different from any other neighborhood in the city. As Covid has had such an impact due to all the vacant offices, this community needs more attention now than ever.

Like many other of my neighbors, I am concerned about District 6 being divided. I feel my neighborhood is on the cusp- it could become great or it could keep going down hill. Following is why I feel that District 6 should not be divided.

- I have watched from my building in Soma as children play on objects that homeless people have urinated on within the same 24 hour period. We need more park space that is safe and clean for all ages and demographics.
- I would like to see more businesses open here. I have spoken with some of the store owners in the area and blocks such as Jessie and Stevenson are very difficult for them. I went to grab a coffee the other day and watched a woman in the midst of a psychotic break hit herself repeatedly. She wasn't wearing pants. She was sitting right next to the Blue Bottle door. I didn't feel comfortable walking past her and so moved on. Though I am very sympathetic to this woman and won't go into that now, I feel sorry for this small business as well as the others on the block who are not getting the help that they need. Another example would be the Cannabis dispensary (The Vapor Room) on Stevenson. I would like to stop in and support them but am afraid to walk down the block as are many of my neighbors.
- I know the drug dealers in the neighborhoods because I see them selling drugs all day long, every day of the week. If my area goes to the Tenderloin this will only get worse.

But separating District 6 and merging Soma with the Tenderloin the funds will not be funneled to things like park space, cleaning up crime on the streets, and helping small businesses. Please, reconsider dividing District 6 as I would love to stay in the area but need to see that there is some hope on the horizon. This area has so much potential and its business can and should cater to all demographics.

Feel free to contact me with any questions.

All the best,
[Francoise Decatrel](#)

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Mary Roque](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: FW: District 6 Task Force Meeting
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 2:11:22 PM
Attachments: [Ltr of Support for Community Unity Map Coalition.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Roque <mary.roque@sfbec.org>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 12:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: District 6 Task Force Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force Clerk,

My name is Mary Roque, and I am submitting a letter of support for the Community Unity Map Coalition for this afternoon's D6 Task Force Meeting.

Please feel free to let me know if I should forward this letter to a different email address.

Sincerely,
Mary Roque

--

Mary Roque | Administrative Assistant & Data Collection Specialist

Pronouns: She, Her, Hers ([What's this?](#))

Bayanihan Equity Center | 1010 Mission Street, Suite C | SF, CA 94103

Tel: (415) 255-2347 | Fax: (415) 255-2358 | www.sfbec.org

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Twitter](#)



March 7, 2022

San Francisco Redistricting Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: District 6 Boundaries, Neighborhoods and Communities of Interest

Dear SF Redistricting Task Force Members,

Since 1999, the Bayanihan Equity Center (formerly known as the Veterans Equity Center) has been serving low-income San Francisco seniors and adults with disability through direct services and advocacy. BEC opened its doors to serve the growing population of Filipino World War II veterans who were granted citizenship under the Immigration and Naturalization Reform Act of 1990; these veterans did not qualify for veteran benefits, and a majority became dependent on Supplemental Security Income (SSI), soup kitchens, and the support of community volunteers.

To this day, BEC proudly serves Filipino seniors and adults with disability that call District 6 their home. This population is a main community of interest, as they continue to rely on the services provided by the organization. **The Community Unity Map Coalition captures the interests of our COI, and we are in solidarity with the map's outlined boundaries.** It is vital that we preserve the history and fight for fair representation of low-income seniors and adults with disability, and I urge you to adopt the considerations outlined by this community generated map.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mary Nichole Roque". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Mary Nichole Roque
Administrative Assistant

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [A&F DELSANTO](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 2:10:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: A&F DELSANTO <delsanto.af@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 11:16 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Aaron Peskin and the Redistricting Task Force,

As residents of District 3, we are writing to voice our strong support for the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan. There are several reasons for this, below we highlight just a few:

1. This plan will further extend District 3's strong identity to tourism. Linking these neighborhoods, like small businesses in Chinatown & North Beach, Pier 39, the Ferry Building and more will enable the city to better focus it's support to meet the needs of tourism.
2. These communities have a long history of collaboration and this D3 united neighborhood plan would continue to build on this.
3. It is important to meet the goal of balancing population equity while also maintaining the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods in one district.
4. Ensuring the economic equity across the city is maintained so D3 is able to meet the needs of the traditionally low income community of Chinatown.

Thank you for your consideration and continued good work on behalf of our community.

Sincerely,
Fred and Anne DeSanto
170 Pacific Avenue, SF

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Myrrhia](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 2:10:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Myrrhia <myrrhia@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 10:41 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association member, I support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan. Please represent me when discussing the issue at the March 9th meeting.

Myrrhia

--

--

Self-Actualize

www.myrrhia.com

(510)421-9005

myrrhia@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Wino666](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN. - Redistricting of District 3
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:25:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wino666 <wino666@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 7:31 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN. - Redistricting of District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

I am a resident of the Telegraph Hill neighborhood and I am very much opposed to the plan which has been proposed that would carve up our district in a radical fashion. This proposed plan combines the Barbary Coast, Jackson Square, Chinatown, and the Tenderloin into one district. Under this plan, my neighborhood (the Barbary Coast) would no longer be in a district with adjacent neighborhoods experiencing similar issues, such as North Beach, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, and Telegraph Hill.

Please keep the plan proposed by the D3 Neighborhoods United would enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.

Sincerely,

John Case
SF Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Christopher Bowman](#)
Cc: [Charles Head](#); gswooding@gmail.com; [Richard Frisbie](#); [Claire Zvanski](#)
Subject: FW: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:57 AM
Attachments: [Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 12:09 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>

Cc: Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6

Dear Chair Townsend and Task Force Members:

I was reviewing the packet of communications for tomorrow's meeting and was mortified to discover that I had attached the wrong document to my email to you of February 26th regarding the nationality of foreign-born registered voters in District 6.

Please see the correct document attached below.

Sincerely yours,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

On Monday, February 28, 2022, 11:49:46 AM PST, REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christopher Bowman <chrislbowman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2022 4:09 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rddf@sfgov.org>; Arntz, John (REG) <john.arntz@sfgov.org>
Cc: Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; gswooding@gmail.com; Richard Frisbie <frfbeagle@gmail.com>; Claire Zvanski <czvanski@gmail.com>
Subject: Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**TO: Rev, Arnold Townsend, Chair, and Members,
Redistricting Task Force**

Dear Chair Townsend and Members:

Attached please see my findings on the concentration of foreign-born registered voters in District 6. The report focuses on the twelve largest nationalities within the district by neighborhoods and by precincts.

Hopefully, these data will assist the Task Force and Q2 in defining the boundaries of nationalities within District 6 and ensuring that they be kept together and not divided between districts.

My plan is to prepare similar reports for Districts 3, 5, and 11 and environs, in the upcoming week.

Hope this helps.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 attachment as

Concentrations of Foreign-Born Registered Voters in District 6 by Neighborhoods and by Precincts
Sources: San Francisco Department of Elections, Precinct Registration by Language, and MVF Birth Place Only, 12/06/22
Compiled and Organized by Christopher L. Bowman, 02/26/22

At the Redistricting Task Force's District 6 hearing on January 14, 2022, Task Force members expressed their frustration with Q² that they didn't have anything more definitive in the way of ethnicity data than the broad racial categories provided by the U.S. Census Bureau for the adjusted 2020 Decennial Census and the Bureau's 2015-2019 ACS estimate of Citizen Voting Age Populations for racial categories. They rightly stated that "Asians" covered a wide spectrum of nationalities ranging from Pakistani and East Indian, to Indonesian and Filipino, Vietnamese, Chinese, Korean, and Japanese, who have major cultural and religious differences and do not necessarily vote as a voting bloc.

The problem wasn't with Q², rather it was due to the failure of the Census Bureau to release its 100% Summary Files #1 and #2 which would identify the precise number of residents by national origin down to the Census Tract level, as they did in 2011, and after previous censuses.

In response, I wrote Director Arntz to see if he could provide me with Excel Sheets showing the total number of foreign-born registered voters in District 6 and in selective supervisorial districts elsewhere in the City by country or territory of birth. Within 24 hours he sent me the first set of files and then Shirley Yu per my request reformatted the files by precinct and country of origin alphabetically.

The data that I developed and will share with you below, was quite instructive, and I prepared color coded maps showing the percentage of the registered voters by precinct of specific nationalities for Asian, Latin American and Middle Eastern voters, which informed my line drawing.

I discussed the data and my methodology with Karin MacDonald the other day and she rightly cautioned me about the limitations of the data I am sharing with you.

The data do not capture the 10%-20% of foreign-born voters who didn't indicate their place of birth on their voter registration forms, nor does it include foreign-born naturalized citizens who are not registered to vote, non-citizens who are not registered to vote, and second and third generation Americans who identified as Asian, Hispanic, etc. with the U.S. Census Bureau, but are not so indicated on the Master Voter File, thus even though the percentage of Asian CVAP and Hispanics CVAP in District 6 is estimated to be 34.82% and 12.42%, respectively, the foreign-born Asians and Hispanics as a percentage of the 44,589 registered voters of District 6 are 15.20% Asian and 2.92% Hispanic. North African and Near Eastern Arabs make up another 1.60%; Russians, Ukrainians, Belarusians, and Moldovans 0.85%; and the rest, mostly other Europeans, Sub-Saharan Africans, and Caribbeans constitute 2.20% of the registered voters of District 6. In toto, minimally 22.77% of the registered voters of District 6 are foreign-born.

By nationality, the twelve largest foreign-born nationalities in the district are 1) Chinese, 3,146 (7.06%); 2) Filipino, 1,614 (3.62%); 3) Vietnamese, 702 (1.58%); 4) East Indian, 552 (1.24%), 5) Mexican, 380 (0.85%), 6) Yemeni, 246 (.55%). 7) Korean, 220 (0.49%), 8) Russian, 175 (0.39%), 9) Salvadoran, 173 (0.39%), 10) Nicaraguan, 148 (0.33%), 11) Ukrainian, 146 (0.33%), and (12) Iranian, 109 (0.25 (0.24%).

Please see the matrix below for the detailed concentration of foreign-born registered voters when they constitute more than 1% of the registered voters of a particular precinct. The precincts are listed numerically and grouped together by neighborhood.

Hope this helps.

Sincerely,

Christopher L. Bowman

1 matrix below as

Moscone Center/
Rincon Hill

	CH ¹	PI	VM	II	MX	YE	KR	RU ²	SV	NU	UA	IR
7625	42.2%	7.9%	1.6%					1.2%				
7626	5.3%	2.0%		2.3%								
7627	4.3%	1.6%		1.4%								
7634	14.4%	14.3%										
7637	5.2%	1.8%		4.0%								
7638	6.0%	1.9%		2.4%			1.0%					
7651	8.2%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%								
7652	4.6%	1.6%		1.7%								
7655	7.6%	1.5%		2.3%								
7656	6.7%	1.1%		2.0%			2.3%					1.9%

South Beach
Mission Bay

	CH ¹	PI	VM	II	MX	YE	KR ²	RU ³	SV	NU	UA	IR
7635	2.0%	1.4%										
7636	3.6%	2.2%										
7639	6.8%	1.0%		2.7%		/						
7641	6.0%	2.3%	1.9%					1.1%			1.8%	
7642	2.5%	1.4%									1.2%	
7643	10.9%	1.7%	1.2%									
7644	7.4%	2.2%	1.0%							1.0%		
7645	4.2%	2.1%	2.1%	4.5%	1.1%							
7646	6.7%	2.0%										
7647	1.2%				1.1%				1.0%			
7658	5.1%											
7653	5.1%	2.5%	1.0%									
7657	7.1%	1.6%		2.5%								

NOTES: The country codes used by the San Francisco Department of Election are as follows: CH: China; PI: Philippines; VM: Vietnam; II: India; MX: Mexico; YE: Yemen; KR: Korea; RU: Russia; SV: El Salvador; NU: Nicaragua; UA: Ukraine; and IR: Iran. ¹Includes HK: Hong Kong; TW: Taiwan; NF: Macao. ²Includes KP: Democratic People's Republic of Korea (North Korea). ³Includes UR: (Former USSR).

Self-identified foreign-born registered voters constitute more than a third of registered voters in District 6 in precincts 7608 and 7609, and a majority in precinct 7625.

Any nationality constituting at least 10% of the registered voters of a particular precinct are highlighted in **yellow**.

Any nationality which is the largest nationality within a precinct is highlighted in **bold**. Chinese are the largest nationality in 37 of 52 precincts, Filipinos in 11, Vietnamese in 3 precincts, and East Indians in one.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Gina Boggio](#)
Cc: [Joe Boggio](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force - keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gina Boggio <ginalboggio@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 9:17 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Joe Boggio <joe@boggioventures.com>
Subject: 2020 Census Redistricting Task Force - keep the District 6 area south of Market and

including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force members,

We are condo owners at 200 Brannan St and want to keep the District 6 area south of Market and including Mission Bay and Treasure Island intact.

Our South of Market neighborhoods including The East Cut (Rincon, TransBay), South Beach, Yerba Buena, Eastern and Western SoMa, along with Mission Bay and Treasure Island (and Mission Rock and Central SoMa as they are developed) all share common and essential needs and should be viewed together as a Community of Interest unit.

Happy to share our thoughts more in depth.

Gina and Joe Boggio

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Raymond Isola](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN.
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:34 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Raymond Isola <raymondrisola@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:17 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Peskin and Redistricting Task Force members:

I'm strongly supporting the D3 United Neighborhood Plan for the following reasons:

- To maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- To enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- To support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- To maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.
- Please inform me regarding your position and how you plan to vote on the D3 Redistricting Plans.
- Thank you in advance for your careful consideration of these important implications connected to redistricting in District 3.
- Raymond
Dr. Raymond R. Isola
[408 Columbus Ave.](#), Suites 4 & 6

SF, CA

94133

(415) 710-9706

“The teacher is the heart of the educational process, and teachers must be given opportunities to teach and devote themselves whole-heartedly to this job under the best of circumstances. The battle for a better world will be won or lost in our schools.”

Leonard Covello

Mr. Covello spent more than half a century teaching in the most challenging boroughs of New York City.

<http://isolaleadership.com>

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Michele Hennessey](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michele Hennessey <mmhennessey1@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 7:36 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident of The Gateway for over 25 years I am writing to support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan for the following reasons.

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain in District 3 together.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Michele Hennessey
550 Battery St. Apt 1703
San Francisco, CA 94111
415.990.0148
mmhennessey1@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Xander Peterson](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: [D3 United] Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Xander Peterson <xwpeterson@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 3:19 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: [D3 United] Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Redistricting Task Force & Supervisor Aaron Peskin,

I am writing to you today as a resident in the area (640 Davis Street) in support of the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan. This plan would:

- Keep complementary neighborhoods (North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast) in one district. This would support communities of interest by aiding solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods, isolating a large part of the community.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Cheers,
Xander Peterson

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [William Hannan](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: support for D3 neighborhoods united plan
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:21 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: William Hannan <whann@att.net>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 3:16 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: support for D3 neighborhoods united plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force members - I am a longtime resident of the Gateway apartments located near the Embarcadero. I support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan, which will maintain a solid community of interest among the tourist-friendly neighborhoods in the northeast portion of San Francisco. Thank you for your public service.

Best wishes - Bill Hannan

whann@att.net

550 Battery Street, Apt. 1512

San Francisco, CA 94111

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Sean Karlin](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Community Input
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sean Karlin <sean.karlin@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 2:41 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Redistricting Community Input

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Hello Task Force,

San Francisco is a diverse city with many socially distinct groups that have varying needs. Because of this, it is important that our elected representatives pay attention to all voices. District 10 is probably the most diverse community in the city, stretching from the bedroom communities of Visitacion Valley, through the historically African American Bayview, including the new developments along Third Street in Dogpatch, and topped by Potrero Hill, a once working class, but now wealthy community.

D 10 may be diverse but it shares a unifying common denominator, we are the only community in San Francisco with large tracts of undeveloped land. From the Cow Palace to 16th Street we will become the next San Francisco. Our community will experience the next explosion of development and the population growth that follows. For that reason, it is very important that we design D 10 not only for the present but also for the future.

If I had my way, I would try to keep the Bayview together with Dogpatch and Potrero. My reasoning for that is this; Bayview has some of the lowest voter turnout in the city. Averaging around 50% of all registered voters. That compares to the ~80% turnout in Dogpatch and Potrero. If D 10 loses the politically engaged northern neighborhoods the district then loses all of our political clout since Visitacion Valley has a similarly low turnout. Developers will steamroll the communities that are barely hanging on and we will see the complete exodus out of our historical African American middle-class neighborhood. Visitacion Valley could join with D 11 where voter turnout averages in the 70% range.

Another reason I see to keep the eastern neighborhoods together is that they share a bayside boundary. As sea levels rise and new infrastructure is constructed to buffer the bayside communities, it makes sense to include all bayside neighborhoods in the same plans and have unified representation speaking for these communities.

I hope you take my comments seriously and I thank you for your work.

Thank you,

Sean Karlin

m.415.265.8691 | sean.karlin@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Janet Beach](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: support for" district 3 united plan "
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Janet Beach <jbeach@usmarketingservices.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 2:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: support for" district 3 united plan "

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

hello redistricting task force,

I want to say that I support a reasonable redistricting plan that connects our Lombard st at Sansome neighborhood in a sensible way that also aligns with the nature and values of the existing neighborhood so that the legitimate priorities of this neighborhood can still be addressed , rather than be swamped by new very different problems from very different areas.

I just read something that is called the D3 neighborhood United Plan and it makes a lot of sense to me . I support that " D3 united plan. "

THANK You,

San Francisco resident ,business owner, taxpayer and member of The SF Chamber of Commerce
Janet Beach
101 Lombard st

Janet Beach
Managing Partner
U.S. Marketing Services
101 Lombard Street, Suite 704 W
San Francisco, CA 94111
Mobile 415-309-3575
Work Phone or fax: 415-433-3565
jbeach@usmarketingservices.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: keeneyr@aol.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: District Voter "SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN"
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:24:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: keeneyr@aol.com <keeneyr@aol.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 2:25 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: District Voter "SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force,

I am Ralph Keeney who has lived in District 3 for over 40 years. As you are well aware, San Francisco is, unfortunately, a very divided city and becoming more so. I think it is critically important to SUPPORT the D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN to maintain the common interests in our district as much as possible. Please do all that you can to make this happen.

Best regards,
Ralph L. Keeney

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Neville Morcom](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Neville Morcom <nmorcom@comcast.net>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 12:30 PM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident of District 3, I strongly support the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan because it will:

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district. The alternative proposal would completely separate Chinatown and Barbary Coast from the other neighborhoods who have expressed the common desire to remain together in District 3.
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism. The plan connects Ghirardelli Square, the Cannery, and Aquatic Park with other similar D3 waterfront and tourist attractions (Fisherman's Wharf, Pier 39, Exploratorium, North Beach, Ferry Building, Alcatraz Tours, Coit Tower, Chinatown, and Union Square). Many small businesses in Chinatown in particular are linked to tourism, a common thread that is shared with other D3 attractions. Unifying these tourist-oriented neighborhoods in one district will enable the city to better focus its support for them.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

I urge you to vote for the D3 United Neighborhoods Plan.

Neville Morcom
District 3 Resident

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: james.seff@pillsburylaw.com; [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [ICE](#); [Diana](#); [Karen Scarr](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for BCNA Proposal for District 3
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:54 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Seff, James M. <James.Seff@Pillsburylaw.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 11:09 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: ICE <fblanche@me.com>; Diana <folbergtaylor@comcast.net>; Karen Scarr <kpscarr@gmail.com>

Subject: Support for BCNA Proposal for District 3

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Task Force Members,

My wife and I have lived at 550 Davis St. In D 3 since 1980. We have read BCNA's proposal to amend D3's borders to comply with your requirements and believe it is rational, fair, in full compliance with all criteria you have established and superior to any other proposal. Please accept this proposal.

James M. Seff
Margene Fudenna

Sent from my iPad

James M. Seff | Retired Partner
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor | San Francisco, CA 94111-5998
t +1.415.983.7441 | f +1.415.983.1200
James.Seff@Pillsburylaw.com

The contents of this message, together with any attachments, are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender or the Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman Service Desk at Tel: 800-477-0770, Option 1, immediately by telephone and delete this message, along with any attachments, from your computer. Nothing in this message may be construed as a digital or electronic signature of any employee of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman. Thank you.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Karen Scarr](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: james.seff@pillsburylaw.com; [Julia Bergman](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Sally Yu](#); [Tom Pearl](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Scarr <kpscarr@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 10:59 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: james.seff@pillsburylaw.com; Julia Bergman <juliabergman@yahoo.com>; Sally Yu <sallyxunyu@hotmail.com>; Tom Pearl <pltenterprises888@gmail.com>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Redistricting Task Force and the Honorable Supervisor Peskin:

I write on behalf of the Golden Gateway Commons I Residential Association to express our board's unanimous support for the [District 3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN](#). This plan was put forth by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association and to date has garnered the public endorsement of the Chinatown Merchants Association, the Chinese Historical Society of America, Downtown San Francisco, Gateway Tenants Association, Italian Community Services, Jackson Square Merchants Association, Next Village, Nob Hill Association, North Beach Neighbors, Pacific Avenue Neighbors, Russian Hill Community Association, and Russian Hill Neighbors.

The plan meets all of the Task Force's redistricting criteria, in addition to reuniting the Russian Hill neighborhood, maintaining the integrity of existing neighborhoods (including ours, the Barbary Coast/northeast waterfront), and uniting the heart of the city's waterfront and tourist attractions. It is a fair and commonsense plan with respect to geography, demographics, and community, and keeps together neighborhoods that share common interests and challenges.

We appreciate your consideration and hope you will support our vision for a united district.

Very truly yours,
Karen Scarr
President, GGCI Residential Association
550 Davis Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: kpneel@earthlink.net
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce; Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Our Neighborhood
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:42 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: kpneel@earthlink.net <kpneel@earthlink.net>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 10:35 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Redistricting Our Neighborhood

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Aaron,

I am writing to request that you **support the D3 Neighborhoods United Plan** that would maintain the Barbary Coast, North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill and Russian Hill neighborhoods in the same district. These neighborhoods contain so many of the tourist-centric areas and have a natural common interest. They are and will continue to be supportive of the many small businesses in San Francisco.

Patricia Neel
170 Pacific Avenue

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Karen Scarr](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Scarr <kpscarr@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 10:34 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern:

I write to express my STRONG SUPPORT for the [D3 UNITED NEIGHBORHOODS PLAN](#) redistricting plan for District 3 proposed by the Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association and endorsed by numerous neighborhood and merchant groups in the district. Please keep our neighborhoods together!

The United Neighborhoods Plan would unite the neighborhoods in the heart of the city's tourism industry (including North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and the Barbary Coast), which share not only geographic boundaries and communities, but unique common interests and concerns. The alternative proposal would separate Chinatown and the Barbary Coast from our neighbors and makes no sense.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely,
Karen Scarr

--

Karen Scarr
550 Davis Street, Unit 6
San Francisco, CA 94111
kpscarr@gmail.com

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Barbara Reuter](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Support for D3 Neighborhood United Plan
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Barbara Reuter <breuter1@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 10:18 AM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>; Aron.Peskin@sfgov.org
Subject: Support for D3 Neighborhood United Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

It is clear that the District 3 line needs to be redrawn due to population changes. However, it should be drawn so that it makes sense for the tax paying residents of the area. The Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association has given you a plan that is right for our section of the City.

Please make sure you adopt it in keeping with the idea of maintaining what we currently are and adding a section of northern Russian Hill--which makes total sense as an area similar in residential focus to the rest of the community in District 3.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Barbara Reuter
101 Lombard Street 310W
San Francisco, CA 94111

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Anthony Abuzeide](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:25 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anthony Abuzeide <abuzmail@ymail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 8:30 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdf@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Redistricting Task Force to Keep District 3 Neighborhoods United

Anthony Abuzeide

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Bev Sutton](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bev Sutton <bev_sutton@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 7:36 AM

To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: SUPPORT for D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED PLAN.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association (BCNA) has submitted a proposed plan for District 3 (D3) in concert with other D3 neighborhoods. A change to current D3 borders was needed to balance population equity—we didn't have enough people compared to other districts—and this was done by adding a section of northern Russian Hill (currently in D2) to D3 and retaining all other existing boundaries.

That is the only change it is proposing. The plan meets the RTF criteria of population equity, communities of interest, and neighborhood unification.

A competing plan has been proposed which would carve up our district in a radical fashion. That proposed plan combines the Barbary Coast, Jackson Square, Chinatown, and the Tenderloin into one district. Under this plan, our Barbary Coast neighborhood is no longer in a district with adjacent neighborhoods experiencing similar issues, such as North Beach, Russian Hill, Nob Hill, and Telegraph Hill.

the D3 NEIGHBORHOODS UNITED Plan will:

- Maintain the integrity of existing complementary neighborhoods (e.g., North Beach, Chinatown, Nob Hill, Telegraph Hill, Russian Hill, and Barbary Coast neighborhoods) in one district
- Enhance District 3's identity, which is strongly linked to tourism.
- Support communities of interest by maintaining solidarity among neighborhood groups having a long, storied history of collaboration, cooperation, and community with their fellow neighborhood and merchant organizations.
- Maintain population and economic equity of D3. Of all the neighborhoods in San Francisco, Chinatown and Tenderloin are the two neighborhoods with the lowest household income averages. Combining these two neighborhoods would stretch resources of the D3 and would strain resource allocation toward neighborhood revitalization and small business efforts.

I urge you to adopt BCNA's D3 Neighborhoods United Plan.

Yours truly,

Beverly J. Sutton
150 Lombard St., #705
San Francisco 94111

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#); [Judy Heyboer](#)
Subject: FW: My input regarding the redistricting meeting on 3/07 at 5:30pm
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:23:18 AM
Attachments: [My input regarding the redistricting meeting on 307 at 530pm.msg](#)
[image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Judy Heyboer <jaheyboer@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:30 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Cc: Judy Heyboer <jaheyboer@me.com>
Subject: My input regarding the redistricting meeting on 3/07 at 5:30pm

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Judy Heyboer](#)
To: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Judy Heyboer](#)
Subject: My input regarding the redistricting meeting on 3/07 at 5:30pm
Date: Saturday, March 5, 2022 9:29:38 PM

I am writing as a resident of the East Cut neighborhood to share my strong belief that any redistricting plan that separates the East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach, SoMa, and Treasure Island neighborhoods does a grave disservice to residents in all of those areas. We share so many challenges and needs ranging from our common adjacencies to freeways, the Bay Bridge and the Port to the lack of neighborhood serving retail, parks and open space, and local schools.

In the recent past we have seen positive progress in our efforts to increase affordable housing commitments and consciously build economically diverse communities. That nascent progress will be disrupted to the detriment of the residents of these neighborhoods as well as the city's progress and reputation if redistricting separates these communities. It is hard to imagine that there are tangible benefits to realignment in the redistricting process to outweigh the disruption it will cause.

While I may be unable to attend the meeting, I am writing so that my concerns may be heard and my concerns may be recorded and considered. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion and concerns.

Sincerely,

Judy Heyboer
201 Folsom #31A
San Francisco 94105

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Terry Kraus](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:21:36 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

San Francisco City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Terry Kraus <tkraus27@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, March 5, 2022 8:58 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rtdtf@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for D3 United Neighborhoods Plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We implore you to keep neighborhoods with similar issues together, which our plan does. Our neighborhoods are heavily impacted by tourism and the city can best help and benefit this area by keeping our area together.

Thank you for understanding our concerns and supporting our plan.

Terry Kraus
440 Davis Court #911
SF 94111

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [TRILCE & CHARLES](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Portola whole
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:21:11 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: TRILCE & CHARLES <helpmlpark@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 5, 2022 6:10 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Portola whole

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Dear Redistricting Task Force

Thank you for all the hard work you all must be putting into this very difficult District map. I live on Gambier St, a few blocks up from Cambridge. I have been a native Portolan since 1967 and grew up on Oxford Street. I believe to keep "the Portola" whole it must go all the way up the hill to include Peru St, all the way down the hill to San Bruno Ave, Gaven Street to the North and Mansell Street to the south. I do not care if the Portola ends up in D9 or D10.

I like that McLaren lies in three districts and would like to keep it that way. As a park advocate that runs a 501C3 helping restore McLaren Park it has paid off having three supervisors to share the expenses so to speak because there are so many. If one supervisor is not a park advocate then lets hope the other two are. It has proven to be a good process as of recent and I'd like to keep it.

Thank you for your time,

Charles Farrugia

--

Help McLaren Park

Facebook - Help McLaren Park

<https://www.facebook.com/JohnMcLarenPark>

www.helpmipark.weebly.com

Member of the SF Parks Alliance

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [Lee Robbins](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: Opposition to the CSFN Map submitted to the SF Redistricting Task Force from a CSFN Delegate member
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:20:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lee Robbins <leerobbins@sonic.net>
Sent: Saturday, March 5, 2022 4:33 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to the CSFN Map submitted to the SF Redistricting Task Force from a CSFN Delegate member
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Members of the San Francisco Redistricting Board and the general public

FROM: Lee Robbins, **District D3 resident/citizen; Board member BCNA (Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association); Delegate member and BCNA Representation to CSFN (Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhood)**

RE: Opposition to the CSFN Map submitted to the SF Redistricting Task Force

Regrettably I must vehemently object to the way our district, D3, has been treated in the proposed CSFN map. CSFN, in the process of developing this map failed to consult *anyone* or *make any investigation* of the needs and sentiments of our District 3 (D3). As a CSFN member, BCNA was only informed of the CSFN process, report and map on a few days' notice. The report's author, Christopher Bowman, who prepared the map and report for CSFN had no contact with our BCNA neighborhood organization nor, indeed to the best my knowledge, with *any* resident of D3. Several other neighborhood members of CSFN have similar objections to the proposed map.

CSFN delegates were informed of and shown the contents of his report only days before the CSFN February 2022 meeting at which it was approved as an "Emergency Resolution". Although we understand that Mr. Bowman was the sole person doing a very large task as well as recovering in his VA Retirement Center from a hip fracture in December 2021, the report remains unresponsive to D3 neighborhoods and several others who have similar objections to the process and specific objections to the treatment of *their* neighborhoods..

Because the timing of the report's due date to RTF was too close to follow CSFN rules for the normal one month or more interval to bring proposed resolutions back to the CSFN Delegate's neighborhood organization Boards and members, it was hastily voted on to be sent as an Emergency Resolution. And I would note that most of the delegate representatives of the San Francisco neighborhood organizations who voted in favor were from districts little affected by the proposed new redistricting map .

I ask that RTF recognize that the CSFN submission of the Bowman map and its single person development is not representative of either the considered views of neighborhood's affected citizens or their neighborhood organizations.

Lee Robbins Cell: 415-713-1341

- **NOTE:** LeeRobbins@post.Harvard.edu is my **PERMANENT** email address
- please use this in your address book as I may change ISPs and their email addresses from time to time; note auto-reply goes to LeeRobbins@Sonic.net (my current ISP) which is OK but may be changed sometime.

From: [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
To: [David Gold](#); [REG - Redistricting Taskforce](#)
Subject: RE: East Cut and redistricting
Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:20:38 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your message.

By copy of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Redistricting Task Force, and your comments will be included as a communication on the RTF web, as well.

Best to you,

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4445

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a virtual meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Gold <needgold@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 4, 2022 5:32 PM
To: REG - Redistricting Taskforce <rdtf@sfgov.org>
Subject: East Cut and redistricting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

I own and live in the East Cut. The needs of the East Cut, Mission Bay, South Beach, Yerba Buena, Central and Western SoMa, Treasure Island are in many ways similar, as the newest residential and mixed-use communities in the Bay. Please keep these neighborhoods in the same district going forward.

I can't attend your 3/7 meeting so appreciate your consideration of this short note.

David Gold

o 310.657.6578

c 323.774.7575